

## EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF NON-ZERO SOLUTIONS FOR THE NEUMANN PROBLEM VIA SPHERICAL MAXIMA

MEHDI KHODABAKHSHI

In this paper, we are interested in the existence and multiplicity of non-zero solutions for a two-point boundary value problems subject to Neumann conditions. Our approach is based on a result on spherical maxima sharing the same Lagrange multiplier that was established recently by Ricceri.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this article, we consider the Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u = \lambda \alpha(t)f(u) & t \in [0, 1] \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a non-zero, non-negative continuous function,  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  is a real parameter. In particular, A. Iannizzotto, in [2], proved the the following result:

---

Entrato in redazione: 12 agosto 2016

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 34B15

Keywords: Neumann problem; Spherical maxima; Palais-Smale condition; Variational methods; Critical point

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that, for some  $s > 0$ , one has*

$$\sup_{|\xi| \leq s} \int_0^\xi f(t) dt = \sup_{|\xi| \leq \sqrt{2}s} \int_0^\xi f(t) dt.$$

*Then, for every continuous, non-zero and non-negative function  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the problem*

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u = \alpha(t)f(u) & t \in [0, 1] \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

*has at least a solution whose norm in  $H^1([0, 1])$  is less than or equal to  $s$ .*

Notice that when  $f(0) = 0$  the quoted result does not guarantee the existence of a non-zero solution.

Our purpose in this work is to show that, under the additional condition

$$\sup_{|\xi| \leq s} \int_0^\xi f(t) dt > 0,$$

there is a *non-zero* solution for some suitable  $\lambda > 0$ , and also in Theorem 2.3, we obtain at least two non-zero solutions for the problem (1), by using the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (see [1]).

Here, we denote by  $X$  the Sobolev space  $H^1([0, 1])$ , and consider the following scalar product on  $X$  :

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_0^1 u'(t)v'(t) dt + \int_0^1 u(t)v(t) dt$$

with the induced norm

$$\|u\| = \left( \int_0^1 |u(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^1 |u'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let us define  $F(\xi) := \int_0^\xi f(t) dt$ , for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the compact embedding  $X \hookrightarrow C([0, 1])$ , there exists a positive constant  $c > 1$  such that

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq c \|u\|, \quad (\forall u \in X)$$

where  $c$  is the best constant of the embedding and thanks to [2],  $c = \sqrt{2}$ . Moreover we introduce the functional  $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  associated with (1),

$$J(u) = \int_0^1 \alpha(t)F(u(t)) dt.$$

Standard arguments show that  $J$  is a well defined and continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is given by

$$J'(u)(v) = \int_0^1 \alpha(t)f(u(t))v(t)dt$$

for every  $u, v \in X$ .

By classical results, the critical points of the functional  $\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2 - \lambda J(\cdot)$  in  $H^1(]0, 1[)$  are exactly the classical solutions of (1).

**Definition 1.2.** A Gâteaux differentiable function  $I$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition if any sequence  $\{u_n\}$  such that

- (a)  $\{I(u_n)\}$  is bounded,
- (b)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|I'(u_n)\|_{X^*} = 0$ ,  
has a convergent subsequence.

Our main tool to investigate the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the problem (1) is a result on spherical maxima sharing the same Lagrange multiplier due to B. Ricceri [3, Theorem 1], which we now recall in a convenient form. First, we begin by introducing some notations. If  $X$  is a real Hilbert space, for each  $\rho > 0$ , set

$$S_\rho = \{x \in X : \|u\| = \rho\},$$

$$B_\rho = \{x \in X : \|u\| \leq \rho\},$$

and

$$\beta_\rho = \sup_{B_\rho} J, \quad \delta_\rho = \sup_{x \in B_\rho \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J(x)}{\|x\|^2}.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** For some  $\rho > 0$ , assume that  $J$  is Gâteaux differentiable in  $\text{int}(B_\rho) \setminus \{0\}$  and

$$\frac{\beta_\rho}{\rho} < \delta_\rho. \tag{2}$$

For each  $r \in ]\beta_\rho, +\infty[$ , put

$$\eta(r) = \sup_{y \in B_\rho} \frac{\rho - \|y\|^2}{r - J(y)}$$

and

$$\Gamma(r) = \left\{ x \in B_\rho : \frac{\rho - \|x\|^2}{r - J(x)} = \eta(r) \right\}.$$

Then the following assertions hold:

- i) the function  $\eta$  is convex and decreasing in  $] \beta_\rho, +\infty[$ , with  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \eta(r) = 0$ ;
- ii) for each  $r \in ] \beta_\rho, \rho \delta_\rho [$ , the set  $\Gamma(r)$  is non-empty and, for every  $\hat{x} \in \Gamma(r)$ , one has

$$0 < \|\hat{x}\|^2 < \rho$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x} &\in \left\{ x \in S_{\|\hat{x}\|^2} : J(x) = \sup_{S_{\|\hat{x}\|^2}} J \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ x \in \text{int}(B_\rho) : \|x\|^2 - \eta(r)J(x) = \inf_{y \in B_\rho} \left( \|y\|^2 - \eta(r)J(y) \right) \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ x \in X : x = \frac{\eta(r)}{2} J'(x) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this work, the valuable and main way for providing condition (2), that was proved in ([3], Proposition 2), is the following

**Proposition 1.4.** *For some  $s > 0$ , assume that  $J$  is Gâteaux differentiable in  $B_s \setminus \{0\}$  and that there exists a global maximum  $\hat{x}$  of  $J|_{B_s}$  such that*

$$\langle J'(\hat{x}), \hat{x} \rangle < 2J(\hat{x}).$$

Then (2) holds with  $\rho = \|\hat{x}\|^2$ .

## 2. Main results

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that*

- i) there exist a  $s > 0$  and  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\xi_0| \leq \sqrt{s}$  such that

$$F(\xi_0) = \sup_{|\xi| \leq \sqrt{2s}} F(\xi)$$

- ii)  $F(\xi_0) > 0$ .

Then, for each non-zero, non-negative, continuous function  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there exists a non-degenerate interval

$I_\alpha \subset ]0, +\infty[$ , such that, for every  $\lambda \in I_\alpha$ , the problem (1) has at least a non-zero solution whose norm in  $H^1(]0, 1[)$  is less than  $|\xi_0|$ .

*Proof.* Our aim is to apply Theorem 1.3 with  $X = H^1(]0, 1[)$  and

$$J(u) = \int_0^1 \alpha(t)F(u(t))dt.$$

Set  $\rho = |\xi_0|^2$ . By the compact embedding  $X \hookrightarrow C([0, 1])$ , it is easy to show that the functional  $J$  is a sequentially weakly continuous. Then, for every  $a > 0$ , the functional  $\|\cdot\|^2 - aJ(\cdot)$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. Fix a non-zero, non-negative continuous function  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let us prove that  $\frac{\beta_\rho}{\rho} < \delta_\rho$ . Denote by  $u_0$  the constant function  $u_0(t) = \xi_0$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Clearly  $u_0 \in X$ . By *i*) and since  $\|u\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2}\|u\|$  for every  $u \in H^1(]0, 1[)$ , then we have

$$\sup_{\|u\|^2 \leq s} J(u) \leq \sup_{|\xi| \leq \sqrt{2s}} F(\xi) \left( \int_0^1 \alpha(t)dt \right) = F(\xi_0) \left( \int_0^1 \alpha(t)dt \right)$$

then  $\sup_{\|u\|^2 \leq s} J(u) = J(u_0)$ . On the other hand, since

$$\langle J'(u_0), u_0 \rangle = \int_0^1 \alpha(t)f(\xi_0)u_0(t)dt ,$$

by *ii*) and  $f(\xi_0) = 0$ , we get

$$\langle J'(u_0), u_0 \rangle = 0 < 2F(\xi_0) \left( \int_0^1 \alpha(t)dt \right) = 2J(u_0).$$

So, thanks to Proposition 1.4, the condition  $\frac{\beta_\rho}{\rho} < \delta_\rho$  is verified. Therefore, all conclusions of Theorem 1.3 hold. Put

$$I_\alpha := \left\{ \frac{\eta(r)}{2} : r \in ]\beta_\rho, \rho\delta_\rho[ \right\}. \tag{3}$$

Then, since the function  $\eta : ]\beta_\rho, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and decreasing,  $I_\alpha$  is a non-degenerate interval, Now, fix  $\lambda \in I_\alpha$ . So, there is a  $r \in ]\beta_\rho, \rho\delta_\rho[$  such that  $\lambda = \frac{\eta(r)}{2}$ . Then, by *ii*) of Theorem 1.3, there exists  $\hat{x} \in \Gamma(r)$  such that

$$0 < \|\hat{x}\| < |\xi_0|$$

and

$$\hat{x} = \frac{\eta(r)}{2}J'(\hat{x}) = \lambda J'(\hat{x}),$$

which means that the problem (1) has at least a non-zero solution whose norm in  $H^1(]0, 1[)$  is less than  $|\xi_0|$ . □

**Remark 2.2.** In view of *ii)* of Theorem 1.3, it is clear that the non-zero solution of the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 is a local minimum of the functional  $\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2 - \lambda J(\cdot)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 be satisfied. Moreover, assume that*

i)  $\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^2} \leq 0$ ;

ii) *there are constants  $\mu > 2$  and  $r > 0$  such that for  $|\xi| \geq r$ ,*

$$0 < \mu F(\xi) \leq \xi f(\xi).$$

*Then, for each non-zero, non-negative, continuous function  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there exists a non-degenerate interval  $I_\alpha \subset ]0, +\infty[$  such that, for every  $\lambda \in I_\alpha$ , the problem (1) has at least two non-zero solutions, one of which has norm in  $H^1(]0, 1[)$  less than  $|\xi_0|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-zero, non-negative continuous function. By Theorem 1.3 and Remark 2.2, there exists a non-degenerate interval  $\lambda \in I_\alpha$ , such that, for every  $\lambda \in I_\alpha$ , the functional  $\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2 - \lambda J(\cdot)$  has a non-zero local minimum  $\hat{x}$  whose norm in  $H^1(]0, 1[)$  is less than  $|\xi_0|$ . By *i)*, there exists a  $\sigma > 0$  such that

$$F(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{8\lambda \left(\sup_{[0,1]} \alpha\right)} \xi^2,$$

for every  $\xi \in ]-\sigma, \sigma[$ . Set  $V = \left\{u \in H^1(]0, 1[) : \|u\| < \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}\right\}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda \frac{1}{8\lambda \left(\sup_{[0,1]} \alpha\right)} \left(\int_0^1 \alpha(t)|u(t)|^2 dt\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda \frac{1}{8\lambda \left(\sup_{[0,1]} \alpha\right)} \left(\sup_{[0,1]} \alpha\right) \|u\|_\infty^2 = \frac{1}{4}\|u\|^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $u \in V$ . So, 0 is another local minimum of the functional  $\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2 - \lambda J(\cdot)$ . On the other hand, a very classical reasoning shows that, by *ii)*, the same functional satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. So, by Corollary 1 of [4], this functional has a third critical point, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** In connection with Theorem 2.1, consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u = \lambda \alpha(t)f(u) & \text{in } [0, 1] \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} -t^3 - t^2 & t \leq 0, \\ \frac{1}{1000}(5t^4 + 3t^2) & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each non-zero, non-negative, continuous function  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there exists a non-degenerate interval  $I_\alpha \subseteq ]0, +\infty[$  such that, for every  $\lambda \in I_\alpha$ , the above problem has at least a non-zero solutions whose norm in  $H^1(]0, 1[)$  is less than 1.

To prove this, we can apply Theorem 2.1 by taking  $\xi_0 = -1$  and  $s = 1$ . A simple computation shows that

$$\sup_{|\xi| \leq \sqrt{2}} \int_0^\xi f(t)dt = \int_0^{\xi_0} f(t)dt.$$

All the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are so verified and the conclusion follows.

**Example 2.5.** In connection with Theorem 2.3, consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u = \lambda \alpha(t)f(u) & \text{in } [0, 1] \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} -(t+1)^2 & t \leq -1 \\ -8\pi \sin(16\pi t) & -\frac{1}{8} < t \leq \frac{1}{8} \\ 16\pi \sin(16\pi(t - \frac{1}{4})) & \frac{1}{8} < t \leq \frac{3}{8} \\ (t-1)^2 & t \geq 1 \\ 0 & o.w \end{cases}$$

Then, for each non-zero, non-negative, continuous function  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there exists a non-degenerate interval  $I_\alpha \subseteq ]0, +\infty[$  such that, for every  $\lambda \in I_\alpha$ , the above problem has at least two non-zero solutions, one of which has norm in  $H^1(]0, 1[)$  less than  $\frac{5}{16}$ .

To prove this, we can apply Theorem 2.3, by taking  $\xi_0 = \frac{5}{16}$ ,  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r = 1$  and  $\mu = 3$ . A simple computation shows that

$$\sup_{|\xi| \leq 1} \int_0^\xi f(t)dt = \int_0^{\xi_0} f(t)dt,$$

and also

$$0 < \mu F(x) \leq xf(x),$$

for every  $|x| \geq 1$ . Further, since the function  $F$  is negative in some neighborhood of origin so, we have

$$\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^2} \leq 0.$$

Finally, all the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 are so verified and the conclusion follows.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P. H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973), 349–381.
- [2] A. Iannizzotto, *A sharp existence and localization theorem for a Neumann problem*, Arch. Math. 82 (2004), 352–360.
- [3] B. Ricceri, *A note on spherical maxima sharing the same Lagrange multiplier*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications. 2014 (2014): 25.
- [4] P. Pucci, J. Serrin, *A mountain pass theorem*, J. Differential Equations. 60 (1985), 142–149.

*MEHDI KHODABAKHSHI*

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical and Computer Sciences  
University of Shahid Chamran, Ahwaz, Iran  
e-mail: m.khodabakhshi11@gmail.com*