

## WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATOR VALUED INTEGRAL

ZAHRA MOAYYERIZADEH - HOSSEIN EMAMALIPOUR

In the paper we are going to introduce a weighted composition operator valued integral on  $L^2(X)$  with a weakly integrable weight function  $u : X \rightarrow H$  and we will consider some classic properties of these kind operators. Then we will give the necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness dual pair of  $u$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  be a measurable transformation, that is,  $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma) \subseteq \Sigma$ . If  $\mu(\varphi^{-1}(A)) = 0$  for all  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A) = 0$ , then  $\varphi$  is said to be non-singular. This condition means that the measure  $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , defined by  $(\mu \circ \varphi^{-1})(A) := \mu(\varphi^{-1}(A))$  for  $A \in \Sigma$ , is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  (it is usually called push forward of  $\mu$  through  $\varphi$ , denoted by  $\mu_{\#}$ ). Here the non-singularity of  $\varphi$  guarantees that the operator  $f \rightarrow f \circ \varphi$  is well defined as a mapping on  $L^0(\Sigma)$  where,  $L^0(\Sigma)$  denote the linear space of all equivalence classes of  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions on  $X$ . Let  $h_0$  be the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $\frac{d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}}{d\mu}$  and we always assume that  $h_0$  is almost everywhere finite-valued or, equivalently,  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, for any  $\sigma$ -finite subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma$ . The  $L^p$ -space  $L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is abbreviated by  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$ . The support of

---

Entrato in redazione: 21 agosto 2015

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 47B20, 47B38

Keywords: Weighted composition operator, Conditional expectation,

Weakly measurable, Weakly bounded integral, Semi- weakly bounded integral

a measurable function  $f$  is defined by  $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$  and also spectrum of measurable function  $f$  is denoted by  $\sigma(f)$ . All comparisons between two functions or two sets are to be interpreted as holding up to  $\mu$ -null set.

The conditional expectation operator associated with sigma-finite algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is the mapping  $f \mapsto E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$  defined for all non-negative  $f \in L^0(\Sigma)$  as well as for all  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  where  $E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$  is the unique  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function satisfy

$$\int_A f d\mu = \int_A E^{\mathcal{A}}(f) d\mu, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

For  $p = 2$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$  we may interpret the conditional expectation operator  $E := E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}$  as a contractive orthogonal projection onto  $L^2(\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)) = \overline{R(C_\varphi)}$ , the closure of the range of composition operator  $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$  or  $L^2(\Sigma)$  (see [5]).

For each  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ , there exists a unique  $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$  with  $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq \text{supp}(h_0)$  such that  $E(f) = g \circ \varphi$ . We then write  $g = E(f) \circ \varphi^{-1}$  though we make no assumptions regarding the invertibility of  $\varphi$  (see [2]).

Those properties of  $E$  used in our discussion are summarized below. In all cases  $f$  and  $g$  are conditionable functions.

- (i) For  $f \in L^2(\mathcal{A})$  and  $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$ ,  $E(fg) = fE(g)$ .
- (ii) If  $f \geq 0$  then  $E(f) \geq 0$ , if  $f > 0$  then  $E(f) > 0$ .
- (iii) For  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$  and  $p \geq 1$ ,  $|E(f)|^p \leq E(|f|^p)$ .

A detailed discussion and verification of most of these properties maybe found in [2, 3]. The authors in [1] introduced an operator-valued integral of a square modulus weakly integrable mapping the ranges of which are Hilbert spaces, as bounded integrals. In the section 2 we will consider some classic properties the  $wco$ -valued integral operator. Then in section 4 we will give the necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness dual pair of  $u$ . We denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  inner product in  $H$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes inner product in  $L^2(X, H)$ .

## 2. Basic definitions and preleminiares

For a given complex Hilbert space  $H$ , let  $L^2(X, H)$  be the class of all measurable mapping  $f : X \rightarrow H$  such that  $\|f\|_2^2 = \int_X \|f(x)\|^2 d\mu < \infty$ . It follows that  $L^2(X, H)$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product defined by

$$(f, g) = \int_X \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle d\mu,$$

and for each  $f, g \in L^2(X, H)$ , the mapping  $x \mapsto \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle$  is measurable. Recall that  $f : X \rightarrow H$  is said to be weakly measurable if for each  $h \in H$  the mapping

$x \mapsto \langle h, f(x) \rangle$  is measurable. We shall write  $L^2(X)$  when  $H = \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $u : X \rightarrow H$  be a weakly measurable function. We say that  $u$  has weakly bounded integral (or *wbi*-condition for  $H$ ) if there exist  $A > 0$ ,  $B > 0$  such that

$$A\|h\|^2 \leq \int_X |\langle h, u(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu \leq B\|h\|^2, \quad h \in H.$$

Also  $u$  has semi-weakly bounded integral (or *swbi*-condition for  $H$ ) if for each  $h \in H$ ,  $\int_X |\langle h, u(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu \leq B\|h\|^2$ , for some  $B > 0$ . Note that if  $u \in L^2(X, H)$ , it is easy to see that  $\int_X |\langle h, u(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu \leq B\|h\|^2$ , for some  $B > 0$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  be a non-singular measurable transformation,  $h_0 := \frac{d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}}{d\mu}$  is essentially bounded and let  $u : X \rightarrow H$  satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$ . The *wco*-valued integral operator  $T_u^\varphi : L^2(X) \rightarrow H$  associated with the pair  $(u, \varphi)$  is defined by

$$\langle T_u^\varphi(f), h \rangle = \int_X \langle u(x), h \rangle (f \circ \varphi)(x) d\mu, \quad h \in H, f \in L^2(X).$$

It is evident that  $T_u^\varphi$  is well defined and linear, and we have

$$\|T_u^\varphi(f)\| = \sup_{h \in H_1} |\langle T_u^\varphi(f), h \rangle|$$

, Which  $H_1$  is the closed unit ball of  $H$ . Since  $h_0$  is essentially bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_u^\varphi(f)\| &= \sup_{h \in H_1} |\langle T_u^\varphi(f), h \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{h \in H_1} \left| \int_X \langle u(x), h \rangle (f \circ \varphi)(x) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{h \in H_1} \left( \int_X |(f \circ \varphi)(x)|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_X |\langle u(x), h \rangle|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_X h_0 |f(x)|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{h \in H_1} (B\|h\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|h_0\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2} B^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We shall denote  $T_u^\varphi : L^2(X) \rightarrow H$  by  $T_u^\varphi(f) = \int_X u \cdot f \circ \varphi d\mu$ .

Now, since  $T_u^\varphi$  is bounded, then for each  $f \in L^2(X)$  and  $h \in H$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f, (T_u^\varphi)^*(h)) &= \langle T_u^\varphi(f), h \rangle \\ &= \int_X \langle u(x), h \rangle (f \circ \varphi)(x) d\mu \\ &= \int_X E(\langle u, h \rangle)(x) (f \circ \varphi)(x) d\mu \\ &= \int_X h_0(x) f(x) E(\langle u, h \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}(x) d\mu \\ &= (f, h_0 E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefor we can write  $(T_u^\varphi)^*(h) = h_0 E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , and so for each  $h \in H$ ,  $\|(T_u^\varphi)^*(h)\|^2 = \int_X \|h_0(x) E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)\|^2 d\mu$ . It follows that

$$\|(T_u^\varphi)^*\| = \|T_u^\varphi\| = \sup_{h \in H_1} \left( \int_X \|h_0(x) E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)\|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We define  $S_u^\varphi : H \rightarrow H$  by  $S_u^\varphi(h) = T_u^\varphi (T_u^\varphi)^*(h)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_u^\varphi(h) &= (T_u^\varphi)(h_0.E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}) \\ &= \int_X (h_0 \circ \varphi) E(\langle h, u \rangle) u d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

These observations establish the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $u$  satisfies in the swbi-condition for  $H$ . Then*

- (i) *If  $h_0 \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ , then the operator  $T_u^\varphi$  is bounded.*
- (ii) *If  $T_u^\varphi$  is bounded, then  $(T_u^\varphi)^*(h) = h_0 E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** [1] *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Then*

- (i) *If  $\dim H < \infty$  then  $L^2(X, H)$  is the class of all mappings such that satisfy in the swbi-condition for  $H$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure. If there exists  $f \in L^2(X, H)$  such that  $f$  satisfies in the wbi-condition for  $H$ , then  $\dim H < \infty$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** [1] *Let  $u : X \rightarrow H$  satisfies in the swbi-condition for  $H$  with upper bound  $B$ . Then the following assertion are equivalent:*

- (i) *The operator  $S_u^\varphi : H \rightarrow H$  is invertible.*
- (ii) *The operator  $T_u^\varphi : L^2(X) \rightarrow H$  is surjective.*

Let  $u : X \rightarrow H$  satisfies in the swbi-condition for  $H$  and  $E \subseteq X$  be measurable. Then it is clear that  $u\chi_E : X \rightarrow H$  and  $u|_E : E \rightarrow H$  satisfy in the swbi-condition for  $H$ . Also  $L^2(E) = L^2(E, \Sigma|_E, \mu|_{\Sigma|_E}) = \{f|_E : f \in L^2(X)\}$ . So we can embed  $L^2(E)$  in  $L^2(X)$  as a closed subspace. Since for each  $h \in H$  and each  $f \in L^2(X)$ ,

$$\int_E f \circ \varphi(x) \langle u(x), h \rangle d\mu = \int_X f \circ \varphi(x) \langle u(x) \chi_E(x), h \rangle d\mu.$$

So we can take  $T_{u|_E}^\varphi = T_{u\chi_E}^\varphi$ . Therefor for each  $F, E \subseteq X$  measurable,

$$T_{u|_E}^\varphi + T_{u|_F}^\varphi = T_{u\chi_E}^\varphi + T_{u\chi_F}^\varphi.$$

Hence for disjoint  $E, F$  we have  $T_{u|_E}^\varphi + T_{u|_F}^\varphi = T_{u\chi_{(E \cup F)}}^\varphi = T_{u|_{(E \cup F)}}^\varphi$ .

### 3. The main results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $u$  satisfies in the swbi-condition for  $H$ , and also let  $\{E_i\}$  be a sequence of measurable subsets of  $X$ . Then*

$$(i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u|_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i}}^\varphi\| = \|T_{u|_{\bigcup_i E_i}}^\varphi\|.$$

$$(ii) \text{ If the sequence } \{E_i\} \text{ is pairwise disjoint, then } \sum_i T_{u|_{E_i}}^\varphi = T_{u|_{\bigcup_i E_i}}^\varphi.$$

*Proof.* (i) Direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_{u|_{\bigcup_i E_i}}^\varphi)^*\|^2 &= \sup_{h \in H_1} \|(T_{u|_{\bigcup_i E_i}}^\varphi)^*(h)\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{h \in H_1} \int_{\bigcup_i E_i} |h_0(x)E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)|^2 d\mu \\ &= \sup_{h \in H_1} \lim_n \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i} |h_0(x)E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)|^2 d\mu \\ &= \lim_n \sup_{h \in H_1} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i} |h_0(x)E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)|^2 d\mu \\ &= \lim_n \|(T_{u|_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i}}^\varphi)^*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u|_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i}}^\varphi\| = \|T_{u|_{\bigcup_i E_i}}^\varphi\|.$$

(ii) It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{u|_{\bigcup_i E_i}}^\varphi - T_{u|_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i}}^\varphi\|^2 &= \|T_{u|_{\bigcup_{i=n}^\infty E_i}}^\varphi\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{h \in H_1} \int_{\bigcup_{i=n}^\infty E_i} |h_0(x)E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)|^2 d\mu \\ &= \sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X \sum_{i=n}^\infty \chi_{E_i} |h_0(x)E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)|^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \text{ Therefor } T_{u|_{\bigcup_i E_i}}^\varphi = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n T_{u|_{E_i}}^\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^\infty T_{u|_{E_i}}^\varphi.$$

□

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $K$  be a Hilbert space and  $u : X \rightarrow H$  satisfies in the swbi-condition for  $H$  and  $f : H \rightarrow K$  be a bounded linear mapping. Then*

$$(i) \text{ The mapping } fu : X \rightarrow K \text{ satisfies in the swbi-condition for } K, \text{ and } fT_u^\varphi = T_{fu}^\varphi.$$

(ii)  $T_{fu}^\varphi$  is surjective if and only if  $f$  is surjective.

*Proof.* (i) We have

$$\sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X |\langle h, f(u(x)) \rangle|^2 d\mu \leq \|f\|^2 \sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X |\langle h, u(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu.$$

Therefore if  $f : H \rightarrow K$  be a bounded linear operator and  $u : X \rightarrow H$  satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$ , then  $fu$  will be satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $K$ . Now if  $g \in L^2(X)$ , then for each  $k \in K$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{fu}^\varphi(g), k \rangle &= \int_X (g \circ \varphi)(x) \langle f(u(x)), k \rangle d\mu \quad (k \in K) \\ &= (g \circ \varphi, \langle fu, k \rangle) \\ &= (g \circ \varphi, \langle u, f^*k \rangle) \\ &= \langle T_u^\varphi(g), f^*k \rangle \\ &= \langle fT_u^\varphi(g), k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $fT_u^\varphi = T_{fu}^\varphi$ .

(ii) It is trivial. □

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $u$  satisfies in the *wbi*-condition for  $H$ . Then*

$$(i) \sup_{h \in H_1} \| (T_u^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2 = \| S_u^\varphi \|^2.$$

$$(ii) \inf_{h \in H_1} \| (T_u^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2 = \| (S_u^\varphi)^{-1} \|^2.$$

*Proof.* (i) It is trivial.

(ii) Since  $\inf_{h \in H_1} \| (T_u^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2 \leq \| S_u^\varphi \|^2 \leq \sup_{h \in H_1} \| (T_u^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2$ . So

$$(\sup_{h \in H_1} \| (T_u^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2)^{-1} \leq \| S_u^\varphi \|^2 \leq (\inf_{h \in H_1} \| (T_u^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, since  $(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u$  satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$ , then we obtain

$$S_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi = T_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi (T_{((S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u)}^\varphi)^* = (S_u^\varphi)^{-1} T_u^\varphi (S_u^\varphi)^{-1} T_u^\varphi^* = (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$(\sup_{h \in H_1} \| (T_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2)^{-1} \leq \| S_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi \|^2 \leq (\inf_{h \in H_1} \| (T_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2)^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$(\sup_{h \in H_1} \| (T_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2)^{-1} \leq \inf_{h \in H_1} \| (T_u^\varphi)^*(h) \|^2. \quad (1)$$

Similarly  $\|S_u^\varphi\|^{-1} \leq (\inf_{h \in H_1} \|(T_u^\varphi)^*(h)\|^2)^{-1}$  and also

$$\|S_u^\varphi\|^{-1} \leq \sup(\|(T_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi)^*(h)\|^2).$$

Thus

$$\sup(\|(T_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi)^*(h)\|^2) \leq (\inf_{h \in H_1} \|(T_u^\varphi)^*(h)\|^2)^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Consequently by (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{h \in H_1} \|(T_u^\varphi)^*(h)\|^2 &= (\sup(\|(T_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi)^*(h)\|^2))^{-1} \\ &= \|S_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi\|^{-1} \\ &= \|(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}\|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f, g$  satisfies in the swbi-condition for  $H$ . Then the following assertion are equivalent:*

- (i) *For each  $h \in H$ ,  $h = T_f^\varphi(\langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle)$ .*
- (ii) *For each  $h \in H$ ,  $h = T_g^\varphi(\langle h, f \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle)$ .*
- (iii) *For each  $h, k \in H$ ,  $\langle h, k \rangle = \int_X \langle h, f(x) \rangle \langle g(x), k \rangle d\mu$ .*
- (iv) *For each  $h \in H$ ,  $\langle h, h \rangle = \|h\|^2 = \int_X \langle h, f(x) \rangle \langle g(x), h \rangle d\mu$ .*
- (v) *For orthonormal bases  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  for  $H$*

$$\langle e_i, \gamma_j \rangle = \int_X \langle e_i, f(x) \rangle \langle g(x), \gamma_j \rangle d\mu, \quad i \in I, j \in J.$$

- (vi) *For each orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  for  $H$*

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \int_X \langle e_i, f(x) \rangle \langle g(x), e_j \rangle d\mu, \quad i, j \in I.$$

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $h = T_f^\varphi(\langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle)$ . For each  $k \in H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h, k \rangle &= \langle T_f^\varphi(\langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle), k \rangle \\ &= \int_X \langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle \circ \varphi(x) \langle f(x), k \rangle d\mu \\ &= \int_X \langle h, g(x) \rangle \langle f(x), k \rangle d\mu \\ &= \langle h, T_g^\varphi(\langle k, f \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $k = T_g^\varphi(\langle k, f \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle)$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let  $h = T_g^\varphi(\langle h, f \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle)$  and  $h, k \in H$ , then

$$\langle h, k \rangle = \langle T_g^\varphi(\langle h, f \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle), k \rangle = \int_X \langle h, f(x) \rangle \langle g(x), h \rangle d\mu.$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $h, k \in H$ , then  $\langle T_f^\varphi(\langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle), k \rangle = \int_X \langle f(x), k \rangle \langle h, g(x) \rangle d\mu = \langle h, k \rangle$ . Thus  $h = T_f^\varphi(\langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle)$ .

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h, h \rangle &= \|h\|^2 = \int_X \langle h, f(x) \rangle \langle g(x), h \rangle d\mu \\ &= \langle h, T_f^\varphi(\langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle) \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

so  $h = T_f^\varphi(\langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle)$ .

The implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (v), (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) and (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) are evident.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) For each  $h, k \in H$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X \langle h, f(x) \rangle \langle g(x), k \rangle d\mu &= (\langle h, f \rangle, \langle k, g \rangle) \\ &= (\langle h, \sum_i \langle f, e_i \rangle e_i \rangle, \langle k, \sum_j \langle g, \gamma_j \rangle \gamma_j \rangle) \\ &= (\sum_i \langle e_i, f \rangle \langle h, e_i \rangle, \sum_j \langle k, \gamma_j \rangle \langle \gamma_j, g \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle h, e_i \rangle \langle \gamma_j, k \rangle \langle \langle e_i, f \rangle, \langle \gamma_j, g \rangle \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle h, e_i \rangle \langle \gamma_j, k \rangle \int_X \langle e_i, f(x) \rangle \overline{\langle \gamma_j, g(x) \rangle} d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle h, e_i \rangle \langle \gamma_j, k \rangle \langle e_i, \gamma_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_j (\sum_i \langle \langle h, e_i \rangle e_i, \gamma_j \rangle \langle \gamma_j, k \rangle) \\ &= \sum_j \langle h, \gamma_j \rangle \langle \gamma_j, k \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_j \langle h, \gamma_j \rangle \gamma_j, k \rangle \\ &= \langle h, k \rangle.\end{aligned}$$



(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (v) If  $h = e_i$  and  $k = \gamma_j$ , by the similar method used in the proof of (v) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \langle e_i, f(x) \rangle \langle g(x), \gamma_j \rangle d\mu &= \sum_{k,l} \langle e_i, e_k \rangle \langle \gamma_l, \gamma_j \rangle \langle e_k, \gamma_l \rangle \\ &= \langle e_i, e_i \rangle \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_j \rangle \langle e_i, \gamma_j \rangle \\ &= \langle e_i, \gamma_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $f, g$  satisfy in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$ . We say that  $f, g$  are a dual pair, if one of the assertion of the Theorem 3.4 satisfies.

**Remark 3.6.** Let  $u$  satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$ . It is easily seen that for each  $h \in H$

- (i)  $h = T_{(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u}^\varphi(h_0E(\langle h, u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1})$ .
- (ii)  $h = T_u^\varphi(h_0E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1})$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $u : X \rightarrow H$  satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$ . Then  $(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u \circ \varphi$  and  $u$  are a dual pair.

*Proof.* We can write  $h = T_u^\varphi(h_0E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1})$ , on the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X h_0E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu &= \int_X E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \\ &= \int_X E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) d\mu \\ &= \int_X \langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle d\mu \\ &= \int_X \langle h, (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u \rangle d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get that  $h = T_u^\varphi(\langle h, (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u \rangle)$ . So  $(S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u \circ \varphi$  is a dual pair of  $u$ . □

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $f, g$  be a dual pair. Then  $f$  satisfies in the *wbi*-condition for  $H$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f, g$  are a dual pair and also any function in  $L^2(X)$  satisfies in *swbi*-condition, thus for each  $h \in H$  we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|^2 &= \int_X \langle h, f(x) \rangle \langle g(x), h \rangle d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X |\langle h, f(x) \rangle| |\langle g(x), h \rangle| d\mu \\ &\leq (\int_X |\langle h, f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_X |\langle h, g(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (\int_X |\langle h, f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|, \quad B > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$B^{-1} \|h\|^2 \leq \int_X |\langle h, f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu.$$

Consequently  $f$  satisfies in the *wbi*-condition for  $H$ . □

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $f, g$  satisfy in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$ . We say  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly equal if for each  $h \in H$ ,  $\langle h, f \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $u$  satisfies in *wbi*-condition for  $H$ . Then

- (i) In the formula  $h = T_u^\varphi(h_0E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1})$ ,  $h_0E(\langle h, (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}$  has the least norm among all of the retrieval formulas.
- (ii) For each  $h \in H$ ,  $h = T_u^\varphi(\langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle)$  if and only if there exists a mapping  $\ell$  such that satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$  and  $\ell \circ \varphi = g \circ \varphi^{-1} - (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u$  and also for each  $k \in H$ ,  $\langle k, \ell \circ \varphi \rangle \in \ker T_u^\varphi$ .
- (iii)  $u$  has unique dual if and only if  $R((T_u^\varphi)^*) = L^2(X)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $M \in L^2(X)$  and  $h = T_u^\varphi(M)$ . Then for each  $k \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h, k \rangle &= \langle T_u^\varphi(h_0E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}), k \rangle \\ &= \int_X \langle u(x), k \rangle ((h_0 \circ \varphi)(x) E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u(x) \rangle)) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $\langle h, k \rangle = \langle T_u^\varphi(M), k \rangle = \int_X \langle u(x), k \rangle M \circ \varphi(x) d\mu$ . We have

$$\langle h, k \rangle - \langle h, k \rangle = \int_X \langle u(x), k \rangle ((h_0 \circ \varphi)(x) E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u(x) \rangle) - (M \circ \varphi)(x)) d\mu.$$

Thus  $h_0E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1} - M \in \ker T_u^\varphi$ . Since  $T_u^\varphi$  is surjective so  $(T_u^\varphi)^*$  has the closed range, it follows that

$$L^2(X) = \ker T_u^\varphi \oplus R(T_u^\varphi)^*.$$

On the other hand  $h_0E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1} \in R(T_u^\varphi)^*$ . So we can write

$$\|M\|^2 = \|h_0E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1} - M\|^2 + \|h_0E(\langle (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}(h), u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}\|^2.$$

This implies that  $h_0E(\langle h, (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u \rangle) \circ \varphi^{-1}$  has the least norm.

(ii) Let  $g$  satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$  and  $h = T_u^\varphi(\langle h, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle)$ . We set  $g \circ \varphi^{-1} = \ell \circ \varphi + (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u$ . By Theorem 2.10 for each  $h, k \in H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_u^\varphi(\langle k, \ell \circ \varphi \rangle), h \rangle &= \langle T_u^\varphi(\langle k, g \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle), h \rangle - \langle T_u^\varphi(\langle k, (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u \rangle), h \rangle \\ &= \int_X \langle \langle k, g(x) \rangle \langle u(x), h \rangle \rangle d\mu \\ &\quad - \int_X \langle \langle u(x), h \rangle \langle k, (S_u^\varphi)^{-1}u \circ \varphi(x) \rangle \rangle d\mu \\ &= \langle h, k \rangle - \langle h, k \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\langle k, \ell \circ \phi \rangle \in \ker T_u^\phi$ . Now, let  $\ell \circ \phi = g \circ \phi^{-1} - (S_u^\phi)^{-1}u$  and for each  $k \in H$ ,  $\langle k, \ell \circ \phi \rangle \in \ker T_u^\phi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \langle u(x), h \rangle \langle k, g(x) \rangle d\mu &= \int_X \langle u(x), h \rangle \langle k, (\ell \circ \phi^2 + (S_u^\phi)^{-1}u \circ \phi)(x) \rangle d\mu \\ &= \int_X \langle u(x), h \rangle \langle k, \ell \circ \phi^2(x) \rangle d\mu \\ &\quad + \int_X \langle u(x), h \rangle \langle k, (S_u^\phi)^{-1}u \circ \phi(x) \rangle d\mu \\ &= \langle T_u^\phi \langle (k, \ell \circ \phi) \rangle, h \rangle + \langle h, k \rangle \\ &= \langle h, k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $g$  is a dual pair of  $u$ .

(iii) Let  $R((T_u^\phi)^*) \neq L^2(X)$ . Then there exists  $\ell \in \ker T_u^\phi$  such that  $\|\ell\|_2 = 1$ . Now, Let  $k : X \rightarrow L^2(X)$  be defined by  $k(x) = \ell \circ \phi(x)\ell$ . The mapping

$$X \rightarrow C$$

$$x \mapsto \langle t, k(x) \rangle$$

for each  $t \in L^2(X)$  is measurable, and

$$\int_X |\langle t, k(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu = \int_X |\langle t, \ell \rangle|^2 |\ell \circ \phi(x)|^2 d\mu \leq |\langle t, \ell \rangle|^2 \leq \|t\|^2.$$

Then  $k$  satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $L^2(X)$ . Also let  $m : L^2(X) \rightarrow H$  be such that  $m(\ell) \neq 0$ . Thus  $mk$  satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$ , and so  $(S_u^\phi)^{-1}u \circ \phi + mk$  satisfies in the *swbi*-condition for  $H$ . For each  $h \in H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |\langle h, (S_u^\phi)^{-1}u \circ \phi(x) + mk(x) \rangle \langle u(x), h \rangle| d\mu &= \int_X |\langle h, (S_u^\phi)^{-1}u \circ \phi(x) \rangle \langle u(x), h \rangle| d\mu \\ &\quad + \int_X |\langle h, mk(x) \rangle \langle u(x), h \rangle| d\mu \\ &= \|h\|^2 + \langle m^*(h), \ell \rangle \int_X \overline{\ell \circ \phi(x)} \langle u(x), h \rangle d\mu \\ &= \|h\|^2 + \langle T_u^\phi(\ell), h \rangle \\ &= \|h\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So  $(S_u^\phi)^{-1}u \circ \phi + mk$  is a dual pair of  $u$ . On the other hand we have

$$\langle m(\ell), mk(x) \rangle = \langle m(\ell), \ell \circ \phi(x)m(\ell) \rangle = \overline{\ell \circ \phi(x)} \|m(\ell)\|^2 \neq 0.$$

It follows that  $(S_u^\phi)^{-1}u \circ \phi + mk$  is not weakly equal to  $(S_u^\phi)^{-1}u \circ \phi$ .

Conversely, let  $R((T_u^\phi)^*) = L^2(X)$ , and also we suppose  $g$  is a dual of  $u$  such that  $\ell \circ \phi = g \circ \phi^{-1} - (S_u^\phi)^{-1}u$  and for each  $k \in H$   $\langle k, \ell \circ \phi \rangle \in \ker T_u^\phi$ . Since  $\ker T_u^\phi = 0$ , this implies that  $\ell \circ \phi = 0$  weakly. So  $(S_u^\phi)^{-1}u \circ \phi$  is only dual pair of  $u$ .

□

**Example 3.11.** Let  $X = [0, 2]$ ,  $\Sigma$  the Lebesgue subsets of  $X$  and  $\mu$  be a Lebesgue measure on  $X$ . Also let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is non-singular measurable transformation with  $h_0 \in L^\infty$  and let  $u : X \rightarrow L^2$  satisfies in *swbi*-condition for  $L^2$ . For  $A \subseteq X$ , put  $h = \chi_A$ . Then for  $T_u^\varphi : L^2(X) \rightarrow L^2$  we obtain

$$\langle T_u^\varphi f, \chi_A \rangle = \int_0^2 \int_A u(x)(y) d\nu f \circ \varphi(x) d\mu,$$

where  $\nu$  be Lebesgue measure on  $A$ . Since  $f \circ \varphi \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $u(x) \in L^1(\nu)$ , then by Fubini's Theorem  $u(x)(y)(f \circ \varphi)(x) \in L^1(\mu \times \nu)$  and

$$\int_A T_u^\varphi f d\mu = \int_A \int_0^2 u(x)(y) f \circ \varphi(x) d\mu d\nu.$$

It follows that the expressive formula of bounded operator  $T_u^\varphi$  on  $L^2(X)$  is  $T_u^\varphi f = \int_0^2 u(x)(y) f \circ \varphi(x) d\mu$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Emamalipour, M.R. Jabbarzadeh and Z. Moayyerizadeh, *A substitution vector valued integral operator*, J. Math. Anal and Appl, **431**(2015), 812-821.
- [2] A. Lambert, *Localising sets for sigma-algebras and related point transformations* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Ser. A **118**(1991), 111-118.
- [3] M. M. Rao, *Conditional Measure and Application*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.
- [4] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Dusseldorf-Johannesburg, 1973.
- [5] R. k. Singh and J. S. Manhas, *Composition Operators on Function Spaces*, North-Holland 1993.

ZAHRA MOAYYERIZADEH

*Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Lorestan, Khorramabad, Iran*

*e-mail: moayerizadeh@lu.ac.ir*

HOSSEIN EMAMALIPOUR

*Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran e-mail:*

*h.emamali@tabrizu.ac.ir*