

(R,P)-ABSOLUTELY SUMMING DUAL OPERATORS ON THE PROJECTIVE TENSOR PRODUCTS OF SPACES

DUMITRU POPA

For $U \in L(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$ we consider the operator $U^\# : X \rightarrow L(Y, Z)$ defined by $(U^\#x)(y) = U(x \otimes y)$, for $x \in X, y \in Y$. We prove that, if $U \in L(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$ has the property that $U^\# \in As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z))$, then the dual operator $U^* \in As_{r,q}(Z^*, As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, Y^*))$, from which we deduce that $As_{r,p}^{dual} \otimes_\pi As_{p,q}^{dual} \subset As_{r,q}^{dual}$, in particular, we obtain a result first proved by B. Carl, A. Defant, M. S. Ramanujan that the normed ideal of the p -absolutely summing dual operators is stable under projective tensor products. Also, if $L(X, Y^*) = As_p(X, Y^*)$, then for any Banach space Z , if $U \in As_p(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$, we have $U^\# \in As_p(X, As_p(Y, Z))$.

For X and Y Banach spaces we denote by $L(X, Y)$ the Banach space of all linear and continuous operators from X to Y equipped with the operator norm, by $X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y$ the projective tensor product of X and Y i.e. the completion of the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes Y$ with respect to the projective crossnorm:

$$\pi(u) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| \mid u \in X \otimes Y, u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.$$

Entrato in redazione 16 novembre 2000.

Keywords and Phrases: Tensor products, p -summing.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 46B28; Secondary 47A80, 47B10.

Also if $U \in L(X, X_1)$, $V \in L(Y, Y_1)$ we denote by $U \tilde{\otimes}_\pi V : X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y \rightarrow X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y_1$ the projective tensor product of the operators U and V . For $1 \leq r < \infty$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ we write

$$l_r(x_i | 1 \leq i \leq n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

and

$$w_r(x_i | 1 \leq i \leq n) = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x^*(x_i)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mid x^* \in X^*, \|x^*\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let us observe that using the *weak**-denseness of the closed unit ball B_X of X in $B_{X^{**}}$ we have

$$w_r(x_i^* | 1 \leq i \leq n) = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i^*(x)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \mid \|x\| \leq 1 \right\},$$

for each $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in X^*$.

We will use this observation in the sequel without explicit reference.

Given $1 \leq p \leq r < \infty$, $U \in L(X, Y)$ is called (r, p) -absolutely summing if there is some $C > 0$ such that if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ then

$$l_r(Ux_i | 1 \leq i \leq n) \leq C w_p(x_i | 1 \leq i \leq n).$$

The (r, p) -absolutely summing norm of U is $\|U\|_{r,p} = \inf C$.

We denote by $As_{r,p}(X, Y)$ the Banach space of all (r, p) -absolutely summing operators from X into Y equipped with the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm. As is well known $(As_{r,p}, \|\cdot\|_{r,p})$ is a normed ideal of operators in the sense of A. Pietsch, see [4] or [7]; instead of $(As_{r,p}, \|\cdot\|_{r,p})$ we write simply $(As_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$. Also $As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, Y) = \{U \in L(X, Y) \mid U^* \in As_{r,p}(Y^*, X^*)\}$ and for $U \in As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, Y)$ we denote $\|U\|_{r,p,dual} = \|U^*\|_{r,p}$. Let us observe that $(As_{r,p}^{dual}, \|\cdot\|_{r,p,dual})$ is also a normed ideal of operators in the sense of A. Pietsch, see [4] or [7].

For other notations and notions used and not defined we refer the reader to [3] or [7].

For $U \in L(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$ and each $x \in X$ we consider the operator $U^\# x : Y \rightarrow Z$ given by $(U^\# x)(y) = U(x \otimes y)$, for $y \in Y$; evidently, $U^\# : X \rightarrow L(Y, Z)$ is linear and continuous.

A natural problem is the connection between the operators U and $U^\#$ for some normed ideals of operators; see [9] and [11] for the operators on injective tensor products. In the sequel we study this problem for the normed ideal of the (r, p) -absolutely summing dual operators.

Theorem 1. *Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq r < \infty$ and $U \in L(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$. If $U^\# x \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z)$ for each $x \in X$ and $U^\# \in As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z))$, then $U^*(z^*) \in As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, Y^*)$, for each $z^* \in Z^*$ and $U^* \in As_{r,q}(Z^*, As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, Y^*))$. In addition: $\|U^*\|_{r,q} \leq \|U^\#\|_{r,p,dual}$. In particular $U \in As_{r,q}^{dual}(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$.*

Proof. We have that $U^* : Z^* \rightarrow (X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y)^* = L(X, Y^*)$ satisfies $U^*(z^*) = S_{z^*} \circ U^\#$, for $z^* \in Z^*$ where $S_{z^*} : L(Y, Z) \rightarrow Y^*$ is given by $S_{z^*}(V) = V^*(z^*)$, for $V \in L(Y, Z)$; (use the relation: $[U^*(z^*)](x)(y) = (z^* \circ U^\# x)(y)$, for each $x \in X, y \in Y$).

Since for $z^* \in Z^*$, we may consider the operator $S_{z^*} : As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z) \rightarrow Y^*$, given by $S_{z^*}(V) = V^*(z^*)$, for $V \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z)$ and, by hypothesis, $U^\# : X \rightarrow As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z)$ is an (r, p) -absolutely summing dual operator, from the ideal properties of (r, p) -absolutely summing dual operators it follows that: $U^*(z^*) \in As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, Y^*)$. Take now $z_1^*, \dots, z_n^* \in Z^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then from the definition of the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm it follows that there exist $\sigma_i \subset \mathbb{N}$, ($1 \leq i \leq n$), σ_i finite and $(y_{ij}^{**})_{j \in \sigma_i} \subset Y^{**}$ such that

$$w_p(y_{ij}^{**} | j \in \sigma_i) \leq 1 \text{ and } \|U^*(z_i^*)\|_{r,p,dual} - \varepsilon < l_r((U^*(z_i^*))^*(y_{ij}^{**}) | j \in \sigma_i).$$

It is easy to prove (see [8]) that, for y^{**} and $x \in X$, we have

$$[y^{**} \circ U^*(z^*)](x) = y^{**}(z^* \circ U^\# x)$$

hence, $T_{y^{**}, z^*} : As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C}) defined by $T_{y^{**}, z^*}(V) = y^{**}(V^*(z^*))$ is a linear and continuous functional on $As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z)$ and the above relation shows that

$$T_{y^{**}, z^*} \circ U^\# = y^{**} \circ U^*(z^*).$$

Then using the fact that the dual of $U^\# : X \rightarrow As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z)$ is (r, p) -absolutely summing, we obtain

$$[\sum_{i=1}^n (\|U^*(z_i^*)\|_{r,p,dual} - \varepsilon)^r]^{\frac{1}{r}} < l_r(y_{ij}^{**} \circ U^*(z_i^*) | 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i =$$

$$l_r(T_{y_{ij}^{**}, z_i^*} \circ U^\# | 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) =$$

$$l_r((U^\#)^*(T_{y_{ij}^{**}, z_i^*}) | 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) \leq$$

$$\|(U^\#)^*\|_{r,p} w_p(T_{y_{ij}^{**}, z_i^*} | 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) =$$

$$\|U^\#\|_{r,p,dual} w_p(T_{y_{ij}^{**}, z_i^*} | 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i).$$

But for $V \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z)$, with $\|V\|_{p,q,dual} \leq 1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |T_{y_{ij}^{**}, z_i^*}(V)|^p &= \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |y_{ij}^{**}(V^*(z_i^*))|^p \leq \\ \|V^*(z_i^*)\|^p \sup \{ \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |y_{ij}^{**}(y^*)|^p; \|y^*\| \leq 1 \} &= \\ \|V^*(z_i^*)\|^p [w_p(y_{ij}^{**} | j \in \sigma_i)]^p &\leq \|V^*(z_i^*)\|^p \end{aligned}$$

and hence:

$$\begin{aligned} (\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |T_{y_{ij}^{**}, z_i^*}(V)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq (\sum_{i=1}^n \|V^*(z_i^*)\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \\ l_p(V^*(z_i^*) | 1 \leq i \leq n) &\leq \|V^*\|_{p,q} w_q(z_i^* | 1 \leq i \leq n) = \\ \|V\|_{p,q,dual} w_q(z_i^* | 1 \leq i \leq n) &\leq w_q(z_i^* | 1 \leq i \leq n), \end{aligned}$$

from where:

$$\begin{aligned} w_q(T_{y_{ij}^{**}, z_i^*} | 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) &= \\ \sup \{ (\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |T_{y_{ij}^{**}, z_i^*}(V)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} | V \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(Y, Z), \|V\|_{p,q,dual} \leq 1 \} & \\ \leq w_q(z_i^* | 1 \leq i \leq n). & \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain that:

$$[\sum_{i=1}^n (\|U^*(z_i^*)\|_{r,p,dual} - \varepsilon)^r]^{\frac{1}{r}} < \|U^\#\|_{r,p,dual} w_q(z_i^* | 1 \leq i \leq n)$$

and so:

$$[\sum_{i=1}^n (\|U^*(z_i^*)\|_{r,p,dual}^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \|U^\#\|_{r,p,dual} w_q(z_i^* | 1 \leq i \leq n)$$

i.e.

$$U^* \in As_{r,q}(Z^*, As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, Y^*)) \text{ and } \|U^*\|_{r,q} \leq \|U^\#\|_{r,p,dual}. \quad \square$$

In [1] Theorem 2.1, or in the book [2] chapter III, p. 445–466, some stability results for a large class of normed ideals of operators are proved. In particular it is proved that the normed ideal of the p -absolutely summing dual operators is stable under projective tensor products, i.e. if $U \in As_p^{dual}(X, X_1)$, $V \in As_p^{dual}(Y, Y_1)$, then $(U \tilde{\otimes}_\pi V)^* : L(X_1, Y_1^*) \rightarrow L(X, Y^*)$ is p -absolutely summing and so a natural question is: if $U \in As_p^{dual}(X, X_1)$, $V \in As_p^{dual}(Y, Y_1)$, then is $(U \tilde{\otimes}_\pi V)^* : L(X_1 Y_1^* \rightarrow As_p(X, Y^*))$ p -absolutely summing.

We will prove in the next theorem a more general result which improves that from [1] or [2].

Theorem 2. Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq r < \infty$. If $U \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, X_1)$, $V \in As_{r,p}^{dual}(Y, Y_1)$, then the dual of the projective tensor product $(U \tilde{\otimes}_\pi V)^* \in As_{r,q}(L(X_1, Y_1^*), As_{r,p}(X, Y^*))$, and $\|(U \tilde{\otimes}_\pi V)^*\|_{r,q} \leq \|U\|_{p,q,dual} \|V\|_{r,p,dual}$. In particular:

$As_{r,p}^{dual} \otimes_\pi As_{p,q}^{dual} \subset As_{r,q}^{dual}$ and the normed ideal of operators $(As_p^{dual}, \|\cdot\|_{p,dual})$ is tensor stable with respect to the projective tensor product i.e. if $U \in As_p^{dual}(X, X_1)$, $V \in As_p^{dual}(Y, Y_1)$, then the projective tensor product $U \tilde{\otimes}_\pi V \in As_p^{dual}(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y_1)$ and $\|U \tilde{\otimes}_\pi V\|_{p,dual} \leq \|U\|_{p,dual} \|V\|_{p,dual}$.

Proof. Let $S = V \tilde{\otimes}_\pi U : Y \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X \rightarrow Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1$. For $y \in Y$, let $A_y : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1$ be given by $A_y(x_1) = (Vy) \otimes x_1$. Evidently $S^\#y = A_y \circ U$ and, because $U \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, X_1)$, by the ideal property of the (p, q) -absolutely summing dual operators, $S^\#y \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1)$.

For $y_1 \in Y_1$, Let $B_{y_1} : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1$ be the operator given by $B_{y_1}(x_1) = y_1 \otimes x_1$ and $B : Y_1 \rightarrow L(X, X_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1)$ defined by $B(y_1)(x) = y_1 \otimes (Ux)$. We have: $B(y_1) = B_{y_1} \circ U$. Since U has (p, q) -absolutely summing dual, we obtain: $B(y_1) \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1)$ and $\|B(y_1)\|_{p,q,dual} \leq \|B_{y_1}\| \|U\|_{p,q,dual} \leq \|y_1\| \|U\|_{p,q,dual}$, for each $y_1 \in Y_1$ and so

$$\|B : Y_1 \rightarrow As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1)\|_{op} \leq \|U\|_{p,q,dual}.$$

Now as it is easy to see $S^\# = B \circ V$ and, since $V \in As_{r,p}^{dual}(Y, Y_1)$, the ideal property of (r, p) -absolutely summing dual operators shows that:

$$S^\# \in As_{r,p}^{dual}(Y, As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1))$$

and

$$\|S^\# : Y \rightarrow As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1)\|_{r,p,dual} \leq$$

$$\|B : Y_1 \rightarrow As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1)\|_{op} \|V\|_{r,p,dual}.$$

From the above inequalities we will obtain

$$\|S^\# : Y \rightarrow As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1)\|_{r,p,dual} \leq \|U\|_{p,q,dual} \|V\|_{r,p,dual}.$$

Using theorem 1 we obtain that $S^* : L(Y_1, X_1^*) \rightarrow As_{r,p}^{dual}(Y, X^*)$ is (r, q) -absolutely summing and

$$\|S^* : L(Y_1, X_1^*) \rightarrow As_{r,p}^{dual}(Y, X^*)\|_{r,q} \leq$$

$$\|S^\# : Y \rightarrow As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y_1 \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X_1)\|_{r,p,dual}.$$

Hence:

$$\|S^* : L(Y_1, X_1^*) \rightarrow As_{r,p}^{dual}(X, Y^*)\|_{r,q} \leq \|U\|_{p,q,dual} \|V\|_{r,p,dual}.$$

Let us consider now two natural isometries: $h : L(X_1, Y_1^*) \rightarrow L(Y_1, X_1^*)$, $h(\hat{\psi}, [\psi(x_1)](y_1) = [\hat{\psi}(y_1)](x_1)$ and $g : As_{r,p}^{dual}(Y, X^*) \rightarrow As_{r,p}(X, Y^*)$, $g(T) = T^* \circ J_X$, where J_X is the canonical embedding of X into the bidual. Then a simple calculation shows: $(U\tilde{\otimes}_\pi V)^* = g \circ S^* \circ h$ and by the ideal property of (r, q) -absolutely summing operators we obtain that:

$$(U\tilde{\otimes}_\pi V)^* \in As_{r,q}(L(X_1, Y_1^*), As_{r,p}(X, Y^*))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(U\tilde{\otimes}_\pi V)^* : L(X_1, Y_1^*) \rightarrow As_{r,p}(X, Y^*)\|_{r,q} &\leq \\ \|g\| \|S^* : L(Y_1, X_1^*) \rightarrow As_{r,p}^{dual}(Y, X^*)\|_{r,q} &\|h\|. \end{aligned}$$

From these last inequalities we obtain

$$\|(U\tilde{\otimes}_\pi V)^* : L(X_1, Y_1^*) \rightarrow As_{r,p}(X, Y^*)\|_{r,q} \leq \|U\|_{p,q,dual} \|V\|_{r,p,dual}.$$

□

To have some examples, let $j : l_2 \rightarrow c_0$ be the canonical injection, whose dual $j^* : l_1 \rightarrow l_2$ is 1-absolutely summing and the identity map $i : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ whose dual $i^* : l_1 \rightarrow l_1$ is (2,1)-absolutely summing, see [7] for these classical results. By theorem 2, we obtain that the restriction mapping $R : L(c_0, l_1) \rightarrow As_{2,1}(l_2, l_1)$ is a (2,1)-absolutely summing operator. In the same way the restriction mapping $R : L(c_0, l_1) \rightarrow As(l_2, l_2)$ is an absolutely summing operator. This example is interesting since in [5] it is proved that the restriction map $R : K(c_0, l_1) \rightarrow As_2(l_2, l_2)$ is an absolutely summing operator which does not factor through any $L_1(\mu)$.

The composition operator that we consider in the next proposition has been studied in [1], [6], [10], [12], [13] for some ideals of operators. Also in the paper [1] Proposition 3.3, it is proved that a certain composition operator is p -absolutely summing with respect to the operator norm, more precisely: if $A \in As_p^{dual}(X, Y)$, $B \in As_p(Z, T)$, then $h : L(Y, Z) \rightarrow L(X, T)$, $h(U) = BUA$ is a p -absolutely summing with respect to the operator norm. Again a natural question is: if $A \in As_p^{dual}(X, Y)$, $B \in As_p(Z, T)$, then is $h : L(Y, Z) \rightarrow As_p(X, T)$, $h(U) = BUA$, p -absolutely summing.

In our next two proposition we will prove also more general results in this direction.

Proposition 3. Let X, Y, Z, T be Banach spaces, $1 \leq q \leq p \leq r < \infty$, $A \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y)$, $B \in As_{r,p}(Z, T)$, and $h : L(Y, Z) \rightarrow As_{r,p}(X, T)$, $h(U) = BU A$. Then h is an (r, q) -absolutely summing operator and $\|h\|_{r,q} \leq \|B\|_{r,p} \|A\|_{p,q,dual}$.

Proof. Choose $U_1, \dots, U_n \in L(Y, Z)$ with $0 < \varepsilon < \|h(U_i)\|_{r,p}$. From the definition of the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm it follows that there exists $\sigma_i \subset \mathbb{N}$, σ_i finite ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $(x_{ij})_{j \in \sigma_i} \subset X$ such that $\|h(U_i)\|_{r,p} - \varepsilon < l_r(h(U_i)(x_{ij}) \mid j \in \sigma_i)$ and $w_p(x_{ij} \mid j \in \sigma_i) \leq 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\|h(U_i)\|_{r,p} - \varepsilon)^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} < l_r((BU_i A)(x_{ij}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) \leq$$

$$\|B\|_{r,p} w_p((U_i A)(x_{ij}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i),$$

since $B \in As_{r,p}(Z, T)$. For $z^* \in Z^*$, $\|z^*\| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |z^*[(U_i A)(x_{ij})]|^p &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |[A^*(U_i^*(z^*))](x_{ij})|^p \leq \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \|A^*(U_i^*(z^*))\|^p [w_p(x_{ij} \mid j \in \sigma_i)]^p &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|A^*(U_i^*(z^*))\|^p \leq \\ \|A^*\|_{p,q}^p [w_q(U_i^*(z^*) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n)]^p, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $A \in As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, Y)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} w_p((U_i A)(x_{ij}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) &= \sup_{\|z^*\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |z^*[(U_i A)(x_{ij})]|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \\ \|A\|_{p,q,dual} \sup_{\|z^*\| \leq 1} w_q(U_i^*(z^*) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|z^*\| \leq 1} w_q(U_i^*(z^*) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n) &= \sup_{\|z^*\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle y, U_i^*(z^*) \rangle|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\ \sup\left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle U_i, \psi \rangle|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \mid \psi \in (L(Y, Z))^*, \|\psi\| \leq 1 \right\} &= w_q(U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the above inequalities we obtain

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\|h(U_i)\|_{r,p} - \varepsilon)^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} < \|B\|_{r,p} \|A\|_{p,q,dual} w_q(U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n),$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\|h(U_i)\|_{r,p}^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \|B\|_{r,p} \|A\|_{p,q,dual} w_q(U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n)$$

and the proposition is proved. \square

The ideal property of the (r, p) -absolutely summing dual operators shows that h takes its values also in $As_{p,q}^{dual}(X, T)$. For $p = q = r \geq 1$ we can prove the following.

Proposition 4. *Let X, Y, Z, T be Banach spaces, $p \geq 1$, $A \in As_p^{dual}(X, Y)$, $B \in As_p(Z, T)$, and $h : L(Y, Z) \rightarrow As_p^{dual}(X, T)$, $h(U) = BUA$. Then h is a p -absolutely summing operator and $\|h\|_p \leq \|B\|_p \|A\|_{p,dual}$.*

Proof. Choose $U_1, \dots, U_n \in L(Y, Z)$ with $0 < \varepsilon < \|h(U_i)\|_{p,dual}$. From the definition of the p -absolutely summing dual norm it follows that there exist $\sigma_i \subset \mathbb{N}$, σ_i finite, ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $(t_{ij}^*)_{j \in \sigma_i} \subset T^*$ such that

$$\|h(U_i)\|_{p,dual} - \varepsilon < l_p([h(U_i)]^*(t_{ij}^*) \mid j \in \sigma_i) \text{ and } w_p(t_{ij}^* \mid j \in \sigma_i) \leq 1$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Then

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\|h(U_i)\|_{p,dual} - \varepsilon)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < l_p((A^* U_i^* B^*)(t_{ij}^*) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) \leq$$

$$\|A^*\|_p w_p((U_i^* B^*)(t_{ij}^*) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i),$$

since $A \in As_p^{dual}(X, Y)$. For $y \in Y$, $\|y\| \leq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |[(U_i^* B^*)(t_{ij}^*)](y)|^p = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |(t_{ij}^* \circ B \circ U_i)(y)|^p \leq$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|B(U_i(y))\|^p [w_p(t_{ij}^* \mid j \in \sigma_i)]^p \leq$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|B(U_i(y))\|^p \leq \|B\|_p^p [w_p(U_i(y) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n)]^p.$$

where we have used that $B \in As_p(Z, T)$.

Hence

$$w_p((U_i^* B^*)(t_{ij}^*) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) = \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |[(U_i^* B^*)(t_{ij}^*)](y)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|B\|_p \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} w_p(U_i(y) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n).$$

But

$$\sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} w_p((U_i(y) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n)) = \sup_{\|z^*\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle U_i(y), z^* \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \sup_{\|\psi\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle U_i, \psi \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = w_p(U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Summarizing the above inequalities we obtain:

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\|h(U_i)\|_{p,dual} - \varepsilon)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \|B\|_p \|A\|_{p,dual} w_p(U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n),$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|h(U_i)\|_{p,dual}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|B\|_p \|A\|_{p,dual} w_p(U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n)$$

and the proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 5. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a) $L(X, Y^*) = As_p(X, Y^*)$.
- b) For any Banach space Z , and $U \in As_p(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$ we have $U^\# \in As_p(X, As_p(Y, Z))$.

Proof. a) \rightarrow b) If a) is true, we can prove a result much more general than b), namely: If $U \in As_{r,p}(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$, then: $U^\# \in As_{r,p}(X, As_{r,p}(Y, Z))$. Indeed, by the ideal property of (r, p) -absolutely summing operators it follows that $U^\#x \in As_{r,p}(Y, Z)$, for each $x \in X$. Choose now $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then by the definition of the (r, p) -absolutely summing norm it follows that there exist: $\sigma_i \subset \mathbb{N}$, σ_i finite ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $(y_{ij})_{j \in \sigma_i} \subset Y$ such that

$$w_p(y_{ij} \mid j \in \sigma_i) \leq 1 \text{ and } \|U^\#(x_i)\|_{r,p} - \varepsilon < l_r((U^\#x_i)(y_{ij}) \mid j \in \sigma_i)$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Hence using the fact that $U \in As_{r,p}(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, Z)$ we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n [\|U^\#(x_i)\|_{r,p} - \varepsilon]^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < l_r((U^\#x_i)(y_{ij}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) =$$

$$l_r(U(x_i \otimes y_{ij}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) \leq \|U\|_{r,p} w_p(x_i \otimes y_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i).$$

But, the hypothesis a) implies that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for $\psi \in (X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y)^* = L(X, Y^*) = As_p(X, Y^*)$ we have: $\|\psi\|_p \leq C \|\psi\|$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |\psi(x_i \otimes y_{ij})|^p &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \sigma_i} |\psi(x_i)(y_{ij})|^p \leq \\ &\sum_{i=1}^n \|\psi(x_i)\|^p [w_p(y_{ij} \mid j \in \sigma_i)]^p \leq \\ &\sum_{i=1}^n \|\psi(x_i)\|^p \leq \|\psi\|_p^p [w_p(x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n)]^p \leq \\ &C^p \|\psi\|^p [w_p(x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n)]^p; \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$w_p(x_i \otimes y_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n; j \in \sigma_i) \leq C w_p(x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n)$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n [\|U^\#(x_i)\|_{r,p} - \varepsilon]^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} &< C \|U\|_{r,p} w_p(x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n), \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n [\|U^\#(x_i)\|_{r,p}^r] \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} &\leq C \|U\|_{r,p} w_p(x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $U^\# \in As_{r,p}(Y, Z)$.

b) \Rightarrow a) Let $T \in L(X, Y^*) = (X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y)^*$ and let $U : X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be the canonical functional associated to T , where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C}). We have

$U \in As_p(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi Y, \mathbb{K})$ and from b) taking $Z = \mathbb{K}$ we have that $U^\# : X \rightarrow As_p(Y, \mathbb{K}) = Y^*$ is p -absolutely summing. But $U^\# = T \in As_p(X, Y^*)$ and a) is fulfilled. \square

Acknowledgment. We thank the referee for his very careful reading of the manuscript, and for many useful suggestions and remarks which improve the first version of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Carl - A. Defant - M.S. Ramanujan, *On tensor stable ideals*, Michigan Math. Journ., 36 (1989), pp. 63–75.
- [2] A. Defant - K. Floret, *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, North-Holland Math. Studies, 176 (1993).
- [3] J. Diestel - J.J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Math. Surv. n. 15 A.M.S., 1977.
- [4] J. Diestel - H. Jarchow - A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 43, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [5] Y. Gordon - D.R. Lewis, *Absolutely summing operators and local unconditional structures*, Acta Math., 133 (1974), pp. 27–48.
- [6] M. Lindstrom - G. Schluchtermann, *Composition of operators ideals*, Math. Scand., 84 (1999), pp. 284–296.
- [7] A. Pietsch, *operator ideals*, North-Holland, Berlin, 1978.
- [8] D. Popa, *Grothendieck operators on the projective tensor products of spaces*, Le Matematiche, 50 (1995), pp. 83–85.
- [9] D. Popa, *Pietsch integral operators on the injective tensor products of spaces*, Glasgow Math. Journ., 39 (1997), pp. 227–230.
- [10] G. Racher, *On tensor product of weakly compact operators*, Math. Annalen, 294 (1992), pp. 267–275.
- [11] P. Saab - S.M. Smith, *p -summing operators on injective tensor product of spaces*, Proc. of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 120 A (1992), pp. 283–296.
- [12] E. Saksman - H.O. Tylli, *Weak compactness of multiplication operators on spaces of bounded linear operators*, Math. Scand., 70 (1992), pp. 91–111.

- [13] E. Saksman - H.O. Tylli, *Weak essential spectra of multiplication operators on spaces of bounded linear operators*, Math. Annalen, 299 (1994), pp. 299–304.

Department of Mathematics
Bd. Mamaia 124,
Costanta 8700 (ROMANIA)
e-mail:dpopa@univ-ovidius.ro