

**DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES FOR MEROMORPHIC
p-VALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED
WITH GENERALIZED INTEGRAL OPERATOR**

RABHA M. EL-ASHWAH

In this paper the author introduced a new generalized integral operator for meromorphic p -valent functions in $U^* = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}$. The object of this paper is to give an application of this operator to the differential inequalities.

1. Introduction

Let Σ_p be the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the punctured unit disc $U^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$.

For a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, we define the integral operator

$$\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) \quad (\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \mu + \lambda \neq 0)$$

Entrato in redazione: 20 ottobre 2012

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Meromorphic functions, Differential inequalities.

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,0}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= f(z), \\ \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right) z^{-p-\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}+p-1\right)} f(t) dt \\ &\quad (f \in \Sigma_p; z \in U^*), \\ \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,2}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right) z^{-p-\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}+p-1\right)} \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,1}(\alpha, \mu)f(t) dt \\ &\quad (f \in \Sigma_p; z \in U^*), \end{aligned}$$

and, in general,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right) z^{-p-\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right)} \int_0^z t^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}+p-1\right)} \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu)f(t) dt \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,1}(\alpha, \mu)\left(\frac{1}{z^p(1-z)}\right) * \cdots * \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,1}(\alpha, \mu)\left(\frac{1}{z^p(1-z)}\right) * f(z) \\ &\quad [-----m-times-----] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We note that if $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, then from (1) and (2), we have

$$\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha+\beta+(\mu+\lambda)k} \right]^m a_k z^{k-p}$$

$$(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha+\beta \neq 0, \mu+\lambda \neq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}; m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in U^*). \quad (3)$$

From (3), it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu+\lambda)z(\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z))' &= (\alpha+\beta)\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) \\ &\quad - [p(\mu+\lambda) + (\alpha+\beta)]\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We note that:

- (i) $\mathfrak{I}_{p,0}^{0,\gamma}(1,1)f(z) = P_p^\gamma f(z)$ ($\gamma > 0$) (see Aqlan et al. [1]);
- (ii) $\mathfrak{I}_{1,0}^{0,m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) = \mathcal{L}^m(\alpha, \mu)f(z)$ ($\alpha > 0, \mu \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see Bulboaca et al. [2]);

(iii) $\mathfrak{I}_{1,0}^{0,\gamma}(1,\mu)f(z) = P_\mu^\gamma f(z)$ ($\gamma > 0, \mu > 0$) (see Lashin [3]).

Also we note that

$$(i) \quad \mathfrak{I}_{p,1}^{0,m}(\alpha, 1)f(z) = \mathfrak{I}_p^m(\alpha)f(z) (\alpha > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

where $\mathfrak{I}_p^m(\alpha)f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2k} \right)^m a_k z^{k-p};$

$$(ii) \quad \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{0,m}(1, 0)f(z) = \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^m f(z) (\lambda \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

where $\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^m f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda k} \right)^m a_k z^{k-p}.$

For our purpose, we introduce.

Definition 1.1. Let H be the set of complex-valued function $h(r, s, t) : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (\mathbb{C} is the complex plane) such that:

(i) $h(r, s, t)$ is continuous in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^3$;

(ii) $(1, 1, 1) \in D$ and $|h(1, 1, 1)| < 1$;

$$(iii) \quad \left| h \left(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta e^{i\theta}, \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2 e^{i\theta} + \left(2 \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) + 1 \right) \zeta e^{i\theta} + L}{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2} \right) \right| \geq 1$$

whenever

$$\left(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta e^{i\theta}, \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2 e^{i\theta} + \left(2 \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) + 1 \right) \zeta e^{i\theta} + L}{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2} \right) \in D$$

with $\Re(e^{-i\theta}L) > \zeta(\zeta - 1)$ for real θ and for $\zeta \geq 1$.

Definition 1.2. Let H be the set of complex-valued function $h(r, s, t) : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (\mathbb{C} is the complex plane) such that:

(i) $h(r, s, t)$ is continuous in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^3$;

(ii) $(1, 1, 1) \in D$ and $|h(1, 1, 1)| < 1$;

$$(iii) \quad \left| h \left(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta, e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta - \zeta^2 + L}{\zeta + \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) e^{i\theta}} \right) \right| \geq 1$$

whenever

$$\left(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta, e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta - \zeta^2 + L}{\zeta + \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) e^{i\theta}} \right) \in D$$

with $\Re(L) > \zeta(\zeta - 1)$ for real θ and for $\zeta \geq 1$.

2. Main result

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, $\mu + \lambda \neq 0$ and $m \geq 2$.

In proving our main result, we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. [4] Let $w(z) = a + w_n z^n + \dots$, be analytic in U with $w(z) \neq 0$ and $\zeta \geq 1$. If $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r_0 < 1$) and $|w(z_0)| = \max_{|z| \leq r_0} |w(z)|$. Then

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = \zeta w(z_0) \quad (5)$$

and

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right\} \geq \zeta, \quad (6)$$

where ζ is a real number and

$$\zeta \geq k \frac{|w(z_0) - a|^2}{|w(z_0)|^2 - |a|^2} \geq k \frac{|w(z_0)| - |a|}{|w(z_0)| + |a|}.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $h(r, s, t) \in H$ and let $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfy:

$$\left(z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z), z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z), z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) \right) \in D \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \quad (7)$$

and

$$\left| h \left(z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z), z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z), z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) \right) \right| < 1 \quad (8)$$

for all $z \in U$. Then we have

$$\left| z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U)$$

Proof. We define the function $w(z)$ by

$$z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) = w(z),$$

for $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$. With the aid of the identity (4), we obtain

$$z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) = w(z) + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) z w'(z)$$

and

$$z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2 w(z) + \left(2 \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) + 1 \right) z w'(z) + z^2 w''(z)}{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2}$$

we claim that $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in U$. Otherwise there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $\max_{|z| < |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1$. Letting $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ and using Lemma 2.1 we see that

$$z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0) = w(z_0) = e^{i\theta},$$

$$z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0) = e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta e^{i\theta},$$

$$z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0) = \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2 e^{i\theta} + \left(2 \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) + 1 \right) \zeta e^{i\theta} + L}{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2},$$

where $L = z_0^2 w''(z_0)$ and $\zeta \geq 1$.

Further, an application of (6) in Lemma 2.1 gives

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{z_0^2 w''(z_0)}{\zeta e^{i\theta}} \right\} \geq (\zeta - 1),$$

or

$$\Re(e^{-i\theta} L) \geq \zeta(\zeta - 1).$$

Since $h(r, s, t) \in H$, we have

$$\left| h \left(z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0), z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0), z^p \mathfrak{J}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0) \right) \right|$$

$$= \left| h \left(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta e^{i\theta}, \right. \right.$$

$$\left| \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2 e^{i\theta} + \left(2 \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) + 1 \right) \zeta e^{i\theta} + L}{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right)^2} \right| \geq 1,$$

which contradicts the condition (8) of the theorem. Therefore we conclude that

$$\left| z^p \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0) \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U).$$

This complete the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let $h(r, s, t) = s$ and $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying the conditions (7) and (8) for $m \geq 2$. Then

$$\left| z^p \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+i}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) \right| < 1 \quad (i \geq 0; z \in U).$$

Proof. Since $h(r, s, t) = s \in H$, so with the aid of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z^p \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) \right| < 1 \\ \implies & \left| z^p \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) \right| < 1 \quad (m \geq 2) \implies \left| z^p \mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+i}(\alpha, \mu) f(z) \right| < 1 \quad (i \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 2.4. Let $h(r, s, t) \in H$ and let $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfy:

$$\left(\frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}, \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}, \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)} \right) \in D \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \quad (9)$$

and

$$\left| h \left(\frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}, \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}, \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)} \right) \right| < 1 \quad (10)$$

for all $z \in U$. Then we have

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U).$$

Proof. Let

$$\frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)} = w(z).$$

Then it follows that $w(z)$ is either analytic or meromorphic in U , $w(0) = 1$ and $w(z) \neq 1$. With the aid of the identity (4), we obtain

$$\frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)} = \left\{ w(z) + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z)} &= \left\{ w(z) + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \frac{zw'(z) + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \left(\frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} + \frac{z^2 w''(z)}{w(z)} - \left(\frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} \right)^2 \right)}{w(z) + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

we claim that $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in U$. Otherwise there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $\max_{|z|<|z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z)| = 1$. Letting $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ and using Lemma 2.1 with $a = k = 1$, we see that

$$\frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)} = e^{i\theta},$$

$$\frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)} = e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta,$$

and

$$\frac{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)}{\mathfrak{I}_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)} = e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta - \zeta^2 + L}{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) e^{i\theta} + \zeta},$$

where $L = \frac{z_0^2 w''(z_0)}{w(z_0)}$ and $\zeta \geq 1$.

Further, an application of (6) in Lemma 2.1 gives

$$\Re(L) \geq \zeta(\zeta - 1).$$

Since $h(r, s, t) \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h\left(\frac{\Im_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)}{\Im_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)}, \frac{\Im_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)}{\Im_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)}, \frac{\Im_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)}{\Im_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| h\left(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. e^{i\theta} + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \zeta + \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta} \right) \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) \zeta e^{i\theta} + \zeta - \zeta^2 + L}{\zeta + \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda} \right) e^{i\theta}} \right) \right| \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the condition (8) of the theorem. Therefore we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{\Im_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)}{\Im_{p,\lambda}^{\beta,m+1}(\alpha, \mu) f(z_0)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U).$$

This complete the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

Remark 2.5. By specializing the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda$ and m , we obtain results corresponding to different operators defined in the introduction.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the referees for their valuable suggestions which led to improve this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Aqlan, J. M. Jahangiri - S. R. Kulkarni, *Certain integral operators applied to meromorphic p-valent functions*, J. Nat. Geom. 24 (2003), 111–120.
- [2] T. Bulboaca, M. K. Aouf - R. M. El-Ashwah, *Convolution properties for subclasses of meromorphic univalent functions of complex order*, Filomat 26 (1) (2012), 153–163.
- [3] A. Y. Lashin, *On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators*, Comput. Math Appl. 59 (1) (2010), 524–531.

- [4] S. S. Miller - P. T. Mocanu, *Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 65 (1978), 289–305.

RABHA M. EL-ASHWAH

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

University of Damietta

e-mail: r_elashwah@yahoo.com