

**CHARACTERIZATION OF THE ABSOLUTELY  
SUMMING OPERATORS IN A BANACH SPACE USING  
 $\mu$ -APPROXIMATE  $l_1$  SEQUENCES**

N. L. BRAHA

In this paper we will give a characterization of 1-absolutely summing operators using  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  sequences. Exactly if  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$ , basic and normalized sequence in Banach space  $X$  then every bounded linear operator  $T$  from  $X$  into Banach space  $Y$  is 1-absolutely summing if and only if  $Y$  is isomorphic to Hilbert space

**Introduction.**

In the following we will denote by  $X$  a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Some notations which are useful in the sequel.

**Definition 1.** [3] Let  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of unit vectors in a Banach space  $X$  (where  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  or  $I = \mathbb{N}$ ), and let  $\mu \geq 0$ . We say that  $(x_i)$  is a  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  system if

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in A} \pm x_i \right\| \geq k(A) - \mu$$

for all finite sets  $A \subset I$  and for all choices of signs, where  $k(A)$  denotes the cardinal number of the set  $A$ .

**Definition 2.** [1] An operator  $T \in L(X, Y)$  is called  $p$ -absolutely summing if there is a constant  $K$  so that, for every choice of an integer  $n$  and vectors  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  in  $X$ , we have

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|Tx_i\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq K \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x^*(x_i)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

All other notations are like as in [1].

**Theorem 1.** [4] Every bounded linear operator  $T$  from  $l_1$  into  $l_2$  is absolutely summing and  $\pi_1(T) \leq K_G \|T\|$ .

**Theorem 2.** [5] (Ideal property of  $p$ -summing operators) Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $v \in \Pi_p(X, Y)$ , then the composition of  $v$  with any bounded linear operator is  $p$ -summing.

### Results.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  be a sequence of unit vectors in Banach space  $X$ . Then for any finite number of scalars  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , the following is true

$$(1) \quad \|a_1 \cdot x_1 + \dots + a_n \cdot x_n\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|a_i|\} \|x_1 + \dots + x_n\|$$

*Proof.* In the sequel we will prove the above fact using the mathematical induction and it's enough to prove it for two terms. Let us consider vectors  $x$  and  $y$  from  $X$  and  $a, b$  scalars such that  $a > b$ , then from Hahn-Banach Theorem there exists  $x^* \in X^*$  such that

$$\|x^*\| = 1$$

and

$$x^*(a \cdot x + b \cdot y) = \|a \cdot x + b \cdot y\|.$$

On the other hand

$$|x^*(x + y)| \leq \|x^*\| \cdot \|x + y\|$$

From the above relations we will have

$$|ax^*(x) + ax^*(y)| \leq |a| \cdot \|x + y\| \Rightarrow |ax^*(x) + ax^*(y) + bx^*(y) - bx^*(y)|$$

$$\leq |a| \cdot \|x + y\|$$

Respectively

$$(2) \quad \left| \|ax + by\| + (a - b)x^*(y) \right| \leq |a| \cdot \|x + y\|$$

In the following we will distinguish two cases

I)  $0 < x^*(y) < 1$ , then relation (1) follows directly from (2)

II)  $-1 < x^*(y) < 0$ , then from relation (2) we will have this estimate

$$\|ax + by\| - |(a - b)x^*(y)| \leq \left| \|ax + by\| + (a - b)x^*(y) \right|$$

from which again it follows that (1) is valid.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequence of normalized and  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  vectors in Banach space  $X$ . Then the relation*

$$\left\| \sum_{i \leq n} a_i x_i \right\| \geq K \sum_{i \leq n} |a_i|$$

is true for any finite sequence  $(a_i)$  of scalars and  $K$  positive constant.

*Proof.* Let us start from the relation

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot \text{sgn}(a_i) \cdot x_i \right\|$$

Then from Hanh-Banach Theorem there exists a functional  $f \in X^*$ , such that

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot \text{sgn}(a_i) \cdot x_i\right) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot \text{sgn}(a_i) \cdot x_i \right\|$$

and  $\|f\| = 1$ . From this it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot f(\text{sgn}(a_i) \cdot x_i) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| y_i \right\|$$

where  $y_i = \text{sgn}(a_i) \cdot x_i$ . On the other hand, let us consider  $|a_i| \neq 0$ ,  $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pm x_i \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pm a_i \cdot x_i \cdot \frac{1}{a_i} \right\| =$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot x_i \cdot \frac{\text{sgn}(a_i)}{\pm a_i} \right\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{|\pm a_i|} \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| y_i \right\|$$

(from lemma 3)

$$= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{|a_i|} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot f(y_i) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{|a_i|} \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i| \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i)$$

(again from lemma 3) so it is true that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pm x_i \right\| \leq M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i)$$

where  $M = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{|a_i|} \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|$ .

Now we will have this estimate

$$M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i) \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \pm x_i \right\| \geq n - \mu$$

The last relation is possible if and only if

$$f(y_i) \geq \frac{1 - \delta_i}{M}, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i = \mu$$

and  $0 < \delta_i < 1$ . From this it follows

$$f(y_i) \geq \frac{1 - \delta_i}{M} \geq \frac{1 - \delta}{M}, \quad \text{where } \delta = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \delta_i.$$

Finally

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot f(y_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot \frac{1 - \delta}{M} = K \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$$

where  $K$  is constant and  $K = \frac{1 - \delta}{M}$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a normalized, basic sequence in  $X$  that is, a  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  system, too. Then every bounded linear operator from  $X$  into  $l_2$  is 1-absolutely summing.

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the operator defined from  $l_1$  into  $X$  as follows

$$H : x = \sum_i a_i e_i \rightarrow \sum_i a_i x_i$$

from the above it follows that  $H$  is bijective and bounded with its inverse. Boundedness follows from

$$\|Hx\| = \left\| \sum_i a_i x_i \right\| \leq \sum_i |a_i| \leq \frac{1}{K} \left\| \sum_i a_i x_i \right\| = \frac{1}{K} \|x\|$$

(from lemma 4).  $H$  is onto, let  $y = \sum_i b_i x_i$  any element from  $X$ , then  $z = \sum_i b_i e_i$  belongs to  $l_1^0$ , indeed,

$$\left\| \sum_i b_i e_i \right\| = \sum_i |b_i| < \frac{1}{K} \left\| \sum_i b_i x_i \right\| < \infty,$$

from which it also follows that  $H(z) = y$ . From the above it follows that  $H^{-1}$  also is bounded: let  $t = \sum_i t_i x_i \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|H^{-1}t\| &= \left\| H^{-1} \left( \sum_i t_i x_i \right) \right\| = \left\| \sum_i t_i e_i \right\| = \sum_i |t_i| \leq \frac{1}{K} \left\| \sum_i t_i x_i \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{K} \|t\| \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by  $T$  any bounded linear operator from Banach space  $X$  into  $l_2$ , then operator  $K = T \cdot H$  is defined from  $l_1$  into  $l_2$  and is bounded, so 1-absolutely summing (from Theorem 1). Finally using the ideal properties of operators in Theorem 2 and the fact that  $K \cdot H^{-1} = T$ , it follows that  $T$  is an absolutely summing operator.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a normalized, basic sequence in  $X$  that is, a  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  system, too. Then  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an unconditional basic sequence in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* It's enough to prove that for any  $x, y$  and any finite disjoint subsets  $A, B \in \mathbb{N}$  relation

$$\|x + y\| \sim \|x - y\|$$

is true for  $x \in \text{span} \{x_i : i \in A\}$  and  $y \in \text{span} \{x_i : i \in B\}$ , where  $a \sim b$  means that there exists constant  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that  $c_1 \cdot a \leq b \leq c_2 \cdot a$  (see [7]). From the definition of  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  sequences it follows that

$$\|x + y\| = \left\| \sum_{i \in A} a_i x_i + \sum_{i \in B} b_i x_i \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{i \in A} a_i x_i \right\| - \left\| \sum_{i \in B} b_i x_i \right\| \geq$$

$$K \left( \sum_{i \in A} |a_i| \right) - \sum_{i \in B} |b_i|$$

$$\|x + y\| \leq \sum_{i \in A} |a_i| + \sum_{i \in B} |b_i|;$$

from the other hand

$$\|x - y\| = \left\| \sum_{i \in A} a_i x_i - \sum_{i \in B} b_i x_i \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{i \in A} a_i x_i \right\| - \left\| \sum_{i \in B} b_i x_i \right\| \geq$$

$$K \left( \sum_{i \in A} |a_i| \right) - \sum_{i \in B} |b_i|$$

and

$$\|x + y\| \leq \sum_{i \in A} |a_i| + \sum_{i \in B} |b_i|$$

from the above relations it follows that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an unconditional sequence in  $X$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be normalized, basic and  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  sequence in  $X$ , such that every bounded linear operator  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  is 1-absolutely summing. Then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $l_1$  and  $Y$  is isomorphic to Hilbert space .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 6 it follows that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an unconditional basis in  $X$ . Now the proof of Theorem is similar to that of Theorem 4.2 in [6].

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two infinite dimensional Banach spaces ,and  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  basic, normalized and  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  sequence in  $X$ . Then every bounded linear operator  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  is 1-absolutely summing if and only if  $Y$  is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.*

*Proof.* The forward direction follows from Theorem 7 and converse direction from Theorem 5.

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be basic, normalized and  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  sequence of vectors in  $X$ . Regardless of the measure  $\mu$ , every bounded linear operator  $T$  from  $X$  into  $L_2(\mu)$ , is 1-absolutely summing.*

*Proof.* Let us show that  $X$  is an  $L_{1,v}$  space for some  $v$ . For any finite dimensional subspace  $E$  of  $X$ , let us say that  $\dim E = n$ ,  $E = \text{span}\{x_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . There exist a finite dimensional subspace  $F$  of  $X$ , such that

$F = span\{x_i : i = 1, \dots, n + 1\}$ ,  $E \subset F$  and an isomorphism  $H : x = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i x_i \in F \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i e_i \in l_1^{\dim F}$  such that  $\|H\| \cdot \|H^{-1}\| \leq \nu$ . Hence

$$\|Hx\| = \left\| \sum_i a_i x_i \right\| \leq \sum_i |a_i| \leq \frac{1}{K} \left\| \sum_i a_i x_i \right\| = \frac{1}{K} \|x\|$$

so  $\|H\| \leq \frac{1}{K}$  and a similar estimate  $\|H^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{K}$  holds, where  $K$  is like as in Lemma 4.  $\nu = (\frac{1}{K})^2 > 1$ , because

$$M = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{|a_i|} \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i| = \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|} \geq 1,$$

$K = \frac{1-\delta}{M} \leq 1$  and with this was proved that  $X$  is an  $L_{1,\nu}$ -space. Now proof of the Theorem follows from Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4 in [5].

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be basic, normalized and  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  sequence of vectors in  $X$ . Then every infinite dimensional subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  is isomorphic to  $X$  and complemented in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be an operator defined from the Banach space  $X$  into the space  $l_1$  by

$$H : x = \sum_i a_i x_i \rightarrow \sum_i a_i e_i.$$

This operator is invertible (exactly as in Theorem 5). Let  $Y$  be any infinite dimensional subspace of  $X$  and let us denote by  $Y_1 = H(Y)$ , a subspace of  $l_1$ . From the decomposition method of Pelczynski (see [2]) it follows that

$$l_1 = Y_1 \oplus B$$

for some Banach space  $B$ . Let  $x \in X$ , then  $H(x) = y \in l_1$  and  $y$  has unique representation

$$(3) \quad y = a + b$$

for suitable  $a \in Y_1$  and  $b \in B$ . From this there is a  $a_1 \in Y$ ,  $H(a_1) = a$

$$y = H(a_1) + b \Rightarrow H^{-1}(y) = H^{-1}(H a_1) + H^{-1}(b) \Rightarrow$$

$$(4) \quad x = a_1 + H^{-1}(b)$$

and the last representation of  $x$  is unique, because if we will use another one  $x = a'_1 + H^{-1}(b')$ , then  $H(x) = H(a'_1) + b' \Rightarrow$

$$(5) \quad y = H(a'_1) + b'$$

But relation (5) is in contradiction with relation (3). So every  $x \in X$  has unique representation through space  $Y$ , and we can use notation

$$X = Y \oplus C$$

for some Banach space  $C$ , with  $Y$  isomorphic to  $X$ .  $H(Y) = Y_1$  is isomorphic to  $l_1$ ; let us denote by  $A$  that isomorphism between them, then  $A(l_1) = AH(X) = Y_1 \Rightarrow AH(X) = H(Y)$  and from this follows that  $H^{-1} \cdot A \cdot H$  is isomorphism between spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , with which was proved proposition.

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be basic, normalized and  $\mu$ -approximate  $l_1$  sequence of vectors in  $X$ . Then  $X$  is a prime space.*

*Proof.* of corollary follows directly from the above proposition.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Lindenstrauss - L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces*, Part I, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York (1979).
- [2] A. Pelczynski, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 19, (1960) pp. 209–228.
- [3] S.J. Dilworth - D. Kutzarova - P. Wojtaszczyk, *On Approximate  $l_1$  systems in Banach Spaces*, J. Approx. Theory, 114 (2002), pp. 214–241.
- [4] A. Grothendieck, *Resumé de la theorie metrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Sao Paulo, 8 (1956), pp. 1–79.
- [5] J. Diestel - H. Jarchow - A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*, Cambridge University Press, (1995).
- [6] J. Lindenstrauss - A. Pelczynski, *Absolutely summing operators in  $L_p$ -spaces and their applications*, Studia Math. T., 29 (1968) pp. 275–326.
- [7] Lihua Yang, *Unconditional basic sequences in  $L_p(\mu)$  and its  $l_p$  stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 127 (1999), pp. 455–464.

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences,  
University of Prishtina-Kosova  
e-mail: nbraha@yahoo.com*