# ON SEQUENCES OF INTEGERS FOR HANKEL PLANES IN A LINEAR SPACE $\Sigma$ OF $\mathbb{P}^m$

# GIOIA FAILLA

For a vector space  $R \subseteq k^{m+1}$  of dimension r + 1 on the algebraically closed field k we determine, for any  $i \leq r$ , the possible numbers of Hankel i-planes contained in the r-plane  $\mathbb{P}(R)$ , linear space in  $\mathbb{P}^m$ .

# 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{P}^m$  be the projective space of dimension *m* defined on *k*, algebraically closed field. Let  $R \subseteq k^{m+1}$  be a *k*-vector space of dimension r+1 and let  $\mathbb{P}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  be the corresponding *r*-plane.

In [2] the theory of the Hankel planes was developed. The authors give the definition of Hankel r-plane, starting from a matrix (called Hankel matrix) of elements of k. They give necessary and sufficient conditions for an r-plane to be Hankel.

An interesting problem is to find invariants for an r-plane with respect to a change of coordinates leaving fixed the standard rational normal curve  $X_m \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$ . Our investigation in the topic of Hankel planes brings us to deduce that invariants of a linear space are given by the positive integer numbers  $h_i$  defined in the following way:  $h_i$  denotes the number of the independent Hankel *i*-planes skew to the rational normal curve  $X_m$  of  $\mathbb{P}^m$  and contained in the linear space

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 14L24,15A03. Keywords: Hankel Planes, Geometric Invariants.

Entrato in redazione: 20 gennaio 2009

 $\mathbb{P}(R)$ . We shall take the term  $h_i$  as the general term of a decreasing sequence, called *h*-sequence.

An open problem, given in [2], was to describe all the possible h-sequences in a given linear space. In this paper, the problem has been completely solved, so we obtain new invariants associated to a linear space  $\Sigma$ , in terms of a h-sequence.

To be precise, in section 2, we give some definitions and recall some notions and results useful in the sequel. In Sections 3 and 4, considering a linear space  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  of dimension *r*, skew to  $X_m$  and joining *t* maximal Hankel planes (that can also have the same dimension), we determine the relative *h*-sequence.

We obtain simplified h-sequences when all the maximal Hankel planes have the same dimension, or all of them have different dimensions.

In Section 5, we introduce the *difference sequence*  $\Delta h$ , with general term  $\Delta h_i = h_i - h_{i+1}$ . We consider a decreasing sequence of positive integer numbers  $h_i$  and we show that it is the *h*-sequence of some space  $\Sigma$  if, and only if,  $\Delta h$  is not increasing. Finally, we find the maximum and minimum number of Hankel *i*-planes contained in a linear space  $\Sigma$  i. e. the maximal and the minimal *h*-sequences.

#### 2. Preliminaries and Notations

Let *k* be an algebraically closed field, char(k) = 0. Starting from a matrix  $A \in k^{(m+1)\times(n+1)}$ , for any  $p \ge 0$ , we can construct a block Toeplitz matrix  $T_A(p) \in k^{(m+p+1),(n+1)(p+1)}$ . Let  $R \subseteq k^{m+1}$  be the *k*-space of the relations among the rows of *A* and,  $R(p) \subseteq k^{m+p+1}$  be the *k*-space of the relations among the rows of  $T_A(p)$ . In particular, any element of R(p) gives a Hankel matrix whose rows belong to *R* (For more details see[2]). We recall the following:

**Definition 2.1.** Define *Hankel Matrix* a matrix of the following type:

$$H=egin{pmatrix} \lambda_0&\lambda_1&\ldots&\ldots&\lambda_m\ \lambda_1&\lambda_2&\ldots&\lambda_m&\lambda_{m+1}\ \ldots&\ldots&\ldots&\ldots&\ldots\ \lambda_{p-1}&\lambda_p&\ldots&\ldots&\lambda_{m+p-1}\ \lambda_p&\lambda_{p+1}&\ldots&\lambda_{m+p-1}&\lambda_{m+p} \end{pmatrix}\in k^{p+1,m+1}$$

Denote by  $X_m \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  the rational normal curve, locus of points  $(a,b)^m = (a^m, a^{m-1}b, ..., b^m), a, b \in k$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Define *secant* s-*plane* any s-dimensional linear subspace  $\Sigma_s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  that cuts  $X_m$  in s + 1 points (counting their multiplicity ). If  $\Sigma_s$  cuts  $X_m$  in the point  $P_i$  with multiplicity  $t_i$ , we write  $\Sigma_s = \langle t_0 P_0, t_1 P_1, ..., t_h P_h \rangle$ ,  $(i = 0, ..., h; \Sigma t_i = s + 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** ([2], Theorem 3.7) Let  $\pi_r \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  be an r-plane spanned by points of coordinates  $(d_{i0}, \ldots, d_{im})$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, r$ . Then the number of independent Hankel p-planes contained in  $\pi_r$  is equal to the number of independent solutions of the linear system associated to the matrix  $S_p(\pi_r)$ , that is

$$\dim R(p) = (p+1)(r+1) - \operatorname{rank} S_p(\pi_r)$$

where

$$S_p(\pi_r) = \begin{pmatrix} B_h & B_f & \Omega & \dots & \Omega & \Omega \\ \Omega & B_h & B_f & \dots & \Omega & \Omega \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Omega & \Omega & \Omega & \dots & B_h & B_f \end{pmatrix} \in k^{pm,(p+1)(r+1)}$$

$$B_h B_f = \begin{pmatrix} d_{01} & d_{11} & \dots & d_{r1} & | & d_{00} & d_{10} & \dots & d_{r0} \\ d_{02} & d_{12} & \dots & d_{r2} & | & d_{01} & d_{11} & \dots & d_{r1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & | & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ d_{0m} & d_{1m} & \dots & d_{rm} & | & d_{0m-1} & d_{1m-1} & \dots & d_{rm-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\Omega \in k^{m,r+1}$  is the null matrix.

**Remark 2.4.** If rank  $S_p(\pi_r) = p(r+1)$ , then dim R(p) = r+1. So dim  $R(p) = \dim R$  for any  $p \ge 0$  and  $\pi_r$  is a secant r-plane.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $V \subseteq k^{m+1}$  be a *k*-vector space of dimension r + 1. *V* is called *Hankel space* if there exists a non zero Hankel matrix  $H \in k^{r+1,m+1}$ , whose (r+1) rows belong to *V*.

**Definition 2.6.** An *r*-plane  $\pi_r \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  is called *Hankel plane* if  $\pi_r = \mathbb{P}(V)$ , where *V* is a Hankel space. The *r*-plane  $\pi_r$  is called *non trivial* if  $\pi_r \cap X_m = \emptyset$ . In particular the Hankel 1-planes are called Hankel lines.

**Remark 2.7.** Any point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^m$  is a Hankel 0-plane. In fact we can always construct a  $1 \times (m+1)$ -matrix that can be considered as a Hankel matrix.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  be a linear space. A Hankel r-plane  $\pi_r \subseteq \Sigma$  is called *maximal* in  $\Sigma$  if it is not contained in any Hankel (r+1)-plane of  $\Sigma$ .

**Remark 2.9.** If  $P \in \pi_r \cap X_m$ ,  $P \equiv (a,b)^m$ , then  $\pi_r$  is trivially Hankel since it is always possible to write a Hankel matrix of rank 1 just using coordinates of *P*:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} a^{m+r} & a^{m+r-1}b & \dots & a^{r}b^{m} \\ a^{m+r-1}b & a^{m+r-2}b^{2} & \dots & a^{r-1}b^{m+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a^{m}b^{r} & a^{m-1}b^{r+1} & \dots & b^{m+r} \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore it is more interesting to study Hankel r-planes which are skew to  $X_m$ .

**Remark 2.10.** ([2], Remark 4.12) Let  $\pi_r = \mathbb{P}(R)$  be a Hankel *r*-plane such that  $\pi_r \cap X_m = \emptyset$ , where dim R = r+1. Let  $H = {}^t(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)$  be the Hankel matrix correspondent to  $\pi_r$ . *H* has maximal rank by Theorem 2.11 and  $\pi_r$  contains:two Hankel independent (r-1)-planes  ${}^t(\mathbf{v}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{r-1}), {}^t(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)$ , three Hankel independent (r-2)-planes  ${}^t(\mathbf{v}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{r-2}), {}^t(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{r-1}), {}^t(\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r), \dots, r$  Hankel independent lines  ${}^t(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1), {}^t(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2), \dots, {}^t(\mathbf{v}_{r-1}, \mathbf{v}_r), (r+1)$  Hankel 0-planes  $\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ .

The following theorem characterizes the non trivial Hankel planes.

**Theorem 2.11.** (See [2], Theorem 4.11) Let  $\pi_r \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  be a Hankel r-plane. Then  $\pi_r \cap X_m = \emptyset$  if, and only if, you can construct a unique maximal rank Hankel matrix with r + 1 rows, coordinates of points of  $\pi_r$ .

## 3. Hankel maximal *r*-planes

We want to focus our study on Hankel maximal *r*-planes of a linear space  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$ . We need these preliminary results:

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $R \subseteq k^{m+1}$  be a vector space, dim R = r+1, such that  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  is skew to  $X_m \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$ . If dim R(1) = r, then dim  $R(2) = r-1, \ldots$ , dim R(r) = 1, dim R(r+1) = 0 and  $\pi_r = \mathbb{P}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  is a Hankel r-plane.

*Proof.* Consider a basis of R(1):

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ Q_1 \end{pmatrix}, L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} P_2 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, L_r = \begin{pmatrix} P_r \\ Q_r \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $P_i = (a_{i0}, \ldots, a_{im}), Q_i = (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{i(m+1)})$  elements of *R*. Since the line  $L_i$  corresponds to the point  $(a_{i0}, \ldots, a_{i(m+1)}) \in \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$  ([2], Remark 4.15), we look for the Hankel 2-planes as solutions of the homogeneous linear system associated to the matrix

$$(B_h B_f) = (Q_1 Q_2 \dots, Q_r \mid P_1 P_2 \dots, P_r)$$

We have that  $r \leq \operatorname{rank} (B_h B_f) \leq r+1$ . rank  $(B_h B_f)$  cannot be r otherwise  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  should be a secant r-plane (Remark 2.4). Then it is r+1 and the linear system has 2r - (r+1) = r-1 independent solutions. To determine the Hankel 3-planes, we know that R(1) has dimension equal to r and R(2) has dimension r-1. By the same argument,  $R(3) \cong R(2)(1)$  has dimension r-2, and so on. In particular there exists a non-zero element of R(r). So  $\pi_r$  contains (r-1) independent Hankel 2-planes, (r-2) independent Hankel 3-planes, ..., 2 independent Hankel (r-1)-planes, 1 Hankel r- Hankel plane.

**Example 3.2.** Consider in  $\mathbb{P}^6$  a Hankel 2–plane  $\pi_2$  with Hankel matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and a Hankel line  $\pi_1$  with Hankel matrix:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} v_4\\ v_5\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 1\\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right).$$

We observe that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are not skew since  $v_4 = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$ . Let  $\pi = \pi_1 + \pi_2$  be their joining space, dim  $\pi = 3$ .  $\pi$  contains 3 independent Hankel lines (1,0,0,0,-1,1,2,0), (0,0,0,-1,1,2,0,1), (1,0,-1,0,2,3,1,0). Consider the matrix  $(B_h B_f)$  to compute the Hankel 2–planes:

$$(B_h B_f) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By simple computation, we have that rank  $(B_h B_f) = 4$  and there are two Hankel independent 2-planes in  $\pi$ . Hence  $\pi_1$  is not maximal in  $\pi$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\pi_r, \pi_s \subseteq \Sigma$  be maximal Hankel planes in the linear space  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\pi_r \cap \pi_s = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* If  $\pi_s$  is a Hankel *s*-plane, then for any point  $P \in \pi_s$  there exists a Hankel line *L*, passing through *P*. In fact,  $\pi_s$  contains two Hankel (s-1)-planes; in the sheaf generated by these two Hankel (s-1)- planes consider the Hankel  $\pi_{s-1}$  plane passing through *P*.  $\pi_{s-1}$  contains two Hankel (s-2)-planes. In the sheaf generated by these two Hankel (s-2)- planes consider the Hankel  $\pi_{s-2}$  plane passing through *P* and so on. Finally we find a Hankel line *L* passing through *P*. Suppose  $\pi_r \cap \pi_s \neq \emptyset$  and  $P \in \pi_r \cap \pi_s$ . Since for any point of  $\pi_s$  there is a Hankel line *L*, then  $\pi_r \cap L \neq \emptyset$ . Consider the Hankel matrix that represents the Hankel  $\pi_r$ -plane:

$$H_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{0} \\ A_{1} \\ \dots \\ A_{r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{0} & a_{1} & \dots & a_{m} \\ a_{1} & a_{2} & \dots & a_{m+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{r} & a_{r+1} & \dots & a_{m+r} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the Hankel line

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & b_1 & \dots & b_m \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_{m+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that  $B_0 \in \langle A_0, ..., A_r, B_1 \rangle$ . Consider the joining space  $\pi_r + L = \langle A_0, A_1, ..., A_r, B_1 \rangle$ . By Proposition 2.3, consider the matrix  $(B_h B_f)$  to find the Hankel lines:

 $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{r+1} & b_2 & a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_r & b_1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ a_m & a_{m+1} & \dots & a_{m+r} & b_{m+1} & a_{m-1} & a_m & \dots & a_{m+r-1} & b_m \end{pmatrix} \in k^{m,2r+4}.$ 

In the matrix  $(B_h B_f)$  the columns arising from the Hankel matrix  $H_1$  are 2(r+1) whose r+2 are independent. Since the column  ${}^t(b_1,\ldots,b_m) \in \langle {}^t(a_1,\ldots,a_m), \ldots, {}^t(a_{r+1},\ldots,a_{m+r}), {}^t(b_2,\ldots,b_{m+1}) \rangle$ , then rank  $(B_h B_f) = r+3$ . Thus, there are r+1 Hankel independent lines in  $\pi_r + L$  and so, by Proposition 3.1,  $\pi_r + L$  is a Hankel (r+1)-plane that contains a Hankel maximal r-plane. Contradiction.

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $\pi_r$  and  $\pi_s$  be two Hankel planes contained in a linear space  $\Sigma$ , then the Hankel *h*-planes that they contain can be dependent ( $h < \min(r, s)$ ). In this case  $\pi_r$  and  $\pi_s$  are not maximal in their joining space.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  be a linear space of dimension r. Consider t Hankel maximal  $r_i$ -planes  $\pi_i = \mathbb{P}(V_i) \subseteq \Sigma$ , dim  $\pi_i = r_i$ , such that  $\pi_i \cap X_m = \emptyset$  (i = 1, ..., t). Then  $V_1 + ... + V_t = V_1 \oplus ... \oplus V_t$ , *i.e.*, dim  $< \pi_1, ..., \pi_t > = \sum_{i=1}^t \dim V_i - 1$ .

*Proof.* Consider the sum space  $V = \sum_{i=1}^{t} V_i$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  be the joining linear space of all the Hankel  $\pi_i$ -planes. In  $\pi_i$  there are  $r_i$ -Hankel lines and  $(r_i - 1)$  Hankel 2-planes (Remark 2.10). Then in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  there are at most  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i - t$  Hankel 2-planes. On the other hand, the Hankel  $r_i$ -lines in  $\pi_i$  are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{i1} \\ Q_{i1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} P_{i2} \\ Q_{i2} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} P_{ir_i} \\ Q_{ir_i} \end{pmatrix} \qquad P_{ij}, Q_{ij} \in V_i \quad j = 1, \dots, r_i, i = 1, \dots, t.$$

Compute the Hankel 2-planes in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  writing the matrix  $(B_h B_f)$ . Since  $R(2) \cong R(1)(1)$ , then we have  $S_1(\mathbb{P}(R(2))) =$ 

$$({}^{t}Q_{11}...{}^{t}Q_{1r_{1}}{}^{t}Q_{21}...{}^{t}Q_{2r_{2}}...{}^{t}Q_{t1}...{}^{t}Q_{tr_{t}} | {}^{t}P_{11}...{}^{t}P_{1r_{1}}{}^{t}P_{21}...{}^{t}P_{2r_{2}}...{}^{t}P_{t1}...{}^{t}P_{tr_{t}}).$$

In general, we have dim  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} V_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{t} (r_i + 1)$ . Suppose, by absurd, that the sum of subspaces  $V_i$  is not direct. It follows that rank  $S_1(\mathbb{P}(R(2))) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i + t - 1$ . On the other hand, the number of independent solutions of the homogeneous linear system, having as associated matrix  $S_1(\mathbb{P}(R(2)))$ , is

dim 
$$R(2) \ge 2\sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i - \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i - t + 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i - t + 1.$$

Since dim R(2) represents the dimension of 2-planes, we find a contradiction. In fact, we know that the number of 2-planes is at most  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i - t$ .

#### 4. h-sequences

For a generic linear space  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  we want to find all the possible decreasing sequences  $h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_i, \ldots$ , where  $h_i$  is the maximal number of Hankel *i*-planes contained in  $\Sigma$ . In this direction we give the following definitions:

**Definition 4.1.** Define *h*-sequence, relative to a linear space  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$ , a sequence of integers, whose term  $h_i$  denotes the maximal number of independent non trivial Hankel *i*-planes contained in  $\Sigma$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  be a linear space of dimension  $r, \Sigma \cap X_m = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is the joining space of Hankel maximal planes  $\pi_\beta \subseteq \Sigma$  ( $\beta = 1, ..., t$ ), with dim  $\pi_1 \leq \dim \pi_2 \leq ... \leq \dim \pi_t$ . Then, the *h*-sequence relative to  $\Sigma$  is

$$h_{i} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{j}\alpha_{j} - t(i-1) & 0 \leq i \leq 1 + \alpha_{1} \\ \sum_{j=2}^{s} a_{j}\alpha_{j} - (t-a_{1})(i-1) & 2 + \alpha_{1} \leq i \leq 1 + \alpha_{2} \\ \sum_{j=3}^{s} a_{j}\alpha_{j} - (t-a_{1}-a_{2})(i-1) & 2 + \alpha_{2} \leq i \leq 1 + \alpha_{3} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{j=l}^{s} a_{j}\alpha_{j} - (t-a_{1}-\dots - a_{l-1})(i-1) & 2 + \alpha_{l-1} \leq i \leq 1 + \alpha_{l} < \alpha_{s} \\ a_{s} & 2 + \alpha_{s-1} \leq i \leq \alpha_{s} \\ 0 & i > \alpha_{s} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_l$  is the generic term in the sequence

$$1 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \ldots < \alpha_l < \ldots < \alpha_s = \dim \pi_t$$

of the dimensions of the Hankel  $\pi_{\beta}$ -planes that have different dimensions, and  $a_l$  is the number of the independent Hankel  $\alpha_l$ -planes.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.5, the *t* maximal planes  $\pi_{\beta}$  contained in  $\Sigma$  are mutually skew. We begin to compute the number  $h_0$  of 0–Hankel planes contained in  $\Sigma$ . It is given by the sum of the generators of Hankel maximal  $\pi_{\beta}$ –planes. To be precise, by hypothesis we have  $a_1$  maximal Hankel  $\alpha_1$ –planes,  $a_2$  maximal Hankel  $\alpha_2$ –planes, ...,  $a_s$  Hankel maximal  $\alpha_s$ –planes, where  $a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_s = t$ . A Hankel maximal  $\alpha_1$ –plane contains ( $\alpha_1 + 1$ ) Hankel 0–planes (Remark 2.10). So we have  $a_1(\alpha_1 + 1)$  Hankel 0–planes in  $\pi_1$ . Then, for all Hankel maximal  $\alpha_j$ –planes, we have  $h_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j \alpha_j + t$ . By the same procedure, we obtain

 $h_i = \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_j \alpha_j - t(i-1)$  up to  $i = \alpha_1 + 1$ . In fact, when we compute the Han-

kel *i*-planes contained in  $\Sigma$ , any Hankel maximal  $\alpha_j$ -plane gives a contribution that decreases by one at any step. The Hankel maximal  $\alpha_1$ -planes are the first to finish, that is, they cannot produce Hankel *r*-planes for  $r > \alpha_1$ . Each  $\alpha_1$ -plane gives contribution 1 at level  $h_{\alpha_1}$  and contribution 0 at level  $h_{\alpha_1+1}$ , just one less than the previous step. The  $\alpha_1$ -planes produce no Hankel *i*-planes for  $i = \alpha_1 + 2$ .

If *s* = 1, then  $a_1 = t$  and all Hankel planes have the same dimension  $\alpha_1$ .

Suppose that  $a_1 < t$  and consider the set made up by the Hankel *i*- planes that have dimension  $i > \alpha_1 + 1$ . Compute the Hankel  $(\alpha_1 + 2)$  planes. Any maximal  $\alpha_j$ -plane contains Hankel  $(\alpha_1 + 2)$ -planes in number equal to  $(\alpha_2 - (\alpha_1 + 2 - 1))$  (Remark 2.10). Since the Hankel maximal  $\alpha_2$ -planes are  $a_2$ , then there are

$$a_2[\alpha_2 - (\alpha_1 + 1)]$$
  $(\alpha_1 + 2) - Hankel planes.$ 

The procedure is valid for j = 2, ..., s and so we have  $h_{\alpha_1+2} = a_2[\alpha_2 - (\alpha_1 + 1)] + ... + a_s[\alpha_s - (\alpha_1 + 1)] = \sum_{j=2}^s a_j\alpha_j - (t - a_1)(\alpha_1 + 1)$ . In the same way, we obtain

obtain

$$h_i = \sum_{j=2}^s a_j \alpha_j - (t - a_1)(i - 1)$$
  $2 + \alpha_1 \le i \le 1 + \alpha_2.$ 

$$h_i = \sum_{j=l}^s a_j \alpha_j - (t - a_1 - \dots - a_{l-1})(i-1) \qquad 2 + \alpha_{l-1} \le i \le \alpha_l + 1 < \alpha_s.$$

The last term of the sequence is given by the number  $a_s$  of Hankel maximal  $\alpha_s$ -planes. We obtain a decreasing sequence whose general term is  $h_i$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *If the Hankel maximal planes have all the same dimension,*  $\dim \pi_i = r \ge 1$  (i = 1, ..., t), then the sequence  $h_i$  is the following:

$$h_i = t(r - i + 1) \qquad 0 \le i \le r$$

Supposing that dim  $\pi_1 < \dim \pi_2 < \ldots < \dim \pi_t$ , we have the following corollary. The simplification is obtained putting  $a_i = 1$  and  $i = 1, \ldots, s = t$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** With the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2, suppose that s = t. Then the sequence of  $h_i$  is the following:

$$h_{i} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \alpha_{j} - t(i-1) & 0 \leq i \leq 1 + \alpha_{1} \\ \sum_{j=2}^{t} \alpha_{j} - (t-1)(i-1) & 2 + \alpha_{1} \leq i \leq 1 + \alpha_{2} \\ \sum_{j=3}^{t} \alpha_{j} - (t-2)(i-1) & 2 + \alpha_{2} \leq i \leq 1 + \alpha_{3} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{j=3}^{t} \alpha_{j} - (t-l+1)(i-1) & 2 + \alpha_{l-1} \leq i \leq 1 + \alpha_{l} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{j=l}^{t} \alpha_{j} - (t-l+1)(i-1) & 2 + \alpha_{l-1} \leq i \leq 1 + \alpha_{l} \\ \dots \\ 1 & i = \alpha_{t} \\ 0 & i > \alpha_{t} \end{cases}$$

### 5. Maximal and minimal sequence

In this section first we establish when a sequence of positive integers can be a h-sequence. Then, for a given linear space  $\Sigma$ , we characterize the h-sequences, in particular the maximal and the minimal ones.

**Definition 5.1.** Given a sequence of integers  $h_0, \ldots h_i$ , define  $\Delta h$  the sequence with the general term  $\Delta h_i = h_i - h_{i+1}$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $h = h_0, h_1, ..., h_{\alpha}, 0, ...$  be a sequence of integers such that  $h_i > h_{i+1}$  for  $i \le \alpha$ ,  $h_i = 0$  for  $i > \alpha$  and  $\Delta h_0 = \Delta h_1$ . Then h is the h-sequence of a linear space  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  spanned by  $t = \Delta h_0$  mutually skew Hankel planes of positive dimension if and only if  $\Delta h$  is not increasing.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\Delta h_0 = \Delta h_1$ ,  $\Sigma$  is the joining space of *t* maximal planes of positive dimension and we can choose as generators of  $\Sigma h_0$  points such that none of them is a Hankel maximal 0-plane.

By hypothesis, we have a *h*-sequence determined by *t* mutually skew Hankel planes. Suppose that they are so organized:  $a_1$  Hankel  $\alpha_1$ -planes,  $a_2$  Hankel  $\alpha_2$ -planes, ...,  $a_s$  Hankel  $\alpha_s$ -planes.

By simple computation, you see that  $\Delta h_0 = \Delta h_1 = \ldots = \Delta h_{\alpha_1} = t$  and in the h-sequence the contribution  $a_1$  of Hankel maximal  $\alpha_1$ -planes is missing from  $h_{\alpha_1+2}$ . Then  $h_{\alpha_1+2} = h_{\alpha_1+1} - (t-a_1)$  and  $\Delta h_{\alpha_1+1} = t-a_1$ , that implies  $\Delta h_{\alpha_1} > \Delta h_{\alpha_1+1}$ . By the same procedure, we compute the other terms of the h-sequence and finally we obtain  $\Delta h_{\alpha_s-1} = t - \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_i = \Delta h_{\alpha_s} = h_{\alpha_s}$  that is the last non zero term of the sequence  $\Delta h$ . In fact  $\Delta h_{\alpha_s+1} = 0 = t - \sum_{i=0}^{s} a_i = \Delta h_{\alpha_s} - a_s$  and  $\Delta h_{\alpha_s} > \Delta h_{\alpha_s+1}$ .

Since the last non zero term of the *h*-sequence is  $h_{\alpha_s}$ ,  $\Delta h_{\alpha_s+1} = 0$  and  $\Delta h_i = 0$  for  $i > \alpha_s$ . Therefore  $\Delta h$  is a non increasing finite sequence.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\Delta h_0 = \Delta h_1 = \ldots = \Delta h_{\alpha_1} = t$  and  $\Delta h_{\alpha_1} > \Delta h_{\alpha_{1+1}}$ . We can build a linear space  $\Sigma$  spanned by *t* mutually skew Hankel planes:  $a_1 = \Delta h_{\alpha_1} - \Delta h_{\alpha_1+1}$  of them are  $\alpha_1$ -planes. Assuming  $\Delta h_{\alpha_1+1} = \ldots = \Delta h_{\alpha_2} > \Delta h_{\alpha_2+1}$  we have  $a_2 = \Delta h_{\alpha_2} - \Delta h_{\alpha_2+1}$  Hankel  $\alpha_2$ -planes and so on, up to  $\alpha_s = \alpha$ ; we have  $h_{\alpha_s} = \Delta h_{\alpha_s}$  gives the number of Hankel  $\alpha_s$ -planes. Altogether the above Hankel planes build the required linear space  $\Sigma$ .

**Example 5.3.** Consider the mutually skew Hankel planes  $\pi_2$ ,  $\pi'_2$ ,  $\pi_3$ ,  $\pi_4$ ,  $\pi'_4$ ,  $\pi_6$ ,  $\pi'_6$  in the linear space they span: two 2–planes, one 3–plane, two 4–planes, two 6–planes. Therefore s = 4,  $\alpha_s = 6$  and t = 7.

Then the *h*-sequence is:  $h_0 = 34$ ,  $h_1 = 27$ ,  $h_2 = 20$ ,  $h_3 = 13$ ,  $h_4 = 8$ ,  $h_5 = 4$ ,  $h_6 = 2$ .

Conversely, given the above sequence, the  $\Delta h$  sequence is  $\Delta h_0 = 7$ ,  $\Delta h_1 = 7$ ,  $\Delta h_2 = 7$ ,  $\Delta h_3 = 5$ ,  $\Delta h_4 = 4$ ,  $\Delta h_5 = 2$ ,  $\Delta h_6 = 2$ . Since  $\Delta h_1 = \Delta h_2 > \Delta h_3 > \Delta h_4 > \Delta h_5 = \Delta h_6 > \Delta h_7$ , there exist two Hankel maximal 2–planes, one Hankel maximal 3–plane, two Hankel maximal 4–planes, two Hankel maximal 6–planes. There are not maximal Hankel lines and maximal Hankel 5–planes.

Now, we study cases relative to a chosen linear space  $\Sigma$ , not necessarily skew to  $X_m$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  be a linear space of dimension r and  $deg(\Sigma \cap X_m) = s$ . Suppose that in  $\Sigma$  there are t Hankel maximal planes of positive dimension, and that  $\Sigma'$  is their joining space. Then the h-sequence  $h_i$  relative to  $\Sigma$  and the h-sequence  $h'_i$  relative to  $\Sigma'$  are different only for the first term:  $h_0 = h'_0 + \beta$ , where  $\beta \leq \frac{m}{2}$  denotes the number of the maximal 0-planes contained in  $\Sigma$ . *Proof.* Consider the linear space  $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ , skew to  $X_m$  and joining the maximal t-planes in  $\Sigma$ . The h-sequence relative to  $\Sigma'$  is  $h'_0, h'_1, \ldots, h'_{\gamma}, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots, 0$ , where  $h'_i$  is given by Theorem 3.5 ( $i = 0, \ldots \gamma$ ) and  $h'_{\gamma}$  is the last term of the sequence, where there exist Hankel i-planes skew to  $X_m$ . The two h-sequences relative to  $\Sigma$  and to  $\Sigma'$  are different for the maximal 0-planes skew to  $X_m$  contained in  $\Sigma$ . Then  $h_0 = h'_0 + \beta$   $h_i = h'_i$   $i \ge 1$ , where  $\beta$  is the number of the maximal 0-planes skew to  $X_m$ . We observe that they do not produce Hankel i-planes in the other terms of the h-sequence. Then the linear system with associated matrix  $(B_h B_f)$  has no solution. As a consequence, since  $(B_h B_f) \in k^{m,2\beta}$ , it must be  $\beta \le \frac{m}{2}$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** (maximal sequence) Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  be a linear space of dimension *r*. Suppose that  $deg(\Sigma \cap X_m) = s$ . Then the maximal *h*-sequence relative to  $\Sigma$  is

$$h_0 = r + 1 - s, h_1 = r - s, \dots, h_{r-s} = 1, h_{r-s+1} = 0, \dots$$

*Proof.* The maximal *h*-sequence is obtained when  $\Sigma$  is the join of a non-trivial Hankel (r-s)-plane  $\pi$  and *s* points of  $X_m$ . Of course  $\pi$  has r-s+1 generators, contains r-s Hankel lines, and so on.

**Remark 5.6.** In any term of the *h*-sequence it appears a contribution equal to *s*, if  $\Sigma$  cuts the rational normal curve  $X_m$  in *s* points, or equal to zero, if  $\Sigma$  is skew to  $X_m$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** (minimal sequence) Let  $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  be an r- plane skew with  $X_m$ . Let s be the maximum integer such that (s+1)(r+1) - sm > 0, then the minimal h-sequence relative to  $\Sigma$  is:

- *i*)  $h_0 = r+1, h_1 = 0, \dots$  *if*  $m \ge 2(r+1)$
- *ii*)  $h_0 = r+1, h_1 = 2(r+1) m, \dots, h_s = (s+1)(r+1) sm, 0, \dots$  *if* m < 2(r+1).

In particular,  $\Sigma$  contains  $\Delta h_{s-1} - \Delta h_s$  Hankel maximal (s-1)-planes and  $h_s$  Hankel maximal s-planes.

*Proof.* Of course the minimal h-sequence is obtained when  $\Sigma$  is a general r-plane. Consider the matrix  $(B_h B_f) \in k^{m,2(r+1)}$  relative to a minimal set of generators of  $\Sigma$ . So we can suppose that  $(B_h B_f)$  has maximal rank. If  $m \ge 2(r+1)$ , then there are no Hankel lines. As a consequence, there are no Hankel 2-planes and so on, then the h-sequence is zero for  $i \ge 1$ . If m < 2(r+1), there exist 2(r+1) - m Hankel lines. Then  $R(1) = \langle w_1, \dots, w_{2r+2-m} \rangle$  and dim R(1) =

2r+2-m. Now we can consider a general matrix  $H \in k^{(m+1),(m-r)}$  such that R is the space of the row relations; of course the rank of H is m-r. Consider the block Toeplitz matrix  $T_H(1) \in k^{(m+2),2(m-r)}$ . Since m+2 > 2(m-r), we have 2(r+1)-m Hankel lines in  $\mathbb{P}(R)$ , as we know. Consider  $T_H(2) \in k^{(m+3),3(m-r)}$  to establish how many 2–planes there must be in  $\Sigma$ . If m+3 > 3(m-r), there are at least 3(r+1) - 2m Hankel 2–planes. Hankel *i*–planes necessarily appear until the number of rows is greater than the number of columns, i. e.  $(i+1)(r+1) - im \leq 0$ . Note that in the matrix  $T_H(s)$  there are more rows then columns, but this is not true for  $T_H(s+1)$ :  $(s+2)(r+1) - (s+1)m \leq 0$ .

As a consequence, we deduce that there must be in  $\Sigma$  at least  $(s+1)(r+1) - sm = h_s$  Hankel s-planes and  $\Delta h_{s-1} - \Delta h_s$  Hankel (s-1)-planes. Finally, starting from any choice of such (mutually skew) Hankel planes, we can construct the linear space  $\Sigma$  spanned by these Hankel planes: this gives the required h-sequence; moreover, this h-sequence is obtained for a general r-plane in  $\mathbb{P}^m$ 

**Example 5.8.** In  $\mathbb{P}^9$  consider a space *R* of dimension 7. We construct a Hankel matrix of maximal rank,  $H \in k^{10,3}$ . To be precise, we have  $h_0 = 7$ , then  $T_H(1) \in k^{11,6}$  and  $h_1 = 5$ ,  $T_H(2) \in k^{12,9}$   $h_2 = 3$ ,  $T_H(3) \in k^{13,12}$  and  $h_3 = 1$ ,  $T_H(4) \in k^{14,15}$  and  $h_4 = 0$ . In this case s = 3 since the number of the rows is less than the number of the columns in  $T_H(4) \in k^{14,15}$ . Then we have one Hankel maximal 3–plane and one Hankel maximal 2–plane. In fact  $\Delta h_0 = \Delta h_1 = \Delta h_2$  but  $\Delta h_3 = h_3 = 1$  and  $\Delta h_4 = 0$ .

#### Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Prof. S. Giuffrida for stimulating discussions and useful comments. I thank the referee for his careful reading of this paper, his deep understanding and the useful suggestions that helped me in improving the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Failla, *Varietà di Hankel, sottovarietà di*  $\mathbb{G}(r,m)$ , Tesi di Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Messina, 2008.
- [2] S. Giuffrida, R. Maggioni, *Hankel Planes*, J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra 209 (2007), 119–138.
- [3] J. Harris, First Course of Algebraic Geometry, Springer, 1992.

GIOIA FAILLA Department of Mathematics University of Messina, C.da Papardo, salita Sperone, 31, 98166 Messina, Italy e-mail: gioiafailla@hotmail.it