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#### Abstract

A lower bound for the dimensions of the second osculating spaces to any surface scroll is given, relying on the special feature of osculating hyperplane sections to such surfaces. Moreover a class of counterexamples to the even dimensional part of a conjecture of Piene-Tai is provided.


## Introduction and statement of the results.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a non-degenerate smooth complex surface embedded in the projective space, let $L=\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(1)\right)_{S}$ be the hyperplane line bundle and let $V$ be the vector subspace of $H^{0}(L)$ giving rise to the embedding. For every integer $k \geq 0$ let $J_{k} L$ be the $k$-th jet bundle of $L$ and let $j_{k}: V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow J_{k} L$ be the sheaf homomorphism sending any section $s \in V$ to its $k$-th jet $j_{k, x}(s)$ evaluated at $x$, for every $x \in S$. Then the $k$-th osculating space to $S$ at $x$ is defined as $\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{k}(S):=\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(j_{k, x}\right)\right)$. Identifying $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ with $\mathbb{P}(V)$ (the set of codimension 1 vector subspaces of $V$ ) we see that $\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{k}(S)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$. To avoid that it fills up the whole ambient space we assume that $N$ is large enough; for instance, for $k=2$, a reasonable assumption is that $N \geq 6$ or even 5 , depending

[^0]on the regularity of the surface we are dealing with. Recalling that $J_{k} L$ has rank $\binom{k+2}{2}$, we have
$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{k}(S)\right) \leq\binom{ k+2}{2}-1
$$

For $k \geq 2$ it may happen that this is a strict inequality for every point $x \in S$. Note that if this happens for $k=2$, i. e., $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right) \leq 4$ for all $x \in S$, then the homogeneous coordinates of the points of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ lying on $S$ (and hence any section $s \in V$ ) satisfy a second order linear partial differential equation in terms of local coordinates (a Laplace equation, in the classical terminology of projective differential geometry) [10]. Differentiating further up to the order $k$, this equation gives more relations and one can easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{k}(S)\right) \leq 2 k \quad \text { for every } x \in S \tag{k}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, once $N$ is fixed, this is meaningful only for $k \leq m:=\left[\frac{N-1}{2}\right]$.
Note that this is exactly what happens for scrolls. Actually in this case there are local coordinates $(u, v)$ around every point $x \in S$ such that the homogeneous coordinates $x_{i},(i=0, \ldots, N)$ of the points of $S$ near $x$, locally, can be written as $x_{i}=a_{i}(u)+v b_{i}(u)$, where $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are holomorphic functions of $u$. Since every section $s \in V$ is a linear combination $s=\sum_{i=0}^{N} \lambda_{i} x_{i}$ we thus see that the second derivative $s_{v v}$ vanishes at every point. Thus $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right) \leq 4$ for every $x \in S$, hence $\left(\#_{k}\right)$ holds for every $k$. Apart from scrolls, sporadic surfaces satisfying $\left(\#_{k}\right)$ for every $k$ are known: they have been found by Togliatti [12], sec. 3, Dye [2], Theorem 4, and Perkinson [9], Theorem 3.2.

There is a conjecture of Piene and Tai [10], related to the inequalities $\left(\#_{k}\right)$, stating the following.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(N \geq 5)$ be a non-degenerate complex smooth surface such that $\left(\#_{k}\right)$ holds for every $k$ and $\left(\#_{m}\right)$ is an equality, where $m$ is defined above. Then $(S, L, V)$ is either $\left(\mathbb{F}_{0},\left[C_{0}+m f\right], H^{0}\right)$ if $N=2 m+1$ (balanced rational normal scroll), or $\left(\mathbb{F}_{1},\left[C_{0}+(m+1) f\right], H^{0}\right)$ if $N=2 m+2$ (semibalanced rational normal scroll). Here $\mathbb{F}_{e}$ denotes the Segre-Hirzebruch surface of invariant $e \geq 0, C_{0}$ stands for a section of minimal self-intersection and $f$ for a fibre.

For $N$ odd the conjecture is true, as proved by Ballico, Piene and Tai [1], by using adjunction theory. In this paper I prove the following results.
Theorem A. For any linearly normal elliptic scroll $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(N \geq 6)$ of invariant -1 , we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{m}(S)\right)=2 m$.

In particular, for $N$ even the conjecture above is not true, even in the setting of scrolls (compare with the discussion in [9], end of p. 496 concerning the setting of toric surfaces).
Theorem B. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(N \geq 5)$ be any scroll over a smooth curve; then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right) \geq 3$ for every $x \in S$.

The meaning of Theorem B is that the osculatory behavior of scrolls is not so bad, as we will see. The proof of both results simply relies on the consideration of the linear system of $k$-osculating hyperplane sections to a smooth projective surface and its special feature in case of a surface scroll. Finally I would like to note that both theorems can be easily rephrased in terms of Weierstrass schemes associated to the Wronski system coming from the jet bundles $J_{k} L$ (see [8], Section 4). I am indebted to Dan Laksov for drawing my attention to [8].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 I discuss linear systems of $k$-osculating hyperplane sections and prove Theorem B in two different ways. Theorem A is proved in Section 2, where the subject is reconsidered with the help of the jumping sets of suitable ample and spanned line bundles. In Section 3 I describe a further pathology of the osculatory behavior of surfaces, which makes clear the meaning of Theorem B.

The word surface will always mean smooth complex projective surface. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}, L, V$ be as at the beginning. I denote by $|V|$ the linear system defined by the vector subspace $V \subseteq H^{0}(S, L)$ (which, in general, is not a complete linear system, in spite of the notation). Sometimes I refer to $S$ as the abstract surface and to the pair $(S, V)$ as the embedded surface. Accordingly, I say that $(S, V)\left((S, L)\right.$ if $\left.V=H^{0}(L)\right)$ is a scroll to mean that $S, L, V$ are as above with $S$ a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle over a smooth curve, $|V|$ very ample, and $L_{f}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{l}}(1)$ for every fibre $f$ of $S$. I adopt the additive notation for the tensor product of line bundles and, with a little abuse, I do not distinguish between a line bundle and the corresponding invertible sheaf. In particular, if $(S, V)$ is a scroll and $f$ is a fibre, $L-f$ stands for the line bundle $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S}(-f)$; moreover I denote by $|V-f|$ the linear system $\left\{(s)_{0}-f \mid s \in V\right.$ and $\left.(s)_{0} \supset f\right\}$ and by $V(-f)$ the corresponding vector subspace of $H^{0}(S, L-f)$. Of course, up to adding $f$ as a fixed component, $|V-f|$ can be identified with a linear subsystem of $|V|$.

## 1. Linear systems of osculating hyperplane sections.

Let $S, L$ and $V$ be as in the Introduction. Recall that a hyperplane $H \in \mathbb{P}^{N \vee}$ is said to be $k$-osculating to $S$ at $x$ if $H \supseteq \operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{k}(S)$. Identifying the dual
projective space $\mathbb{P}^{N \vee}$ with the linear system $|V|, H$ corresponds to the divisor $(s)_{0}$ of a section $s \in V$ and the fact that $H$ is $k$-osculating to $S$ at $x$ is equivalent to the condition $j_{k, x}(s)=0$, i. e., $(s)_{0} \in|V-(k+1) x|$. In other words, the dual of $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Ker} j_{k, x}\right)$ can be identified with the linear system $|V-(k+1) x|$ of hyperplane sections having a point of multiplicity $\geq(k+1)$ at $x$. From the equality $\operatorname{dim} V=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(j_{k, x}\right)\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(j_{k, x}\right)\right)$, we thus get for every $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{k}(S)\right)+\operatorname{dim}(|V-(k+1) x|)=N-1 \tag{k}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}=\mathbb{P}(V)$ be a non-degenerate surface. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{k}(S)\right)=2+\operatorname{codim}(|V-(k+1) x|,|V-2 x|)
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{1}(S)$ is the projective tangent plane to $S$ at $x$, the equality simply follows by subtracting (1.0 $)$ from ( $1.0_{k}$ ).

Now suppose that $(S, V)$ is a scroll and let $f_{x}$ be the fibre of $S$ through a point $x \in S$. If $D \in|V-2 x|$ then $D=f_{x}+R$, where $R$ is an effective divisor in the linear system $\left|V-f_{x}\right|$, passing through $x$, i. e., $R \in\left|V-f_{x}-x\right|$. This follows immediately from the fact that $D f_{x}=1$ for every $D \in|V|$, since ( $S, L, V$ ) is a scroll. Actually, if $D \in|V-2 x|$ would not contain $f_{x}$, then we would get

$$
1=D f_{x} \geq \operatorname{mult}_{x}(D) \operatorname{mult}_{x}\left(f_{x}\right) \geq 2
$$

a contradiction. Moreover, if $D \in|V-3 x|$, then $R$ must have a double point at $x$, i. e., $R \in\left|V-f_{x}-2 x\right|$. But then, arguing as before we have $D=2 f_{x}+T$, where $T$ is an effective divisor in the linear system $\left|V-2 f_{x}\right|$, passing through $x$, i. e., $T \in\left|V-2 f_{x}-x\right|$. More generally, iterating this argument we have

Remark 1.2. Let $(S, V)$ be a scroll and let $f_{x}$ be the fibre through any point $x \in S$. Then

$$
|V-(k+1) x|=f_{x}+\left|V-f_{x}-k x\right|=\ldots=k f_{x}+\left|V-k f_{x}-x\right|
$$

In particular,
(1.2.1) $\operatorname{dim}(|V-(k+1) x|)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-k x\right|\right)=\ldots=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|V-k f_{x}-x\right|\right)$.

Now let $(S, V)$ be a scroll. We give two different proofs of Theorem B
1.3. First proof of Theorem $B$. In view of Remark (1.1) it is equivalent to show that $|V-2 x| \neq|V-3 x|$ for every $x \in S$. Since $(S, V)$ is a scroll, by Remark (1.2) we know that $|V-3 x|=2 f_{x}+\left|V-2 f_{x}-x\right|$. Assume, by contradiction, that

$$
|V-2 x|=2 f_{x}+\left|V-2 f_{x}-x\right|
$$

for some point $x \in S$. Then every hyperplane tangent to $S$ at $x$ is tangent along the whole fibre $f_{x}$. As a consequence the tangent plane to $S$ is constant along $f_{x}$. But this contradicts the finiteness of the Gauss map $\gamma: S \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(2, N)$ sending every point $y \in S$ to $\mathrm{Osc}_{y}^{1}(S)$, regarded as a point of the grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(2, N)$ of planes of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ (e. g., see [13], Theorem 2.3, c), p. 21 ).

The second proof of Theorem B relies on two lemmas of some interest in themselves. The former one will be helpful also in Section 2.

Lemma 1.4. Let $(S, V)$ be a scroll. Then $B s\left(\left|V-f_{x}\right|\right)=\emptyset$ for every $x \in S$.
Proof. (inspired by [11], Lemma 0.10.1) Let $y \in S$ and let $D$ be the pull-back via the embedding given by $V$ of a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ containing $f_{x}$, but not containing $y$ if $y \notin f_{x}$, and not containing the tangent plane to $S$ at $y$ if $y \in f_{x}$. In both cases we have that $D=f_{x}+R$, with $R \nexists y$.

Now, for any $x \in S$, let $\varphi_{x}: S--\rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ be the map associated with the linear system $\left|V-f_{x}\right|$. Then Lemma 1.4 says that $\varphi_{x}$ is a morphism. We have $\operatorname{dim}|V| \geq 3$, since $|V|$ is very ample, hence $\operatorname{dim}\left|V-f_{x}\right| \geq 1$ for every $x \in S$. Since $\varphi_{x}(S)$ is non-degenerate in the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V\left(-f_{x}\right)\right)$, this says that $\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{x}(S) \geq 1$.

Lemma 1.5. Let $(S, V)$ be a scroll and let $\varphi_{x}$ be the morphism defined above.
i) $\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{x}(S)=1$ for some (equivalently every) point $x \in S$ if and only if $(S, L, V)=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(1,1), H^{0}(L)\right)$.
Let $\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{x}(S)=2$.
ii) If $(S, L)=\left(\mathbb{F}_{e},\left[C_{0}+(e+1) f\right]\right), e>0$ then every fibre of $\varphi_{x}$ is either a finite set or a finite set plus the fundamental section.
iii) In any other case every fibre of $\varphi_{x}$ is a finite set.

Proof. If $\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi_{x}(S)\right)=1$ then $\varphi_{x}$ contracts a positive dimensional family of curves. The proof will be done by analyzing which curves on $S$ can be contracted by $\varphi_{x}$. Note that $\left|V-f_{x}\right|$, hence $\left|L-f_{x}\right|$, has no fixed components by Lemma 1.4. So, for any irreducible curve $C \subset S$ there exists a divisor $D \in\left|L-f_{x}\right|$ not containing $C$ among its components, hence $D C \geq 0$. This shows that $L-f_{x}$ is nef. Let $C_{0}$ and $f$ denote a fundamental section and a fibre of $S$, respectively. Since $(S, V)$ is a scroll we have that $L \equiv\left[C_{0}+m f\right]$
(numerical equivalence) for a suitable integer $m$. Let $q$ and $e$ denote the irregularity and the invariant of $S$. Since $L-f_{x} \equiv\left[C_{0}+(m-1) f\right]$ is nef, we get

$$
m-1 \geq \begin{cases}e, & \text { if } e \geq 0  \tag{1.5.1}\\ e / 2, & \text { if } e<0\end{cases}
$$

Now let $C \subset S$ be an irreducible curve contracted by $\varphi_{x}$. Then $\left(L-f_{x}\right) C=0$; moreover $C^{2} \leq 0$, since $\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{x}(S) \geq 1$. Since $\left(L-f_{x}\right) f=1, C$ cannot be a fibre: so there are two possibilities: either j) $C=C_{0}$, or jj) $C \equiv a C_{0}+b f$ for some integers $a, b$ satisfying the conditions:

$$
a>0 \quad \text { and } \quad b \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a e, \quad \text { if } e \geq 0,  \tag{1.5.2}\\
a e / 2, \text { if } e<0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

by [3], p. 382. In case jj ) we get
(1.5.3) $0=\left(L-f_{x}\right) C=\left(C_{0}+(m-1) f\right)\left(a C_{0}+b f\right)=-a e+b+(m-1) a$.

If $e \geq 0$ both summands in the right hand being non negative by (1.5.1), (1.5.2), this implies $b=a e$ and $m=1$, which, in view of (1.5.1) gives $e=0$; hence $b=0$ and then $C \equiv a C_{0}$. But this contradicts the fact that $C$ is irreducible, unless we are in case j). On the other hand, if $e<0$, we can continue (1.5.3) as follows:

$$
0=(-a e / 2+b)+a(m-1-e / 2)
$$

where both summands are non negative in view of (1.5.1), (1.5.2). We thus get $b=a e / 2, m-1=e / 2$, hence $[C] \equiv a(L-f)$. But this gives a contradiction, since $C^{2} \leq 0$, while $(L-f)^{2}=\left(L^{2}-2\right) \geq 0$, the equality implying that $(S, V)$ is the quadric surface, i. e., $e=0$, a contradiction. Now suppose we are in case j). Thus

$$
0=\left(L-f_{x}\right) C=\left(C_{0}+(m-1) f\right) C_{0}=-e+m-1
$$

Due to (1.5.1) it cannot be $e<0$; so $e \geq 0$ and $m=e+1$. But then $\operatorname{deg} L_{C_{0}}=L C_{0}=\left(C_{0}+(e+1) f\right) C_{0}=1$. Since $L$ is a very ample line bundle, this clearly implies $q=0$. Thus $S=\mathbb{F}_{e}$ and $L=\left[C_{0}+(e+1) f\right]$. If $e=0$, then $L=\left[C_{0}+f\right]$, hence $\left|V-f_{x}\right|=\left|L-f_{x}\right|=\left|C_{0}\right|$. In this case $\varphi_{x}$ is just the projection of $\mathbb{F}_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}=C_{0} \times f$ onto the second factor. On the other hand, if $e>0$ then $C_{0}$ is the only curve contracted by $\varphi_{x}$. This proves all the assertions.
1.6. Second proof of Theorem $B$. As already noted, it is equivalent to show that $|V-2 x| \neq|V-3 x|$ for every $x \in S$. Since $(S, V)$ is a scroll, by Remark 1.2 the linear system on the left corresponds to $\left|V-f_{x}-x\right|$, while that on the right corresponds to $\left|V-2 f_{x}-x\right|$. So we have the equality $|V-2 x|=|V-3 x|$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{x} \subseteq \operatorname{Bs}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-x\right|\right) \tag{1.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this cannot happen. To see this, consider the morphism $\varphi_{x}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$, defined by the linear system $\left|V-f_{x}\right|$. Since $N \geq 5$, by Lemma $1.5 \varphi_{x}$ has a 2-dimensional image and all its fibres cut every fibre of the ruling projection at a finite set. On the other hand

$$
\operatorname{Bs}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-x\right|\right)=\bigcap_{D \in\left|V-f_{x}\right|, D \ni x} \operatorname{supp}(D)=\varphi_{x}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{x}(x)\right) .
$$

Therefore the base locus of $\left|V-f_{x}-x\right|$ must intersect every fibre of the ruling of $S$ (in particular $f_{x}$ ) at finite set only. This shows that (1.6.1) cannot occur.

Remark 1.7. Let $(S, V)$ be a scroll over a smooth curve $B$ and let $\pi: S \rightarrow B$ be the projection. Then $S=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the very ample vector bundle of rank 2 given by $\pi_{*} L$. Then the very ampleness of $\mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}(\mathcal{E}(-\pi(x)-\pi(y)))=h^{0}(\mathcal{E})-4 \tag{1.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x, y \in S$ (e. g., see [4], Lemma 1). On the other hand, since all elements of $|V|$ have intersection 1 with any fibre, we see that $\left|V-x-x^{\prime}\right|=$ $f_{x}+\left|V-f_{x}\right|$ for any $x^{\prime} \in f_{x}, x^{\prime} \neq x$. Hence, due to the very ampleness of $|V|$ we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|V-f_{x}\right|\right)=\operatorname{dim}(|V|)-2$. Now, let $y \in S$. For the same reason as before we see that $\left|V-f_{x}-y-y^{\prime}\right|=f_{y}+\left|V-f_{x}-f_{y}\right|$, where $y^{\prime}$ is any point of $f_{y}$ distinct from $y$. As in (1.6) we have

$$
\operatorname{Bs}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-y\right|\right)=\bigcap_{D \in\left|V-f_{x}\right|, D \ni y} \operatorname{supp}(D)=\varphi_{x}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{x}(y)\right)
$$

By Lemma 1.5 this set cuts out a finite (possibly empty) set on $f_{y}$. Thus there exists a point $y^{\prime} \in f_{y}$ such that $y^{\prime} \notin \mathrm{Bs}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-y\right|\right)$. Hence $\left|V-f_{x}-y-y^{\prime}\right|$ has codimension 1 in $\left|V-f_{x}-y\right|$. On the other hand $\left|V-f_{x}-y\right|$ has codimension 1 in $\left|V-f_{x}\right|$, by Lemma 1.4. Putting everything together we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-f_{y}\right|\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-y-y^{\prime}\right|\right)= \\
& =\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-y\right|\right)-1=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|V-f_{x}\right|\right)-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the very ampleness of $|V|$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left|V-f_{x}-f_{y}\right|=\operatorname{dim}\left|V-f_{x}\right|-2=\operatorname{dim}|V|-4 \tag{1.7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that when $V=H^{0}(S, L)$ (1.7.2) is clearly equivalent to (1.7.1) in view of the isomorphism $H^{0}(S, L) \cong H^{0}(B, \mathcal{E})$. Thus (1.7.2) can be regarded as a generalization of (1.7.1) to non complete linear systems.

## 2. Linearly normal elliptic scrolls of invariant-1.

2.1. Proof of Theorem $A$. Let $C$ be a smooth curve of genus 1. Recall that the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ bundle of invariant -1 over $C$ is the surface $S=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the holomorphic vector bundle of rank 2 defined by the non-split extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(C)$ has degree 1 . Let $\pi: S \rightarrow C$ be the ruling projection, let $C_{0}$ be the tautological section on $S$ and let $\delta$ be a divisor on $C$ of degree $m+1 \geq 3$. Then the line bundle $L:=\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(C_{0}+\pi^{*} \delta\right.$ ) is very ample (e. g., see [3], Ex. 2.12 (b), p. 385) and the map associated with $|L|$ embeds $S$ as a scroll of degree $2 m+3$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2 m+2}$. Set $V=H^{0}(L)$ and let $x$ be any point of $S$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{m}(S)\right)=2 m+1-\operatorname{dim}(|L-(m+1) x|) \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $\left(1.0_{m}\right)$. On the other hand, since $(S, L)$ is a scroll we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|L-(m+1) x|=m f_{x}+\left|L-m f_{x}-x\right|, \tag{2.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Remark 1.2. Note that the line bundle $L-m f_{x}=\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(C_{0}+\pi^{*}(\delta-m \pi(x))\right)$ is spanned, since $\operatorname{deg}(\delta-m \pi(x))=1$ (see [3], Ex. 2.12 (a), p. 385). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|L-m f_{x}-x\right|\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|L-m f_{x}\right|\right)-1 \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by twisting (2.1.1) by $\mathcal{O}_{C}(\delta-m \pi(x))$ we immediately see that $h^{0}\left(L-m f_{x}\right)=h^{0}(\mathcal{E}(\delta-m \pi(x)))=3$. Combining this with (2.1.3) and (2.1.4) gives $\operatorname{dim}(|L-(m+1) x|)=1$ and then (2.1.2) shows that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{m}(S)\right)=2 m$, for every point $x \in S$.

Theorem A, especially case $m=2$, can be seen from a slightly more general point of view, suggested by the discussion in Section 1. Actually, if ( $S, V$ ) is a scroll, by combining Remark 1.1 with (1.2.1) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right) & =2+\operatorname{codim}(|V-3 x|,|V-2 x|) \\
& =2+\operatorname{codim}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-2 x\right|,\left|V-f_{x}-x\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $x \in S$. So $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right)=3$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-2 x\right|\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(\left|V-f_{x}-x\right|\right)-1$. Now suppose that $L-f$ is ample for a fibre $f$ of $S$. Since ampleness is a numerical condition, this means that $L-f$ is ample for every fibre $f$ of $S$. By Lemma 1.4 we know that the vector subspace $V(-f) \subseteq H^{0}(L-f)$ spans $L-f$. Under the assumption above, fix a fibre $f$ of $S$. Then, from [7], Proposition 3.1 we have the equality

$$
\{x \in f \mid \operatorname{dim}(|V-f-2 x|)=\operatorname{dim}(|V-f-x|)-1\}=\mathcal{L}_{1}(V(-f)) \cap f
$$

where $\mathscr{L}_{1}(V(-f))$ is the first jumping set of $(S, V(-f))$, i. e., the ramification locus of the morphism defined by $|V-f|$. This argument proves the following

Proposition 2.2. Let $(S, V)$ be a scroll and assume that $L-f$ is ample, where $f$ is a fibre of $S$. Then

$$
\left\{x \in S \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right)=3\right\}=\bigcup_{f}\left(f \cap \mathscr{g}_{1}(V(-f))\right)
$$

the union being taken over all fibres of $S$.
Recall that $\mathcal{g}_{1}(W)=\emptyset$ if the morphism defined by the linear system $|W|$ is an immersion [7], Remark 2.3.2. We thus get.

Corollary 2.3. If $(S, V)$ is a scroll and the morphism defined by $|V-f|$ is an immersion for every fibre $f$ of $S$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right)=4 \quad \text { for every } x \in S
$$

Note that the case of linearly normal elliptic scrolls of invariant -1 with $N \geq 6$ discussed in Theorem A fits into the Corollary above. Actually for the line bundle $L$ defined in the proof of Theorem A it turns out that $L-f$ is very ample for every fibre $f$, by [3], Ex, 2.12 (b), p. 385 . However, in principle there could be other scrolls, not linearly normal and of higher genus, satisfying the assumption in Corollary 2.3. They would provide further counterexamples in $\mathbb{P}^{6}$ to the even dimensional part of the conjecture of Piene-Tai.

An interpretation in terms of jumping sets can be extended also to Theorem B. Let ( $S, V$ ) be a scroll and suppose that $L-f$ is ample for a (hence every) fibre $f$ of $S$. By Lemma 1.4 $V(-f)$ spans $L-f$ for a given fibre $f$ and then we can also consider the second jumping set $\mathscr{g}_{2}(V(-f))$ of $(S, V(-f))$ [7], Section 1. By definition the set $f \cap \mathscr{g}_{2}(V(-f))$ consists of the points $x \in f$ such that $|V-f-x|=|V-f-2 x|$. But Theorem B says that there there are no such points. We thus get the following

Corollary 2.4. Let $(S, V)$ be a scroll and assume that $L-f$ is ample, where $f$ is a fibre of S. Then

$$
f \cap \mathscr{g}_{2}(V(-f))=\emptyset,
$$

for every fibre $f$ of $S$.

## 3. Further pathology of osculation.

From Remark 1.1 we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right)=2+\operatorname{codim}(|V-3 x|,|V-2 x|) . \tag{3.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right)=2$ if and only if $|V-3 x|=|V-2 x|$ and Theorem B says that this cannot happen for scrolls. In fact there are surfaces for which $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right)=2$ for some point $x \in S$. This means that every tangent hyperplane at such a point $x$ is osculating. An interesting example of this situation is the so-called Togliatti's Del Pezzo surface.
3.1. Example. Let ( $S, L=-K_{S}$ ) be the Del Pezzo surface with $K_{S}^{2}=6$. Call $X$ the surface $S$ embedded by $|L|$; then $X$ is a smooth surface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}^{6}$. Recall that $S$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ blown-up at three non-collinear points $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}$. Choose homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in such a way that $p_{0}=(1: 0: 0), p_{1}=(0: 1: 0), p_{2}=(0: 0: 1)$ and fix the basis of $H^{0}(L)$ corresponding to the 7 cubic monomials

$$
x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} .
$$

Then $X$ is the image of the rational map $\mathbb{P}^{2}--\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{6}$ defined by these monomials. One can see that the secant variety of $X$ is a cubic hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^{6}$ not containing the point $c=(0: \ldots: 0: 1)$. E. g., one can write down the explicit equation of the secant variety by using MAPLE and then this property can be checked directly. Thus the projection $\pi_{c}: \mathbb{P}^{6}--\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{5}$ from $c$ defines an embedding of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$. Let $Y=\pi_{c}(X)$. Then $Y$ is the image of the rational map $\mathbb{P}^{2}-\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{5}$ defined by the 6 monomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A very interesting property of the surface $Y$ discovered by Togliatti [12] is that its 2 -osculating spaces have dimension $\leq 4$ at every point. But, in fact there are points of $Y$ where the 2-osculating space coincides with the tangent plane ([10], Example 2.4, [5], Proposition 4.3 ). To recognize them, let $\sigma: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the
blow-up at the three points $p_{i}$, let $e_{i}=\sigma^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)$ and for $i<j$ let $l_{i j}$ denote the proper transform on $S$ of the line $\left\langle p_{i} p_{j}\right\rangle$ joining $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$. The six curves $e_{i}, l_{i j}$ ( $0 \leq i<j \leq 2$ ) define a 1 -cycle $E$ on $S$, which is mapped to a skew hexagon on $Y$; let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of the 6 vertices, i. e., the set of points at which two irreducible components of $E$ meet. Then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(Y)\right)=2$ for every $x \in \mathcal{V}$. Call $V$ the subspace of $H^{0}(L)$ generated by the elements corresponding to the monomials in (3.1.1). Then the condition above can be rephrased as follows:

$$
|V-2 x|=|V-3 x| \quad \text { for every } x \in \mathcal{V}
$$

Understanding this equality in terms of the linear system of plane cubics representing the hyperplane sections of $Y$ is an instructive exercise. Here is a sketch of the argument. Recall that $L=\sigma^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(3)-e_{0}-e_{1}-e_{2}$, fix a point $x \in \mathcal{V}$, e. g., $x=e_{0} \cap l_{01}$, and consider an element $H \in|V-2 x|$. Since $H e_{0}=H l_{01}=1$ we see that $H$ must contain both $e_{0}$ and $l_{01}$ as components. Thus $H=\sigma^{*} \Gamma-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}$, where the plane cubic $\Gamma$ consists of the line $\left\langle p_{0} p_{1}\right\rangle$ and a conic $\gamma$ containing $p_{0}$ and $p_{2}$. On the other hand, since $H \in|V|$, the polynomial defining $\Gamma$ is a linear combination of the monomials in (3.1.1). Since $\left\langle p_{0} p_{1}\right\rangle$ corresponds to the factor $x_{2}$, this implies that the quadratic polynomial defining $\gamma$ is a linear combination of $x_{0} x_{2}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}$ (but not $x_{0} x_{1}$, since $x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}$ corresponds to an element not in $V$ ). Therefore $|V-2 x|$ corresponds to the linear system of plane cubics generated by $x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{2}$. Let $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ be the cubics defined by these 3 generators. It is easy to see that for $i=1,2,3$ the element $H_{i}=\sigma^{*} \Gamma_{i}-e_{0}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ has a point of multiplicity $\geq 3$ at $x$. E. g., $H_{1}=\sigma^{*}\left(2\left\langle p_{0} p_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle\right)-e_{0}-e_{1}-e_{2}=$ $2 l_{01}+e_{0}+2 e_{1}+l_{12}$. Since $H$ is a linear combination of $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$, we thus conclude that $H \in|V-3 x|$.

There are more surfaces for which $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Osc}_{x}^{2}(S)\right)=2$ at a finite set of points $x$. In fact this happens also for the two new surfaces with inflectionary pathology recently discovered by Perkinson in the setting of toric varieties [9], Theorem 3.2, cases (4), (5). In these cases, as well as in Example 3.1, the linear system $|V|$ is not complete. I would like to mention however that this pathology can occur also when $|V|$ is a complete very ample linear system, as shown in [6], Lemma 4.1, i).
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