# APPROXIMATION THEOREMS FOR MODIFIED SZASZ-MIRAKJAN OPERATORS IN POLYNOMIAL WEIGHT SPACES

### MONIKA HERZOG

In this paper we will study properties of Szasz-Mirakjan type operators  $A_n^{\nu}$ ,  $B_n^{\nu}$  defined by modified Bessel function  $I_{\nu}$ . We shall present theorems giving a degree of approximation for these operators.

### 1. Introduction.

Let us denote a set of all real-valued function continuous in  $\mathbb{R}_0 := [0, +\infty)$  by  $C(\mathbb{R}_0)$  and let  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Similarly as in [2], define a polynomial weight function

(1) 
$$w_p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & p = 0, \\ \frac{1}{1 + x^p} & p \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ , and denote a polynomial weight space by  $C_p$ 

(2)  $C_p := \{ f \in C(\mathbb{R}_0) : w_p f \text{ is uniformly continuous and bounded in } \mathbb{R}_0 \}.$ 

Entrato in Redazione il 21 dicembre 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject classification: 41A36.

Key words and phrases: Linear positive operators, Degree of approximation, Bessel function.

It can be proved that the formula

(3) 
$$||f||_{C_p} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_0} w_p(x)|f(x)|$$

for  $f \in C_p$  is a well-define norm in the space  $C_p$ . Let  $\omega(f, C_p; t)$  be the modulus of continuity, defined by the formula

(4) 
$$\omega(f, C_p; t) := \sup_{h \in [0, t]} \|\Delta_h f\|_{C_p},$$

where  $f \in C_p$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and

$$\Delta_h f(x) := f(x+h) - f(x)$$

for  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

The approximation problem conected with Szasz-Mirakjan operators was studied in [1], [2], [3]. In papers [1], [3] the following Szasz-Mirakjan operators were investigated

$$S_n(f; x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f(\frac{k}{n}),$$

$$K_n(f;x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} n \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(t) dt,$$

 $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  for functions  $f \in C_p$ .

Note [2] was inspired by the results given in [1], [3] and operators of Szasz-Mirakjan type were defined

(5) 
$$A_n(f;x) := \frac{1}{1 + sh(nx)} \Big\{ f(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} f(\frac{2k+1}{n}) \Big\},$$

(6) 
$$B_n(f;x) := \frac{1}{1 + sh(nx)} \left\{ f(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \frac{n}{2} \int_{\frac{2k+1}{n}}^{\frac{2k+3}{n}} f(t) dt \right\}$$

for  $f \in C_p$   $(p \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  where sh is the elementary hyperbolic function.

In this note we introduce in the space  $C_p$   $(p \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  a new modification of Szasz-Mirakjan operators as follows

(7) 
$$A_n^{\nu}(f;x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{nx}{2})^{2k+\nu}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\nu+1)} f(\frac{2k}{n}), & x > 0, \\ f(0), & x = 0, \end{cases}$$

(8) 
$$B_n^{\nu}(f;x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{nx}{2})^{2k+\nu}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\nu+1)} \frac{n}{2} \int_{\frac{2k}{n}}^{\frac{2k+2}{n}} f(t) dt, \\ \frac{n}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{2}{n}} f(t) dt, \quad x = 0, \end{cases}$$

for  $f \in C_p$   $(p \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  where  $\Gamma$  is the  $\Gamma$ -Euler function and  $I_{\nu}$  a modified Bessel function defined by the formula ([4], p. 77)

(9) 
$$I_{\nu}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{z}{2})^{2k+\nu}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\nu+1)}.$$

Among other things we shall prove that  $A_n^{\nu}$ ,  $B_n^{\nu}$  are well-defined, linear and positive operators for all  $f \in C_p$  with every  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Moreover, we shall prove that these operators are bounded and transform the space  $C_p$  into  $C_p$ .

## 2. Auxiliary results.

In this section we show some preliminary properties of the operators  $A_n^{\nu}$ ,  $B_n^{\nu}$ .

All proofs of properties for  $A_n^{\nu}$  and  $B_n^{\nu}$  are analogous so we prove only for the operator  $A_n^{\nu}$ . By definitions (7) and (8) we obtain the following

**Lemma 1.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ 

$$A_{n}^{\nu}(1;x) = 1, \quad B_{n}^{\nu}(1;x) = 1,$$

$$A_{n}^{\nu}(t;x) = x \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}, \quad B_{n}^{\nu}(t;x) = A_{n}^{\nu}(t;x) + \frac{1}{n} = x \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + \frac{1}{n},$$

$$A_{n}^{\nu}(t^{2};x) = x^{2} \frac{I_{\nu+2}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + x \frac{2}{n} \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)},$$

$$B_{n}^{\nu}(t^{2};x) = A_{n}^{\nu}(t^{2};x) + \frac{2}{n} A_{n}^{\nu}(t;x) + \frac{1}{3} (\frac{2}{n})^{2} =$$

$$x^{2} \frac{I_{\nu+2}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + x \frac{4}{n} \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + \frac{1}{3} (\frac{2}{n})^{2}.$$

**Remark.** In Lemma 1 as well as in the rest part of this paper the equalities for x = 0 are to be understood in the asymptotic meaning with help of the equality

$$\lim_{z\to 0}\frac{I_{\nu}(z)}{(\frac{z}{2})^{\nu}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)}.$$

Using Lemma 1 and basic properties of  $A_n^{\nu}$  and  $B_n^{\nu}$  we have

**Lemma 2.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ 

$$\begin{split} A_n^{\nu}(t-x;x) &= x(\frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}-1), \quad B_n^{\nu}(t-x;x) = x(\frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}-1) + \frac{1}{n}, \\ A_n^{\nu}((t-x)^2;x) &= x^2(\frac{I_{\nu+2}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}-2\frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}+1) + x\frac{2}{n}\frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}, \\ B_n^{\nu}((t-x)^2;x) &= x^2(\frac{I_{\nu+2}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}-2\frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}+1) + x\frac{2}{n}(2\frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}-1) + \frac{1}{3}(\frac{2}{n})^2. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 3.** For all  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$  there exists a positive constant  $M_v$  depending only on v such that

$$\left|\frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)}\right| \leq M_{\nu},$$

$$(11) z \left| \frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)} - 1 \right| \le M_{\nu}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

*Proof.* First we will prove inequality (10). For  $z \in (0; 1)$  by definition (9) there exist  $C_1(v)$ ,  $C_2(v)$  positive constants such that

(12) 
$$C_1(\nu)z^{\nu} \leq I_{\nu}(z) \leq C_2(\nu)z^{\nu}.$$

From these we obtain

$$A_{\nu}z \leq \frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)} \leq B_{\nu}z, \qquad z \in (0; 1)$$

where  $A_{\nu}=\frac{C_1(\nu+1)}{C_2(\nu)}$ ,  $B_{\nu}=\frac{C_2(\nu+1)}{C_1(\nu)}$ . For that reason the quotient  $\frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)}$  is bounded for  $z\in(0;1)$ .

Let  $z \in (1; +\infty)$ . According to paper [4], p. 203, we have the following property for modified Bessel function

$$\lim_{z \to +\infty} \frac{I_{\nu}(z)}{\frac{e^z}{(2\pi z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}} = 1, \qquad \nu \in \mathbb{R}_0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{z \to +\infty} \frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)} = 1.$$

So, there exists a number a > 1 such that

$$\left|\frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)} - 1\right| < 1, \qquad z > a.$$

Therefore, the quotient  $\frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)}$  is bounded in the interval  $(a, +\infty)$ . For  $z \in [1; a]$  the existence of constant  $M_{\nu}$  such that (10) holds is obvious. The proof of (10) is completed.

The proof of inequality (11) is similar to that of (10). If  $z \in (0; 1)$  we have estimations (12) and from these we obtain

$$z(A_{\nu}z-1) \le z(\frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)}-1) \le z(B_{\nu}z-1), \qquad z \in (0;1).$$

Concluding we have

$$z\left|\frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)}-1\right| \leq M_{\nu}, \qquad z \in (0; 1).$$

Let  $z \in (1; +\infty)$ . According to paper [4], p. 203, we obtain an approximation of modified Bessel function

(13) 
$$I_{\nu}(z) = \frac{e^{z}}{(2\pi z)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}(\nu, k)}{(2z)^{k}} + O(\frac{1}{z^{n+1}}) \right)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and z > 0 where

$$\begin{cases} (v,0) := 1, \\ (v,k) := \frac{\Gamma(v + \frac{1}{2} + k)}{k!\Gamma(v + \frac{1}{2} - k)}, & k = 1, 2, 3... \end{cases}$$

If we use formula (13) for n = 0 and z > 1 we get

$$z \left| \frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)} - 1 \right| = \frac{|h(z) - g(z)|}{|1 + \frac{g(z)}{z}|}$$

where h, g are bounded functions. Hence, there exist constants  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  such that

$$|h(z)| < C_1, \quad |g(z)| < C_2, \qquad z > 1.$$

Let  $a > \max(1, 2C_2)$  be a fixed real number. For z > a we have

$$\frac{|g(z)|}{7} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now we will consider  $z \in (a; +\infty)$ . By the above remark we can write

$$z \left| \frac{I_{\nu+1}(z)}{I_{\nu}(z)} - 1 \right| \le 2(C_1 + C_2) = M.$$

For  $z \in [1; a]$  inequality (11) is obvious. Therefore, the proof of inequality (11) is completed.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.** For all  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$  there exists a positive constant  $M_v$  depending only on v such that

(14) 
$$|A_n^{\nu}(t-x;x)| \le \frac{M_{\nu}}{n}, \quad |B_n^{\nu}(t-x;x)| \le \frac{M_{\nu}}{n},$$

$$(15) \qquad |A_n^{\nu}((t-x)^2;x)| \leq M_{\nu}\frac{x+1}{n}, \quad |B_n^{\nu}((t-x)^2;x)| \leq M_{\nu}\frac{x+1}{n},$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2 we have

$$|A_n^{\nu}(t-x;x)| = x \left| \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} - 1 \right|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_0.$$

We will try to prove that there exists a positive constant  $M_{\nu}$  such that

(16) 
$$nx \left| \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} - 1 \right| \leq M_{\nu}.$$

Let us substitute nx = z, z > 0. Hence inequality (11) in Lemma 3 implies (16), so the proof of (14) is ended.

Using the first part of the proof we get

$$(nx)^{2} \left| \frac{I_{\nu+2}(nx)}{I_{\nu+1}(nx)} - 1 \right| \le nx M_{\nu+1},$$

$$(nx)^{2} \left| \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} - 1 \right| \le nx M_{\nu}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Above inequalities, Lemma 2 and (10) imply the following estimation

$$|A_{n}^{\nu}((t-x)^{2};x)| = \left|x^{2} \frac{I_{\nu+2}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + x \frac{2}{n} \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} - 2x^{2} \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + x^{2}\right|$$

$$\leq x^{2} \left|\frac{I_{\nu+2}(nx)}{I_{\nu+1}(nx)} - 1\right| \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + x^{2} \left|\frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} - 1\right| + x \frac{2}{n} \frac{I_{\nu+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}$$

$$\leq M_{\nu} \frac{x}{n} \leq M_{\nu} \frac{x+1}{n}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Lemma 4 has been proved.

**Lemma 5.** For every fixed  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist positive numbers  $a_{p,i}$ ,  $b_{p,i}$  depending only on  $p, i, 0 \le i \le p$  such that  $a_{p,p} = 1$ ,  $b_{p,p} = 1$ ,  $b_{p,0} = \frac{1}{p+1}$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$ 

(17) 
$$A_n^{\nu}(t^p;x) = \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} (\frac{2}{n})^p \sum_{i=1}^p a_{p,i} (\frac{nx}{2})^i I_{\nu+i}(nx),$$

(18) 
$$B_n^{\nu}(t^p; x) = \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} (\frac{2}{n})^p \sum_{i=0}^p b_{p,i} (\frac{nx}{2})^i I_{\nu+i}(nx)$$

hold.

*Proof.* In order to prove conection (17) we use the mathematical induction for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . If p = 1, 2 it is Lemma 1. Assuming (17) for  $f(t) = t^j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \leq p$ , we get from definition (7)

$$A_n^{\nu}(t^{p+1};x) = \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\frac{nx}{2})^{2k+\nu}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\nu+1)} (\frac{2k}{n})^{p+1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} (\frac{2}{n})^{p+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{nx}{2})^{2k+\nu}}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(k+\nu+1)} k^{p}$$

$$= \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} (\frac{2}{n})^{p+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{nx}{2})^{2k+\nu+2}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\nu+2)} (k+1)^{p}$$

$$= \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} (\frac{2}{n})^{p+1} \sum_{s=0}^{p} {p \choose s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{nx}{2})^{2k+\nu+2}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\nu+2)} k^{s}$$

$$= \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} (\frac{2}{n})^{p+1} \frac{nx}{2} I_{\nu+1}(nx)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} (\frac{2}{n})^{p+1} \frac{nx}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{p} {p \choose s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{nx}{2})^{2k+\nu+1}}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\nu+2)} k^{s}.$$

Using the inductive assumption, we obtain

$$A_{n}^{\nu}(t^{p+1};x) = \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{p+1} \frac{nx}{2} I_{\nu+1}(nx)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{p+1} \frac{nx}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{p} {p \choose s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{s,i} \left(\frac{nx}{2}\right)^{i} I_{\nu+1+i}(nx)$$

$$= \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{p+1} \left\{\frac{nx}{2} I_{\nu+1}(nx) + \sum_{s=1}^{p} {p \choose s} \sum_{k=2}^{s+1} a_{s,k-1} \left(\frac{nx}{2}\right)^{k} I_{\nu+k}(nx)\right\},$$

where  $a_{s,s} = 1$ .

Hence we have

$$A_n^{\nu}(t^{p+1};x) = \frac{1}{I_{\nu}(nx)} (\frac{2}{n})^{p+1} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} a_{p+1,i} (\frac{nx}{2})^i I_{\nu+i}(nx)$$

and  $a_{p+1, p+1} = 1$  for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus, by the mathematical induction, Lemma 5 is proved.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 6.** For every fixed  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$  there exists a positive constant  $M_{p,v}$  such that

(19) 
$$\left\| A_n^{\nu}(\frac{1}{w_p(t)}; .) \right\|_{C_p} \le M_{p,\nu},$$

(20) 
$$\left\| B_n^{\nu} \left( \frac{1}{w_p(t)}; . \right) \right\|_{C_p} \le M_{p,\nu}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* From (1), (3) and Lemma 1 we immediately obtain (19) for p=0 and p=1. Let  $2 \le p \in \mathbb{N}$  be a fixed integer. Then, by (1) and Lemma 5, we have for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$w_p(x)A_n^{\nu}(\frac{1}{w_p(t)};x) = w_p(x)\{A_n^{\nu}(1;x) + A_n^{\nu}(t^p;x)\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1+x^p} + \sum_{i=1}^p a_{p,i}(\frac{2}{n})^p (\frac{n}{2})^i \frac{x^i}{1+x^p} \frac{I_{\nu+i}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}.$$

By Lemma 3 the quotient  $\frac{I_{\nu+i}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}$  is bounded for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so we get

$$0 \le w_p(x) A_n^{\nu}(\frac{1}{w_p(t)}; x) \le M_{p,\nu},$$

where  $M_{p,\nu}$  is a positive constant depending on p and  $\nu$ . From these and by (3) we obtain (19).

**Theorem 1.** For every fixed  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$  there exists a positive constant  $M_{p,v}$  such that for every  $f \in C_p$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

(21) 
$$||A_n^{\nu}(f;.)||_{C_p} \le M_{p,\nu} ||f||_{C_p},$$

$$||B_n^{\nu}(f;.)||_{C_p} \le M_{p,\nu}||f||_{C_p}$$

hold.

*Proof.* By (1), (3) and (7) we can get

$$\begin{split} w_p(x)|A_n^{\nu}(f(t);x)| &\leq w_p(x)A_n^{\nu}(|f(t)|;x) \\ &= w_p(x)A_n^{\nu}(w_p(t)|f(t)|\frac{1}{w_p(t)};x) \leq \|f\|_{C_p}w_p(x)A_n^{\nu}(\frac{1}{w_p(t)};x) \end{split}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Using Lemma 6 we obtain (21).  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 1.** The operators  $A_n^{\nu}$ ,  $B_n^{\nu}$  are linear and bounded from  $C_p$  into  $C_p$ .

**Lemma 7.** For every fixed  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$  there exists a positive constant  $M_{p,v}$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

(23) 
$$w_p(x)A_n^{\nu}(\frac{(t-x)^2}{w_n(t)};x) \le M_{p,\nu}\frac{x+1}{n},$$

(24) 
$$w_p(x)B_n^{\nu}(\frac{(t-x)^2}{w_p(t)};x) \le M_{p,\nu}\frac{x+1}{n}$$

hold.

*Proof.* Inequalities (23) and (24) for p = 0 are proved in Lemma 4. For  $p \ge 1$  from (1) and the linearity of the operator  $A_n^{\nu}$  it follows that

(25) 
$$A_n^{\nu}(\frac{(t-x)^2}{w_p(t)};x) = A_n^{\nu}((t-x)^2;x) + A_n^{\nu}(t^p(t-x)^2;x),$$

$$A_n^{\nu}(t^p(t-x)^2;x) = A_n^{\nu}(t^{p+2};x) - 2xA_n^{\nu}(t^{p+1};x) + x^2A_n^{\nu}(t^p;x).$$

According to Lemma 5 we get

$$\begin{split} w_{p}(x)A_{n}^{\nu}(t^{p}(t-x)^{2};x) &= \frac{x^{p+2}}{1+x^{p}} \Big\{ \frac{I_{\nu+p+2}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} - 2\frac{I_{\nu+p+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + \frac{I_{\nu+p}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \Big\} \\ &+ \frac{x^{p+1}}{1+x^{p}} \frac{2}{n} \Big\{ a_{p+2,p+1} \frac{I_{\nu+p+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} - 2a_{p+1,p} \frac{I_{\nu+p}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + a_{p,p-1} \frac{I_{\nu+p-1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \Big\} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{p+2,i} (\frac{n}{2})^{i-(p+2)} \frac{x^{i}}{1+x^{p}} \frac{I_{\nu+i}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} 2a_{p+1,i} (\frac{n}{2})^{i-(p+1)} \frac{x^{i+1}}{1+x^{p}} \frac{I_{\nu+i}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} a_{p,i} (\frac{n}{2})^{i-p} \frac{x^{i+2}}{1+x^{p}} \frac{I_{\nu+i}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \\ &\leq \frac{x^{p}}{1+x^{p}} x^{2} \Big| \frac{I_{\nu+p+2}(nx)}{I_{\nu+p+1}(nx)} - 1 \Big| \frac{I_{\nu+p+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \\ &+ \frac{x^{p}}{1+x^{p}} x^{2} \Big| 1 - \frac{I_{\nu+p+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu+p}(nx)} \Big| \frac{I_{\nu+p}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \\ &+ \frac{x^{p}}{1+x^{p}} x^{2} \Big| x A_{p} \Big| \frac{I_{\nu+p+1}(nx)}{I_{\nu+p}(nx)} - 1 \Big| \frac{I_{\nu+p}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+\frac{x^{p}}{1+x^{p}}\frac{2}{n}xB_{p}\Big|1-\frac{I_{\nu+p}(nx)}{I_{\nu+p-1}(nx)}\Big|\frac{I_{\nu+p-1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}\\ &+(\frac{2}{n})^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{p}a_{p+2,i}(\frac{n}{2})^{i-p}\frac{x^{i}}{1+x^{p}}\frac{I_{\nu+i}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}\\ &-(\frac{2}{n})^{2}\sum_{i=2}^{p}2a_{p+1,i-1}(\frac{n}{2})^{i-p}\frac{x^{i}}{1+x^{p}}\frac{I_{\nu+i-1}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)}\\ &+(\frac{2}{n})^{2}\sum_{i=3}^{p}a_{p,i-2}(\frac{n}{2})^{i-p}\frac{x^{i}}{1+x^{p}}\frac{I_{\nu+i-2}(nx)}{I_{\nu}(nx)} \end{split}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $a_{r,k}$ ,  $A_p$ ,  $B_p$  are positive numbers. The quotient  $\frac{I_{\nu+i}}{I_{\nu}}$  is bounded for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  so, by Lemma 3 we have

$$w_p(x)A_n^{\nu}(t^p(t-x)^2;x) \le M_{p,\nu}\frac{x+1}{n}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

which proves Lemma 7. □

# 3. Approximation theorems.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$  are fixed numbers and  $g \in C_p^1$ , where  $C_p^1 := \{ f \in C_p : f' \in C_p \}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $M_{p,v}^*$  such that

(26) 
$$w_p(x)|A_n^{\nu}(g;x) - g(x)| \le M_{p,\nu}^* \|g'\|_{C_p} (\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

(27) 
$$w_p(x)|B_n^{\nu}(g;x) - g(x)| \le M_{p,\nu}^* \|g'\|_{C_p} (\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let us  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  be fixed. For  $t \in \mathbb{R}_0$  we have

$$g(t) - g(x) = \int_x^t g'(u) du.$$

By (7) and Lemma 1 we get

(28) 
$$A_n^{\nu}(g(t); x) - g(x) = A_n^{\nu}(\int_x^t g'(u) \, du; x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{x}^{t} g'(u) \, du \right| \le \|g'\|_{C_{p}} \left| \int_{x}^{t} \frac{du}{w_{p}(u)} \right| \le \|g'\|_{C_{p}} \left( \frac{1}{w_{p}(x)} + \frac{1}{w_{p}(t)} \right) |t - x|$$

we get from (28)

$$w_p(x)|A_n^{\nu}(g;x) - g(x)| \le \|g'\|_{C_p} \{A_n^{\nu}(|t-x|;x) + w_p(x)A_n^{\nu}(\frac{|t-x|}{w_p(t)};x)\}.$$

But (7) and Cauchy's inequality imply

$$A_n^{\nu}(|t-x|;x) \le \{A_n^{\nu}((t-x)^2;x)\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$A_n^{\nu}(\frac{|t-x|}{w_p(t)};x) \leq \{A_n^{\nu}(\frac{1}{w_p(t)};x)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{A_n^{\nu}(\frac{(t-x)^2}{w_p(t)};x)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From (15), Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 it follows that

$$A_n^{\nu}(|t-x|;x) \leq (M_{\nu}\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$w_p(x)A_n^{\nu}(\frac{|t-x|}{w_p(t)};x) \le M_{p,\nu}(\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

Combining these estimations we obtain (26).

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $f \in C_p$ , with fixed  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $M_{p,v}$  such that

(29) 
$$w_p(x)|A_n^{\nu}(f;x) - f(x)| \le M_{p,\nu}\omega(f,C_p;(\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

(30) 
$$w_p(x)|B_n^{\nu}(f;x) - f(x)| \le M_{p,\nu}\omega(f,C_p;(\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

*for all*  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_h$  be the Stieklov mean of  $f \in C_p$ , i.e.

$$f_h(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h f(x+t) dt, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}_0, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where  $\mathbb{R}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$ . We have

$$f_h(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h (f(x+t) - f(x)) dt,$$
  
$$f_h'(x) = \frac{1}{h} \{ f(x+h) - f(x) \}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . It is easy to notice that if  $f \in C_p$  then  $f_h \in C_p^1$  for every fixed  $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Moreover, for  $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$ 

$$(31) \quad \|f_h - f\|_{C_p} \le \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_0} \{ \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h w_p(x) |f(x+t) - f(x)| \, dt \} \le \omega(f, C_p; h),$$

(32) 
$$||f'_h||_{C_p} \le \frac{1}{h} \omega(f, C_p; h)$$

hold. Since  $A_n^{\nu}$  is a linear operator, we have

$$|w_p(x)|A_n^{\nu}(f;x) - f(x)| \le w_p(x)\{|A_n^{\nu}(f - f_h;x)| + |A_n^{\nu}(f_h;x) - f_h(x)| + |f_h(x) - f(x)|\}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

Using Theorem 1 and (31), we get

$$|w_p(x)|A_n^{\nu}(f-f_h;x)| \leq M_{p,\nu}||f-f_h||_{C_p} \leq M_{p,\nu}\omega(f,C_p;h).$$

From Theorem 2 and (32) it follows that

$$|w_{p}(x)|A_{n}^{\nu}(f_{h};x) - f_{h}(x)| \leq M_{p,\nu} ||f_{h}'||_{C_{p}} (\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq M_{p,\nu} \omega(f,C_{p};h) \frac{1}{h} (\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From these and by (31) we obtain

(33) 
$$w_p(x)|A_n^{\nu}(f;x) - f(x)| \le M_{p,\nu}\omega(f,C_p;h)\{1 + \frac{1}{h}(\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Setting, for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h = (\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$  to (33), we get the desired estimation (29) for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem 3 implies the following corollaries:

**Corollary 2.** If  $f \in C_p$  with some  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$ , then

(34) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n^{\nu}(f; x) = f(x),$$

(35) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n^{\nu}(f; x) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

Moreover, statements tm (34) and (35) hold uniformly on every interval [0, a], a > 0.

**Corollary 3.** If  $f \in Lip(C_p, \alpha) := \{ f \in C_p : \omega(f, C_p; t) = 0(t^{\alpha}), t \to 0^+ \}$  with some  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}_0$ , then there exists a positive constant  $M_{p,v,\alpha}$  such that

$$w_p(x)|A_n^{\nu}(f;x)-f(x)| \leq M_{p,\nu,\alpha}(\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

$$w_p(x)|B_n^{\nu}(f;x) - f(x)| \le M_{p,\nu,\alpha}(\frac{x+1}{n})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] M. Becker, Global approximation theorems for Szasz-Mirakjan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 27–1 (1978), pp. 127–142.
- [2] L. Rempulska M. Skorupka, *On approximation of functions by some operators of the Szasz-Mirakjan type*, Fasc. Math., 26 (1996), pp. 125–137.
- [3] V. Totik, *Uniform approximation by Szasz-Mirakjan operators*, Acta Math. Hung., 41–3 (1983), pp. 291–307.
- [4] G.N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966.

Institute of Mathematics, Cracow University of Technology, Warszawska 24, 31-155 Cracow (POLAND) e-mail: mherzog@usk.pk.edu.pl