LE MATEMATICHE Vol. LXXII (2017) – Fasc. I, pp. 145–156 doi: 10.4418/2017.72.1.11

# EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR A SECOND-ORDER NONLINEAR NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY THE KRASNOSELSKII'S FIXED POINT TECHNIQUE

### RABAH KHEMIS - ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI - AHCENE DJOUDI

The objective of this work is the application of Krasnoselskii's fixed point technique to prove the existence of periodic solutions of the secondorder nonlinear neutral differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^2}{dt^2} x(t) + p(t) \frac{d}{dt} x(t) + q(t) x(t) \\ &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} g(t, x(t - \tau(t))) + f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau(t))) \end{aligned}$$

The idea of this technique is based on the inverting of the considered equation into an integral equation whose solution is recourse to Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem. In addition, by application of the Banach principle on the inverted integral equation and under certain specified constraints we proved the uniqueness of the periodic solution.

#### 1. Introduction

Delay differential equations are often more realistic in describing natural phenomena compared to those without delay (EDO). They model many natural

Entrato in redazione: 14 maggio 2016

*AMS 2010 Subject Classification:* Primary 34K13, 34A34; Secondary 34K30, 34L30 *Keywords:* Fixed point, periodic solutions, nonlinear neutral differential equations

phenomena and appear in many fields such as physics, chemistry, biology, dynamics of populations, medicine, ... etc.

For these reasons, this type of equations was given a great importance in the work of many researchers. There has been recently many activities concerning the existence, uniqueness, stability and positivity of solutions for delay differential equations, see [1]–[20], [22] and references therein.

But it is often difficult to prove the existence of such solutions because there is no specific way to solve this kind of problems. Where some researchers used the theory of differential equations while others used the fixed point theory, ... etc.

We know that a slight change perturbation in the delay term may lead to completely change the modeled phenomena. So we can represent several phenomena using a single equation model with the proviso that we make some changes in the delay term. In this context and using Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem we find several works concerning the existence and the uniqueness of the periodic solutions of delay second-order differential equations as it is shown in the work of Wang, Lian and Ge [22] on the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} x(t) + p(t) \frac{d}{dt} x(t) + q(t) x(t) \\ &= r(t) x'(t - \tau(t)) + f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau(t))), \end{aligned}$$

and the work of Ardjouni and Djoudi [3] on the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^2}{dt^2} x(t) + p(t) \frac{d}{dt} x(t) + q(t) x(t) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} g(t, x(t - \tau(t))) + f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau(t))). \end{aligned}$$

In this work, we concentrate on the existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for the second order nonlinear neutral differential equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}x(t) + p(t)\frac{d}{dt}x(t) + q(t)x(t) 
= \frac{d^2}{dt^2}g(t,x(t-\tau(t))) + f(t,x(t),x(t-\tau(t))),$$
(1)

where p and q are positive continuous real-valued functions. The functions  $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous with respect to its arguments. To attain our desired end we have to transform (1) into an integral equation and then use Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem to show the existence of periodic solutions. The obtained integral equation is the sum of two mappings, one is a contraction and the other is compact. Also, the transformation

of equation (1) enables us to show the uniqueness of the periodic solution by invoking the contraction mapping principle.

We note that the study of this one is more complicated compared to the equations considered in [3] and [22] since the delay here, further it's nonlinear and variable it also contains a differentiability of second order. As a consequence, our analysis is different from that in [3].

The organization of this article is as follows. In the first, we introduce some notations and lemmas, and state some preliminary results needed later. After we give the Green's function of our equation which plays an important role in this paper. Also, we present the inversion of (1) and state Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem. For details on Krasnoselskii theorem we refer the reader to [21]. Finally, we present our main results on existence and uniqueness.

#### 2. Preliminaries

For T > 0, let  $P_T$  be the set of all continuous scalar functions x, periodic in t of period T. Then  $(P_T, ||.||)$  is a Banach space with the supremum norm

$$||x|| = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |x(t)| = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |x(t)|.$$

Since we are searching for the existence of periodic solutions for equation (1), it is natural to assume that

$$p(t+T) = p(t), q(t+T) = q(t), \tau(t+T) = \tau(t),$$
(2)

with  $\tau$  being scalar function, continuous, and  $\tau(t) \ge \tau^* > 0$ . Also, we assume

$$\int_{0}^{T} p(s) ds > 0, \ \int_{0}^{T} q(s) ds > 0.$$
(3)

Functions g(t,x) and f(t,x,y) are periodic in t of period T. They are also supposed to be globally Lipschitz continuous in x and in x and y, respectively. That is

$$g(t+T,x) = g(t,x), \ f(t+T,x,y) = f(t,x,y), \tag{4}$$

and there are positive constants  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  such that

$$|g(t,x) - g(t,y)| \le k_1 ||x - y||,$$
(5)

and

$$|f(t,x,y) - f(t,z,w)| \le k_2 ||x - z|| + k_3 ||y - w||.$$
(6)

**Lemma 2.1** ([16]). *Suppose that* (2) *and* (3) *hold and* 

$$\frac{R_1\left[\exp\left(\int_0^T p\left(u\right)du\right) - 1\right]}{Q_1T} \ge 1,\tag{7}$$

where

$$R_{1} = \max_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \int_{t}^{t+T} \frac{\exp\left(\int_{t}^{s} p(u) du\right)}{\exp\left(\int_{0}^{T} p(u) du\right) - 1} q(s) ds \right|,$$
$$Q_{1} = \left(1 + \exp\left(\int_{0}^{T} p(u) du\right)\right)^{2} R_{1}^{2}.$$

Then there are continuous T-periodic functions a and b such that b(t) > 0,  $\int_0^T a(u) du > 0$  and

$$a(t) + b(t) = p(t), \ \frac{d}{dt}b(t) + a(t)b(t) = q(t), \ for \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([22]). Suppose the conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold and  $\phi \in P_T$ . Then *the equation* 

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}}x(t) + p(t)\frac{d}{dt}x(t) + q(t)x(t) = \phi(t),$$

has a *T*-periodic solution. Moreover, the periodic solution can be expressed by

$$x(t) = \int_{t}^{t+T} G(t,s) \phi(s) ds$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \frac{\int_t^s \exp\left[\int_t^u b(v) dv + \int_u^s a(v) dv\right] du}{\left[\exp\left(\int_0^T a(u) du\right) - 1\right] \left[\exp\left(\int_0^T b(u) du\right) - 1\right]} + \frac{\int_s^{t+T} \exp\left[\int_t^u b(v) dv + \int_u^{s+T} a(v) dv\right] du}{\left[\exp\left(\int_0^T a(u) du\right) - 1\right] \left[\exp\left(\int_0^T b(u) du\right) - 1\right]}.$$

Corollary 2.3 ([22]). Green's function G satisfies the following properties

$$\begin{split} G(t,t+T) &= G(t,t), \ G(t+T,s+T) = G(t,s), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t,s) &= a(s)G(t,s) - \frac{\exp\left(\int_t^s b(v)dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_0^T b(v)dv\right) - 1}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}G(t,s) &= -b(t)G(t,s) + \frac{\exp\left(\int_t^s a(v)dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_0^T a(v)dv\right) - 1}, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}G(t,s) &= \left(a'(s) + a^2(s)\right)G(t,s) - \left(a(s) + b(s)\right)\frac{\exp\left(\int_t^s b(v)dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_0^T b(v)dv\right) - 1}. \end{split}$$

The following lemma is essential to our results.

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose (2)–(4) and (7) hold. If  $x \in P_T$ , then x is a solution of equation (1) if and only if

$$x(t) = \int_{t}^{t+T} \left\{ \left( a'(s) + a^{2}(s) \right) g(s, x(s - \tau(s))) + f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau(s))) \right\} G(t, s) ds + g(t, x(t - \tau(t))) - \int_{t}^{t+T} p(s) E(t, s) g(s, x(s - \tau(s))) ds$$
(8)

where

$$E(t,s) = \frac{\exp\left(\int_t^s b(v) dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_0^T b(v) dv\right) - 1}.$$
(9)

*Proof.* Let  $x \in P_T$  be a solution of (1). From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$x(t) = \int_{t}^{t+T} G(t,s) \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} g\left( s, x\left(s - \tau\left(s\right)\right) \right) + f\left( s, x\left(s\right), x\left(s - \tau\left(s\right)\right) \right) \right] ds.$$
(10)

Using the twice integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{t}^{t+T} G(t,s) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} g\left(s, x\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right) ds \\ &= -\int_{t}^{t+T} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G(t,s)\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} g\left(s, x\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right) ds \\ &- \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G(t,s)\right) g\left(s, x\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right)\right]_{t}^{t+T} \\ &+ \int_{t}^{t+T} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} G(t,s)\right) g\left(s, x\left(s-\tau\left(s\right)\right)\right) ds. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\begin{split} &-\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}G(t,s)\right)g(s,x(s-\tau(s)))\right]_{t}^{t+T}\\ &=-\left[\left(a(s)G(t,s)-\frac{\exp\left(\int_{t}^{s}b\left(v\right)dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_{0}^{T}b\left(v\right)dv\right)-1}\right)g(s,x(s-\tau(s)))\right]_{t}^{t+T}\\ &=-\left(a(t+T)G(t,t+T)-\frac{\exp\left(\int_{t}^{t+T}b\left(v\right)dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_{0}^{T}b\left(v\right)dv\right)-1}\right)\\ &\times g(t+T,x(t+T-\tau(t+T)))\\ &+\left(a(t)G(t,t)-\frac{\exp\left(\int_{t}^{t}b\left(v\right)dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_{0}^{T}b\left(v\right)dv\right)-1}\right)g(t,x(t-\tau(t))))\\ &=-\left(a(t)G(t,t)-\frac{\exp\left(\int_{0}^{T}b\left(v\right)dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_{0}^{T}b\left(v\right)dv\right)-1}\right)g(t,x(t-\tau(t))))\\ &+\left(a(t)G(t,t)-\frac{1}{\exp\left(\int_{0}^{T}b\left(v\right)dv\right)-1}\right)g(t,x(t-\tau(t))))\\ &=g(t,x(t-\tau(t))), \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{t}^{t+T} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} G(t,s) \right) g(s,x(s-\tau(s))) ds \\ &= \int_{t}^{t+T} \left\{ \left( a'(s) + a^2(s) \right) g(s,x(s-\tau(s))) G(t,s) \\ &- p\left(s\right) E\left(t,s\right) g(s,x(s-\tau(s))) \right\} ds, \end{split}$$

we obtain

$$\int_{t}^{t+T} G(t,s) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} g(s,x(s-\tau(s))) ds$$
  
=  $g(t,x(t-\tau(t)))$   
+  $\int_{t}^{t+T} \left\{ \left( a'(s) + a^{2}(s) \right) g(s,x(s-\tau(s))) G(t,s) - p(s) E(t,s) g(s,x(s-\tau(s))) \right\} ds,$  (11)

where *E* is given by (9). Then substituting (11) in (10) completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

150

Lemma 2.5 ([22]). Let 
$$A = \int_0^T p(u) du, B = T^2 \exp\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \ln(q(u)) du\right)$$
. If  
 $A^2 \ge 4B,$  (12)

then we have

$$\min\left\{\int_{0}^{T} a(u) du, \int_{0}^{T} b(u) du\right\} \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(A - \sqrt{A^{2} - 4B}\right) := l,$$
$$\max\left\{\int_{0}^{T} a(u) du, \int_{0}^{T} b(u) du\right\} \le \frac{1}{2} \left(A + \sqrt{A^{2} - 4B}\right) := m.$$

Corollary 2.6 ([22]). Functions G and E satisfy

$$\frac{T}{(e^m - 1)^2} \le G(t, s) \le \frac{T \exp\left(\int_0^T p(u) \, du\right)}{(e^l - 1)^2}, \ E(t, s) \le \frac{e^m}{e^l - 1}.$$

Lastly in this section, we state Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem which enables us to prove the existence of periodic solutions to equation (1). For its proof we refer the reader to [21].

**Theorem 2.7** (Krasnoselskii). Let  $\mathbb{M}$  be a closed convex nonempty subset of a Banach space  $(\mathbb{B}, \|.\|)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  map  $\mathbb{M}$  into  $\mathbb{B}$  such that

(*i*)  $x, y \in \mathbb{M}$ , *implies*  $Ax + By \in \mathbb{M}$ ,

(ii) A is compact and continuous,

(iii)  $\mathcal{B}$  is a contraction mapping.

*Then there exists*  $z \in \mathbb{M}$  *with* z = Az + Bz.

#### 3. Main results

We present our existence results in this section. To this end, we first define the operator  $H: P_T \rightarrow P_T$  by

$$(H\varphi)(t) = \int_{t}^{t+T} G(t,s) \left\{ \left( a'(s) + a^{2}(s) \right) g(s,\varphi(s-\tau(s))) + f(s,\varphi(s),\varphi(s-\tau(s))) \right\} ds + g(t,\varphi(t-\tau(t))) - \int_{t}^{t+T} p(s) E(t,s) g(s,\varphi(s-\tau(s))) ds.$$
(13)

From Lemma 2.4, we see that fixed points of H are solutions of (1) and vice versa. In order to employ Theorem 2.7 we need to express the operator H as

151

the sum of two operators, one of which is compact and the other of which is a contraction. Let  $(H\varphi)(t) = (\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) + (\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t)$  where

$$(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) = \int_{t}^{t+T} G(t,s) \{ (a'(s) + a^{2}(s)) g(s,\varphi(s-\tau(s))) + f(s,\varphi(s),\varphi(s-\tau(s))) \} ds,$$
(14)

and

$$(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t) = g(t,\varphi(t-\tau(t))) - \int_{t}^{t+T} p(s)E(t,s)g(s,\varphi(s-\tau(s)))ds.$$
(15)

To simplify notations, we introduce the following constants

.

$$\alpha = \frac{T \exp\left(\int_{0}^{T} p(u) du\right)}{\left(e^{l} - 1\right)^{2}}, \ \beta = \frac{e^{m}}{e^{l} - 1}, \ \gamma = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |a(t)|,$$
$$\gamma' = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |a'(t)|, \ \lambda = \max_{t \in [0,T]} \{b(t)\}, \ \theta = \max_{t \in [0,T]} \{p(t)\}.$$
(16)

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that conditions (2)–(7) and (12) hold. Then  $\mathcal{A} : P_T \to P_T$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be defined by (14). Obviously,  $\mathcal{A}\varphi$  is continuous and it is easy to show that  $(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t+T) = (\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t)$ . To see that  $\mathcal{A}$  is continuous, we let  $\varphi, \psi \in P_T$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $\eta = \varepsilon/N$  with  $N = \alpha T ((\gamma' + \gamma^2)k_1 + k_2 + k_3)$  where  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  are given by (5) and (6). Now, for  $||\varphi - \psi|| < \eta$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \mathcal{A}\boldsymbol{\psi}\| &\leq \alpha \int_{t}^{t+T} \left[ \left( \boldsymbol{\gamma}' + \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{2} \right) k_{1} \|\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}\| + \left( k_{2} + k_{3} \right) \|\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}\| \right] ds \\ &\leq N \|\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \boldsymbol{\psi}\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\mathcal{A}$  is continuous. To show that the image of  $\mathcal{A}$  is contained in a compact set, we consider  $\mathbb{D} = \{\varphi \in P_T : \|\varphi\| \le L\}$ , where *L* is a fixed positive constant. Let  $\varphi_n \in \mathbb{D}$ , where *n* is a positive integer. Observe that in view of (5) and (6) we have

$$|g(t,x)| = |g(t,x) - g(t,0) + g(t,0)|$$
  

$$\leq |g(t,x) - g(t,0)| + |g(t,0)|$$
  

$$\leq k_1 ||x|| + \rho_1,$$

similarly,

$$|f(t,x,y)| = |f(t,x,y) - f(t,0,0) + f(t,0,0)|$$
  

$$\leq |f(t,x,y) - f(t,0,0)| + |f(t,0,0)|$$
  

$$\leq k_2 ||x|| + k_3 ||y|| + \rho_2,$$

where  $\rho_1 = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |g(t,0)|$  and  $\rho_2 = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |f(t,0,0)|$ . Hence, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by (14) we obtain that

$$\|\mathcal{A}\varphi_n\|\leq D,$$

for some positive constant *D*. Next we calculate  $\frac{d}{dt}(A\varphi_n)(t)$  and show that it is uniformly bounded. By making use of (2), (3) and (4) we obtain by taking the derivative in (14) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\mathcal{A}\varphi_{n}\right)(t)$$

$$= \int_{t}^{t+T} \left[ -b\left(t\right)G\left(t,s\right) + \frac{\exp\left(\int_{t}^{s}a\left(v\right)dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_{0}^{T}a\left(v\right)dv\right) - 1} \right]$$

$$\times \left\{ \left(a'\left(s\right) + a^{2}\left(s\right)\right)g\left(s,\varphi_{n}\left(s - \tau\left(s\right)\right)\right) + f\left(s,\varphi_{n}\left(s\right),\varphi_{n}\left(s - \tau\left(s\right)\right)\right) \right\} ds.$$

Consequently, by invoking (5), (6) and (16), we obtain

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\left(\mathcal{A}\varphi_{n}\right)(t)\right| \leq T\left(\lambda\alpha+\beta\right)\left[\left(\gamma'+\gamma^{2}\right)\left(k_{1}L+\rho_{1}\right)+\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right)L+\rho_{2}\right]$$
$$\leq M,$$

for some positive constant *M*. Hence the sequence  $(\mathcal{A}\varphi_n)$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. The Ascoli-Arzela theorem implies that a subsequence  $(\mathcal{A}\varphi_{n_k})$  of  $(\mathcal{A}\varphi_n)$  converges uniformly to a continuous *T*-periodic function. Thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is continuous and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$  is contained in a compact subset of  $P_T$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is given by (15) with

$$k_1 \left( 1 + \theta \beta T \right) < 1, \tag{17}$$

then  $\mathcal{B}: P_T \to P_T$  is a contraction.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be defined by (15). It is easy to show that  $(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t+T) = (\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t)$ . To see that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a contraction. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in P_T$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t) - (\mathcal{B}\psi)(t)| \\ &\leq |g(t,\varphi(t-\tau(t))) - g(t,\psi(t-\tau(t)))| \\ &+ \int_{t}^{t+T} p(s)E(t,s) |g(s,\varphi(s-\tau(s))) - g(s,\psi(s-\tau(s)))| ds \\ &\leq k_1 (1+\theta\beta T) \|\varphi - \psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\left\|\mathcal{B}\varphi-\mathcal{B}\psi\right\|\leq k_{1}\left(1+\theta\beta T\right)\left\|\varphi-\psi\right\|.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{B}: P_T \to P_T$  is a contraction by (17).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be given by (16). Suppose that conditions (2)–(7), (12) and (17) hold. Suppose there exists a positive constant J satisfying the inequality

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \left( \gamma' + \gamma^2 \right) + \theta \beta \end{bmatrix} \rho_1 + \alpha \rho_2 \right\} T + \rho_1 \\ + \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \left( \alpha \left( \gamma' + \gamma^2 \right) + \theta \beta \right) k_1 + \alpha \left( k_2 + k_3 \right) \end{bmatrix} T + k_1 \right\} J \le J.$$

Then (1) has a solution  $x \in P_T$  such that  $||x|| \leq J$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\mathbb{M} = \{ \varphi \in P_T : \|\varphi\| \le J \}$ . By Lemma 3.1, the operator  $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{M} \to P_T$  is compact and continuous. Also, from Lemma 3.2, the operator  $\mathcal{B} : \mathbb{M} \to P_T$  is a contraction. Conditions (*ii*) and (*iii*) of Theorem 2.7 are satisfied. We need to show that condition (*i*) is fulfilled. To this end, let  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{M}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) + (\mathcal{B}\psi)(t)| \\ &\leq \alpha \int_{t}^{t+T} \left[ (\gamma' + \gamma^{2}) (k_{1} \|\varphi\| + \rho_{1}) + (k_{2} + k_{3}) \|\varphi\| + \rho_{2} \right] ds \\ &+ k_{1} \|\psi\| + \rho_{1} + \theta\beta \int_{t}^{t+T} (k_{1} \|\psi\| + \rho_{1}) ds \\ &\leq \left\{ \left[ \alpha (\gamma' + \gamma^{2}) + \theta\beta \right] \rho_{1} + \alpha\rho_{2} \right\} T + \rho_{1} \\ &+ \left\{ \left[ (\alpha (\gamma' + \gamma^{2}) + \theta\beta) k_{1} + \alpha (k_{2} + k_{3}) \right] T + k_{1} \right\} J \leq J. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $||\mathcal{A}\varphi + \mathcal{B}\psi|| \leq J$  and so  $\mathcal{A}\varphi + \mathcal{B}\psi \in \mathbb{M}$ . All the conditions of Theorem 2.7 are satisfied and consequently the operator *H* defined in (13) has a fixed point in  $\mathbb{M}$ . By Lemma 2.4 this fixed point is a solution of (1) and the proof is complete.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be given by (16). Suppose that conditions (2)–(7), (12) and (17) hold. If

$$\left[\left(\alpha\left(\gamma'+\gamma^{2}\right)+\theta\beta\right)k_{1}+\alpha\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\right]T+k_{1}<1,$$

then (1) has a unique T-periodic solution.

*Proof.* Let the mapping *H* be given by (13). For  $\varphi, \psi \in P_T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(H\varphi)(t) - (H\psi)(t)| \\ &\leq \alpha \int_{t}^{t+T} \left[ \left( \gamma' + \gamma^{2} \right) k_{1} \|\varphi - \psi\| + (k_{2} + k_{3}) \|\varphi - \psi\| \right] ds \\ &+ k_{1} \|\varphi - \psi\| + \theta \beta \int_{t}^{t+T} k_{1} \|\varphi - \psi\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left\|H\varphi-H\psi\right\|\leq\left\{\left[\left(\alpha\left(\gamma'+\gamma^{2}\right)+\theta\beta\right)k_{1}+\alpha\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right)\right]T+k_{1}\right\}\left\|\varphi-\psi\right\|.$$

By the contraction mapping principle, *H* has a fixed point in  $P_T$  and by Lemma 2.4, this fixed point is a solution of (1). The proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- M. Adıvar and Y. N. Raffoul, *Existence of periodic solutions in totally nonlinear* delay dynamic equations, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations 2009, No. 1, 1–20.
- [2] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Existence of positive periodic solutions for a secondorder nonlinear neutral differential equation with variable delay, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 2 (2013), 151–161.
- [3] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Periodic solutions for a second-order nonlinear neutral differential equation with variable delay, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2011 (2011), paper no. 128, 1–7.
- [4] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Periodic solutions in totally nonlinear dynamic equations with functional delay on a time scale, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino Vol. 68, 4(2010), 349–359.
- [5] T. A. Burton, *Liapunov functionals, fixed points and stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem*, Nonlinear Stud. 9(2002), No. 2, 181–190.
- [6] T. A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, New York, 2006.
- [7] F. D. Chen, Positive periodic solutions of neutral Lotka-Volterra system with feedback control, Appl. Math. Comput. 162 (2005), no. 3, 1279–1302.
- [8] F. D. Chen and J. L. Shi, *Periodicity in a nonlinear predator-prey system with state dependent delays*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser. 21 (2005), no. 1, 49-60.
- [9] H. Deham and A. Djoudi, *Periodic solutions for nonlinear differential equation with functional delay*, Georgian Mathematical Journal 15 (2008), No. 4, 635–642.
- [10] H. Deham and A. Djoudi, *Existence of periodic solutions for neutral nonlinear differential equations with variable delay*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2010(2010), No. 127, pp. 1–8.
- [11] Y. M. Dib, M.R. Maroun and Y.N. Raffoul, *Periodicity and stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delay*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2005(2005), No. 142, pp. 1–11.
- [12] M. Fan and K. Wang, P. J. Y. Wong and R. P. Agarwal, *Periodicity and stability in periodic n-species Lotka-Volterra competition system with feedback controls and deviating arguments*, Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 19 (2003), no. 4, 801–822.

#### 156 RABAH KHEMIS - ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI - AHCENE DJOUDI

- [13] E. R. Kaufmann and Y. N. Raffoul, *Periodic solutions for a neutral nonlinear dynamical equation on a time scale*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 319 (2006), no. 1, 315–325.
- [14] E. R. Kaufmann and Y. N. Raffoul, *Periodicity and stability in neutral nonlinear dynamic equations with functional delay on a time scale*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2007 (2007), no. 27, 1–12.
- [15] E. R. Kaufmann, A nonlinear neutral periodic differential equation, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2010 (2010), no. 88, 1–8.
- [16] Y. Liu and W. Ge, *Positive periodic solutions of nonlinear duffing equations with delay and variable coefficients*, Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci. 20(2004) 235–255.
- [17] R. Olach, Positive periodic solutions of delay differential equations, Applied Mathematics Letters 26 (2013) 1141–1145.
- [18] Y. N. Raffoul, *Periodic solutions for neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delay*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2003 (2003), no. 102, 1–7.
- [19] Y. N. Raffoul, Stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delays using fixed-point theory, Math. Comput. Modelling 40 (2004), no. 7-8, 691–700.
- [20] Y. N. Raffoul, Positive periodic solutions in neutral nonlinear differential equations, E. J. Qualitative Theory of Diff. Equ. 2007 (2007), no. 16, 1–10.
- [21] D. R. Smart, *Fixed point theorems*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, No. 66. Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1974.
- [22] Y. Wang, H. Lian and W. Ge, *Periodic solutions for a second order nonlinear functional differential equation*, Applied Mathematics Letters 20(2007) 110–115.

#### RABAH KHEMIS

Laboratoire LAMAHIS, Département de Mathématiques, Université 20 Août 1955 -Skikda 21000, Algérie e-mail: kbra28@yahoo.fr

## ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Souk Ahras, P.O. Box 1553, Souk Ahras, 41000, Algeria e-mail: abd\_ardjouni@yahoo.fr

AHCENE DJOUDI Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences University of Annaba, P.O. Box 12 Annaba, Algeria e-mail: adjoudi@yahoo.com