

SOME PROPERTIES OF THE k -GAMMA FUNCTION

CHRYSI G. KOKOLOGIANNAKI - VALMIR KRASNIQI

We give completely monotonicity properties and inequalities for functions involving the Γ_k functions and their logarithmic derivatives ψ_k functions. We introduce a k -analogue of the Riemann Zeta function ζ_k as an integral and using Schwarz's and Holder's inequalities we obtain some inequalities relating ζ_k and Γ_k functions. The obtained results are the k -analogues of known results concerning functions involving the Gamma and psi functions.

1. Introduction

The Euler Gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ is defined [1] by $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re x > 0$ and

$$\Gamma(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! n^x}{x(x+1) \dots (x+n)}, x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-. \quad (1)$$

The digamma (or psi) function is defined as the logarithmic derivative of Euler's Gamma function, that is $\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$. The following integral and series representations are valid (see [1]):

$$\psi(x) = -\gamma + \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt, \quad (2)$$

Entrato in redazione: 3 aprile 2012

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 33B15, 26A48.

Keywords: Γ_k function, ψ_k function, ζ_k function, Completely monotonic functions, Logarithmically completely monotonic functions, Inequalities.

$$\psi(x) = -\gamma - \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x}{n(n+x)}, x \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots, \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma = 0.57721 \dots$ denotes Euler's constant.

For $k > 0$, the Γ_k function is defined [5] by

$$\Gamma_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!k^n (nk)^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{(x)_{n,k}}, x \in C \setminus kZ^-, \quad (4)$$

where $(x)_{n,k} = x(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+(n-1)k)$.

The above definition is a generalization of the definition of $\Gamma(x)$ function. For $x \in C$ with $\Re(x) > 0$, the function $\Gamma_k(x)$ is given by the integral [5]

$$\Gamma_k(x) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}} dt. \quad (5)$$

and satisfies [6] the following properties:

- (i) $\Gamma_k(x+k) = x\Gamma_k(x)$
- (ii) $(x)_{n,k} = \frac{\Gamma_k(x+nk)}{\Gamma_k(x)}$
- (iii) $\Gamma_k(k) = 1$
- (iv) $\Gamma_k(x)$ is logarithmically convex, for $x \in R$
- (v) $\Gamma_k(x) = a^{\frac{x}{k}} \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-\frac{t}{k}a} dt$, for $a \in R$
- (vi) $\frac{1}{\Gamma_k(x)} = xk^{-\frac{x}{k}} e^{\frac{x}{k}\gamma} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{nk}\right) e^{-\frac{x}{nk}} \right)$.

It is obvious that: $\Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma$ as $k \rightarrow 1$.

Let $\psi_k(x)$ be the k -analogue of the psi function, that is the logarithmic derivative of the Γ_k function:

$$\psi_k(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma_k(x) = \frac{\Gamma'_k(x)}{\Gamma_k(x)}, \quad k > 0. \quad (6)$$

The function $\psi_k(x)$ has the following series representation (see [5,8,9])

$$\psi_k(x) = \frac{\ln k - \gamma}{k} - \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{nk(x+nk)} \quad (7)$$

$$\psi_k^{(p)}(x) = (-1)^{p+1} p! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+nk)^{p+1}}, \quad p \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

The motivation to introduce the function $\Gamma_k(x)$ is its connection with the symbol $(x)_{n,k}$ which appears in a variety of contexts see [5] and references there in. In the recent years there is a increasing interest about the function $\Gamma_k(x)$ see [5,6,8,9,11].

We recall the definition of completely and logarithmically completely monotonic functions, as well as two results given in [2,3] which we mention as Lemmas and are basic for the proof of our theorems.

A function $f(x)$ is said to be completely monotonic on an interval I , if it has derivatives of all orders on I and satisfies

$$(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0, (x \in I, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (9)$$

If the inequality (9) is strict, then $f(x)$ is said to be strictly completely monotonic on I . A theorem of Bernstein (see for example, [13]) states that $f(x)$ is completely monotonic if and only if $f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} d\mu(t)$, where μ is a nonnegative measure on $[0, \infty)$ such that for all $x > 0$ the integral converges. A positive function $f(x)$ is said to be logarithmically completely monotonic on an interval I , if it satisfies

$$(-1)^n [\ln f(x)]^{(n)} \geq 0, (x \in I, n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (10)$$

If the inequality (10) is strict, then $f(x)$ is said to be strictly logarithmically completely monotonic.

Lemma 1.1. [3] *Let f'' be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, the functions*

$$x \mapsto \exp \left(- \left(f(x+1) - f(x+s) - (1-s)f' \left(x + \frac{1+s}{2} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$x \mapsto \exp \left(f(x+1) - f(x+s) - \frac{1-s}{2} (f'(x+1) + f'(x+s)) \right)$$

are logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Lemma 1.2. [2] *If h' is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then $\exp(-h)$ is also completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.*

We also introduce the definition of the k -Riemann zeta function as an integral:

Definition 1.3. We define the function ζ_k as

$$\zeta_k(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_k(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-k}}{e^t - 1} dt, \quad s > k. \quad (11)$$

Note that when k tends to 1 we obtain the known Riemann Zeta function $\zeta(s)$.

In this paper we prove the completely monotonicity or the logarithmically completely monotonicity of some functions involving the functions $\Gamma_k(x)$ as well as inequalities for $\Gamma_k(x)$ and the k -Riemann zeta function. The obtained results are the k -analogues of results given by other authors see [2,3,4,7,10,12].

2. Main results for k -Gamma functions

Theorem 2.1. For $0 \leq s \leq 1$, the functions

$$x \mapsto \frac{\Gamma_k(x+s)}{\Gamma_k(x+1)} \exp\left(\left(1-s\right)\psi_k\left(x+\frac{1+s}{2}\right)\right)$$

and

$$x \mapsto \frac{\Gamma_k(x+1)}{\Gamma_k(x+s)} \exp\left(-\frac{1-s}{2}\left(\psi_k(x+1)+\psi_k(x+s)\right)\right)$$

are logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 1.1 to $f(x) = \ln \Gamma_k(x)$, and using the fact that $f''(x) = \psi'_k(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ (see [8,9]), we obtain the desired result. \square

Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1 is the analogue of Corollary 2.4 proved in [3] for the function $\Gamma_q(x)$.

Theorem 2.3. For positive x and $0 \leq s \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\left(\psi_k(x+1)+\psi_k(x+s)\right)\right) &\leq \frac{\Gamma_k(x+1)}{\Gamma_k(x+s)} \\ &\leq \exp\left(\left(1-s\right)\psi_k\left(x+\frac{1+s}{2}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f_k(x) = \frac{\Gamma_k(x+s)}{\Gamma_k(x+1)} \exp\left(\left(1-s\right)\psi_k\left(x+\frac{1+s}{2}\right)\right)$ and

$$g_k(x) = \frac{\Gamma_k(x+1)}{\Gamma_k(x+s)} \exp\left(-\frac{1-s}{2}\left(\psi_k(x+1)+\psi_k(x+s)\right)\right).$$

We know [8] that $\Gamma_k(x) = k^{\frac{x}{k}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$ and $\psi_k(x) = \frac{1}{k} \ln k + \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$, where $\psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = \partial_x(\ln \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{k}\right))$. For $x > 0$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$, using Stirling's formula we are able to show that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g_k(x) = 1$ so the functions $f_k(x), g_k(x)$ decrease with respect to x and using Theorem 2.1 we obtain the desired inequalities. \square

Remark 2.4. Theorem 2.3 is the analogue of Theorem 3.5 proved in [3] for the function $\Gamma_q(x)$.

For the proof of the following theorem it is necessary the following lemma which is mentioned in [2].

Lemma 2.5. Let a_i and b_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be real numbers such that $0 < a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$, $0 < b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If f is a decreasing and convex function on \mathbb{R} then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(b_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(a_i).$$

Theorem 2.6. Let a_i and b_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be real numbers such that $0 < a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$, $0 < b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the function

$$x \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_k(x + a_i)}{\Gamma_k(x + b_i)}$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\log \Gamma_k(x + b_i) - \log \Gamma_k(x + a_i))$. Then for $p \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^p (h'(x))^{(p)} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_k^{(p)}(x + b_i) - \psi_k^{(p)}(x + a_i)) \\ &= (-1)^p \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p!}{(x + b_i + nk)^{p+1}} - (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p!}{(x + a_i + nk)^{p+1}} \\ &= (-1)^{2p+1} p! \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(x + b_i + nk)^{p+1}} - \frac{1}{(x + a_i + nk)^{p+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x^{p+1}}$, $p \geq 0$ is decreasing and convex on \mathbb{R} , and using Lemma 2.5 we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{(x + b_i + nk)^{p+1}} - \frac{1}{(x + a_i + nk)^{p+1}} \right) \leq 0$$

and that implies that $(-1)^p (h'(x))^{(p)} \geq 0$ for $p \geq 0$. Hence h' is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. By Lemma 1.2 we obtain that

$$\exp(-h(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_k(x + a_i)}{\Gamma_k(x + b_i)}$$

is also completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. □

Remark 2.7. Theorem 2.6 is the k -analogue of Theorem 10 proved in [2].

Theorem 2.8. The function $f(x) = \frac{1}{[\Gamma_k(x+1)]^{\frac{1}{k}}}$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(k-1, \infty)$ for $k-1 > 0$.

Proof. Using Leibniz' rule

$$[u(x)v(x)]^{(n)} = \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} u^{(p)}(x)v^{(n-p)}(x),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\ln f(x)]^{(n)} &= \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{(p)} \left(-\ln \Gamma_k(x+1)\right)^{(n-p)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{x^{n+1}} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} (-1)^p p! x^{n-p} \Psi_k^{(n-p-1)}(x+1) \\ &\triangleq -\frac{1}{x^{n+1}} g(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} (-1)^p p! (n-p) x^{n-p-1} \Psi_k^{(n-p-1)}(x+1) + \\ &+ \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} (-1)^p p! x^{n-p} \Psi_k^{(n-p)}(x+1) \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{p} (-1)^p p! (n-p) x^{n-p-1} \Psi_k^{(n-p-1)}(x+1) + \\ &+ \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} (-1)^p p! x^{n-p} \Psi_k^{(n-p)}(x+1) \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{p} (-1)^p p! (n-p) x^{n-p-1} \Psi_k^{(n-p-1)}(x+1) + \\ &+ x^n \Psi_k^{(n)}(x+1) + \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{p+1} (-1)^{p+1} (p+1)! x^{n-p-1} \Psi_k^{(n-p-1)}(x+1) \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \left[\binom{n}{p} (n-p) - \binom{n}{p+1} (p+1) \right] (-1)^p p! x^{n-p-1} \Psi_k^{(n-p-1)}(x+1) \\ &+ x^n \Psi_k^{(n)}(x+1) = x^n \Psi_k^{(n)}(x+1) \\ &= x^n (-1)^{n+1} n! \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+pk)^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

We recall that the function $g(x)$ includes the function $\ln \Gamma_k(x+1)$ and its derivatives. Since $\Gamma_k(k) = 1$ it is obvious that $g(k-1) = 0$. So, if n is odd, then for $x > k-1$,

$$g'(x) > 0 \Rightarrow g(x) > g(k-1) = 0 \Rightarrow (\ln f(x))^{(n)} < 0 \Rightarrow (-1)^n (\ln f(x))^{(n)} > 0.$$

If n is even, then for $x > k-1$,

$$g'(x) < 0 \Rightarrow g(x) < g(k-1) = 0 \Rightarrow (\ln f(x))^{(n)} > 0 \Rightarrow (-1)^n (\ln f(x))^{(n)} > 0.$$

Hence,

$$(-1)^n (\ln f(x))^{(n)} > 0$$

for all $x \in (k-1, \infty)$ and all integers $n \geq 1$, so the proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.9. The above theorem is the k -analogue of Lemma 2.1 of [4] and the following theorem is k -analogue of Theorem 1.1 of [12].

Theorem 2.10. Let s and t be two real numbers with $s \neq t$, $\alpha = \min\{s, t\}$ and $\beta \geq -\alpha$. For $x \in (-\alpha, \infty)$, we define

$$h_{\beta,k}(x) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\Gamma_k(\beta+t)}{\Gamma_k(\beta+s)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_k(x+s)}{\Gamma_k(x+t)} \right]^{\frac{1}{x-\beta}}, & x \neq \beta \\ \exp[\psi_k(\beta+s) - \psi_k(\beta+t)], & x = \beta \end{cases}$$

The function $h_{\beta,k}(x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(-\alpha, \infty)$.

Proof. It is assumed $s > t$ without loss the generality. For $x \neq \beta$, taking logarithm of the function $h_{\beta,k}(x)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \ln h_{\beta,k}(x) &= \frac{1}{x-\beta} \left[\ln \frac{\Gamma_k(\beta+t)}{\Gamma_k(\beta+s)} + \ln \frac{\Gamma_k(x+s)}{\Gamma_k(x+t)} \right] \\ &= \frac{\ln \Gamma_k(x+s) - \ln \Gamma_k(\beta+s)}{x-\beta} - \frac{\ln \Gamma_k(x+t) - \ln \Gamma_k(\beta+t)}{x-\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{x-\beta} \int_{\beta}^x \psi_k(u+s) du - \frac{1}{x-\beta} \int_{\beta}^x \psi_k(u+t) du \\ &= \frac{1}{x-\beta} \int_{\beta}^x [\psi_k(u+s) - \psi_k(u+t)] du = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{x-\beta} \int_{\beta}^x \int_t^s \psi'_k(u+v) dv du \\
&\triangleq \frac{1}{x-\beta} \int_{\beta}^x \varphi_{k,s,t}(u) du \int_0^1 \varphi_{k,s,t}((x-\beta)u + \beta) du \\
&= \int_0^1 \varphi_{k,s,t}((x-\beta)u + \beta) du.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$[\ln h_{\beta,k}(x)]^{(p)} = \int_0^1 u^p \varphi_{k,s,t}^{(p)}((x-\beta)u + \beta) du, \quad (12)$$

if $x = \beta$, formula (11) is also valid.

Since ψ'_k is completely monotonic (see [8,9]), $\varphi_{k,s,t}$ is completely monotonic on $(-t, \infty)$. This means that $(-1)^i [\varphi_{k,s,t}(x)]^{(i)} \geq 0$ holds on $(-t, \infty)$ for any nonnegative integer i .

Thus

$$(-1)^{(p)} [\ln h_{\beta,k}(x)]^{(p)} = \int_0^1 u^p (-1)^p \varphi_{k,s,t}^{(p)}((x-\beta)u + \beta) du \geq 0$$

on $(-t, \infty)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof is complete. \square

3. Main results for k-Riemann zeta function

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\zeta_k(s)$ be the k-Riemann zeta function defined by (11). Then the following inequality is valid*

$$(s+k) \cdot \frac{\zeta_k(s)}{\zeta_k(s+k)} \geq s \frac{\zeta_k(s+k)}{\zeta_k(s+2k)}, \quad s > k. \quad (13)$$

Proof. The proof of the theorem is based on the following consequence of Schwarz's inequality [10]: Let f, g be two nonnegative functions of a real variable and m, n real numbers such that integrals in (14) exist. Then

$$\int_a^b g(t)(f(t))^m dt \cdot \int_a^b g(t)(f(t))^n dt \geq \left(\int_a^b g(t)(f(t))^{\frac{m+n}{2}} dt \right)^2. \quad (14)$$

So, applying inequality (14) with $g(t) = \frac{1}{e^t - 1}$, $f(t) = t$, $m = s - k$, $n = s + k$, $a = 0$, $b = +\infty$, we obtain

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-k}}{e^t - 1} dt \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{s+k}}{e^t - 1} dt \geq \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^s}{e^t - 1} dt \right)^2.$$

Further, using (11) we have

$$\zeta_k(s)\Gamma_k(s)\zeta_k(s+2k)\Gamma_k(s+2k) \geq (\zeta_k(s+k))^2(\Gamma_k(s+k))^2$$

and using the property $\Gamma_k(s+k) = s \cdot \Gamma_k(s)$ implies the desired result. \square

Remark 3.2. For k tends to 1 we obtain Theorem 2.3 of [10].

Theorem 3.3. Let $\zeta_k(u)$ be the k -Riemann zeta function. Then the inequality

$$\frac{\Gamma_k\left(\frac{u}{p} + \frac{v}{q}\right)}{\Gamma_k^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \cdot \Gamma_k^{\frac{1}{q}}(v)} \leq \frac{\zeta_k^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \cdot \zeta_k^{\frac{1}{q}}(v)}{\zeta_k\left(\frac{u}{p} + \frac{v}{q}\right)}$$

holds, where $u > k$, $v > k$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\frac{u}{p} + \frac{v}{q} > k$.

Proof. Using Holder's inequality for $p > 1$

$$\left| \int_0^\infty f(t) \cdot g(t) dt \right| \leq \left(\int_0^\infty |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty |g(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (15)$$

with $f(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{u-k}{p}}}{(e^t - 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ and $g(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{v-k}{q}}}{(e^t - 1)^{\frac{1}{q}}}$. Using definition 1.3 we obtain the inequality

$$\Gamma_k\left(\frac{u}{p} + \frac{v}{q}\right) \cdot \zeta_k\left(\frac{u}{p} + \frac{v}{q}\right) \leq \Gamma_k^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \cdot \Gamma_k^{\frac{1}{q}}(v) \cdot \zeta_k^{\frac{1}{p}}(u) \cdot \zeta_k^{\frac{1}{q}}(v),$$

which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz - I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, NewYork, 1965.
- [2] H. Alzer, *On some inequalities for the gamma and psi function*, Math. Comp. 66 (1997), 373–389.

- [3] P. Gao, *Some monotonicity properties of gamma and q-gamma functions*, ISRN Mathematical Analysis 2011 (2011), Article ID 375715, 15 pages, doi: 10.5402/2011/375715.
- [4] C.-P. Chen - X. Li - F. Qi, *A logarithmically completely monotonic function involving the gamma functions*, Gen. Math. 14 (2006), 127–134 .
- [5] R. Diaz - E. Pariguan, *On hypergeometric functions and k-Pochhammer symbol*, Divulgaciones Matemáticas 15 (2) (2007), 179–192.
- [6] R. Diaz - C. Teruel, *q, k-Generalized Gamma and Beta functions*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 12 (1) (2005), 118–134.
- [7] D. Kershaw, *Some extensions of W. Gautschie's inequalities for the gamma function*, Math. Comp. 41 (1983), 607–611.
- [8] C. G. Kokologianaki, *Properties and Inequalities of Generalized k-Gamma, Beta and Zeta Function*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences 5 (14) (2010), 653–660.
- [9] V. Krasniqi, *Inequalities and monotonicity for the ration of k-gamma function*, Scientia Magna 6 (1) (2010), 40–45.
- [10] A. Laforgia - P. Natali, *A Turán-type inequalities for some special functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (1) (2006), Article 32.
- [11] M. Mansour, *Determining the k-Generalized Gamma function $\Gamma_k(x)$ by functional equations*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences 4 (21) (2009), 653–660.
- [12] F. Qi, *Three class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and psi functions*, Integral Transform Spec. Funct. 18 (2007), 503–509.
- [13] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1946.

CHRYSI G. KOKOLOGIANNAKI

Department of Mathematics

University of Patras

26500 Patras, Greece

e-mail: chrykok@math.upatras.gr

VALMIR KRASNIQI

Department of Mathematics

University of Prishtina,

Prishtinë 10000,

Republic of Kosova

e-mail: vali.99@hotmail.com