

## HADAMARD PRODUCT CONCERNING CERTAIN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

RABHA M. EL-ASHWAH - MASLINA DARUS

In this paper the authors introduced a new generalized differintegral operator for meromorphic univalent functions in  $U^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . The objective of this paper is to establish certain results concerning the Hadamard product of functions in the classes  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$  and  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^h(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ .

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let the functions  $f$  of the form :

$$\varphi(z) = c_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (c_1 > 0; c_n \geq 0), \quad (1)$$

and

$$\psi(z) = d_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n z^n \quad (d_1 > 0; d_n \geq 0) \quad (2)$$

be regular and univalent in the unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and let

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_0 > 0; a_n \geq 0), \quad (3)$$

---

Entrato in redazione: 25 maggio 2014

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Analytic, meromorphic, positive coefficients, Hadamard product.

This work was partially supported by MOHE's grant: FRGSTOPDOWN/2013/ST06/UKM/01/1.

$$f_i(z) = \frac{a_{0,i}}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i}z^n \quad (a_{0,i} > 0; a_{n,i} \geq 0), \tag{4}$$

$$g(z) = \frac{b_0}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_nz^n \quad (b_0 > 0; b_n \geq 0), \tag{5}$$

and

$$g_j(z) = \frac{b_{0,j}}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n,j}z^n \quad (b_{0,j} > 0; b_{n,j} \geq 0), \tag{6}$$

be regular and univalent in the punctured unit disc  $U^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$ .

For a function  $f(z)$  defined by (3) (with  $a_0 = 1$ ), El-Ashwah defined the integral operator  $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu)$  (see [10, with  $p = 1$ ]) as follows:

$$\mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)} \right]^m a_nz^n$$

$$(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \mu + \lambda \neq 0; m \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U^*). \tag{7}$$

We note that

- (i)  $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{0,m}(\alpha, 0)f(z) = I^m(\lambda, \alpha)f(z)$  ( $\alpha > 0, \lambda \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) (see El-Ashwah [11, with  $p = 1$ ]);
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{S}_0^{0,\gamma}(1, 1)f(z) = P^\gamma f(z)$  ( $\gamma > 0$ ) (see Aqlan et al. [4, with  $p = 1$ ]);
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{S}_0^{0,m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) = \mathcal{L}^m(\alpha, \mu)f(z)$  ( $\alpha > 0, \mu \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) (see Bulboaca et al. [6]);
- (iv)  $\mathfrak{S}_0^{0,\gamma}(1, \mu)f(z) = P_\mu^\gamma f(z)$  ( $\gamma > 0, \mu > 0$ ) (see Lashin [18]).

Also we note that

- (i)  $\mathfrak{S}_1^{0,m}(\alpha, 1)f(z) = \mathfrak{S}^m(\alpha)f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 2(k + 1)} \right)^m a_nz^n$  ( $\alpha > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ );
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda}^{0,m}(1, 0)f(z) = \mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda}^m f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \lambda(k + 1)} \right)^m a_nz^{n-p}$  ( $\lambda \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ).

Now we will extend the definition of the operator  $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  and  $z \in U^*$  as follows:

$$\mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) = \left( \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\alpha + \beta} \right) z^{-\frac{\alpha + \beta}{\mu + \lambda}} \left( z^{\left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\mu + \lambda} \right) + 1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) \right)',$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,m-2}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) = \left( \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\alpha + \beta} \right) z^{-\frac{\alpha + \beta}{\mu + \lambda}} \left( z^{\left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\mu + \lambda} \right) + 1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,m-1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) \right)',$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta}\right) z^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}} \left(z^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right)+1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,2}(\alpha, \mu)f(z)\right)', \\ \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,0}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta}\right) z^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}} \left(z^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right)+1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z)\right)' = f(z) \\ \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,-1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta}\right) z^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}} \left(z^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right)+1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,0}(\alpha, \mu)f(z)\right)', \\ \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,-2}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta}\right) z^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}} \left(z^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right)+1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,-1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z)\right)', \\ \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,-m+1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta}\right) z^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}} \left(z^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right)+1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,-m+2}(\alpha, \mu)f(z)\right)', \\ \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,-m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\alpha+\beta}\right) z^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}} \left(z^{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mu+\lambda}\right)+1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,-m+1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z)\right)'. \end{aligned}$$

We see that for  $f \in \Sigma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,-m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}\right]^{-m} a_n z^n \\ &(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \mu + \lambda \neq 0; m \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U^*). \end{aligned}$$

We note that

- (i)  $\mathfrak{S}_0^{0,-m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) = J^m(\alpha, \mu)f(z) (\alpha > 0, \mu \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  (see El-Ashwah [13, with  $p = 1$ ]);
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{S}_1^{0,-m}(1, \mu)f(z) = I(m, \mu)f(z) (\mu \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  (see Cho et al. [7, 8]);
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{S}_1^{0,-m}(\alpha, 1)f(z) = D_\alpha^m f(z) (\alpha > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  (see Al-Oboudi and Al-Zkeri [1]);
- (iv)  $\mathfrak{S}_1^{0,-m}(1, 1)f(z) = I^m f(z) (m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  (see Uralegaddi and Somanatha [24]).

On the other hand for  $\alpha + \beta = \mu + \lambda = m = 1$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,-1}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) = \frac{(z^2 f(z))'}{z} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 2) a_n z^n.$$

In general we will write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha, \mu)f(z) &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta}\right]^m a_n z^n \\ &(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \mu + \lambda \neq 0; m \in \mathbb{Z}; z \in U^*). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

With the help of the differintegral operator  $\mathfrak{I}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha,\mu)f(z)$ , we define the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)$  as follows.

Denote by  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)$  the class of functions  $f(z)$  given by (3) which satisfy the condition

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z \left( \mathfrak{I}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha,\mu)f(z) \right)'}{\mathfrak{I}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha,\mu)f(z)} + \gamma \right) > k \left| \frac{z \left( \mathfrak{I}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha,\mu)f(z) \right)'}{\mathfrak{I}_\lambda^{\beta,m}(\alpha,\mu)f(z)} + 1 \right|$$

$$(0 \leq \gamma < 1; k \geq 0; \alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \mu + \lambda \neq 0; m \in \mathbb{Z}; z \in U^*). \quad (9)$$

Taking  $m = k = 0$ , the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)$  with  $a_0 = 1$  will reduce to the class  $\Sigma S^*(\gamma)$  (see Mogra et al. [21, with  $\beta = 1$ ]), and El-Ashwah and Aouf ([12, with  $\beta = 1$  and  $k = 0$ ]).

Also, we observe that the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)$  reduces to several interesting many other classes for different choices of  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, k$  and  $m$ .

Using similar arguments as given in [5] (see also Aouf et al. [3, with  $\lambda = 0$ ]), we can easily prove the following results for functions in the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)$ .

A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)$  ( $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \mu + \lambda \neq 0; m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n+1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^m [n(k+1) + (k+\gamma)] a_n \leq (1-\gamma)a_0, \quad (10)$$

where  $0 \leq \gamma < 1, k \geq 0, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \mu + \lambda \neq 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

In this paper we introduce the following class of meromorphic univalent functions in  $U^*$ .

A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^h(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n+1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^h [n(k+1) + (k+\gamma)] a_n \leq (1-\gamma)a_0, \quad (11)$$

where  $0 \leq \gamma < 1, k \geq 0, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \mu + \lambda \neq 0$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Further,  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^h(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k) \subset \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^\varphi(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)$  if  $h > \varphi$ , the containment being proper. Moreover, for any positive integer  $h > h-1 > \dots > m+1 > m$ , we have the following inclusion relation

$$\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^h(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k) \subset \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{h-1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k) \subset \dots \subset \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{m+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k) \subset \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k).$$

We also note that, for every real number  $h$ , the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^h(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)$  is nonempty as the functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n+1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{-h} \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)}{n(k+1) + (k+\gamma)} \right\} a_0 \lambda_n z^n, \quad (12)$$

where  $a_0 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \leq 1$ , satisfy the inequality (11).

The quasi-Hadamard product of two or more functions has recently been defined and used by Owa [22], Kumar [15–17], Mogra [19, 20], Aouf and Darwish [2], Darwish [9], Hossen [14] and Sekine [23]. Accordingly, the quasi-Hadamard product of two functions  $\varphi(z)$  and  $\psi(z)$  given by (1) and (2) is defined by

$$(\varphi * \psi)(z) = c_1 d_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k d_k z^k.$$

Let us define the Hadamard product of two meromorphic univalent functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{a_0 b_0}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n. \tag{13}$$

Objective of this paper is to establish certain results concerning the Hadamard product of functions for the classes  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$  and  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$  analogous to the results due to Mogra [19, 20].

### 2. The Main Theorems

Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume throughout the paper that  $0 \leq \gamma < 1, k \geq 0, \alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \mu + \lambda \neq 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Then the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r(z)$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{r(m+2)-1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{r(m+2)-1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] \prod_{i=1}^r a_{n,i} \right\} \leq (1 - \gamma) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{0,i} \right]. \tag{14}$$

Since  $f_i(z) \in \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{m+1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] a_{n,i} \leq (1 - \gamma) a_{0,i} \tag{15}$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Therefore,

$$\left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{m+1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] a_{n,i} \leq (1 - \gamma) a_{0,i}$$

or

$$a_{n,i} \leq \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)} \right]^{m+1} \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)} \right\} a_{0,i},$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  implies

$$a_{n,i} \leq \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{-(m+2)} a_{0,i}, \tag{16}$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

Using (16) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ , and (15) for  $i = r$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{r(m+2)-1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] \prod_{i=1}^r a_{n,i} \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{r(m+2)-1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] a_{n,r} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{-(m+2)(r-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{0,i} \\ & = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{0,i} \right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{m+1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] a_{n,r} \right\} \\ & \leq (1 - \gamma) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{0,i} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r(z) \in \sum_{\mu, \lambda}^{r(m+2)-1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the class  $\sum_{\mu, \lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Then the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r(z)$  belongs to the class  $\sum_{\mu, \lambda}^{r(m+1)-1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f_i(z) \in \sum_{\mu, \lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^m [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] a_{n,i} \right\} \leq (1 - \gamma) a_{0,i} \tag{17}$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Therefore

$$a_{n,i} \leq \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)} \right]^m \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)} \right\} a_{0,i},$$

and hence

$$a_{n,i} \leq \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{-(m+1)} a_{0,i}, \tag{18}$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

Using (18) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ , and (17) for  $i = r$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{r(m+1)-1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] \prod_{i=1}^r a_{n,i} \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{r(m+1)-1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] a_{n,r} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{-(m+1)(r-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{0,i} \right] \\ & = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} a_{0,i} \right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^m [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] a_{n,r} \right\} \\ & \leq (1 - \gamma) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{0,i} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r(z) \in \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{r(m+1)-1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and let the functions  $g_j(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$  for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Then the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z)$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{r(m+2)+q(m+1)-1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ .*

*Proof.* We denote the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_r * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z)$  by the function  $H(z)$ , for the sake of convenience. Clearly,

$$H(z) = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{0,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q b_{0,j} \right] z^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{n,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q b_{n,j} \right] z^n.$$

To prove the theorem, we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{r(m+2)+q(m+1)-1} \right. \\ & \quad \cdot [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] \left. \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{n,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q b_{n,j} \right] \right\} \leq (1 - \gamma) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{0,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q b_{0,j} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_i(z) \in \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ , the inequalities (15) and (16) hold for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Further, since  $g_j(z) \in \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{*,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^m [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] b_{n,j} \right\} \leq (1 - \gamma) b_{0,j}, \tag{19}$$

for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Whence we obtain

$$b_{n,j} \leq \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{-(m+1)} b_{0,j}, \tag{20}$$

for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ .

Using (16) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , (20) for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q - 1$  and (19) for  $j = q$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{r(m+2)+q(m+1)-1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{n,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q b_{n,j} \right] \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{r(m+2)+q(m+1)-1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left[ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{-r(m+2)} \prod_{i=1}^r a_{0,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q b_{n,j} \right] \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{r(m+2)+q(m+1)-1} [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] \\ & \quad \cdot \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{-r(m+2)} \cdot \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^{-(m+1)(q-1)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r a_{0,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} b_{0,j} \Big] b_{n,q} \\ & = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{0,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} b_{0,j} \right] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\alpha + \beta + (\mu + \lambda)(n + 1)}{\alpha + \beta} \right]^m [n(k + 1) + (k + \gamma)] b_{n,q} \right\} \\ & \leq (1 - \gamma) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^r a_{0,i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q b_{0,j} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $H(z) \in \Sigma_{\mu,\lambda}^{r(m+2)+q(m+1)-1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, k)$ .

We note that the required estimate can also be obtained by using (16) for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ ; (20) for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$  and (15) for  $i = r$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** By specializing the parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, k$  and  $m$  we can obtain corresponding results for various subclasses associated with various operators.

Note that other work related to differential operators can be seen in the following references (e.g. see [25, 30]).



## Acknowledgements

We thank the referee for the comments given towards the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi - H. A. Al-Zkeri, *Applications of Briot-Bouquet differential subordination to certain classes of meromorphic functions*, Arab. J. Math. Sci. 12 (1) (2005), 1–14.
- [2] M. K. Aouf - H. E. Darwish, *Hadamard product of certain meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients*, South. Asian Bull. Math. 30 (2006), 23–28.
- [3] M. K. Aouf - N. Magesh - J. Jothibas - S. Murthy, *On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 58 (1) (2013), 31–42.
- [4] E. Aqlan - J. M. Jahangiri - S. R. Kulkarni, *Certain integral operators applied to meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions*, J. Nat. Geom. 24 (2003), 111–120.
- [5] W. G. Atshan - S. R. Kulkarni, *Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, I*, J. Rajasthan Acad. Phys. Sci. 6 (2) (2007), 129–140.
- [6] T. Bulboacă - M. K. Aouf - R. M. El-Ashwah, *Convolution properties for subclasses of meromorphic univalent functions of complex order*, Filomat 26 (1) (2012), 153–163.
- [7] N. E. Cho - O. S. Kwon - H. M. Srivastava, *Inclusion and argument properties for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 300 (2004), 505–520.
- [8] N. E. Cho - O. S. Kwon - H. M. Srivastava, *Inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations*, Integral Transforms Special Functions 16 (18) (2005), 647–659.
- [9] H. E. Darwish, *The quasi-Hadamard product of certain starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Letters 20 (2007), 692–695.
- [10] R. M. El-Ashwah, *Differential inequalities for meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions Associated with Generalized Integral Operator*, Le Matematiche 68 (2) (2013), 131–139.
- [11] R. M. El-Ashwah, *Properties of certain class of  $p$ -valent meromorphic functions associated with new integral operator*, Acta Univ. Apulensis 29 (2012), 255–264.
- [12] R. M. El-Ashwah - M. K. Aouf, *Hadamard product of certain meromorphic starlike and convex functions*, Comput. Math. Appl. 57 (2009), 1102–1106.
- [13] R. M. El-Ashwah, *A note on certain meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions*, Appl. Math. Letters 22 (2009), 1756–1759.

- [14] H. M. Hossen, *Quasi-Hadamard product of certain  $p$ -valent functions*, Demonstratio Math. 33 (2) (2000), 277–281.
- [15] V. Kumar, *Hadamard product of certain starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 110 (1985), 425–428.
- [16] V. Kumar, *Hadamard product of certain starlike functions II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 113 (1986), 230–234.
- [17] V. Kumar, *Quasi-Hadamard product of certain univalent function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 126 (1987), 70–77.
- [18] A. Y. Lashin, *On certain subclass of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators*, Comput. Math Appl. 59 (1) (2010), 524–531.
- [19] M. L. Mogra, *Hadamard product of certain meromorphic univalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 157 (1991), 10–16.
- [20] M. L. Mogra, *Hadamard product of certain meromorphic starlike and convex functions*, Tamkang J. Math. 25 (2) (1994), 157–162.
- [21] M. L. Mogra - T. Reddy - O. P. Juneja, *Meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 32 (1985), 161–176.
- [22] S. Owa, *On the Hadamard product of univalent functions*, Tamkang J. Math. 14 (1983), 15–21.
- [23] T. Sekine, *On quasi-Hadamard products of  $p$ -valent functions with negative coefficients*, in: H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chicester), Brisbane and Toronto, 1989, 317–328.
- [24] B. A. Uralegaddi - C. Somanatha, *New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 43 (1991), 137–140.
- [25] S. F. Ramadan - M. Darus, *On inclusion properties of generalized differential operator involving Hadamard product*, Inter. J. Applied Mathematics and Statistics 33 (3) (2013), 18–27.
- [26] E. A. Eljamal - M. Darus, *Inclusion properties for certain subclasses of  $p$ -valent functions associated with new generalized derivative operator*, Vladikavkaz Mathematical Journal 15 (2) (2013), 27–34.
- [27] E. El-Yagubi - M. Darus, *Subclasses of analytic functions defined by new generalized derivative operator*, Journal of Quality and Management Analysis 9 (1) (2013), 47–56.
- [28] S. F. Ramadan - M. Darus, *Certain subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by the generalized differential operator*, Far East J. Math. Sci. 54 (1) (2011), 37–45.
- [29] M. H. Al-Abbadi - M. Darus, *Differential Subordination Defined by New Generalised Derivative Operator for Analytic Functions*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 2010, Article ID 369078 (2010), 15 pages.
- [30] R. W. Ibrahim - M. Darus, *On univalent function defined by a generalized differential operator*, Journal of Applied Analysis (Lodz) 16 (2) (2010), 305–313.

*RABHA M. EL-ASHWAH*

*Department of Mathematics*

*Faculty of Science (Damietta Branch)*

*Mansoura University*

*New Damietta 34517, Egypt*

*e-mail: r\_elashwah@yahoo.com*

*MASLINA DARUS*

*School of Mathematical Sciences*

*Faculty of Science and Technology*

*Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia*

*Bangi 43600, Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia*

*e-mail: maslina@ukm.edu.my*