LE MATEMATICHE Vol. LXXII (2017) – Fasc. I, pp. 9–21 doi: 10.4418/2017.72.1.2

# SOME STRUCTURE THEOREMS ON LOCALLY CONVEX CONES OF LINEAR OPERATORS

### DAVOOD AYASEH - ASGHAR RANJBARI

In this paper we investigate the structure of  $C(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  (the cone of all continuous linear operators from locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  into locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{Q}, W)$ ), when  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  or  $(\mathcal{Q}, W)$  are inductive or projective limit locally convex cones. We consider some special convex quasiuniform structures on  $C(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ , and prove some structure theorems.

### 1. Introduction

The theory of locally convex cones as developed in [5] and [13] uses an order theoretical concept or a convex quasiuniform structure to introduce a topological structure on a cone. In this paper we use the later. For recent researches see [1-3, 9, 12].

A *cone* is a set  $\mathcal{P}$  endowed with an addition and a scalar multiplication for nonnegative real numbers. The addition is assumed to be associative and commutative, and there is a neutral element  $0 \in \mathcal{P}$ . For the scalar multiplication the usual associative and distributive properties hold, that is  $\alpha(\beta a) = (\alpha \beta)a$ ,  $(\alpha + \beta)a = \alpha a + \beta a$ ,  $\alpha(a + b) = \alpha a + \alpha b$ , 1a = a and 0a = 0 for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$ and  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$ .

Entrato in redazione: 4 gennaio 2014

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 46A03, 46A13

Keywords: Locally convex cones, Cones of linear operators.

This paper is published as a part of a research project supported by the University of Tabriz Research Affairs Office.

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a cone. A collection  $\mathfrak{U}$  of convex subsets  $U \subseteq \mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$  is called a convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{P}$ , if the following properties hold:  $(U_1) \Delta \subseteq U$  for every  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  ( $\Delta = \{(a, a) : a \in \mathcal{P}\}$ );  $(U_2)$  for all  $U, V \in \mathfrak{U}$  there is a  $W \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $W \subseteq U \cap V$ ;  $(U_3) \lambda U \circ \mu U \subseteq (\lambda + \mu)U$  for all  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  and  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ ;

 $(U_4) \ \alpha U \in \mathfrak{U} \text{ for all } U \in \mathfrak{U} \text{ and } \alpha > 0.$ 

Here, for  $U, V \subseteq \mathcal{P}^2$ , by  $U \circ V$  we mean the set of all  $(a,b) \in \mathcal{P}^2$  such that there is some  $c \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $(a,c) \in U$  and  $(c,b) \in V$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a cone and  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{P}$ . We shall say  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  is a locally convex cone if

 $(U_5)$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  there is some  $\rho > 0$  such that  $(0, a) \in \rho U$ .

We say that the convex subset E of  $\mathcal{P}^2$  is uniformly convex whenever E has properties (U1) and (U3). The uniformly convex subsets play an important role in the construction of a convex quasiuniform structure. With every collection of uniformly convex subsets we can obtain a convex quasiuniform structure (see [1], Proposition 2.2). With every convex quasiuniform structure  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  we associate two topologies: The neighborhood bases for an element a in the upper and lower topologies are given by the sets

$$U(a) = \{b \in \mathcal{P} : (b,a) \in U\}, \quad \text{resp.} \quad (a)U = \{b \in \mathcal{P} : (a,b) \in U\}, \quad U \in \mathfrak{U}.$$

The common refinement of the upper and lower topologies is called symmetric topology. A neighborhood base for  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  in this topology is given by the sets

$$U(a)U = U(a) \cap (a)U, \quad U \in \mathfrak{U}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be convex quasiuniform structures on  $\mathcal{P}$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{W}$  if for every  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  there is  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $U \subseteq W$ .

In locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  the *closure* of  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  is defined to be the set

$$\overline{a} = \bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{U}} U(a)$$

(see [5], chapter I). The locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  is called *separated* if  $\overline{a} = \overline{b}$  implies a = b for  $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$ . It is proved in [5] that the locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  is separated if and only if its symmetric topology is Hausdorff.

The extended real number system  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is a cone endowed with the usual algebraic operations, in particular  $a + \infty = +\infty$  for all  $a \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\alpha \cdot (+\infty) = +\infty$  for all  $\alpha > 0$  and  $0.(+\infty) = 0$ . We set  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \{\tilde{\varepsilon} : \varepsilon > 0\}$ , where

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = \{(a,b) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^2 : a \le b + \varepsilon\}.$$

Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  is a convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$  is a locally convex cone. For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  the intervals  $(-\infty, a + \varepsilon]$  are the upper and the intervals  $[a - \varepsilon, +\infty]$  are the lower neighborhoods, while for  $a = +\infty$  the entire cone  $\mathbb{R}$  is the only upper neighborhood, and  $\{+\infty\}$  is open in the lower topology. The symmetric topology is the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $+\infty$  as an isolated point.

For cones  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , a mapping  $T : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Q}$  is called a *linear operator* if T(a+b) = T(a) + T(b) and  $T(\alpha a) = \alpha T(a)$  hold for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\alpha \ge 0$ . If both  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  are locally convex cones, the operator T is called *(uniformly) continuous* if for every  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  one can find  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $(T \times T)(U) \subseteq W$ , where  $(T \times T)(U) = \{(T(a), T(b)) \in \mathcal{Q}^2 : (a, b) \in U\}$ .

A *linear functional* on  $\mathcal{P}$  is a linear operator  $\mu : \mathcal{P} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . The *dual cone*  $\mathcal{P}^*$  of a locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  consists of all continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  be a locally convex cone. We shall say that the subset F of  $\mathcal{P}^2$  is *u*-bounded if it is absorbed by each  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ . The subset B of  $\mathcal{P}$  is called bounded below (or above) whenever  $\{0\} \times B$  (or  $B \times \{0\}$ ) is *u*-bounded. The subset B is called bounded if it is bounded below and above. An element  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  is called bounded below (or above) whenever  $\{a\}$  is so (recall that every  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  is required to be bounded below by  $(U_5)$ ).

The locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  is called a *uc*-cone whenever  $\mathfrak{U} = \{\alpha U : \alpha > 0\}$  for some  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ . It is proved in [1] that the locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  is a *uc*-cone if and only if  $\mathfrak{U}$  has a *u*-bounded element.

Let  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{W})$  be locally convex cones. The linear operator T:  $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{Q}$  is called *u*-bounded whenever for every *u*-bounded subset *B* of  $\mathcal{P}^2$ ,  $(T \times T)(B)$  is *u*-bounded. The locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  is called bornological if every *u*-bounded linear operator from  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  into any locally convex cone is continuous.

The projective and inductive limits of locally convex cones have been investigated in [10]. Also, the strict inductive limit of locally convex cones has been defined in [9]. The products and direct sums as a special case of projective and inductive limits have been investigated in [8]. The dual of projective and inductive limits of locally convex cones have been investigated in [7]. In this paper we want to study the structure of  $C(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  (the cone of continuous linear operators), when  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  or  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  are the inductive or projective limit locally convex cones. The structure of  $C(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ , when  $\mathcal{P}$  or  $\mathcal{Q}$  are products or direct sums of some locally convex cones is an interesting special case that investigated in this paper. We review some results from [10]. For every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  let  $(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{U}_{\gamma})$  be a locally convex cone. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cone and for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $u_{\gamma}$  is a linear mapping of  $\mathcal{P}$  into  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$ , then there is a coarsest convex quasiuniform structure  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  that makes all  $u_{\gamma}$  continuous.  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  is a locally convex cone

and it is called the projective limit of the locally convex cones  $(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathfrak{U}_{\gamma}), \gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $\mathcal{P} = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$ , then  $\mathcal{P}$  can be made into a locally convex cone by regarding it as the projective limit of the locally convex cones  $(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathfrak{U}_{\gamma})$  by the projections mapping  $\pi_{\gamma} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \pi_{\gamma}((x_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}) = x_{\gamma}$ .

For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , let  $(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathfrak{U}_{\gamma})$  be a locally convex cone. Suppose  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cone and for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $v_{\gamma} : \mathcal{P}_{\gamma} \to \mathcal{P}$  is a linear mapping such that  $\mathcal{P} = span(\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} v_{\gamma}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}))$ . Then there is the finest convex quasiuniform structure  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  that makes all  $v_{\gamma}$  continuous.  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  is a locally convex cone and it is called the inductive limit of locally convex cones  $(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathfrak{U}_{\gamma}), \gamma \in \Gamma$ . The subcone of  $\mathcal{P} = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$  spanned by  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} j_{\gamma}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma})$ , where  $j_{\gamma} : \mathcal{P}_{\gamma} \to \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$  is the injection mapping, is called the direct sum of cones  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma$  and denoted by  $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$ . If we consider the product convex quasiunifom structure on  $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$ , then it induces the original convex quasiunifom structure on each  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$ . The finest such convex quasiunifom structure on  $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$  as the inductive limit of locally convex cones  $(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathfrak{U}_{\gamma}), \gamma \in \Gamma$  (see [8]).

Let  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  be a locally convex cone and  $\mathcal{P}^*$  be its dual. In the following we denote by  $\mathfrak{U}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{P}^*)$  the coarsest convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{P}$  that makes all  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^*$  continuous. Similarly,  $\mathfrak{U}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{P}^*,\mathcal{P})$  is the the coarsest convex quasiuniform structure that makes all  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  continious, as linear functionals on  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . In fact,  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{P}^*))$  is the projective limit of  $(\overline{\mathbb{R}},\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$  by the functionals  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^*$ .

#### 2. Some structure theorems

Let  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{W})$  be locally convex cones. We denote the cone of all continuous linear operators from  $\mathcal{P}$  into  $\mathcal{Q}$  by  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ . If  $(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{W}) = (\overline{\mathbb{R}},\mathcal{V})$ , then  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{P}^*$ . We define a convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a collection of bounded below subsets of  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  such that

for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  there is  $C \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $A \cup B \subseteq C$ . (UW)

For  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  we set

$$V_{B,W} = \{(S,T) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) \times \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) : (S(b),T(b)) \in W\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}} = \{V_{B,W} : B \in \mathcal{B}, W \in \mathcal{W}\}$  is a convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ . We prove that the elements of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  are bounded below with respect to the convex quasiuniform structure  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}$ . Let  $V_{B,W} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ . Since *B* is bounded below and *T* is continuous, we realize that T(B) is bounded below in  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$ . Then there is  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $(0, T(b)) \in \lambda W$  for all  $b \in B$ . This shows that  $(0, T) \in \lambda V_{B,W}$ . Therefore  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}})$  is a locally convex cone.

Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  be locally convex cones. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all bounded below or bounded subsets of  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$ , then we denote the corresponding convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  by  $\mathcal{V}_{b\beta}$  or  $\mathcal{V}_{\beta}$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{V}_{b\beta}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{V}_{\beta}$ , since every bounded subset of  $\mathcal{P}$  is bounded below.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  be uc-cones. Then  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is a uccone if endowed with the convex quasiuniform structures  $\mathcal{V}_{b\beta}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\beta}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{U} = \{ \alpha U : \alpha > 0 \}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \{ \alpha W : \alpha > 0 \}$ . We set B = (0)U. We shall prove that  $\mathcal{V}_{b\beta}$  is equivalent to the convex quasiuniform structure  $\{ \varepsilon V_{B,W} : \varepsilon > 0 \}$ . It is enough to show that  $\{ \varepsilon V_{B,W} : \varepsilon > 0 \}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{V}_{b\beta}$ . Let  $V_{A,\alpha W} \in \mathcal{V}_{b\beta}$ . Then we have  $A \subseteq \lambda B$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . We claim that  $\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} V_{B,W} \subseteq V_{A,\alpha W}$ . Let  $(S,T) \in \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} V_{B,W}$ . Then  $(S(\lambda a), T(\lambda a)) \in \alpha W$  for all  $a \in B$ . If we set  $b = \lambda a$ , then we have  $(S(b), T(b)) \in \alpha W$  for all  $b \in \lambda B$ . Since  $A \subseteq \lambda B$ , this shows that  $(S(b), T(b)) \in \alpha W$  for all  $b \in A$ . Therefore  $(S,T) \in V_{A,\alpha W}$ .

In a similar way one can prove that  $\mathcal{V}_{\beta}$  is equivalent to the convex quasiuniform structure  $\{\alpha V_{B',W} : \alpha > 0\}$ , where B' = U(0)U.

*Example 2.2.* Suppose that  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U}) = (\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{W}) = (\overline{\mathbb{R}},\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{R}},\overline{\mathbb{R}}) = \overline{\mathbb{R}}^* = [0,+\infty) \cup \{\overline{0}\}$ , where  $\overline{0}$  is a functional on  $(\overline{\mathbb{R}},\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$  acting as follows:

$$\overline{0}(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & a \in \mathbb{R} \\ & \\ +\infty & else. \end{cases}$$

In this example we have  $\mathcal{V}_{b\beta} = \{\alpha V_{[-1,+\infty],\tilde{1}} : \alpha > 0\}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\beta} = \{\alpha V_{[-1,+1],\tilde{1}} : \alpha > 0\}$  by Proposition 2.1. The upper, lower and symmetric neighborhoods of  $\overline{0}$  in  $(\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{R}},\overline{\mathbb{R}}), \mathcal{V}_{b\beta})$  are as follows:

$$V_{[-1,+\infty],\tilde{1}}(\overline{0}) = \{0,\overline{0}\}, (\overline{0})V_{[-1,+\infty],\tilde{1}} = \{\overline{0}\} \text{ and } V_{[-1,+\infty],\tilde{1}}(\overline{0})V_{[-1,+\infty],\tilde{1}} = \{\overline{0}\}.$$

Then the functional  $\overline{0}$  is an isolated point in the lower and symmetric topologies of  $(\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \overline{\mathbb{R}}), \mathcal{V}_{b\beta})$ . Similarly in  $(\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \overline{\mathbb{R}}), \mathcal{V}_{\beta})$  we have

$$V_{[-1,+1],\tilde{1}}(\overline{0}) = \{0,\overline{0}\}, \ (\overline{0})V_{[-1,+1],\tilde{1}} = \{0,\overline{0}\} \text{ and } V_{[-1,+1],\tilde{1}}(\overline{0})V_{[-1,+1],\tilde{1}} = \{0,\overline{0}\}.$$

We shall say that a subset H of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is equicontinuous whenever for each  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  there is  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $(S \times S)(U) \subseteq W$  for all  $S \in H$ . Every equicontinuous subset H of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is bounded below with respect to the convex quasiuniform structure  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}$ . Indeed, let  $V_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}$ . Then there is  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $(S \times S)(U) \subseteq W$  for all  $S \in H$ . Also, there is  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $(\{0\} \times B) \subseteq \lambda U$ , since B is bounded below in  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$ . We claim that  $\{0\} \times H \subseteq \lambda \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}$ . Let  $S \in H$ . Then  $(S \times S)(U) \subseteq W$ . This shows that  $(S \times S)(\frac{1}{\lambda}(\{0\} \times B)) \subseteq W$ , since  $\frac{1}{\lambda}(\{0\} \times B) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $(0, \frac{1}{\lambda}S(b)) \in W$  for all  $b \in B$ , yields  $(0, S) \in \lambda \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  be locally convex cones and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a collection of bounded below subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$  which has property (UW). If  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ , then for every  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  the linear operator  $\delta_a : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \to \mathcal{Q}, \delta_a(T) = T(a)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $W \in W$  and  $a \in \mathcal{P}$ . There is  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $a \in B$ . We prove that  $(\delta_a \times \delta_a)(V_{B,W}) \subseteq W$ . Let  $(S,T) \in V_{B,W}$ . Then  $(S(b),T(b)) \in W$  for all  $b \in B$ . This shows that  $(S(a),T(a)) \in W$ , since  $a \in B$ . Then  $(\delta_a(S),\delta_a(T)) \in W$ . This yields that  $(\delta_a \times \delta_a)(S,T) \in W$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  be the inductive limit of the locally convex cones  $(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{U}_{\gamma})$  by the linear mappings  $u_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma$  and let  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  be a locally convex cone. Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$  be a class of bounded below subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , which has (UW), and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the class of all finite unions of the sets contained in  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} u_{\gamma}(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  is the projective limit of the locally convex cones  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  by the linear mappings  $T_{\gamma} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{Q})$ ,  $T_{\gamma}(A) = A \circ u_{\gamma}$ , for  $A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ .

*Proof.* Obviously,  $\mathcal{B}$  has property (UW). Then  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},W})$  is a locally convex cone. Now, we prove that  $T_{\gamma} : (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},W}) \to (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}_{\gamma},W})$  is continuous for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Let  $V_{B_{\gamma},W} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}_{\gamma},W}$ . We set  $B = u_{\gamma}(B_{\gamma})$ . Obviously, we have  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . We prove that  $(T_{\gamma} \times T_{\gamma})(V_{B,W}) \subseteq V_{B_{\gamma},W}$ . Let  $(S,A) \in V_{B,W}$ . Then  $(S(b),A(b)) \in W$  for all  $b \in B$ . For every  $b \in B$  there is  $b_{\gamma} \in B_{\gamma}$  such that b = $u_{\gamma}(b_{\gamma})$ . This shows that  $(S \circ u_{\gamma}(b_{\gamma}), A \circ u_{\gamma}(b_{\gamma})) \in W$  and then  $(T_{\gamma}(S), T_{\gamma}(A)) \in$  $V_{B_{\gamma},W}$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ , that makes all  $T_{\gamma}$  continuous. We shall prove that  $\mathcal{H}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},W}$ . Let  $B = u_{\gamma}(B_{\gamma})$ . There is  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $(T_{\gamma} \times T_{\gamma})(H) \subseteq V_{B_{\gamma},W}$ . We show that  $H \subseteq V_{B,W}$ . If  $(S,A) \in H$ , then  $(T_{\gamma}(S), T_{\gamma}(A)) \in V_{B_{\gamma},W}$ . Then  $(S(u_{\gamma}(b_{\gamma})), A(u_{\gamma}(b_{\gamma}))) \in W$  for all  $b_{\gamma} \in B_{\gamma}$ . This yields that  $(S(b), A(b)) \in W$  for all  $b \in B$ . Therefore  $(S,A) \in V_{B,W}$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{U}_{\gamma})$  and let  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  be a locally convex cone. Suppose  $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$  is a class of bounded below subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , which has property (UW) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the class of all finite unions of the sets contained in  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} j_{\gamma}(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}}) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{W}})$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{U}_{\gamma})$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$  is a class of bounded below subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , which has property (UW) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the class of all finite unions of the sets contained in  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} j_{\gamma}(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}}) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{P}^*_{\gamma}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}})$ .

*Example* 2.7. Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  be locally convex cones and ~ be an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{P}$  which is compatible with the algebraic operations of  $\mathcal{P}$  (see

[12]). We denote the equivalence class of an element  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  by [a] and set

$$[\mathcal{P}] = \{ [a] \mid a \in \mathcal{P} \}.$$

The operations [a] + [b] = [a + b] and  $\alpha[a] = [\alpha a]$  are well-defined for  $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$ and  $\alpha \ge 0$  and  $[\mathcal{P}]$  becomes a cone with these operations, which had been called the quotient cone. On  $[\mathcal{P}]$  we consider the finest convex quasiuniform structure  $[\mathfrak{U}]$ , that makes the projection mapping  $\pi : \mathcal{P} \to [\mathcal{P}], \pi(a) = [a]$  continuous. In fact,  $([\mathcal{P}], [\mathfrak{U}])$  is the inductive limit of  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  under the projection mapping. Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of bounded below subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ , which has property (UW) and suppose  $[\mathcal{B}]$  is the collection of all finite unions of the sets contained in  $\pi(\mathcal{B})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{C}([\mathcal{P}], \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{[\mathcal{B}], \mathcal{W}})$  is the projective limit of the locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  by the linear mapping  $T : \mathcal{C}([\mathcal{P}], \mathcal{Q}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ ,  $T(A) = A \circ \pi$  by Theorem 2.4.

Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  be a locally convex cone. For a uniformly convex *u*-bounded subset *H* of  $\mathcal{P}^2$ , we set

 $\mathcal{P}_H = \{ a \in \mathcal{P} : \exists \lambda > 0, (0, a) \in \lambda H \} \text{ and } \mathfrak{U}_H = \{ \alpha(H \cap \mathcal{P}_H^2) : \alpha > 0 \}.$ 

Then  $(\mathcal{P}_H, \mathfrak{U}_H)$  is a *uc*-cone.

**Remark 2.8.** Suppose  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  is a bornological cone and  $\mathcal{H}$  is the collection of all uniformly convex *u*-bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{P}^2$ , then it is proved in [1] that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  is the inductive limit of *uc*-subcones  $(\mathcal{P}_H, \mathfrak{U}_H)_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ , with the inclusion mappings  $I_H : \mathcal{P}_H \to \mathcal{P}$ . Now for every  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , suppose  $\mathcal{B}_H$  is a collection of bounded below subsets of  $(\mathcal{P}_H, \mathfrak{U}_H)$ , which has property (UW) and suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is the class of all finite unions of the sets contained in  $\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{B}_H$ . Then  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  is the projective limit of the locally convex cones  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_H, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  with the linear mappings  $T_H : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_H, \mathcal{Q}), T_H(A) = AoI_H$ , by Theorem 2.4. If  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  is a *uc*-cone, then for every  $H \in \mathcal{H}, (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_H, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  is a *uc*-cone by Proposition 2.1. Therefore  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  is the projective limit of *uc*-cones in this case.

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a cone. We shall say that the subset *B* of  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{P}$  whenever

(1) for every *a* ∈ *P* there are *n* ∈ N, *b*<sub>1</sub>,...,*b<sub>n</sub>* ∈ *B* and *α*<sub>1</sub>,...,*α<sub>n</sub>* ≥ 0 such that *a* = ∑<sub>*i*=1</sub><sup>*n*</sup> *α<sub>i</sub>b<sub>i</sub>*, in the other words *P* = *span*(*B*),
(2) for every *B'* ⊆ *B*, *P* ≠ *span*(*B'*).

Let *B* be a base for the cone  $\mathcal{P}$ . For  $b \in B$  we set  $\mathcal{P}_b = \{\alpha b : \alpha \ge 0\}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{b \in B} \mathcal{P}_b$ . Indeed, (1) shows that  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \bigoplus_{b \in B} \mathcal{P}_b$ . We prove that for  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{b_1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{b_2} = \{0\}$ . If  $a \in \mathcal{P}_{b_1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{b_2}$  and  $a \ne 0$ , then  $a = \alpha_1 b_1 = \alpha_2 b_2$ for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ . Then  $b_2 = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} b_1$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{P} = span(B \setminus \{b_1\})$ . This is a contradiction by (2). Now, we suppose that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  is a locally convex cone and for  $b \in B$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}_b$  is the convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{P}_b$  induced by  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U}) = \bigoplus_{b \in B} (\mathcal{P}_b, \mathfrak{U}_b)$ .

*Example* 2.10. Let S be the cone of all sequences in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the sequences  $(a_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(b_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(c_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as following:

$$a_n^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \qquad n=i \\ 0 & \qquad else \end{cases}, b_n^i = \begin{cases} -1 & \qquad n=i \\ 0 & \qquad else \end{cases} \text{ and } c_n^i = \begin{cases} +\infty & \qquad n=i \\ 0 & \qquad else. \end{cases}$$

Then  $B = \{(a_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (b_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (c_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a base for *S*. For  $\delta > 0$ , we set

$$ilde{\delta} = \{((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})\in S^2: a_n\leq b_n+\delta, \forall n\in\mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{U} = \{\tilde{\delta} : \delta > 0\}$  is a convex quasiuniform structure on *S*. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is the subcone of all bounded below elements of *S* with respect to  $\mathfrak{U}$ , then  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  is a locally convex cone. The above discussion yields that  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U}) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{P}_b,\mathfrak{U}_b)$ . Now, let  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  be a locally convex cone and  $\mathcal{B}_b$  be a collection of bounded below subsets of  $\mathcal{P}_b$  which have (UW). If we assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of all the sets contained in  $\bigcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_b$ , then we have

$$(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}),\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}) = \prod_{b\in B} (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_b,\mathcal{Q}),\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}_b,\mathcal{W}}),$$
(1)

by Corollary 2.5. For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b = (a_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  or  $b = (b_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we have  $(\mathcal{P}_b, \mathfrak{U}_b)^* = [0, +\infty)$ . Also for  $b = (c_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we have  $(\mathcal{P}_b, \mathfrak{U}_b)^* = \{0, +\infty\}$ . Now, formula (1) with  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}) = (\overline{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}}) &= \big(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} ([0, +\infty), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}_b, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}})\big) \times \big(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} ([0, +\infty), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}_b, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}})\big) \\ &\times \big(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (\{0, +\infty\}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}_b, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}})\big) \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.11.** In a separated locally convex cone the only bounded subcone is  $\{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  be a separated locally convex cone and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a bounded subcone of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then for every  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  there is  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $(0,q) \in \lambda U$  and  $(q,0) \in \lambda U$  for all  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$  be a fixed element. We have  $(0,nq) \in \lambda U$ and  $(nq,0) \in \lambda U$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a subcone. This yields that

$$q \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\frac{\lambda}{n}U)(0)(\frac{\lambda}{n}U).$$

Therefore q = 0, since the symmetric topology of  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  is Hausdorff.

The situation is more telling if we assume  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  to be a projective limit locally convex cone. We suppose first that  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U}) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathfrak{U}_{\gamma})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{W})$  is a locally convex cone. Let  $S \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ . If  $S_{\gamma}$  is the restriction of S to  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$  and  $p_{\gamma}$  is the projection mapping, then for  $(a_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \in \mathcal{P}$  we have  $S_{\gamma}(a_{\gamma}) = S \circ p_{\gamma}((a_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma})$ and  $S_{\gamma} \circ p_{\gamma} = S \circ p_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathcal{Q})$ . If only finitely many  $S_{\gamma}$  are non zero, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{\gamma_i} \in \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathcal{Q})$  and  $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{\gamma_i} \circ p_{\gamma_i} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ . This shows that

$$\bigoplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathcal{Q})\subset\mathcal{C}(\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathcal{Q}).$$

Generally  $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} C(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{Q})$  is a proper subset of  $C(\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{Q})$ . For example consider the cone  $\mathcal{P} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_i$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_i = \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the range of every linear operator  $T \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P})$  has a base with finite elements, but it is not true for the identity mapping  $I \in C(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})$ .

Under an additional condition we have the equality in the above.

**Proposition 2.12.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U}) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{U}_{\gamma})$ , where all elements of  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}$  are bounded above for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Also, let  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  be a separated locally convex cone with a sequence  $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset ...$  of bounded subsets such that every bounded subset of  $\mathcal{Q}$  contained in some  $C_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then (a) Algebrically, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{Q}).$$

(b) If for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$  is a collection of bounded below subsets of  $(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{U}_{\gamma})$ and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection af all sets  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B_{\gamma}$ , where  $B_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$ , then the iductive limit convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  is finer than  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}}$ .

*Proof.* For (*a*) assume that there exists  $S \in C(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  such that

$$S \notin \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{U}_{\gamma}).$$

Then there are infinitely many restrictions  $S_{\gamma_n}$ , n = 1, 2, ... such that  $S_{\gamma_n} \neq 0$ . Then there is  $a_{\gamma_n} \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_n}$  such that  $b_{\gamma_n} = S_{\gamma_n}(a_{\gamma_n}) \notin C_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Lemma 2.11. The net  $(a_{\gamma_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$ , since all of its component are bounded by the assumption, but  $S((a_{\gamma_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{\gamma_n}(a_{\gamma_n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  is unbounded in  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$ . This is a contradiction, because *S* is continuous. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}_{\gamma},\mathfrak{U}_{\gamma}).$$

For (b), let  $V_{B,W} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}$ , where  $B = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B_{\gamma}$ ,  $B_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{B}_{\gamma}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . It is enough to show that  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (j_{\gamma} \times j_{\gamma})(V_{B_{\gamma},W}) \subseteq V_{B,W}$ . For  $\gamma' \in \Gamma$ , let  $(S_{\gamma'}, T_{\gamma'}) \in$   $V_{B_{\gamma'},W}$ . Then for each  $b_{\gamma'} \in B_{\gamma'}$ , we have  $(S_{\gamma'}(b_{\gamma'}), T_{\gamma'}(b_{\gamma'})) \in W$ . Now, since for  $(b_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \in B$  we have  $(j_{\gamma'}(S_{\gamma'}))((b_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}) = S_{\gamma'}(b_{\gamma'})$  and  $(j_{\gamma'}(T_{\gamma'}))((b_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}) = T_{\gamma'}(b_{\gamma'})$  by (a), we conclude that

$$(j_{\gamma'} \times j_{\gamma'})(S_{\gamma'},T_{\gamma'}) \in V_{B,W}.$$

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  be a locally convex cone and let  $(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{W})$  be the projective limit of the locally convex cones  $(\mathcal{Q}_{\gamma},\mathcal{W}_{\gamma})$  by the linear mappings  $v_{\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of bounded below subsets of  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  which has property (UW), then the locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}),\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}})$  is the projective limit of the locally convex cones  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}),\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}_{\gamma}})$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , by the linear mappings  $T_{\gamma}: \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}), T_{\gamma}(A) = v_{\gamma} \circ A$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, we prove that for every  $\gamma$ ,  $T_{\gamma}$  is continuous. Let  $V_{B,W_{\gamma}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}_{\gamma}}$ . Since  $v_{\gamma}$  is continuous, there is  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $(v_{\gamma} \times v_{\gamma})(W) \subseteq W_{\gamma}$ . We show  $(T_{\gamma} \times T_{\gamma})(V_{B,W}) \subseteq V_{B,W_{\gamma}}$ . If  $(S,A) \in V_{B,W}$ , then  $(S(b),A(b)) \in W$  for all  $b \in B$ . Therefore  $(v_{\gamma} \circ S(b), v_{\gamma} \circ A(b)) \in W_{\gamma}$  and then  $(T_{\gamma} \times T_{\gamma})(S,A) = (v_{\gamma} \circ S, v_{\gamma} \circ A) \in V_{B,W_{\gamma}}$ . Now, we prove that  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}$  is the coarsest convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma})$  that makes all  $T_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma$  continuous. For this aim let  $\mathcal{H}$  be another convex quasiuniform structure on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma})$  that makes all  $T_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma$  continuous. We shall prove that  $\mathcal{H}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{W}}$ . There are  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (v_{\gamma_i} \times v_{\gamma_i})^{-1}(W_{\gamma_i}) \subseteq W$ , since  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  is the projective limit of  $(\mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{W}_{\gamma}), \gamma \in \Gamma$ . For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  there is  $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $H \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i$ . We claim that  $H \subseteq V_{B,W}$ . Let  $(S,A) \in H$ . Then for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $(S,A) \in H_i$ . This shows that

$$(T_{\gamma}(S), T_{\gamma}(A)) = (v_{\gamma} \circ S, v_{\gamma} \circ A) \in V_{B, W_{\gamma}}.$$

Then for every i = 1, ..., n,  $(v_{\gamma} \circ S(b), v_{\gamma} \circ A(b)) \in W_{\gamma_i}$  for all  $b \in B$ . Therefore

$$(S(b),A(b)) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{\gamma}^{-1}(W_{\gamma_i}) \subseteq W,$$

for all  $b \in B$ . This yields that  $(S,A) \in V_{B,W}$ .

**Corollary 2.14.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  be a locally convex cone and let

$$(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{W}_{\gamma}).$$

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of bounded below subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$  which has property (UW), then  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}}) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}_{\gamma}}).$ 

*Example* 2.15. Let  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{W})$  be locally convex cones. We consider the locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^*))$ . We note that  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^*))$  is the projective limit of  $(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$  under the functionals  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}^*$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of bounded below subsets of  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  which has property (UW), then the locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^*)})$  is the projective limit of the locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}})$  by the linear mappings  $T_{\mu} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \to \mathcal{P}^*, T_{\mu}(A) = \mu oA, \mu \in \mathcal{Q}^*$ , by Theorem 2.13.

In the following proposition we present some conditions under which the locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  is separated.

**Proposition 2.16.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{U})$  and  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  be locally convex cones and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a collection of bounded below subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ , which have (UW). If  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  is separated and  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ , then  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  is separated.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that the symmetric topology of  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W}})$  is Hausdorff. Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$  and  $S \neq T$ . There is  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $S(a) \neq T(a)$ . Since  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W})$  is separated, there are  $W, W' \in \mathcal{W}$  such that

$$W(S(a))W \cap W'(T(a))W' = \emptyset.$$

We have  $a \in B$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , since  $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ . Now, we claim that

$$\mathcal{V}_{B,W}(S)\mathcal{V}_{B,W}\cap\mathcal{V}_{B,W'}(T)\mathcal{V}_{B,W'}=\emptyset.$$

If  $K \in \mathcal{V}_{B,W}(S)\mathcal{V}_{B,W} \cap \mathcal{V}_{B,W'}(T)\mathcal{V}_{B,W'}$ , then

$$K(a) \in W(S(a))W \cap W'(T(a))W',$$

and this is a contradiction.

*Example* 2.17. Let  $(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{U})$  be a locally convex cone and  $\mathcal{B}$  be the collection of all finite subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ . If we set  $(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{W}) = (\overline{\mathbb{R}},\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ , then  $\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ , endowed with the convex quasiuniform structure  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}$  is a separated locally convex cone by Proposition 2.16. We note that the convex quasiuniform structure  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B},\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}$  is equivalent with  $\mathfrak{U}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{P}^*,\mathcal{P})$  on  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . Then the locally convex cone  $(\mathcal{P}^*,\mathfrak{U}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{P}^*,\mathcal{P}))$  is separated.

#### REFERENCES

- D. Ayaseh and A. Ranjbari, Bornological Convergence in Locally Convex Cones, Mediterr. J. Math., 13 (4), 1921-1931(2016).
- [2] D. Ayaseh and A. Ranjbari, Bornological Locally Convex Cones, Le Matematiche, 69(2), 267-284(2014).
- [3] D. Ayaseh and A. Ranjbari, Locally Convex Quotient Lattice Cones, Math. Nachr., 287(10), 1083-1092(2014).
- [4] D. Ayaseh and A. Ranjbari, Some notes on bornological and nonbornological locally convex cones, Le Matematiche, 70(2), 235-241(2015).
- [5] K. Keimel and W. Roth, Ordered cones and approximation, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1517, 1992, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg-Berlin-New York.
- [6] G. Kothe, Topological Vector Spaces II, Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1979.
- [7] M. R. Motallebi and H. Sai u, Duality on Locally Convex Cones, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 337 (2008) 888-905.
- [8] M. R. Motallebi and H. Saiflu, Products and Direct Sums in Locally Convex Cones, Canad. Math. Bull. 55, 783-798(2012).
- [9] A. Ranjbari, Strict inductive limits in locally convex cones, Positivity 15(3), 465-471(2011).
- [10] A. Ranjbari and H. Saiflu, Projective and inductive limits in locally convex cones, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 332, 1097-1108(2007).
- [11] A. P. Robertson and W. Robertson, Topological vector spaces, Cambridge Univesity Press 1964, 1973.
- [12] W. Roth, Locally convex quotient cones, J. Convex Anal. 18(4),903-913(2011).
- [13] W. Roth, Operator-valued measures and integrals for cone-valued functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1964, 2009, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg-Berlin-New York.

DAVOOD AYASEH Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. e-mail: d\_ayaseh@tabrizu.ac.ir

# ASGHAR RANJBARI

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. e-mail: ranjbari@tabrizu.ac.ir