doi: 10.4418/2013.68.2.12

POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF INFINITE SEMIPOSITONE PROBLEMS INVOLVING THE p-LAPLACIAN OPERATOR

M. CHOUBIN - S. H. RASOULI - M. B. GHAEMI - G. A. AFROUZI

We discuss the existence of a positive solution to the infinite semipositone problem

$$-\Delta_p u = au^{p-1} - bu^{\gamma} - f(u) - \frac{c}{u^{\alpha}}, \ x \in \Omega, \quad u = 0, \ x \in \partial \Omega,$$

where Δ_p is the p-Laplacian operator, p>1, $\gamma>p-1$, $\alpha\in(0,1)$, a,b and c are positive constants, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $f(u)\to\infty$ as $u\to\infty$. Also we assume that there exist A>0 and $\beta>p-1$ such that $f(s)\leq As^\beta$, for all $s\geq0$. We obtain our result via the method of sub- and supersolutions.

1. Introduction

We consider the positive solution to the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p}u = au^{p-1} - bu^{\gamma} - f(u) - \frac{c}{u^{\alpha}}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where Δ_p denotes the *p*-Laplacian operator defined by $\Delta_p z = \text{div}(|\nabla z|^{p-2}\nabla z)$, p > 1, $\gamma > p - 1$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, a,b and c are positive constants, Ω is a bounded

Entrato in redazione: 29 ottobre 2012 AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 35J25, 35J55.

Keywords: Positive solution, Infinite semipositone, Sub and supersolutions.

domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. We make the following assumptions:

- (H1) $f:[0,+\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\lim_{s\to+\infty}f(s)=\infty$.
- (H2) There exist A > 0 and $\beta > p-1$ such that $f(s) \le As^{\beta}$, for all $s \ge 0$.

In [8], the authors have studied the equation $-\Delta u = g(u) - (c/u^{\alpha})$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions,where g is nonnegative and nondecreasing and $\lim_{u\to\infty}g(u)=\infty$. The case g(u):=au-f(u) has been study in [7], where $f(u)\geq au-M$ and $f(u)\leq Au^{\beta}$ on $[0,\infty)$ for some $M,A>0,\beta>1$ and this g may have a falling zero. In this paper, we study the equation $-\Delta_p u = au^{p-1}-bu^{\gamma}-f(u)-(c/u^{\alpha})$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Our result in this paper include the result of [7] in the case p=2 (Laplacian operator), where say in Remark 2.2. Let $F(u):=au^{p-1}-bu^{\gamma}-f(u)-(c/u^{\alpha})$, then $\lim_{u\to 0^+}F(u)=-\infty$ and hence we refer to (1) as an infinite semipositone problem. In fact, our result in this paper is on infinite semipositone problems involving the p-Laplacian operator.

In recent years, there has been considerable progress on the study of semi-positione problems (F(0) < 0 but finite) (see [1],[2],[5]). We refer to [6], [7], [8] and [9] for additional results on infinite semipositone problems. We obtain our result via the method of sub- and supersolutions([3]).

2. The main result

We shall establish the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let (H1) and (H2) hold. If $a > (\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}\lambda_1$, then there exists positive constant $c^* := c^*(a, A, p, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \Omega)$ such that for $c \le c^*$, problem (1) has a positive solution, where λ_1 be the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1 was established in [7] for the case p = 2 (the Laplacian operator), $f(u) := g(u) - bu^{\gamma}$, where the function g satisfy the following assumptions:

- $g(u) \approx bu^{\theta}$ for some $\theta > \gamma$.
- There exist A > 0 and $\beta > 1$ such that $g(u) \le Au^{\beta}$, for all $u \ge 0$.
- There exist M > 0 such that $g(u) \ge au M$, for all $u \ge 0$.

In fact, the function f satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 in this paper (Since $\lim_{u\to\infty}(g(u)/bu^{\theta})=1$, hence $\lim_{u\to\infty}f(u)=\infty$) and g satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 in [7], where (1) changes to equation $-\Delta u=au-g(u)-(c/u^{\alpha})$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We shall establish Theorem 2.1 by constructing positive sub-supersolutions to equation (1). From an anti-maximum principle (see [4, pages 155-156]), there exists $\sigma(\Omega) > 0$ such that the solution z_{λ} of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p z - \lambda z^{p-1} = -1, & x \in \Omega, \\ z = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

for $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \sigma)$ is positive in Ω and is such that $\frac{\partial z}{\partial v} < 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, where v is outward normal vector on $\partial \Omega$. Fix $\lambda^* \in (\lambda_1, \min\{\lambda_1 + \sigma, (\frac{p-1+\alpha}{p})^{p-1}a\})$ and let

$$K := \min \left\{ \left(\frac{(p/p - 1 + \alpha)^{p-1}}{2b \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{\gamma p - (p-1)(\alpha - 1)}{p - 1 + \alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma - p + 1}}, \left(\frac{a - \left(\frac{p}{p - 1 + \alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^*}{3b \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p(\gamma - p + 1)}{p - 1 + \alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma - p + 1}}, \left(\frac{a - \left(\frac{p}{p - 1 + \alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^*}{2A \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{\beta p - (p-1)(\alpha - 1)}{p - 1 + \alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta - p + 1}}, \left(\frac{a - \left(\frac{p}{p - 1 + \alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^*}{3A \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p(\beta - p + 1)}{p - 1 + \alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta - p + 1}} \right\}$$

Define $\psi = K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}$. Then

$$\nabla \psi = K(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}) z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p-1+\alpha}} \nabla z_{\lambda^*}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &-\Delta_{p}\psi = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla\psi|^{p-2}\nabla\psi) \\ &= -K^{p-1}(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}\left\{ (\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha})z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{-\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}|\nabla z_{\lambda^{*}}|^{p} + z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{p-1+\alpha}}\Delta_{p}z_{\lambda^{*}} \right\} \\ &= -K^{p-1}(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}\left\{ (\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha})z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{-\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}|\nabla z_{\lambda^{*}}|^{p} + z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}}(1-\lambda^{*}z_{\lambda^{*}}^{p-1}) \right\} \\ &= K^{p-1}(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}\left\{ \lambda^{*}z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} - z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} - \left((\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}) \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^{*}}|^{p}}{z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right\} \end{split}$$

Let $\delta > 0$, $\mu > 0$, m > 0 be such that $|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p \ge m$ in $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$ and $z_{\lambda^*} \ge \mu$ in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$, where $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta} := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial \Omega) \le \delta\}$. Let

$$c^* := K^{p-1+\alpha} \min\left\{\left(\tfrac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} \left((\tfrac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}) \right) m^p, \tfrac{1}{3} \mu^p \left(a - \left(\tfrac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} \lambda^*\right) \right\}.$$

Let $x \in \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$ and $c \le c^*$. Since $\left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} \lambda^* < a$, we have

$$K^{p-1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} < a \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{p-1}. \tag{2}$$

From the choice of K, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \ge bK^{\gamma-p+1} \| z_{\lambda^*} \|_{\infty}^{\frac{\gamma_{p-(p-1)(\alpha-1)}}{p-1+\alpha}} \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \ge A K^{\beta-p+1} \left\| z_{\lambda^*} \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{\beta p - (p-1)(\alpha - 1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \tag{4}$$

and by (3),(4),(H2), we know that

$$-\frac{1}{2}K^{p-1}\left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1}z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} \le -b\left(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{5}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}K^{p-1}(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} \le -A\left(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^{\beta} \le -f\left(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)$$
(6)

Since $|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^p \ge m$ in $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$, from the choice of c^* we have

$$-K^{p-1}\left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}\right)\frac{\left|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}\right|^p}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}}$$

$$\leq -K^{p-1}\left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}\right)\frac{m^p}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}}$$

$$\leq -\frac{c}{\left(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha}}.$$
(7)

Hence for $c \le c^*$, combining (2), (5), (6) and (7) we have

$$\begin{split} -\Delta_{p}\psi &= K^{p-1}(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1} \\ &\times \left\{ \lambda^{*}z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} - z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} - \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}\right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^{*}}|^{p}}{z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right\} \\ &= K^{p-1}(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}\lambda^{*}z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}K^{p-1}(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}K^{p-1}(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} \\ &\quad - K^{p-1}(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}\left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}\right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^{*}}|^{p}}{z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \\ &\leq a\Big(Kz_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\Big)^{p-1} - b\Big(Kz_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\Big)^{\gamma} - f\Big(Kz_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\Big) - \frac{c}{\Big(Kz_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}\Big)^{\alpha}} \\ &= a\psi^{p-1} - b\psi^{\gamma} - f(\psi) - \frac{c}{\psi^{\alpha}}, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}. \end{split}$$

Next in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$, for $c \leq c^*$ from the choice of c^* and K, we know that

$$\frac{c}{K^{\alpha}} \le \frac{1}{3} K^{p-1} z_{\lambda^*}^p \left(a - \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* \right), \tag{8}$$

and

$$bK^{\gamma-p+1}z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(\gamma-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \le \frac{1}{3}\left(a - \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1}\lambda^*\right) \tag{9}$$

$$AK^{\beta-p+1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(\beta-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \le \frac{1}{3} \left(a - \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \right)^{p-1} \lambda^* \right). \tag{10}$$

By combining (8), (9) and (10) we have

$$\begin{split} &-\Delta_{p} \psi = K^{p-1} \big(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \big)^{p-1} \\ &\times \left\{ \lambda^{*} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} - z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}} - \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha} \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^{*}}|^{p}}{z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \right\} \\ &\leq K^{p-1} \big(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha} \big)^{p-1} \lambda^{*} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p(p-1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{1}{z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{1}{3} K^{p-1} (\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1} \lambda^{*} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{p} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{3} K^{p-1} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{p} a - \frac{c}{K^{\alpha}} \right) + K^{p-1} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{p} \left(\frac{1}{3} a - b K^{\gamma - p + 1} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p(\gamma - p + 1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \right) \right\} \\ &+ K^{p-1} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{p} \left(\frac{1}{3} a - A K^{\beta - p + 1} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p(\beta - p + 1)}{p-1+\alpha}} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq a K^{p-1} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{pp-1}{p-1+\alpha}} - b K^{\gamma} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{\gamma p}{p-1+\alpha}} - A K^{\beta} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{\beta p}{p-1+\alpha}} - \frac{c}{K^{\alpha} z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{\alpha p}{p-1+\alpha}}} \\ &\leq a \left(K z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{p-1} - b \left(K z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{\gamma} - f \left(K z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right) - \frac{c}{\left(K z_{\lambda^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha}} \\ &= a \psi^{p-1} - b \psi^{\gamma} - f(\psi) - \frac{c}{w^{\alpha}}, \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}. \end{split}$$

Thus ψ is a positive subsolution of (1). From (H1) and $\gamma > p-1$, it is obvious that z = M where M is sufficiently large constant is a supersolution of (1) with $z \ge \psi$. Thus Theorem 2.1 is proven.

3. An extension to system (11)

In this section, we consider the extension of (1) to the following system:

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_{p}u = a_{1}u^{p-1} - b_{1}u^{\gamma} - f_{1}(u) - \frac{c_{1}}{v^{\alpha}}, & x \in \Omega, \\
-\Delta_{p}v = a_{2}v^{p-1} - b_{2}v^{\gamma} - f_{2}(v) - \frac{c_{2}}{u^{\alpha}}, & x \in \Omega, \\
u = 0 = v, & x \in \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(11)

where Δ_p denotes the p-Laplacian operator, p>1, $\gamma>p-1$, $\alpha\in(0,1)$, a_1,a_2 , b_1,b_2,c_1 and c_2 are positive constants, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f_i:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function for i=1,2. We make the following assumptions:

- (H3) $f_i: [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous functions such that $\lim_{s \to +\infty} f_i(s) = \infty$ for i = 1, 2.
- (H4) There exist A > 0 and $\beta > p-1$ such that $f_i(s) \le As^{\beta}$, i = 1, 2, for all s > 0.

We prove the following result by finding sub-super solutions to infinite semipositone system (11).

Theorem 3.1. Let (H3) and (H4) hold. If $\min\{a_1, a_2\} > (\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha})^{p-1}\lambda_1$, then there exists positive constant $c^* := c^*(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, A, p, \Omega)$ such that for

$$\max\{c_1,c_2\} \le c^*,$$

problem (11) has a positive solution.

Proof. Let σ be as in section 2, $\tilde{a}=\min\{a_1,a_2\}$ and $\tilde{b}=\max\{b_1,b_2\}$. Choose $\lambda^*\in(\lambda_1,\min\{\lambda_1+\sigma,(\frac{p-1+\alpha}{p})^{p-1}\tilde{a}\})$. Define

$$\begin{split} K := \min \Big\{ & \Big(\frac{(p/p-1+\alpha)^{p-1}}{2\tilde{b} \, \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{\gamma p - (p-1)(\alpha-1)}{p-1+\alpha}}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-p+1}}, \Big(\frac{\tilde{a} - \big(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\big)^{p-1}\lambda^*}{3\tilde{b} \, \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p(\gamma-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-p+1}}, \\ & \Big(\frac{(p/p-1+\alpha)^{p-1}}{2A \, \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{\beta p - (p-1)(\alpha-1)}{p-1+\alpha}}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta-p+1}}, \Big(\frac{\tilde{a} - \big(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\big)^{p-1}\lambda^*}{3A \, \|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p(\beta-p+1)}{p-1+\alpha}}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta-p+1}} \Big\}, \end{split}$$

and $c^* := K^{p-1+\alpha} \min\left\{ \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{(p-1)(1-\alpha)}{p-1+\alpha}\right) m^p, \frac{1}{3} \mu^p \left(\tilde{a} - \left(\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} \lambda^*\right) \right\}.$ By the same argument as in the proof of theorem 2.1, we can show that (ψ_1, ψ_2) := $(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}}, Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p}{p-1+\alpha}})$ is a positive subsolution of (11) for $\max\{c_1, c_2\} \leq c^*$.

Also it is easy to check that constant function $(z_1, z_2) := (M, M)$ is a supersolution of (11) for M large. Further M can be chosen large enough so that $(z_1, z_2) \ge (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ on Ω . Hence for $\max\{c_1, c_2\} \le c^*$, (11) has a positive solution the proof is complete.

Acknowledgements

The authors are extremely grateful to the referees for their helpful suggestions for the improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Anuradha D. Hai R. Shivaji, *Existence results for superlinear semipositone boundary value problems*, Proc. Amer.Math. Soc. 124 (3) (1996), 757–763.
- [2] A. Castro R. Shivaji, *Positive solutions for a concave semipositone Dirichlet problem*, Nonlinear Anal. 31 (1-2) (1998), 91–98.
- [3] S. Cui, Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for singular semilinear elliptic boundary value problems, Nonlinear Anal. 41 (2000), 149–176.
- [4] P. Drábek P. Krejčí. P. Takáč., *Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 1999.
- [5] D.Hai R. Shivaji, *Uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of semipositone elliptic systems*, Nonlinear Anal. 66 (2007), 396–402.
- [6] E. K. Lee R. Shivaji J. Ye, Subsolutions: A journey from positone to infinite semipositone problems, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf. 07 (2009), 123–131.
- [7] E. K. Lee R. Shivaji J. Ye, *Positive solutions for infinite semipositone problems with falling zeros*, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), 4475–4479.
- [8] M. Ramaswamy R. Shivaji J. Ye, *Positive solutions for a class of infinite semi-positone problems*, Differential Integral Equations 20 (12) (2007), 1423–1433.
- [9] Z. Zhang, On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 194 (1995), 103–113.

M. CHOUBIN

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Basic Sciences Payame Noor University Tehran, Iran

e-mail: choubin@phd.pnu.ac.ir

SAYYYED H. RASOULI

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Basic Sciences Babol University of Technology Babol, Iran

e-mail: s.h.rasouli@nit.ac.ir

MOHAMMAD B. GHAEMI

Department of Mathematics Iran University of Science and Technology Narmak, Tehran, Iran e-mail: mghaemi@iust.ac.ir

GHASEM A. AFROUZI

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Basic Sciences
Mazandaran University
Babolsar, Iran
e-mail: afrouzi@umz.ac.ir