

INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS OF THE S-FUNCTIONS

RAM KISHORE SAXENA - JITENDRA DAIYA

The object of this paper is to introduce a new special function, which will be called S-function. This function is an extension of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function due to Prabhakar [8], generalized Mittag-Leffler function introduced by Srivastava and Tomovski [15] and M-series given by Sharma and Jain [14], various integral transform of this function such as Euler transform, Laplace transform, Whittaker transform, K-transform are derived. The results obtained are useful in applied problems of science, engineering and technology.

1. Introduction

The k-Pochhammer symbol was introduced in [1] in the form:

$$(x)_{n,k} = x(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+(n-1)k), \quad (1)$$

$$(x)_{(n+r)q,k} = (x)_{rq,k}(x+qrk)_{nq,k}, \quad (2)$$

where $x \in C$, $k \in R$ and $n \in N$.

Entrato in redazione: 29 luglio 2014

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 26A33, 33C60.

Keywords: Euler transform, Laplace transform, Whittaker transform, K-transform, fractional Fourier transform, generalized k-Mittag-Leffler function, generalized Wright function.

Corresponding author Jitendra Daiya.

Proposition 1.1. Let $\gamma \in C$ and $k, s \in R$, then the following identity holds:

$$\Gamma_s(\gamma) = \left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{s}-1} \Gamma_k\left(\frac{k\gamma}{s}\right) \quad (3)$$

and in particular

$$\Gamma_k(\gamma) = k^{\frac{\gamma}{k}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right) \quad (4)$$

Proposition 1.2. Let $\gamma \in C$, $k, s \in R$ and $n \in N$, then the following identity holds

$$(\gamma)_{nq,s} = \left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{nq} \left(\frac{k\gamma}{s}\right)_{nq,k}, \quad (5)$$

and in particular

$$(\gamma)_{nq,k} = k^{nq} \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)_{nq} \quad (6)$$

Note 1.3. For further details of k-Pochammer symbol, k-special functions and fractional Fourier transforms one can refer to the papers by Romero et al [9, 10].

2. The S-Function

Definition 2.1. The S-function introduced by the authors is defined as follows

$${}_S^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\tau,k)}_{(p,q)}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau,k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(n\alpha + \beta)} \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad (7)$$

$k \in R$; $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau \in C$; $Re(\alpha) > 0$, a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$), b_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$), $Re(\alpha) > kRe(\tau)$ and $p < q + 1$. The Pochhammer symbol $(\lambda)_\mu$, ($\lambda, \mu \in C$) with $(1)_n = n!$ for $n \in N$ defined in terms of gamma function as (also see [15, p. 199]).

$$(\lambda)_\mu = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1(\mu = 0; x \in C \setminus \{0\}) \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1) \dots (\lambda + \mu - 1)(\mu = n \in N; x \in C) \end{cases}$$

Special cases

(i) when $p = q = 0$ in equation (7) it reduces to generalized k-Mittag-Leffler function, defined by Saxena et al. [12].

$${}_S^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\tau,k)}_{(0,0)}(-;-;x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{n\tau,k}}{\Gamma_k(n\alpha + \beta)} \frac{x^n}{n!} = E_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\tau}(x) \quad (8)$$

where $Re\left(\frac{\alpha}{k} - \tau\right) > p - q$.

(ii) For $\tau = q$, equation (7) yields

$${}_S^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,q,k)}_{(p,q)}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{nq,k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(n\alpha + \beta)} \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad (9)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > kp$.

(iii) Similarly for $\tau = 1$, equation (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{(p,q)}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,1,k)} S(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n,k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k(n\alpha + \beta)} \frac{x^n}{n!} \\ &= {}_{(p,q)}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,k)} S(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; x) \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > kp$.

(iv) When $k = 1$, equation (10) yields K-function, defined by Sharma [13]

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{(p,q)}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,1,1)} S(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma(n\alpha + \beta)} \frac{x^n}{n!} \\ &= {}_{(p,q)}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} K(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; x) \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > p - q$

(v) If we set $\gamma = 1$, in equation (11), it reduces to the generalized M-Series defined by Sharma and Jain [14]

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{(p,q)}^{(\alpha,\beta,1,1,1)} S(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n x^n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma(n\alpha + \beta)} \\ &= {}_{(p,q)}^{(\alpha,\beta)} M(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; x) \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > p - q - 1$.

(vi) When $p = q = 0$ in equation (12), it reduces to generalized Mittag-Leffler function, defined by Mittag-Leffler [7]

$${}_{(0,0)}^{(\alpha,\beta,1,1,1)} S(-;-;x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha + \beta)} = E_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in C; \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$.

If we take $\beta = 1$, equation (13) reduces to the Mittag-Leffler function defined in [6].

The following results are well-known

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-pz} z^{e-1} dz = \frac{\Gamma(e)}{pe}, \operatorname{Re}(e) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(p) > 0 \quad (14)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty z^{\alpha-1}(1-z)^{\beta-1}dz = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0. \quad (15)$$

The following results are also needed in the analysis that follows:

Definition 2.2 (Euler Transform [2]). The Euler transform of a function $f(z)$ is defined as

$$B\{f(z);a,b\} = \int_0^1 z^{a-1}(1-z)^{b-1}f(z)dz \quad a,b \in C, \operatorname{Re}(a) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(b) > 0 \quad (16)$$

Definition 2.3 (Laplace Transform [2]). The Laplace transform of a function $f(t)$, denoted by $F(s)$, is defined by the equation

$$F(s) = (Lf)(s) = L\{f(t);s\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-st}f(t)dt \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0 \quad (17)$$

provided the integral (17) is convergent and that the function $f(t)$ is continuous for $t \geq 0$ and of exponential order as $t \rightarrow \infty$, (17) may be symbolically written as

$$F(s) = L\{f(t);s\} \quad \text{or} \quad f(t) = L^{-1}\{F(s);t\} \quad (18)$$

Definition 2.4 (Whittaker Transform).

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-1/2}t^{\nu-1}W_{\lambda,\mu}(t)dt = \frac{\Gamma(1/2+\mu+\nu)\Gamma(1/2-\mu+\nu)}{\Gamma(1-\lambda+\nu)} \quad (19)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\nu \pm \mu) > -1/2$ and the Whittaker function $W_{\lambda,\mu}(z)$ is defined in [3] (also see Mathai et al. [5]).

$$W_{\mu,\nu}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(-2\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\lambda-\mu)}M_{\lambda,\mu}(z) + \frac{\Gamma(2\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\mu-\lambda)}M_{\lambda,-\mu}(z) \quad (20)$$

where $M_{\lambda,\mu}(z)$ is defined by

$$M_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = z^{1/2+\mu}e^{-1/2z}{}_1F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mu-\lambda; 2\mu+1; z\right). \quad (21)$$

Definition 2.5 (K-Transform). This transform is defined by the following integral equation [3]

$$\mathfrak{R}_v[f(x);p] = g[p;v] = \int_0^\infty (px)^{1/2}K_v(px)f(x)dx \quad (22)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(p) > 0$; $K_v(x)$ is the Bessel function of the second kind defined by [3, p. 332]

$$K_v(z) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2z}\right)^{1/2}W_{0,v}(2z)$$

where $W_{0,v}(\cdot)$ is the Whittaker function defined in (20)

The following result given in Mathai et al. [5, p. 54, Eq. 2.37] will be used in evaluating the integrals:

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\rho-1} K_v(ax) dx = 2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho \pm v}{2}\right); Re(a) > 0; Re(\rho \pm v) > 0. \quad (23)$$

Definition 2.6. Let $u = u(t)$ be a function of the space $S(R)$, the Schwartzian space of the function that decay rapidly at infinity together with all derivatives. The Fourier transform is defined by the integral

$$\hat{u}(\omega) = \mathfrak{I}[u](\omega) = \int_R U(t) \exp(i\omega t) dt \quad (24)$$

and the inverse Fourier transform can be defined by

$$\mathfrak{I}^{-1}[\hat{u}](t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_R \hat{u}(\omega) \exp(-i\omega t) d\omega. \quad (25)$$

Definition 2.7 (Lizorkin space). Let $V(R)$ be the set of functions

$$V(R) = \left\{ v \in S(R) : v^{(n)}(0) = 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}. \quad (26)$$

The Lizorkin space of function $\phi(R)$ is defined as

$$\phi(R) = \{ \varphi \in S(R) : \mathfrak{I}[\varphi] \in V(R) \}. \quad (27)$$

Definition 2.8. Let u be a function belonging to $\phi(R)$. The Fractional Fourier transform of the order $\alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is defined by

$$\hat{u}_\alpha(\omega) = \mathfrak{I}_\alpha[u](\omega) = \int_R e^{i\omega^{1/\alpha} t} u(t) dt \quad (28)$$

If put $\alpha = 1$, equation (28) reduces to the conventional Fourier transform and for $\omega > 0$, it reduces to the Fractional Fourier Transform defined by Luchko et al. [4].

Lemma 2.9. Let u be a function of the space $\phi(R)$, let α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) be a real number, then

$$\mathfrak{I}_\alpha[u](\omega) = \mathfrak{I}[u](\omega), \text{ for } x = \omega^{1/\alpha} \quad (29)$$

The inverse Fractional Fourier transform of the order $\alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, u \in \phi(R)$ is defined as

$$\mathfrak{I}_\alpha^{-1}\{\hat{u}_\alpha(\omega)\}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha} \int_R e^{-i\omega^{1/\alpha} t} \hat{u}_\alpha(\omega) \omega^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} d\omega \quad (30)$$

The generalized Wright hypergeometric function ${}_p\Psi_q(z)$ is defined by Wright [16–18] in the following form:

$${}_p\Psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, A_1), \dots, (a_p, A_p) \\ (b_1, B_1), \dots, (b_q, B_q) \end{matrix}; Z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma(a_i + A_i n) \right]}{\left[\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + B_j n) \right]} \frac{z^n}{n!} \quad (31)$$

where $a_i, b_j \in C$ and $A_i, B_j \in R$ ($i = 1, \dots, p; j = 1, \dots, q$) and the defining series (31) converges for

$$\sum_{j=1}^q B_j - \sum_{i=1}^p A_i > -1.$$

This paper deals with the evaluation of the Euler transform, Laplace transform, Whittaker transform, K-transform and fractional Fourier transforms of the S-function defined by (7). The results obtained in this paper are the generalizations of the results given by Saxena [11] and Saxena et al. [12] and others.

Theorem 2.10 (Euler Transform). *If $k \in R$; $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, \delta \in C$; $Re(\alpha) > 0$, $Re(\beta) > 0$, a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$), b_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) and $\tau \in C$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 z^{\eta-1} (1-z)^{\delta-1} {}^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, k)}_S (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; xz^\sigma) dz \\ &= \frac{k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k})} {}^{p+2}\Psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 \dots a_p; (\frac{\gamma}{k}, \tau), (\eta, \sigma) \\ b_1 \dots b_q; (\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}), (\eta + \delta, \sigma) \end{matrix}; k^{\tau - \frac{\alpha}{k}} x \right] \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $Re(\eta) > 0$, $Re(\delta) > 0$, $\sigma > 0$, $Re(\alpha) > kRe(\tau)$.

Proof. Using equation (7) and (16), it gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 z^{\eta-1} (1-z)^{\delta-1} {}^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, k)}_S (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; xz^\sigma) dz \\ &= \int_0^1 z^{\eta-1} (1-z)^{\delta-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau, k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k [n\alpha + \beta]} \frac{(xz^\sigma)^n}{n!} dz \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau, k} x^n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k [n\alpha + \beta] n!} \int_0^1 z^{\sigma n + \eta - 1} (1-z)^{\delta-1} dz \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau, k} x^n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k [n\alpha + \beta] n!} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma n + \eta) \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(\sigma n + \eta + \delta)} \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (4) and (6), it becomes

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n x^n k^{n\tau} (\frac{\gamma}{k})_{n\tau}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n (k)^{\frac{n\alpha+\beta}{k}-1} \Gamma \left[\frac{n\alpha+\beta}{k} \right] n!} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma n + \eta) \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(\sigma n + \eta + \delta)}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem. □

Corollary 2.11. For $\tau = q$, equation (32) reduces in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 z^{\eta-1} (1-z)^{\delta-1} {}_{(p,q)}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,q,k)} S(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; xz^\sigma) dz \\ &= \frac{k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)^{p+2}} \Psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{array}{l} a_1 \dots a_p; \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, q\right), (\eta, \sigma) \\ b_1 \dots b_q; \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}\right), (\eta + \delta, \sigma) \end{array}; k^{q-\frac{\alpha}{k}} x \right] \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Corollary 2.12. When $p = q = 0$ equation (32) reduces to generalized k -Mittag-Leffler function defined by Saxena et al. [12]

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 z^{\eta-1} (1-z)^{\delta-1} E_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\tau}(xz^\sigma) dz \\ &= \frac{k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} \Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)^2} \Psi_2 \left[\begin{array}{l} \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, \tau\right), (\eta, \sigma) \\ \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}\right), (\eta + \delta, \sigma) \end{array}; k^{\tau-\frac{\alpha}{k}} x \right] \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Corollary 2.13. For $\tau = k = 1$, equation (34) reduces in the following form given by Saxena [11, p. 79, Eq. 4.6]

$$\int_0^1 z^{\eta-1} (1-z)^{\delta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(xz^\sigma) dz = \frac{\Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(\gamma)^2} \Psi_2 \left[\begin{array}{l} (\gamma, 1), (\eta, \sigma) \\ (\beta, \alpha), (\eta + \delta, \sigma) \end{array}; x \right] \quad (35)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\eta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $\sigma > 0$.

Theorem 2.14 (Laplace Transform). If $k \in R$; $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta \in C$; $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$, a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$), b_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) and $\tau \in C$, $\left| \frac{x}{s^\sigma} \right| < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty z^{\eta-1} e^{-sz} {}_{(p,q)}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\tau,k)} S(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; xz^\sigma) dz \\ &= \frac{(k)^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} s^{-\eta}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)^{p+2}} \Psi_{q+1} \left[\begin{array}{l} a_1 \dots a_p; \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, \tau\right), (\eta, \sigma) \\ b_1 \dots b_q; \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}\right), \end{array}; \frac{k^{\tau-\frac{\alpha}{k}} x}{s^\sigma} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\eta) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $\sigma > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > k \operatorname{Re}(\tau)$.

The proof of (36) can be developed on similar lines if we use the result Laplace integral (14) and beta formula (15).

Corollary 2.15. For $\tau = q$ equation (36) reduces in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty z^{\eta-1} e^{-sz} {}_{(p,q)}^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,q,k)} S(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; xz^\sigma) dz \\ &= \frac{(k)^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} s^{-\eta}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)^{p+2}} \Psi_{q+1} \left[\begin{array}{l} a_1 \dots a_p; \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}, q\right), (\eta, \sigma) \\ b_1 \dots b_q; \left(\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}\right), \end{array}; \frac{k^{q-\frac{\alpha}{k}} x}{s^\sigma} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Corollary 2.16. When $p = q = 0$, equation (36) reduces to the generalized k -Mittag-Leffler function defined by Saxena et al. [12]

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty z^{\eta-1} e^{-sz} E_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\tau}(xz^\sigma) dz \\ &= \frac{(k)^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} s^{-\eta}}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k})} {}_2\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\frac{\gamma}{k}, \tau), (\eta, \sigma) \\ (\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}), \end{matrix}; \frac{k^{\tau-\frac{\alpha}{k}} x}{s^\sigma} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Corollary 2.17. For $\tau = k = 1$, equation (38) reduces in the following form given by Saxena [11, p. 79, Eq. 4.4]

$$\int_0^\infty z^{\eta-1} e^{-sz} E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(xz^\sigma) dz = \frac{s^{-\eta}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_2\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, 1), (\eta, \sigma) \\ (0, 1), (\beta, \alpha), \end{matrix}; \frac{x}{s^\sigma} \right] \quad (39)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\eta) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0, \sigma > 0$.

Theorem 2.18 (Whittaker Transform). If $k \in R; \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C; \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\rho \pm \mu) > -1/2$ and $\tau \in C$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{\rho-1} e^{-pt/2} W_{\lambda,\mu}(pt) \frac{{}_S^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\tau,k)}}{(p,q)} \left(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; wt^\delta \right) dt \\ &= \frac{k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} p^{-p}}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k})} {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 \dots a_p; (\frac{\gamma}{k}, \tau), (1/2 \pm \mu + \rho, \delta) \\ b_1 \dots b_q; (\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}), (1 - \lambda + \rho, \delta) \end{matrix}; \frac{k^{\tau-\frac{\alpha}{k}} w}{p^\delta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(e) > |\operatorname{Re}(\mu)| - \frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Re}(p) > 0, \left| \frac{k^{\tau-\frac{\alpha}{k}} w}{p^\delta} \right| < 1$.

The result (40) can be established in the same way if we use the integral (19) instead of (14).

Corollary 2.19. For $\tau = q$, equation (40) reduces in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{\rho-1} e^{-pt/2} W_{\lambda,\mu}(pt) \frac{{}_S^{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,q,k)}}{(p,q)} \left(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; wt^\delta \right) dt \\ &= \frac{k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} p^{-p}}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k})} {}_{p+3}\Psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 \dots a_p; (\frac{\gamma}{k}, q), (1/2 \pm \mu + \rho, \delta) \\ b_1 \dots b_q; (\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}), (1 - \lambda + \rho, \delta) \end{matrix}; \frac{k^{q-\frac{\alpha}{k}} w}{p^\delta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\rho + \mu + \frac{1}{2} + 1) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(p) > 0, \left| \frac{k^{q-\frac{\alpha}{k}} w}{p^\delta} \right| < 1$.

Corollary 2.20. When $p = q = 0$, equation (40) reduces to the generalized k -Mittag-Leffler function defined by Saxena et al. [12].

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{\rho-1} e^{-pt/2} W_{\lambda,\mu}(pt) E_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\tau} \left(wt^\delta \right) dt \\ &= \frac{k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}} p^{-p}}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k})^3} \Psi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} (\frac{\gamma}{k}, \tau), (1/2 \pm \mu + \rho, \delta) \\ (\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}), (1 - \lambda + \rho, \delta) \end{matrix}; \frac{k^{\tau-\frac{\alpha}{k}} w}{p^\delta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(e) > |\operatorname{Re}(\mu)| - \frac{1}{2}$, $\operatorname{Re}(p) > 0$, $\left| \frac{k^{\tau-\frac{\alpha}{k}} w}{p^\delta} \right| < 1$.

Corollary 2.21. For $\tau = k = 1$, equation (42) reduces in the following form given by Saxena [11, p. 79, Eq. 4.2]

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{\rho-1} e^{-pt/2} W_{\lambda,\mu}(pt) E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} \left(wt^\delta \right) dt \\ &= \frac{p^{-p}}{\Gamma(\gamma)^3} \Psi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, 1), (1/2 \pm \mu + \rho, \delta) \\ (\beta, \alpha), (1 - \lambda + \rho, \delta) \end{matrix}; \frac{w}{p^\delta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) > |\operatorname{Re}(\mu)| - \frac{1}{2}$, $\operatorname{Re}(p) > 0$, $\left| \frac{w}{p^\delta} \right| < 1$.

Theorem 2.22 (k-Transform). If $k \in R$; $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \rho \in C$; $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$, $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, p)$, $b_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$ and $\tau \in C$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{\rho-1} K_v(at) \overset{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\tau,k)}{S}_{(p,q)} \left(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; xt^{2\delta} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k})^{p+3}} \Psi_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 \dots a_p; (\frac{\gamma}{k}, \tau), (\frac{\rho \pm v}{2}, \delta) \\ b_1 \dots b_q; (\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}), \end{matrix}; \frac{4x}{a^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\rho \pm v) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > k\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$.

Equation (44) can be proved in a similar manner if we use the instead of (23).

Corollary 2.23. For $\tau = q$ equation (44) reduces in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{\rho-1} K_v(at) \overset{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,q,k)}{S}_{(p,q)} \left(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; xt^{2\delta} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k})^{p+3}} \Psi_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 \dots a_p; (\frac{\gamma}{k}, q), (\frac{\rho \pm v}{2}, \delta) \\ b_1 \dots b_q; (\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}), \end{matrix}; \frac{4x}{a^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\rho \pm v) > 0$, $\delta > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > k\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$.

Corollary 2.24. When $p = q = 0$, equation (44) reduces to the generalized k -Mittag-Leffler function

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{\rho-1} K_v(at) E_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\tau} \left(xt^{2\delta} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} k^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k})} {}_3\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\frac{\gamma}{k}, \tau), (\frac{\rho+v}{2}, \delta) \\ (\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\alpha}{k}), \end{matrix}; \frac{4x}{a^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\rho \pm v) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$, $\delta > 0$.

Corollary 2.25. For $\tau = q = 1$, equation (46) reduces in the following form given by Saxena [11, p. 79, Eq. 4.7]

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{\rho-1} K_v(at) E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} \left(xt^{2\delta} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} {}_3\Psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\gamma, 1), (\frac{\rho+v}{2}, \delta) \\ (\beta, \alpha), \end{matrix}; \frac{4x}{a^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\rho \pm v) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$, $\delta > 0$, $|\arg x| < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

3. Fractional Fourier Transform (FFT) of S-Function

Theorem 3.1. If $k \in R$; $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C$; $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$, $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, p)$, $b_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$ and $\tau \in C$, for FFT of order ς of the S-function for $t < 0$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Im_\varsigma \left[{}_{(p,q)}^{\left(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, k \right)} S (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; t) \right] (\omega) \\ &= \frac{(k)^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}}}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (k)^{\tau - \frac{\alpha}{k}} \Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{k} + n\tau) (i)^{-n-1} \omega^{-(n+1)/\varsigma} (-1)^{-n}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k \left[\frac{\alpha}{k} n + \frac{\beta}{k} \right]} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where $\varsigma > 0$, $w > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > k\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$.

Proof. Using equation (7) and (28) and gamma function formula, it gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \Im_\varsigma \left[{}_{(p,q)}^{\left(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, k \right)} S (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; t) \right] (\omega) \\ &= \int_R e^{i\omega^{1/\varsigma} t} {}_{(p,q)}^{\left(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, k \right)} S (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_R e^{i\omega^{1/\varsigma} t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau,k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k [n\alpha + \beta]} \frac{t^n}{n!} dt \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau,k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k [n\alpha + \beta] n!} \int_R e^{i\omega^{1/\varsigma} t} t^n dt
\end{aligned}$$

If we set $i\omega^{1/\varsigma} t = -\xi$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau,k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k [n\alpha + \beta] n!} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\xi} \left(\frac{-\xi}{i\omega^{1/\varsigma}} \right)^n \left(\frac{-d\xi}{i\omega^{1/\varsigma}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau,k}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k [n\alpha + \beta] (i)^{n+1} \omega^{(n+1)/\varsigma} (-1)^n n!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\xi} \xi^n d\xi \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau,k} \Gamma(n+1)}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k [n\alpha + \beta] (i)^{n+1} \omega^{(n+1)/\varsigma} (-1)^n n!} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (\gamma)_{n\tau,k} (i)^{-n-1} \omega^{-(n+1)/\varsigma} (-1)^{-n}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k [n\alpha + \beta] n!} \Gamma(n+1)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the equations (4) and (6), the above line

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n k^{n\tau} \left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)_{n\tau,k} (i)^{-n-1} \omega^{-(n+1)/\varsigma} (-1)^{-n}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n (k)^{\frac{n\alpha+\beta}{k}-1} \Gamma_k \left[\frac{\alpha}{k}n + \frac{\beta}{k}\right]}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Corollary 3.2. For $\tau = q$, equation (48) reduces in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Im_{\varsigma} \left[{}_{(p,q)}^{\left[(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\tau,k)\atop{S}\right]} (a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; t) \right] (\omega) \\
&= \frac{(k)^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n (k)^{\left(q-\frac{\alpha}{k}\right)n} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k} + nq\right) (i)^{-n-1} \omega^{-(n+1)/\varsigma} (-1)^{-n}}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n \Gamma_k \left[\frac{\alpha}{k}n + \frac{\beta}{k}\right]}
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Corollary 3.3. When $p = q = 0$, equation (49) reduces to generalized k -Mittag-Leffler function defined by Saxena et al. [12]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Im_{\alpha} \left[E_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\tau} (t) \right] (\omega) \\
&= \frac{(k)^{1-\frac{\beta}{k}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k}\right)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k)^{\left(\tau-\frac{\alpha}{k}\right)n} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{k} + n\tau\right) (-1)^{-n} (i)^{-n-1} \omega^{\frac{-(n+1)}{\varsigma}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{k}n + \frac{\beta}{k}\right)}
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by Post-Doctoral Fellowship of the National Board of Higher Mathematics (NBHM), Department of Atomic Energy, India to author (Jitendra Daiya).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Diaz - E. Pariguan, *On hypergeometric functions and Pochhammer k-symbol*, Divulgaciones Mathematicas 15 (2) (2007), 179–192.
- [2] A. Erdélyi - W. Magnus - F. Oberhettinger - F.G. Tricomi, *Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol. 1*, McGraw-Hill, New York - Toronto - London 1953.
- [3] A. Erdélyi - W. Magnus - F. Oberhettinger - F.G. Tricomi, *Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol. 2*, McGraw-Hill, New York - Toronto - London 1954.
- [4] Y. Luchko - H. Martinez - J. Trujillo, *Fractional Fourier transform and some of its applications*, Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis 11 (4) (2008), 457–470.
- [5] A. M. Mathai - R. K. Saxena - H. J. Haubold, *The H-function, Theory and Applications*, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [6] G. M. Mittag-Leffler, *Sur la nouvelle function $E_\alpha(x)$* , C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris 137 (1903), 554–558.
- [7] G. M. Mittag-Leffler, *Sur la représentation analytique d'une branche uniforme une function monogène*, Acta. Math. 29 (1905), 101–181.
- [8] T. R. Prabhakar, *A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel*, Yokohoma Math. J. 19 (1971), 7–15.
- [9] L. Romero - R. Cerutti, *Fractional Fourier Transform and Special k-Function*, Intern. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. 7 (4) (2012), 693–704.
- [10] L. Romero - R. Cerutti - L. Luque , *A new Fractional Fourier Transform and convolutions products*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 66 (2011), 397–408.
- [11] R. K. Saxena, *Certain properties of generalized Mittag-Leffler function*, Conference of the Society of Special functions & their applications, third annual Conference, 78–81, Chennai, India, 2002.
- [12] R. K. Saxena - J. Daiya - A. Singh, *Integral transforms of the k-generalized Mittag-Leffler function $E_{k,\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\tau}(z)$* , Le Matematiche 69 (2) (2014), 7–16.
- [13] K. Sharma, *Application of fractional calculus operators to related areas*, Gen. Math. Notes 7 (1) (2011), 33–40.
- [14] K. Sharma - R. Jain, *A note on a generalized M-series as a special function of fractional calculus*, FCAA 12 (4) (2009), 449–452.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava - Z. Tomovski, *Fractional calculus with an integral operator con-*

- taining a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 211 (2009), 198–210.
- [16] E. M. Wright, *The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 10 (1935), 286–293.
 - [17] E. M. Wright, *The asymptotic expansion of integral functions defined by Taylor series*. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A* 238 (1940), 423–451.
 - [18] E. M. Wright, *The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 46 (1940), 389–408.

RAM KISHORE SAXENA

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur
e-mail: ram.saxena@yahoo.com*

JITENDRA DAIYA

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur
e-mail: jitendra.daiya25@gmail.com*