

SOLVABILITY OF THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM IN $W^{2,p}$ FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH DISCONTINUOUS COEFFICIENTS IN UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

LOREDANA CASO - PAOLA CAVALIERE - MARIA TRANSIRICO

In this paper some $W^{2,p}$ -estimates for the solutions of the Dirichlet problem for a class of elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains are obtained. As a consequence, an existence and uniqueness theorem for such a problem is proved.

1. Introduction.

The aim of this paper is to study the Dirichlet problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ Lu = f, f \in L^p(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where Ω is an unbounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $p \in]1, +\infty[$, L is the uniformly elliptic differential operator defined by the position

$$(1.2) \quad L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

and the coefficients a_{ij} , a_i , a are discontinuous functions. If Ω is bounded, the above problem has been widely investigated by several authors under various

hypotheses on the leading coefficients. In particular, if the coefficients a_{ij} belong to the space $C^\circ(\bar{\Omega})$ and the a_i 's and a satisfy some suitable assumptions, then $W^{2,p}$ -bounds for the solutions of the problem (1.1) and related existence and uniqueness results have been obtained (see [16], [17], [12], [15]). On the other hand, when the coefficients a_{ij} are required to be discontinuous, it must be mentioned the classical contribution by C. Miranda [19], where the author assumed that the a_{ij} 's belong to $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$ (and considered the case $p = 2$); among the other results on this subject, we quote here those proved in [20], [11] (where the Cordes hypothesis is assumed to be true for the a_{ij} 's, and again $p = 2$), and in [13], [2], [14] (where the coefficients lie in certain classes wider than $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$). More recently, a relevant contribution has been given in [9], [10], [25], [26] where the coefficients a_{ij} are assumed to be in the class VMO and $p \in]1, +\infty[$; observe here that VMO contains both classes $C^\circ(\bar{\Omega})$ and $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$.

If the open set Ω is unbounded, the problem (1.1) has for instance been studied in [21], [22], [4], [5], [6] under assumptions similar to those required in [19] with $p = 2$. In this paper we extend this investigation to the case $p \in]1, +\infty[$. More precisely, under suitable hypotheses on the coefficients a_{ij} (see condition (h_2) in Section 4), we obtain the following a priori bound:

$$(1.3) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c(\|Lu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_o)}),$$

$$\forall u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is independent of u , and Ω_o is a bounded open subset of Ω . The existence and uniqueness of the solution of (1.1) can be deduced from this result.

In order to prove the estimate (1.3), some preliminaries are needed (see Section 3); in fact, using these lemmas, we will previously obtain a bound similar to (1.3) for more regular functions u (see Lemma 4.2). Then a suitable density result will allow to complete the proof.

2. Some notation.

In this paper we will use the following notation: E , a generic Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n ; $\Sigma(E)$, the Lebesgue σ -algebra on E ; $|A|$, the Lebesgue measure of $A \in \Sigma(E)$; χ_A , the characteristic function of A ; $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{D}^0(A)$), the class of restrictions to A of functions $\zeta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (respectively $\zeta \in C^\circ(\mathbb{R}^n)$) with $\bar{A} \cap \text{supp} \zeta \subseteq A$; $L_{loc}^p(A)$, the class of functions g , defined on A , such that $\zeta g \in L^p(A)$ for all $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$; $B(x, r)$, the open ball

of radius r centered at x and $B_r = B(0, r)$; Ω , an unbounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $D(x, r) = D \cap B(x, r)$ for every $D \in \Sigma(\Omega)$.

We now recall the definitions of the function spaces in which the coefficients of the operator will be chosen. For $p \in [1, +\infty[$, $\lambda \in [0, n[$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we denote by $M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, t)$ the set of all functions g in $L^p_{loc}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|g\|_{M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega,t)} = \sup_{\substack{r \in]0,1] \\ x \in \Omega}} r^{-\lambda/p} \|g\|_{L^p(\Omega(x,r))} < +\infty,$$

endowed with the norm defined by (2.1). It is easy to show that for any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ a function g belongs to $M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, t_1)$ if and only if it is in $M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, t_2)$, and the norms of g in the two spaces are equivalent. This allows to restrict the attention to the space $M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) = M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega, 1)$. Then we define $M^{p,\lambda}_\circ(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C^\infty_\circ(\Omega)$ in $M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$. In particular, we put $M^p(\Omega) = M^{p,0}(\Omega)$, and $M^p_\circ(\Omega) = M^{p,0}_\circ(\Omega)$. In order to define the modulus of continuity of a function g in $M^{p,\lambda}_\circ(\Omega)$, recall first that for a function $g \in M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ the following characterization holds:

$$(2.2) \quad g \in M^{p,\lambda}_\circ(\Omega) \iff \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (p_g(t) + \|(1 - \zeta_{1/t})g\|_{M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}) = 0,$$

where

$$p_g(t) = \sup_{\substack{E \in \Sigma(\Omega) \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\bar{E}(x,1)| \leq t}} \|\chi_E g\|_{M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and $\zeta_r, r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, is a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$0 \leq \zeta_r \leq 1, \quad \zeta_r|_{B_r} = 1, \quad \text{supp } \zeta_r \subset B_{2r}.$$

Thus the *modulus of continuity* of $g \in M^{p,\lambda}_\circ(\Omega)$ is a function

$$\sigma_\circ :]0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

such that

$$p_g(t) + \|(1 - \zeta_{1/t})g\|_{M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq \sigma_\circ(t) \quad \forall t \in]0, 1], \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_\circ(t) = 0.$$

A more detailed account of properties of the above defined function spaces can be found in [23].

3. Some preliminaries.

In our results certain regularity properties of open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n will often occur; for the corresponding definitions we will refer to [1].

Lemma 3.1. *Let Ω be an unbounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with the uniform C^1 -regularity property. Then for every $v \in \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $(\Phi_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi_h \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \quad \Phi_h \rightarrow v \text{ in } W^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad \sup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Phi_h\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Given $g \in C^\infty([0, +\infty[)$ such that $g(t) = 1$ if $t \leq 1$, $g(t) = 0$ if $t \geq 2$, $0 \leq g \leq 1$, we put

$$\delta_h : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow g(|x|/h), \quad h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly δ_h belongs to $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$0 \leq \delta_h \leq 1, \quad \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} (\delta_h)_x < +\infty,$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} (1 - \delta_h(x)) = \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} (\delta_h)_x(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover, it is easy to show that

$$(3.2) \quad \delta_h v \longrightarrow v \quad \text{in } W^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

for all $v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Denote by $(\zeta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of functions in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\text{supp } \zeta_i \subset \Omega, \quad 0 \leq \zeta_i \leq 1, \quad d_\circ = \sup_{\Omega} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} d(\zeta_i)_x < +\infty,$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (1 - \zeta_i(x)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (\zeta_i)_x(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where

$$d : x \in \Omega \longrightarrow \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$$

(see [24], Corollary 4.1).

We prove now that

$$(3.3) \quad \zeta_i \delta_h v \longrightarrow \delta_h v \quad \text{in } W^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

In fact, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \zeta_i \delta_h v \longrightarrow \delta_h v \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega),$$

$$(3.5) \quad ((\zeta_i - 1)\delta_h v)_{x_j} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |((\zeta_i - 1)\delta_h v)_{x_j}| &= |(\zeta_i - 1)(\delta_h v)_{x_j} + (\zeta_i)_{x_j} \delta_h v| \\ &\leq (\delta_h v)_x + d_o |(\delta_h v)/d|, \end{aligned}$$

so that, in order to deduce (3.3) from (3.4) - (3.6), it is enough to show that

$$(3.7) \quad (\delta_h v)/d \in L^2(\Omega).$$

To this end, denote by Ω_h a bounded open subset of Ω with C^1 -boundary such that

$$\bar{\Omega}_h \subset \bar{\Omega}, \quad \text{supp } \delta_h \cap \bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega_h \cup \partial\Omega,$$

and observe that $\delta_h v$ belongs to $\mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega_h)$. An application of the Hardy inequality (see for instance [3]) then yields that (3.7) holds.

For any $v \in \mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, denote by v_o the extension of v to \mathbb{R}^n with zero values out of Ω and put

$$v_{hik} = (J_k * (\zeta_i \delta_h v_o))|_{\Omega},$$

where $(J_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a given sequence of mollifiers. It is well known that

$$(3.8) \quad v_{hik} \in C_o^\infty(\Omega), \quad \|v_{hik}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(3.9) \quad v_{hik} \longrightarrow \zeta_i \delta_h v \quad \text{in } W^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

for all $h, i \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, we obtain from (3.3) and (3.9) that for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $i_h, k_h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \|\zeta_{i_h} \delta_h v - \delta_h v\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq 1/h, \quad \|v_{h i_h k_h} - \zeta_{i_h} \delta_h v\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq 1/h.$$

Therefore it follows from (3.2), (3.8) and (3.10) that the functions $\Phi_h = v_{h i_h k_h}$ ($h \in \mathbb{N}$) satisfy the statement of the lemma. \square

The above lemma can be used to prove the following result, which will be essential in the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be an unbounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with the uniform C^1 -regularity property. If $v \in \mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, then $|v|^{q-2}v$ belongs to $\mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ for every $q \in [2, +\infty[$.*

Proof. It is easy to show that $|v|^{q-2}v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ for each $q \in [2, +\infty[$. In order to prove that $|v|^{q-2}v$ even belongs to $\mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, we need different arguments corresponding to the cases $q < 3$ and $q \geq 3$. Suppose first $q < 3$ and denote by $(\psi_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of functions of class $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ which converges to v in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$(3.11) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q-2}v \varphi_{x_i} dx - \int_{\Omega} |\psi_h|^{q-2}\psi_h \varphi_{x_i} dx \right| \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q-2}|v - \psi_h| \varphi_x dx + \int_{\Omega} \left| |v|^{q-2} - |\psi_h|^{q-2} \right| |\psi_h| \varphi_x dx \\ \leq \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{q-2} \|v - \psi_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\varphi_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v - \psi_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{q-2} \|\psi_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\varphi_x\|_{L^{2/(3-q)}(\Omega)} \\ \leq c_1 (\|v - \psi_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v - \psi_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{q-2}),$$

where $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on q , v and φ_x . From (3.11) it follows that

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q-2}v \varphi_{x_i} dx = \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_h|^{q-2}\psi_h \varphi_{x_i} dx.$$

The same argument also shows that

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q-2}v_{x_i} \varphi dx = \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_h|^{q-2}(\psi_h)_{x_i} \varphi dx.$$

Using (3.12) and (3.13) we obtain that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q-2}v \varphi_{x_i} dx \right| = (q-1) \left| \int_{\Omega} |v|^{q-2}v_{x_i} \varphi dx \right| \\ \leq (q-1) \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{q-2} \|v_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

and hence $|v|^{q-2}v$ belongs to $\mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ by a characterization of the elements of the space $\mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ (see for instance [3]).

Suppose now $q \geq 3$, and consider a sequence $(\Phi_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions satisfying (3.1). If we put

$$v_h = |v|^{q-2}(\Phi_h - v), \quad h \in \mathbb{N},$$

an easy computation yields that

$$(3.14) \quad \|v_h\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_2 \left(\|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{2(q-2)} \|\Phi_h - v\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{2(q-3)} \int_{\Omega} (\Phi_h - v)^2 v_x^2 dx \right),$$

where $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends only on q . On the other hand, it follows from the last condition of (3.1) that

$$(3.15) \quad (\Phi_h - v)^2 v_x^2 \leq 4 \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 v_x^2, \quad h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Applying now (3.14) and (3.15), the sequence $(v_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be replaced by a suitable subsequence which goes to 0 in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, i.e. we may assume that

$$(3.16) \quad |v|^{q-2} \Phi_h \longrightarrow |v|^{q-2} v \quad \text{in } W^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Since $|v|^{q-2} \Phi_h \in \overset{\circ}{W}{}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence $(\psi_{hm})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions of class $C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\psi_{hm} \longrightarrow |v|^{q-2} \Phi_h \quad \text{in } W^{1,2}(\Omega),$$

and hence we can find $m_h \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$(3.17) \quad \|\psi_{hm_h} - |v|^{q-2} \Phi_h\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq 1/h.$$

It follows from (3.16) and (3.17) that

$$\psi_{hm_h} \longrightarrow |v|^{q-2} v \quad \text{in } W^{1,2}(\Omega),$$

and so $|v|^{q-2} v$ belongs to $\overset{\circ}{W}{}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. The lemma is proved. \square

4. Key lemmas.

In the following we will suppose that $n \geq 3$. Consider the conditions:

$$(h_1) \quad \begin{cases} a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \exists v > 0 : \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq v |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ b \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad \text{ess inf}_{\Omega} b = b_o > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(h_2) \quad \exists s \in]2, n] : (a_{ij})_{x_h} \in M_\circ^{s, n-s}(\Omega), \quad i, j, h = 1, \dots, n.$$

Remark 4.1. *If (h_1) holds, for the bilinear form*

$$(4.1) \quad a(v, w) = \int_\Omega \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} v_{x_i} w_{x_j} + bvw \right) dx, \quad v, w \in W^{1,2}(\Omega),$$

we have

$$(4.2) \quad a(v, |v|^{q-2}v) \geq (q-1)v \int_\Omega |v|^{q-2} v_x^2 dx + b_\circ \int_\Omega |v|^q dx,$$

$$\forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega), \quad \forall q \in [2, +\infty[.$$

We can now prove the main result of this section; in its statement we will consider the operator

$$L_\circ = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Lemma 4.2. *If Ω has the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property and conditions (h_1) and (h_2) hold, then for any $p \in]1, +\infty[$ there exist a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_\circ \subset\subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|L_\circ u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\circ)} \right),$$

$$\forall u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(\bar{\Omega}),$$

where c and Ω_\circ depend on $n, p, \nu, b_\circ, \Omega, s, \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and on the continuity moduli of $(a_{ij})_{x_h}$ in $M_\circ^{s, n-s}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Consider a function $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(\bar{\Omega})$. It follows from Theorem 5.1 of [7] and from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 of [5] (see also Section 2 of [9]) that

$$(4.4) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{c} \left(\|L_\circ u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right),$$

where $\tilde{c} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on $n, p, \nu, \Omega, s, \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and on the continuity moduli of $(a_{ij})_{x_h}$ in $M_\circ^{s, n-s}(\Omega)$.

We will now provide a bound for $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$, studying separately the cases $p \geq 2$ and $p < 2$. Suppose first $p \geq 2$. Then by Remark 4.1 and Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad & (p-1)v \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u_x^2 dx + b_{\circ} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \leq a(u, |u|^{p-2}u) \\
 & = \int_{\Omega} (L_{\circ}u + bu)|u|^{p-2}u dx - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij})_{x_j} u_{x_i} |u|^{p-2}u dx \\
 & \leq \|L_{\circ}u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |(a_{ij})_{x_j}| |u_{x_i}| |u|^{p-1} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad & \int_{\Omega} |(a_{ij})_{x_j}| |u_{x_i}| |u|^{p-1} dx \\
 & \leq \varepsilon_1/2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u_x^2 dx + 1/(2\varepsilon_1) \int_{\Omega} (a_{ij})_{x_j}^2 |u|^p dx
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$; moreover, for every $\varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exist a constant $c(\varepsilon_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_{\varepsilon_2} \subset \subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad & \int_{\Omega} (a_{ij})_{x_j}^2 |u|^p dx \leq \varepsilon_2 \| |u|^{p/2} \|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + c(\varepsilon_2) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon_2}} |u|^p dx \\
 & \leq \varepsilon_2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \varepsilon_2 p^2/4 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u_x^2 dx + c(\varepsilon_2) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon_2}} |u|^p dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $c(\varepsilon_2)$ and Ω_{ε_2} depend on n , Ω , s and on the continuity moduli of $(a_{ij})_{x_j}$ in $M_{\circ}^{s,n-s}(\Omega)$ (see [23], Corollary 3.5). Therefore it follows from (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad & ((p-1)v - n^2(\varepsilon_1/2 + \varepsilon_2 p^2/(8\varepsilon_1))) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u_x^2 dx + \\
 & + (b_{\circ} - n^2 \varepsilon_2/(2\varepsilon_1)) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \\
 & \leq \|L_{\circ}u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1} + n^2 c(\varepsilon_2)/(2\varepsilon_1) \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon_2}} |u|^p dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

For a suitable choice of ε_1 and ε_2 , the relation (4.8) gives

$$(4.9) \quad b_\circ/2 \int_\Omega |u|^p dx \leq \|L_\circ u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1} + c_1 \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p dx,$$

where c_1 and Ω_1 depend on $n, p, \nu, b_\circ, \Omega, s$ and on the continuity moduli of $(a_{ij})_{x_h}$ in $M_\circ^{s, n-s}(\Omega)$. From (4.9) we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq 2/b_\circ (\|L_\circ u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + c_1 \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)}).$$

Applying now (4.4) and (4.10) we complete the proof in the first case.

Suppose now that $p < 2$. In this case our argument is suggested by a trick already used in the proof of Lemma 1 in [12]. If $f = |u|^{p-1} \text{sign } u$, it follows from the Theorem in [18] that there exists a unique function $w \in \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad a(w, v) = \int_\Omega f v dx \quad \forall v \in \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Then by Remark 4.1 and Lemma 3.2 we have that

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |w|^{p'} dx &\leq 1/b_\circ a(w, |w|^{p'-2} w) = 1/b_\circ \int_\Omega f |w|^{p'-2} w dx \\ &\leq 1/b_\circ \int_\Omega |u|^{p-1} |w|^{p'-1} dx \leq 1/b_\circ \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1} \|w\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)}^{p'-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, and hence

$$(4.13) \quad \|w\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \leq 1/b_\circ \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1}.$$

An application of (4.13) yields that

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |u|^p dx &= \int_\Omega f u dx \\ &= \int_\Omega (L_\circ u + bu) w dx - \int_\Omega \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij})_{x_j} u_{x_i} w dx \\ &\leq \left(\|L_\circ u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|(a_{ij})_{x_j} u_{x_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right) \|w\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 1/b_0 \left(\|L_0 u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|(a_{ij})_{x_j} u_{x_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right) \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1},$$

so that by (4.14)

$$(4.15) \quad \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq 1/b_0 \left(\|L_0 u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|(a_{ij})_{x_j} u_{x_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Corollary 3.5 of [23] that for every $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exist a constant $c(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset\subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that

$$(4.16) \quad \|(a_{ij})_{x_j} u_{x_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c(\varepsilon) \|u_x\|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)},$$

where $c(\varepsilon)$ and Ω_ε depend on n, p, Ω, s and on the continuity moduli of $(a_{ij})_{x_j}$ in $M_0^{s,n-s}(\Omega)$. A final application of (4.4), (4.15) and (4.16) completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.3. *If Ω has the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property and if $p \in]1, +\infty[$, then the problem*

$$(4.17) \quad u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad -\Delta u + u = f, \quad f \in L^p(\Omega),$$

is uniquely solvable and the solution u satisfies the bound

$$(4.18) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

where the constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends only on n, p and Ω .

Proof. It has already been proved that the problem (4.17) is uniquely solvable if $p = 2$ (see, e.g., [6], Lemma 4.4); in this case we will denote by Af the solution. Let now f be a function in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Then for every $q \in [1, +\infty]$, Af belongs to $L^q(\Omega)$ and

$$(4.19) \quad \|Af\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)},$$

where $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends only on n (see Theorem in [18]).

On the other hand, a suitable application of Theorem 5.1 in [7] yields that $Af \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant $c_2 = c_2(n, p, \Omega) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$(4.20) \quad \|Af\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c_2 (\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|Af\|_{L^p(\Omega)}).$$

Since $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \subseteq \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, Af is a solution of problem (4.17) and, by (4.19) and (4.20), it satisfies the estimate (4.18). The result follows now in the general case from the density of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. \square

Using the above lemma, the following density result can be proved.

Lemma 4.4. *If Ω has the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property and if $p \in]1, +\infty[$, then for every $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence of functions $(u_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that*

$$(4.21) \quad u_h \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{D}^0(\bar{\Omega}), \quad h \in \mathbb{N}, \quad u_h \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } W^{2,p}(\Omega).$$

Proof. Let $p \in]1, +\infty[$, $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and consider a sequence $(v_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions such that

$$(4.22) \quad v_h \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad h \in \mathbb{N}, \quad v_h \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } W^{2,p}(\Omega).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 that the problem

$$(4.23) \quad w_h \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad -\Delta w_h + w_h = -\Delta v_h + v_h$$

is uniquely solvable for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and the solution w_h satisfies the bound

$$(4.24) \quad \|w_h\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \|-\Delta v_h + v_h\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

with $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ dependent on n, p and Ω . Observe that $w_h \in \mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ (see the proof of Lemma 4.3). Clearly w_h belongs to $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ when $p > n/2$; if $p \leq n/2$, we have that $w_h \in W^{2,n/2+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, (see [7], Theorem 5.1) and so $w_h \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ also in this case. Moreover, we deduce from (4.22), (4.23) and (4.24) that

$$w_h \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } W^{2,p}(\Omega).$$

Denote now by $(\delta_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of functions defined in the proof of Lemma 3.1, and note that

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} (\delta_h)_{xx} < +\infty, \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} (\delta_h)_{xx}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$\delta_h u \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } W^{2,p}(\Omega).$$

Thus it follows from the properties of δ_h and w_h that the functions $u_h = \delta_h w_h$, $h \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy the conditions of the statement. \square

5. Main results.

In this section we will suppose that the coefficient a of the operator L has the form $a = a' + b$, where the function b satisfies the condition (h_1) , and we will consider the following additional condition:

$$(h_3) \quad a_i \in M'_\circ(\Omega), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad a' \in M'_\circ(\Omega),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r > n & \quad \text{if } p \leq n, \quad r = p & \quad \text{if } p > n, \\ t > n/2 & \quad \text{if } p \leq n/2, \quad t = p & \quad \text{if } p > n/2. \end{aligned}$$

We can now prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 5.1. *If Ω has the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property and conditions (h_1) , (h_2) and (h_3) hold, then for any $p \in]1, +\infty[$ there exist a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_\circ \subset\subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that*

$$(5.1) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|Lu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\circ)} \right),$$

$$\forall u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where c and Ω_\circ depend on $n, p, \nu, b_\circ, \Omega, s, r, t, \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and on the continuity moduli of $(a_{ij})_{x_n}, a_i$ and a' in $M_\circ^{s,n-s}(\Omega), M'_\circ(\Omega)$ and $M'_\circ(\Omega)$, respectively.

Proof. Let $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 4.4 there exists a sequence $(u_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions satisfying (4.21), and hence it follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$(5.2) \quad \|u_h\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|L_\circ u_h + bu_h\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u_h\|_{L^p(\Omega_\circ)} \right), \quad h \in \mathbb{N},$$

where c and Ω_\circ are those in (4.3). Moreover,

$$(5.3) \quad \|L_\circ u_h + bu_h\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c_1 \|u_h - u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} + \|L_\circ u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad h \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on $n, \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and $\|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$. An application of (5.2), (5.3) and (4.21) yields now that

$$(5.4) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|L_\circ u + bu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\circ)} \right).$$

On the other hand, using the argument of the proof of Corollary 3.5 of [23], it follows from Theorem 3.2 of [7] that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exist a constant

$c(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset\subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that

$$(5.5) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_{x_i} + a' u \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c(\varepsilon) (\|u_x\|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)}),$$

where $c(\varepsilon)$ and Ω_ε depend on n, p, Ω, r, t and on the continuity moduli of a_i and a' in $M'_o(\Omega)$ and $M'_o(\Omega)$, respectively. Relations (5.4) and (5.5) complete the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 5.2. *If Ω has the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property, conditions (h_1) , (h_2) and (h_3) hold, and $a \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , then the problem*

$$(5.6) \quad u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad Lu = f, \quad f \in L^p(\Omega),$$

is uniquely solvable for every $p \in]1, +\infty[$.

Proof. Let f be a function in $C^\infty(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $L_o u + bu = f$ (see for instance [6], Lemma 4.4). On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 5.1 of [7] and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 of [5] that u belongs to $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and it is a solution of the equation $L_o u + bu = f$, so that $C^\infty(\Omega) \subseteq R(L_o + b)$. Since, by Theorem 5.1, $R(L_o + b)$ is a closed subspace of $L^p(\Omega)$, we obtain that $R(L_o + b) = L^p(\Omega)$. Thus Corollary in [8] gives that the problem

$$(5.7) \quad u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad L_o u + bu = f, \quad f \in L^p(\Omega),$$

is uniquely solvable. Moreover, the operator

$$u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_{x_i} + a' u \in L^p(\Omega)$$

is compact by (5.5), and hence (5.6) is a zero index problem. Since for such problem a uniqueness result holds (see Corollary of [8]), the statement follows from well known results. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R.A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] A. Alvino - G. Trombetti, *Second order elliptic equations whose coefficients have their first derivatives weakly- L^n* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 138 (1984), pp. 331–340.
- [3] H. Brezis, *Analyse Fonctionnelle, Théorie et Applications*, Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [4] A. Canale - M. Longobardi - G. Manzo, *Second order elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat., 18 (1994), pp. 41–56.
- [5] L. Caso - P. Cavaliere - M. Transirico, *A priori bounds for elliptic equations*, Ricerche Mat., 51 (2002), pp. 381–396.
- [6] L. Caso - P. Cavaliere - M. Transirico, *Existence results for elliptic equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 274 (2002), pp. 554–563.
- [7] P. Cavaliere - M. Longobardi - A. Vitolo, *Imbedding estimates and elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains*, Le Matematiche, 51 (1996), pp. 87–104.
- [8] P. Cavaliere - M. Transirico - M. Troisi, *Uniqueness result for elliptic equations in unbounded domains*, Le Matematiche, 54 (1999), pp. 139–146.
- [9] F. Chiarenza - M. Frasca - P. Longo, *Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for non divergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Ricerche Mat., 40 (1991), pp. 149–168.
- [10] F. Chiarenza - M. Frasca - P. Longo, *$W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 336 (1993), pp. 841–853.
- [11] M. Chicco, *Equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine di tipo Cordes con termini di ordine inferiore*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 85 (1970), pp. 347–356.
- [12] M. Chicco, *Solvability of the Dirichlet problem in $H^{2,p}(\Omega)$ for a class of linear second order elliptic partial differential equations*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (4) 4 (1971), pp. 374–387.
- [13] M. Chicco, *Dirichlet problem for a class of linear second order elliptic partial differential equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 92 (1972), pp. 13–23.
- [14] M. Franciosi - N. Fusco, *$W^{2,p}$ regularity for the solutions of elliptic non divergence form equations with rough coefficients*, Ricerche Mat., 38 (1989), pp. 93–106.
- [15] D. Gilbarg - N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd Edition, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983.
- [16] D. Greco, *Nuove formole integrali di maggiorazione per le soluzioni di una equazione lineare di tipo ellittico ed applicazioni alla teoria del potenziale*, Ricerche Mat., 5 (1956), pp. 126–149.

- [17] A.I. Koshelev, *On boundedness in L^p of solutions of elliptic differential equations*, Mat. Sbornik, 38 (1956), pp. 359–372.
- [18] P.L. Lions, *Remarques sur les équations linéaires elliptiques du second ordre sous forme divergence dans les domaines non bornés*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., 78 (1985), pp. 205–212.
- [19] C. Miranda, *Sulle equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine a coefficienti discontinui*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 63 (1963), pp. 353–386.
- [20] G. Talenti, *Sopra una classe di equazioni ellittiche a coefficienti misurabili*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 69 (1965), pp. 285–304.
- [21] M. Transirico - M. Troisi, *Equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine di tipo non variazionale in aperti non limitati*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 152 (1988), pp. 209–226.
- [22] M. Transirico - M. Troisi, *Ulteriori contributi allo studio delle equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine in aperti non limitati*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (7) 4-B (1990), pp. 679–691.
- [23] M. Transirico - M. Troisi - A. Vitolo, *Spaces of Morrey type and elliptic equations in divergence form on unbounded domains*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (7) 9-B (1995), pp. 153–174.
- [24] M. Troisi, *Su una classe di funzioni peso*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat., 10 (1986), pp. 141–152.
- [25] C. Vitanza, *$W^{2,p}$ -regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Le Matematiche, 47 (1992), pp. 177–186.
- [26] C. Vitanza, *A new contribution to the $W^{2,p}$ -regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Le Matematiche, 48 (1993), pp. 287–296.

L. Caso - M. Transirico

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica

Università di Salerno

via S. Allende

84081 Baronissi (SA) (ITALY)

P. Cavaliere

Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione e Matematica Applicata

Facoltà di Scienze MM. FF. NN.

Università di Salerno

via S. Allende

84081 Baronissi (SA) (ITALY)