

2-ABSORBING AND STRONGLY 2-ABSORBING SECONDARY SUBMODULES OF MODULES

H. ANSARI-TOROGHY - F. FARSHADIFAR

In this paper, we will introduce the concept of 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) secondary submodules of modules over a commutative ring as a generalization of secondary modules and investigate some basic properties of these classes of modules.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative ring with identity and \mathbb{Z} will denote the ring of integers.

Let M be an R -module. A proper submodule P of M is said to be *prime* if for any $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $rm \in P$, we have $m \in P$ or $r \in (P :_R M)$ [13]. Let N be a proper submodule of M . Then the *M -radical* of N , denoted by $M\text{-rad}(N)$, is defined to be the intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N . If M has no prime submodule containing N , then the *M -radical* of N is defined to be M [16]. A non-zero submodule S of M is said to be *second* if for each $a \in R$, the homomorphism $S \xrightarrow{a} S$ is either surjective or zero [20]. In this case $\text{Ann}_R(S)$ is a prime ideal of R .

The notion of 2-absorbing ideals as a generalization of prime ideals was introduced and studied in [8]. A proper ideal I of R is a *2-absorbing ideal* of

Entrato in redazione: 0 0

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 13C13, 13C99

Keywords: Secondary, 2-absorbing secondary, strongly 2-absorbing secondary, second radical

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 94130048)

R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. It has been proved that I is a 2-absorbing ideal of R if and only if whenever I_1, I_2 , and I_3 are ideals of R with $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$, then $I_1 I_2 \subseteq I$ or $I_1 I_3 \subseteq I$ or $I_2 I_3 \subseteq I$ [8]. In [9], the authors introduced the concept of 2-absorbing primary ideal which is a generalization of primary ideal. A proper ideal I of R is called a *2-absorbing primary ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$.

The notion of 2-absorbing ideals was extended to 2-absorbing submodules in [12]. A proper submodule N of M is called a *2-absorbing submodule* of M if whenever $abm \in N$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$.

In [5], the present authors introduced the dual notion of 2-absorbing submodules (that is, *2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) second submodules*) of M and investigated some properties of these classes of modules. A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a *2-absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M , and $abN \subseteq L$, then $aN \subseteq L$ or $bN \subseteq L$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$. A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a *strongly 2-absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, K is a submodule of M , and $abN \subseteq K$, then $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$.

In [18], the authors introduced the notion of 2-absorbing primary submodules as a generalization of 2-absorbing primary ideals of rings and studied some properties of this class of modules. A proper submodule N of M is said to be a *2-absorbing primary submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$, and $abm \in N$, then $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concepts of 2-absorbing and strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodules of an R -module M as dual notion of 2-absorbing primary submodules and obtain some related results.

2. Main results

Let M be an R -module. For a submodule N of M the *second radical* (or *second socle*) of N is defined as the sum of all second submodules of M contained in N and it is denoted by $\text{sec}(N)$ (or $\text{soc}(N)$). In case N does not contain any second submodule, the second radical of N is defined to be (0) . $N \neq 0$ is said to be a *second radical submodule* of M if $\text{sec}(N) = N$ (see [11] and [2]).

A proper submodule N of M is said to be *completely irreducible* if $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$, where $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of submodules of M , implies that $N = N_i$ for some $i \in I$. It is easy to see that every submodule of M is an intersection of completely irreducible submodules of M [14].

We frequently use the following basic fact without further comment.

Remark 2.1. Let N and K be two submodules of an R -module M . To prove $N \subseteq K$, it is enough to show that if L is a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $K \subseteq L$, then $N \subseteq L$.

Definition 2.2. We say that a non-zero submodule N of an R -module M is a *2-absorbing secondary submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M and $abN \subseteq L$, then $a(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $ab \in Ann_R(N)$. By a *2-absorbing secondary module*, we mean a module which is a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of itself.

Example 2.3. Clearly, every submodule of the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is not secondary. But as $sec(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, every submodule of the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is 2-absorbing secondary.

Lemma 2.4. Let I be an ideal of R and N be a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M . If $a \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M , and $IaN \subseteq L$, then $a(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $Ia \in Ann_R(N)$.

Proof. Let $a(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$ and $Ia \notin Ann_R(N)$. Then there exists $b \in I$ such that $abN \neq 0$. Now as N is a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M , $baN \subseteq L$ implies that $b(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. We show that $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. To see this, let c be an arbitrary element of I . Then $(b+c)aN \subseteq L$. Hence, either $(b+c)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $(b+c)a \in Ann_R(N)$. If $(b+c)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$, then since $b(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ we have $c(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. If $(b+c)a \in Ann_R(N)$, then $ca \notin Ann_R(N)$. Thus $caN \subseteq L$ implies that $c(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. Hence, we conclude that $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. \square

Theorem 2.5. Let I and J be two ideals of R and N be a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of an R -module M . If L is a completely irreducible submodule of M and $IJN \subseteq L$, then $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $IJ \subseteq Ann_R(N)$.

Proof. Let $I(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$ and $J(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$. We show that $IJ \subseteq Ann_R(N)$. Assume that $c \in I$ and $d \in J$. By assumption, there exists $a \in I$ such that $a(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$ but $aJN \subseteq L$. Now Lemma 2.4 shows that $aJ \subseteq Ann_R(N)$ and so $(I \setminus (L :_R sec(N)))J \subseteq Ann_R(N)$. Similarly, there exists $b \in (J \setminus (L :_R sec(N)))$ such that $Ib \subseteq Ann_R(N)$ and also $I(J \setminus (L :_R sec(N))) \subseteq Ann_R(N)$. Thus we have $ab \in Ann_R(N)$, $ad \in Ann_R(N)$, and $cb \in Ann_R(N)$. As $a+c \in I$ and $b+d \in J$, we have $(a+c)(b+d)N \subseteq L$. Therefore, $(a+c)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $(b+d)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $(a+c)(b+d) \in Ann_R(N)$. If $(a+c)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$, then $c(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L$. Hence $c \in I \setminus (L :_R sec(N))$ which implies that $cd \in Ann_R(N)$. Similarly, if $(b+d)(sec(N)) \subseteq L$, we can deduce that $cd \in Ann_R(N)$. Finally if $(a+c)(b+d) \in Ann_R(N)$, then $ab+ad+cb+cd \in Ann_R(N)$ so that $cd \in Ann_R(N)$. Therefore, $IJ \subseteq Ann_R(N)$. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *If $abN \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ for some $a, b \in R$ and completely irreducible submodules L_1, L_2 of M , then $a(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ or $ab \in Ann_R(N)$;*
- (b) *If $IJN \subseteq K$ for some ideals I, J of R and a submodule K of M , then $I(sec(N)) \subseteq K$ or $J(sec(N)) \subseteq K$ or $IJ \in Ann_R(N)$;*
- (c) *For each $a, b \in R$, we have $a(sec(N)) \subseteq abN$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq abN$ or $abN = 0$.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Assume that $IJN \subseteq K$ for some ideals I, J of R , a submodule K of M , and $IJ \not\subseteq Ann_R(N)$. Then by Theorem 2.5, for all completely irreducible submodules L of M with $K \subseteq L$ either $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ or $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L$. If $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L$ (resp. $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L$) for all completely irreducible submodules L of M with $K \subseteq L$, we are done. Now suppose that L_1 and L_2 are two completely irreducible submodules of M with $K \subseteq L_1$, $K \subseteq L_2$, $I(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L_1$, and $J(sec(N)) \not\subseteq L_2$. Then $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L_2$ and $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1$. Since $IJN \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$, we have either $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ or $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$. If $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$, then $I(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1$ which is a contradiction. Similarly from $J(sec(N)) \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ we get a contradiction.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). This is clear

(a) \Rightarrow (c). By part (a), $N \neq 0$. Let $a, b \in R$. Then $abN \subseteq abN$ implies that $a(sec(N)) \subseteq abN$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq abN$ or $abN = 0$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). This is clear. □

Definition 2.7. We say that a non-zero submodule N of an R -module M is a *strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule* of M if satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.6. By a *strongly 2-absorbing secondary module*, we mean a module which is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of itself.

Let N be a submodule of an R -module M . Then part (d) of Theorem 2.6 shows that N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M if and only if N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary module.

Example 2.8. Clearly every strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule is a 2-absorbing secondary submodule. But the converse is not true in general. For example, consider $M = \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module. Then M is a 2-absorbing secondary module. But since $0 \neq 6M \subseteq 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, $sec(M) = M$, $2M \not\subseteq 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, and $3M \not\subseteq 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, M is not a strongly 2-absorbing secondary module.

Proposition 2.9. *Let N be a 2-absorbing second submodule of an R -module M . Then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ and K be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq K$. Then $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $abN = 0$ by assumption. Thus $a(\sec(N)) \subseteq aN \subseteq K$ or $b(\sec(N)) \subseteq aN \subseteq K$ or $abN = 0$, as required. \square

The following example shows that the converse of the Proposition 2.9 is not true in general.

Example 2.10. Let M be the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} . Then as $p^2\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z} \rangle \subseteq \langle 1/p + \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, $p\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z} \rangle \not\subseteq \langle 1/p + \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, and $p^2\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z} \rangle \neq 0$, we have the submodule $\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ of \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} is not 2-absorbing second submodule. But $\sec(\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z} \rangle) = \langle 1/p + \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ implies that $\langle 1/p^3 + \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .

An R -module M is said to be a *comultiplication module* if for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$, equivalently, for each submodule N of M , we have $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$ [1].

Theorem 2.11. *Let M be a finitely generated comultiplication R -module. If N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M , then $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R .*

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in R$ be such that $abc \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$, $ac \notin \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$, and $bc \notin \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$. Since by [4, 2.12], $\text{Ann}_R(\sec(N)) = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$, there exist completely irreducible submodules L_1 and L_2 of M such that $ac(\sec(N)) \not\subseteq L_1$ and $bc(\sec(N)) \not\subseteq L_2$. But $abcN = 0 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$ implies that $abN \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$. Now as N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M , $a(\sec(N)) \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$ or $b(\sec(N)) \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$ or $abN = 0$. If $a(\sec(N)) \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$ (resp. $b(\sec(N)) \subseteq (L_1 \cap L_2 :_M c)$), then we have $ac(\sec(N)) \subseteq L_1$ (resp. $bc(\sec(N)) \subseteq L_2$), a contradiction. Hence $abN = 0$, as needed. \square

Theorem 2.12. *Let N be a submodule of a comultiplication R -module M . If $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R , then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $abN \subseteq K$ for some $a, b \in R$ and some submodule K of M . As M is a comultiplication module, there exists an ideal I of R such that $K = (0 :_M I)$. Hence $Iab \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ which implies that either $Ia \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$ or $Ib \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$. If $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$, we are done. If $Ia \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$, as $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\sec(N))$, we have $Ia(\sec(N)) = 0$. This implies that $a(\sec(N)) \subseteq K$ because M is a comultiplication module. Similarly, if $Ib \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$, we get $b(\sec(N)) \subseteq K$. This completes the proof. \square

The following example shows that Theorem 2.12 is not satisfied in general.

Example 2.13. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module $M = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, where $p \neq q$ are two prime numbers. Then M is not a comultiplication \mathbb{Z} -module and $\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) = 0$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R . But since $0 \neq pqM \subseteq 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, $\text{sec}(M) = M$, $pM \not\subseteq 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, and $qM \not\subseteq 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, M is not a strongly 2-absorbing secondary module.

In [18, 2.6], it is shown that, if M is a finitely generated multiplication R -module and N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M . In the following lemma, we see that some of this conditions are redundant.

Lemma 2.14. *Let N be a 2-absorbing primary submodule of an R -module M . Then $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M .*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $M\text{-rad}(M\text{-rad}(N)) = M\text{-rad}(N)$ by [15, Proposition 2]. \square

Proposition 2.15. *Let M be an R -module. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If N is a 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) secondary submodule of an R -module M , then $\text{sec}(N)$ is a 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) second submodule of M .*
- (b) *If N is a second radical submodule of M , then N is a 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) second submodule if and only if N is a 2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) secondary submodule.*

Proof. (a) This follows from the fact that $\text{sec}(\text{sec}(N)) = \text{sec}(N)$ by [4, 2.1].

(b) This follows from part (a) \square

Let N and K be two submodules of an R -module M . The *coproduct* of N and K is defined by $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N) \text{Ann}_R(K))$ and denoted by $C(NK)$ [6].

Theorem 2.16. *Let N be a submodule of an R -module M such that $\text{sec}(N)$ is a second submodule of M . Then we have the following.*

- (a) *N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*
- (b) *If M is a comultiplication R -module, then $C(N^t)$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M for every positive integer $t \geq 1$, where $C(N^t)$ means the coproduct of N , t times.*

Proof. (a) Let $a, b \in R$, K be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq K$, and let $b(sec(N)) \not\subseteq K$. Then as $sec(N)$ is a second submodule and $a(sec(N)) \subseteq aN \subseteq (K :_M b)$, we have $a(sec(N)) = 0$ by [3, 2.10]. Thus $a(sec(N)) \subseteq K$, as needed.

(b) Let M be a comultiplication R -module. Then there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$. Thus by [4, 2.1],

$$sec(c(N^t)) = sec((0 :_M I^t)) = sec((0 :_M I)) = sec(N).$$

Now the results follows from to the proof of part (a). \square

Theorem 2.17. *Let M be a comultiplication R -module. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n are strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodules of M with the same second radical, then $N = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*
- (b) *If N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n are 2-absorbing secondary submodules of M with the same second radical, then $N = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i$ is a 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*
- (c) *If N_1 and N_2 are two secondary submodules of M , then $N_1 + N_2$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*
- (d) *If M is finitely generated, N is a submodule of M which possess a secondary representation, and $sec(N) = K_1 + K_2$, where K_1 and K_2 are two minimal submodules of M , then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) Let $a, b \in R$ and K be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq K$. Thus for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $abN_i \subseteq K$. If there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $a(sec(N_j)) \subseteq K$ or $b(sec(N_j)) \subseteq K$, then $a(sec(N)) \subseteq K$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq K$ (note that $sec(N) = sec(\sum_{i=1}^n N_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n sec(N_i) = sec(N_i)$ by [11, 2.6]). Otherwise, $abN_i = 0$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $abN = 0$, as desired.

(b) The proof is similar to the part (a).

(c) As N_1 and N_2 are secondary submodules of M , $Ann_R(N_1)$ and $Ann_R(N_2)$ are primary ideals of R . Hence $Ann_R(N_1 + N_2) = Ann_R(N_1) \cap Ann_R(N_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [9, 2.4]. Thus by Theorem 2.12, $N_1 + N_2$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .

(d) Let $N = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i$ be a secondary representation. By [4, 2.6], $sec(N) = \sum_{i=1}^n sec(N_i)$. Since $sec(N_i)$'s are second submodules of M by [4, 2.13], we have

$$\{sec(N_1), sec(N_2), \dots, sec(N_n)\} = \{K_1, K_2\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that for some $1 \leq t < n$,

$$\{sec(N_1), \dots, sec(N_t)\} = \{K_1\}$$

and $\{sec(N_{t+1}), \dots, sec(N_n)\} = \{K_2\}$. Set $H_1 := N_1 + \dots + N_t$ and $H_2 := N_{t+1} + \dots + N_n$. By [4, 2.12], H_1 and H_2 are secondary submodules of M . Therefore, by part (c), $N = H_1 + H_2$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M . \square

The following example shows that the direct sum of two strongly 2-absorbing secondary R -modules is not a strongly 2-absorbing secondary R -module in general.

Example 2.18. Clearly, the \mathbb{Z} -modules \mathbb{Z}_6 and \mathbb{Z}_{10} are strongly 2-absorbing secondary \mathbb{Z} -modules. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10}$. Then M is not a strongly 2-absorbing second \mathbb{Z} -module. By [3, 2.1], $sec(M) = M$. Thus M is not a strongly 2-absorbing secondary \mathbb{Z} -module by Proposition 2.15.

Lemma 2.19. *Let $f : M \rightarrow \hat{M}$ be a monomorphism of R -modules. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If N is a submodule of M , then $sec(f(N)) = f(sec(N))$.*
- (b) *If \hat{N} is a submodule of \hat{M} such that $\hat{N} \subseteq f(M)$, then $sec(f^{-1}(\hat{N})) = f^{-1}(sec(\hat{N}))$.*

Proof. (a) Let \acute{S} be a second submodule of $f(N)$. Then one can see that $f^{-1}(\acute{S})$ is a second submodule of N . Hence $f(f^{-1}(\acute{S})) \subseteq f(sec(N))$. Thus $sec(f(N)) \subseteq f(sec(N))$. The reverse inclusion is clear.

(b) Let S be a second submodule of $f^{-1}(\hat{N})$. Then one can see that $f(S)$ is a second submodule of \hat{N} . Hence $f^{-1}(S) \subseteq f^{-1}(sec(\hat{N}))$. Thus $sec(f^{-1}(\hat{N})) \subseteq f^{-1}(sec(\hat{N}))$. To see the reverse inclusion, let \acute{S} be a second submodule of \hat{N} . Then $f^{-1}(\acute{S})$ is a second submodule of $f^{-1}(\hat{N})$. It follows that $f^{-1}(sec(\hat{N})) \subseteq sec(f^{-1}(\hat{N}))$. \square

Theorem 2.20. *Let $f : M \rightarrow \hat{M}$ be a monomorphism of R -modules. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M , then $f(N)$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of \hat{M} .*
- (b) *If \hat{N} is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of \hat{M} and $\hat{N} \subseteq f(M)$, then $f^{-1}(\hat{N})$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) Since $N \neq 0$ and f is a monomorphism, we have $f(N) \neq 0$. Let $a, b \in R$, \dot{K} be a submodule of \dot{M} , and $abf(N) \subseteq \dot{K}$. Then $abN \subseteq f^{-1}(\dot{K})$. As N is strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule, $a(sec(N)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\dot{K})$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\dot{K})$ or $abN = 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.19 (a),

$$a(sec(f(N))) = a(f(sec(N))) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\dot{K})) = f(M) \cap \dot{K} \subseteq \dot{K}$$

or

$$b(sec(f(N))) = b(f(sec(N))) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\dot{K})) = f(M) \cap \dot{K} \subseteq \dot{K}$$

or $abf(N) = 0$, as needed.

(b) If $f^{-1}(\dot{N}) = 0$, then $f(M) \cap \dot{N} = f(f^{-1}(\dot{N})) = f(0) = 0$. Thus $\dot{N} = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $f^{-1}(\dot{N}) \neq 0$. Now let $a, b \in R$, K be a submodule of M , and $abf^{-1}(\dot{N}) \subseteq K$. Then

$$ab\dot{N} = ab(f(M) \cap \dot{N}) = abff^{-1}(\dot{N}) \subseteq f(K).$$

As \dot{N} is strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule, we have $a(sec(\dot{N})) \subseteq f(K)$ or $b(sec(\dot{N})) \subseteq f(K)$ or $ab\dot{N} = 0$. Hence by Lemma 2.19 (b),

$$a(sec(f^{-1}(\dot{N}))) = af^{-1}(sec(\dot{N})) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(K)) = K$$

or

$$b(sec(f^{-1}(\dot{N}))) = bf^{-1}(sec(\dot{N})) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(K)) = K$$

or $abf^{-1}(\dot{N}) = 0$, as desired. \square

Corollary 2.21. *Let M be an R -module and let $N \subseteq K$ be two submodules of M . Then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of K if and only if N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.20 by using the natural monomorphism $K \rightarrow M$. \square

Proposition 2.22. *Let M be a cocyclic R -module with minimal submodule K and N be a submodule of M such that $rN \neq K$ for each $r \in R$. If N/K is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M/K , then N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ and H be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq H$. Then $ab(N/K) \subseteq H/K$ implies that $a(sec(N/K)) \subseteq H/K$ or $b(sec(N/K)) \subseteq H/K$ or $ab(N/K) = 0$. If $ab(N/K) = 0$, then $abN = 0$ because $rN \neq K$ for each $r \in R$. Otherwise, since $a(sec(N))/K \subseteq sec(N/K)$, we have $a(sec(N)) \subseteq H$ or $b(sec(N)) \subseteq H$ as required. \square

Let R_i be a commutative ring with identity and M_i be an R_i -module, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Then $M = M_1 \times M_2$ is an R -module and each submodule of M is in the form of $N = N_1 \times N_2$ for some submodules N_1 of M_1 and N_2 of M_2 . In addition, M_i is a comultiplication R_i -module, for $i = 1, 2$ if and only if M is a comultiplication R -module by [19, 2.1].

Lemma 2.23. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 is an R_1 -module and M_2 is an R_2 -module. If $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is a submodule of M , then we have the following.*

- (a) *N is a second submodule of M if and only if $N = S_1 \times 0$ or $N = S_2 \times 0$, where S_1 is a second submodule of M_1 and S_2 is a second submodule of M_2 .*
- (b) *$\text{sec}(N) = \text{sec}(N_1) \times \text{sec}(N_2)$.*

Proof. (a) This is straightforward.

(b) This follows from part (a). □

Theorem 2.24. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 is a comultiplication R_1 -module and M_2 is a comultiplication R_2 -module. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If M_1 be a finitely generated R_1 -module, then a non-zero submodule K_1 of M_1 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule if and only if $N = K_1 \times 0$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*
- (b) *If M_2 be a finitely generated R_2 -module, then a non-zero submodule K_2 of M_2 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule if and only if $N = 0 \times K_2$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*
- (c) *If K_1 is a secondary submodule of M_1 and K_2 is a secondary submodule of M_2 , then $N = K_1 \times K_2$ is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) Let K_1 be a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_1 . Then $\text{Ann}_{R_1}(K_1)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_1 by Theorem 2.11. Now since $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_{R_1}(K_1) \times R_2$, we have $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [9, 2.23]. Thus the result follows from Theorem 2.12. Conversely, let $N = K_1 \times 0$ be a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M . Then $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_{R_1}(K_1) \times R_2$ is a primary ideal of R by Theorem 2.11. Thus $\text{Ann}_{R_1}(K_1)$ is a primary ideal of R_1 by [9, 2.23]. Thus by Theorem 2.12, K_1 be a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_1 .

(b) We have similar arguments as in part (a).

(c) Let K_1 be a secondary submodule of M_1 and K_2 be a secondary submodule of M_2 . Then $\text{Ann}_{R_1}(K_1)$ and $\text{Ann}_{R_2}(K_2)$ are primary ideals of R_1 and R_2 , respectively. Now since $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_{R_1}(K_1) \times \text{Ann}_{R_2}(K_2)$, we have $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by [9, 2.23]. Thus the result follows from Theorem 2.12. \square

Lemma 2.25. *Let N be a submodule of a comultiplication R -module M . Then N is a secondary module if and only if $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ be a primary ideal of R .*

Proof. The necessity is clear. For converse, let $r \in R$. As M is a comultiplication module, $rN = (0 :_M I)$ for some ideal I of R . Now $rI \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ implies that $I \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ or $r^t \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ for some positive integer t . Thus as M is a comultiplication R -module, $N = rN$ or $r^t N = 0$ for some positive integer t . \square

Theorem 2.26. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a decomposable ring and $M = M_1 \times M_2$ be a finitely generated comultiplication R -module, where M_1 is an R_1 -module and M_2 is an R_2 -module. Suppose that $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is a non-zero submodule of M . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) N is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M ;
- (b) Either $N_1 = 0$ and N_2 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_2 or $N_2 = 0$ and N_1 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_1 or N_1, N_2 are secondary submodules of M_1, M_2 , respectively.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let $N = N_1 \times N_2$ be a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M . Then $\text{Ann}_R(N) = \text{Ann}_{R_1}(N_1) \times \text{Ann}_{R_2}(N_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R by Theorem 2.11. By [9, 2.23], we have $\text{Ann}_{R_1}(N_1) = R_1$ and $\text{Ann}_{R_2}(N_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_2 or $\text{Ann}_{R_2}(N_2) = R_2$ and $\text{Ann}_{R_1}(N_1)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_1 or $\text{Ann}_{R_1}(N_1)$ and $\text{Ann}_{R_2}(N_2)$ are primary ideals of R_1 and R_2 , respectively. Suppose that $\text{Ann}_{R_1}(N_1) = R_1$ and $\text{Ann}_{R_2}(N_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_2 . Then $N_1 = 0$ and N_2 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_2 by Theorem 2.12. Similarly, if $\text{Ann}_{R_2}(N_2) = R_2$ and $\text{Ann}_{R_1}(N_1)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_1 , then $N_2 = 0$ and N_1 is a strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodule of M_1 . If the last case hold, then as M_1 (resp. M_2) is a comultiplication R_1 (resp. R_2) module, N_1 (resp. N_2) is a secondary submodule of M_1 (resp. M_2) by Lemma 2.25.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). This follows from Theorem 2.25. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Ansari-Toroghy - F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of multiplication modules*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (4) (2007), 1189–1201.
- [2] H. Ansari-Toroghy - F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime submodules*, Algebra Colloq. **19** (Spec 1)(2012), 1109-1116.
- [3] H. Ansari-Toroghy - F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime submodules (II)*, Mediterr. J. Math., **9** (2) (2012), 329-338.
- [4] H. Ansari-Toroghy - F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime radicals of submodules*, Asian Eur. J. Math. **6** (2) (2013), 1350024 (11 pages).
- [5] H. Ansari-Toroghy - F. Farshadifar, *Some generalizations of second submodules*, submitted.
- [6] H. Ansari-Toroghy - F. Farshadifar, *Product and dual product of submodules*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **25** (3) (2007), 447-455.
- [7] H. Ansari-Toroghy - F. Farshadifar - S.S. Pourmortazavi - F. Khaliphe *On secondary modules*, International Journal of Algebra, **6** (16) (2012), 769-774.
- [8] A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75** (2007), 417-429.
- [9] A. Badawi - U. Tekir - E. Yetkin, *On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **51** (4) (2014), 1163-1173.
- [10] A. Barnard, *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra **71** (1981), 174-178.
- [11] S. Ceken - M. Alkan - P.F. Smith, *The dual notion of the prime radical of a module*, J. Algebra **392** (2013), 265-275.
- [12] A. Y. Darani - F. Soheilnia, *2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules*, Thai J. Math. **9**(3) (2011), 577-584.
- [13] J. Dauns, *Prime submodules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **298** (1978), 156–181.
- [14] L. Fuchs - W. Heinzer - B. Olberding, *Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: Irreducibility in the quotient field*, in : Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **249** (2006), 121–145.
- [15] C.P. Lu, *M-radicals of submodules in modules*, Math. Japonica, **34** (2) (1989), 211-219.
- [16] R.L. McCasland - M.E. Moore, *On radicals of submodules of finitely generated modules*, Canad. Math. Bull. **29** (1) (1986), 37-39.
- [17] H. Mostafanasab - U. Tekir - K.H. Oral, *Classical 2-absorbing submodules of modules over commutative rings*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math, **8** (3) (2015), 417-430.
- [18] H. Mostafanasab - E. Yetkin - U. Tekir - A. Yousefian Darani, *On 2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over commutative rings*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, **24** (1) (2016), 335-351.
- [19] A. Nikseresht - H. Sharif, *On comultiplication and R-multiplication modules*, Journal of algebraic systems **2** (1) (2014), 1-19.

- [20] S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **37** (2001), 273–278.
- [21] S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of the cyclic modules*, Kobe. J. Math. **15** (1998), 41–46.

H. ANSARI-TOROGHY

*Department of pure Mathematics, Faculty of mathematical Sciences
University of Guilan P. O. Box 41335-19141, Rasht, Iran.
e-mail: ansari@guilan.ac.ir*

F. FARSHADIFAR

*University of Farhangian, P. O. Box 19396-14464, Tehran, Iran.
School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),
P.O. Box: 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran.
e-mail: f.farshadifar@gmail.com*