

A LIOUVILLE-TYPE THEOREM FOR THE HOMOGENEOUS WAVE EQUATION

FILIPPO CAMMAROTO - ANTONIA CHINNI*

In this paper, we characterize those bounded from below solutions of the homogeneous wave equation $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 which are constant.

1. Introduction.

Motivated by the classical result of Liouville on harmonic functions, one generically calls Liouville-type theorem any result ensuring that the solutions of a given differential equation, which satisfy a suitable growth condition, are constant.

In this paper, we are interested in the classical homogeneous wave equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Our starting point is the observation that there are bounded solutions of (1) which are not constant. For instance, consider $f(x, y) = \sin(x + y)$.

So, it is our aim to characterize those solutions of (1), bounded from below, which are constant. Our result is Theorem 2.2, proved in the next section.

Entrato in redazione il 27 febbraio 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35L05; Secondary 49J50.

Key words and phrases: Liouville-type theorem, Homogeneous wave equation.

2. The result.

For the reader's convenience, let us first recall a result, proved in [1], which is the main tool in proving Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a real Banach space, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a lower semicontinuous and Gâteaux differentiable function, bounded from below. Assume that*

$$\limsup_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|} < +\infty.$$

Then, for every $\epsilon > \limsup_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|}$ one has

$$\overline{\text{conv}}(\{x \in X : \|f'(x)\|_{X^*} \leq \epsilon\}) = X.$$

In the sequel, for a differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote by f_x and f_y its first-order partial derivatives and by ∇f its gradient, that is $\nabla f = (f_x, f_y)$. Also, if $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we put $|(x, y)| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a solution of equation (1), bounded from below. Assume that*

$$(2) \quad \lim_{|(x,y)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x, y)}{|(x, y)|} = 0.$$

Then the function f is constant if and only if the inequality

$$(3) \quad G_x(x, y) \geq |G_y(x, y)|$$

holds for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where

$$G(x, y) = f_x(x, y)f_{xx}(x, y) + f_y(x, y)f_{xy}(x, y)$$

for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. Obviously, if f is a constant, (3) holds. Now let's prove the opposite implication. It suffices to prove that

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla f(x, y)| = 0.$$

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that the above number is strictly greater than zero. Then we can choose ϵ satisfying

$$(4) \quad 0 = \lim_{|(x,y)| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x,y)}{|(x,y)|} < \epsilon < \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla f(x,y)|.$$

Therefore Theorem 2.1 assures that

$$\overline{\text{conv}}(\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\nabla f(x,y)| \leq \epsilon\}) = \mathbb{R}^2$$

and, because of the finite dimensionality, this fact is equivalent (see [2]) to

$$\text{conv}(\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\nabla f(x,y)| \leq \epsilon\}) = \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Now we show that the set $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\nabla f(x,y)| \leq \epsilon\}$ is convex. To this aim, it suffices to prove that the function $|\nabla f|^2$ is convex.

So, put $H = f_x^2 + f_y^2$. Since $H \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (recall that $f \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$), by a classical result, to prove that the function H is convex, we have to show that

- (i) $H_{xx} \geq 0$;
- (ii) $H_{xx}H_{yy} - H_{xy}^2 \geq 0$

pointwise in \mathbb{R}^2 .

In order to prove (i) we make use of (3), obtaining, in particular $G_x(x,y) \geq 0$. In fact one has

$$H_{xx} = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (f_x f_{xx} + f_y f_{xy}) = 2G_x(x,y) \geq 0.$$

Moreover, being $f_{xx} = f_{yy}$, condition (ii) holds; in fact

$$\begin{aligned} H_{xx}H_{yy} - H_{xy}^2 &= 4(f_{xx}^2 + f_{xy}^2 + f_x f_{xxx} + f_y f_{xxy})^2 - \\ &- 4(2f_{xx}f_{xy} + f_x f_{xxy} + f_y f_{xxx})^2 = 4(G_x^2 - G_y^2) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

thanks to (3).

Then one has

$$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\nabla f(x,y)| \leq \epsilon\} = \mathbb{R}^2$$

and this is a contradiction with (4).

Hence the function f has to be a constant because its gradient is identically zero in \mathbb{R}^2 . \square

It is worth noticing that condition (2) is not superfluous for the validity of the conclusion of Theorem 2.2. In this connection, consider the function e^{x+y} : it is a non constant, bounded from below solution of equation (1) which satisfies (3).

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Cammaroto - A. Chinni, *A complement to Ekeland's variational principle in Banach spaces*, Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 44 1996, pp. 29–33.
- [2] R. Webster, *Convexity*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994.

*Department of Mathematics,
University of Messina
98166 - Sant'Agata-Messina (ITALY)
e-mail: filippo@dipmat.unime.it
e-mail: chinni@dipmat.unime.it*