

UNIQUENESS RESULT FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

PAOLA CAVALIERE - MARIA TRANSIRICO - MARIO TROISI

Following the stream of ideas in two recent papers ([1], [8]), one can establish a uniqueness result for the Dirichlet problem for a class of elliptic second order differential equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$.

Introduction.

Let us assign an open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and consider the uniformly elliptic differential operator

$$L_o := \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

where $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ belong to $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap VMO(\Omega)$.

In [4] it is shown that if Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega$ is $C^{1,1}$, $p \in]1, +\infty[$, $q \in]1, p[$, $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap \overset{o}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $L_o u = f$ a.e. in Ω , then $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ and one has

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c(\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}),$$

with c independent of u and f .

Using this regularization result and the Aleksandrov-Pucci maximum principle, it is proved the following uniqueness result: the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} L_o u = 0 & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{o}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

$1 < p < +\infty$, is zero in Ω .

In [7], [8], the same results are obtained, still with Ω bounded, for operator with lower order terms

$$L := \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a,$$

under suitable summability assumptions on a_i and a .

In [1] it is considered the case Ω unbounded. It is shown that if Ω is sufficiently regular, the coefficients a_i and a are in suitable spaces of Morrey type, $p \in]1, +\infty[$, $q_o, q \in]1, p]$, $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,q}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \overset{o}{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^{q_o}(\Omega)$ and $Lu = f$ a.e. in Ω , then $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ and one has

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c(\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^{q_o}(\Omega)}),$$

with c independent of u and f .

In this paper our purpose is to prove that if Ω is unbounded and sufficiently regular and the coefficients a_i , a are in suitable local Lebesgue spaces, then the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$(\mathcal{D}) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = 0 & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \overset{o}{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$1 < p < +\infty$, is zero in Ω .

The result follows on some results of [1], that we quote and precise, and of [8].

1. Notation and function spaces.

Throughout this paper we use the following notation: E , a generic Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n ; $\Sigma(E)$, the Lebesgue σ -algebra on E ; $|A|$, the Lebesgue measure of $A \in \Sigma(E)$; $\mathcal{D}(A)$, the class of restrictions to A of the

functions $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } \zeta \cap \bar{A} \subset A$; $L_{\text{loc}}^p(A)$, the class of the functions g , defined on A , such that $\zeta g \in L^p(A)$ for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(A)$; $|g|_{p,A}$, the $L^p(A)$ -norm of g ; $B(x, r)$, the open ball centered at x with radius r and $B_r := B(0, r)$; Ω , an unbounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $\Omega(x, r) := \Omega \cap B(x, r)$; $W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\bar{\Omega})$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{W}_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}(\bar{\Omega})$), the set of the functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\zeta u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{W}^{k,p}(\Omega)$) for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Now we recall the definitions of the function spaces we deal with.

We denote by $M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, $p \in [1, +\infty[$, $\lambda \in [0, n[$, the subset of $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\bar{\Omega})$ consisting of the functions g for which

$$(1.1) \quad \|g\|_{M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} := \sup_{\substack{r \in]0,1[\\ x \in \Omega}} r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} |g|_{p,\Omega(x,r)} < +\infty,$$

endowed with the norm defined in (1.1) and by $M_{\text{loc}}^{p,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$ the set of the functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\zeta u \in M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})$. Moreover $\tilde{M}^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ in $M^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ and in the following $\tilde{M}^p(\Omega) := \tilde{M}^{p,0}(\Omega)$.

When Ω has a condition like *Campanato*, more precisely

$$(1.2) \quad A := \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0,1[}} \frac{|B(x, r)|}{|\Omega(x, r)|} < +\infty,$$

it is possible to introduce two different function spaces, $BMO(\Omega, t)$ and $VMO(\Omega)$, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} BMO(\Omega, t) &:= \{g \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega}) : [g]_{BMO(\Omega, t)} := \\ &= \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0,t[}} \frac{1}{|\Omega(x, r)|} \int_{\Omega(x, r)} |g - g_{\Omega(x, r)}| < +\infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_{\Omega(x, r)} := \frac{1}{|\Omega(x, r)|} \int_{\Omega(x, r)} g.$$

As in [6], we set

$$BMO(\Omega) := BMO(\Omega, t_A),$$

where

$$t_A := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left\{ \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0,t[}} \frac{|B(x, r)|}{|\Omega(x, r)|} \leq A \right\},$$

and define

$$VMO(\Omega) := \left\{ g \in BMO(\Omega) : [g]_{BMO(\Omega,t)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+ \right\}.$$

We point out that (1.2) holds for Ω when it satisfies the regularity assumption (\mathcal{P}_Ω) below. For more informations about the previous function spaces we refer to [5], [6], [2].

2. Assumption and main results.

Let Ω be an unbounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and $p \in]1, +\infty[$.

We suppose from now on that $\partial\Omega$ satisfies the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property:

- (\mathcal{P}_Ω) there exist a locally finite open cover $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\partial\Omega$ and corresponding $C^{1,1}$ -diffeomorphisms $\Phi_i : U_i \rightarrow B_1$ such that:
- ♥) for some $\delta > 0$, $\{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta\} \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_i^{-1}(B_{\frac{1}{2}})$;
 - ◇) for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi_i(U_i \cap \Omega) = \{x \in B_1 : x_n > 0\}$;
 - ♣) there is an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that any $m_0 + 1$ distinct sets U_i have empty intersection;
 - ♠) the components of Φ_i and Φ_i^{-1} have $C^{1,1}$ -norm bounded independently of i .

We consider the operator

$$(2.1) \quad L := \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a$$

and on the coefficients of L we make the following assumptions:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap VMO(\Omega), & i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \exists \Lambda > 0 : \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \Lambda |\xi|^2 & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; \end{cases}$$

$$(2.3) \quad a_i \in L^r_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{where } r > n \text{ for } p \leq n, \\ r = p \text{ for } p > n, i = 1, \dots, n;$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} a \in L^s_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{where } s > \frac{n}{2} \text{ for } p \leq \frac{n}{2}, s = p \text{ for } p > \frac{n}{2}; \\ a \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In this paper our main results are:

Theorem. Assume (2.2), (2.3), (2.4). Then the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$(\mathcal{D}) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = 0 & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

is zero in Ω .

Corollary. Assume (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), with $a_i \in \tilde{M}^r(\Omega)$ and $a \in \tilde{M}^s(\Omega)$ when $p \leq n$. Then the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$(\mathcal{D}_0) \quad u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad Lu = 0$$

is zero in Ω .

3. Tools.

One of the tools of the proof, as we have already said in the introduction, is the stream of results of [1]. Now we quote and precise some of them.

Let us consider the parameters $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau, \alpha, r \in [1, +\infty[$, $\lambda \in [0, n[$ such that:

$$(\star) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha\tau \leq r, \text{ with } \alpha\tau < r \text{ when } \tau = \frac{n}{k} > 1 \text{ and } \lambda = 0, \lambda > n - kr \\ \frac{1}{\alpha} \geq 1 - \tau(\frac{k}{n} - \frac{1}{r}) \text{ if } n < kr; \frac{1}{\alpha} > 1 - \frac{\tau}{nr}(\lambda - n + kr) \text{ if } n \geq kr. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau, \alpha, r \in [1, +\infty[$, $\lambda \in [0, n[$ satisfy (\star) , then the multiplication operator for a function $g \in M^{r,\lambda}(\Omega)$, defined in $W^{k,\tau}(\Omega)$, has values in $L^{\alpha\tau}(\Omega)$ and there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$, independent of g and u , such that

$$|gu|_{\alpha\tau, \Omega} \leq c \|g\|_{M^{r,\lambda}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{W^{k,\tau}(\Omega)}.$$

Moreover if $g \in \tilde{M}^{r,\lambda}(\Omega)$, for every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists $c_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$, independent of u , such that

$$|gu|_{\alpha\tau, \Omega} \leq \epsilon \|u\|_{W^{k,\tau}(\Omega)} + c_\epsilon |u|_{\tau, \Omega}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 of [1], observing that $\gamma := k/n - (\alpha - 1)/\tau\alpha > 0$ and

$$r\gamma < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad n \geq kr \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda > n(1 - r\gamma). \quad \square$$

If $k = 1, 2$ we can consider the numbers $q \in]1, +\infty[$, r_k, λ_k such that

$$(\mathcal{A}) \quad r_k \geq q, \quad \lambda_k \in [0, n[\cap]n - kr_k, +\infty[.$$

One can show:

Proposition 2. Assume (\mathcal{A}) . Then for any $p \in]1, q[$ there exist $v \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and $\beta \in]1, +\infty[$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} I) \quad & \beta^v = \frac{q}{p}, \quad \beta^{v-1} \neq \frac{n}{kp}; \\ II_a) \quad & \frac{1}{\beta} \geq 1 - p\left(\frac{k}{n} - \frac{1}{r_k}\right) \quad \text{if } n < kr_k; \\ II_b) \quad & \frac{1}{\beta} > 1 - \frac{p}{nr_k}(\lambda_k - n + kr_k) \quad \text{if } n \geq kr_k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fixed $p \in]1, q[$, obviously the right sides of $II_a)$ and $II_b)$ are fixed quantities strictly less than 1. So the result follows from a right use of the exponential function with basis p/q . \square

Remark 1. In the hypothesis (\mathcal{A}) , keeping in mind the previous result, we can set

$$p_h := \beta^{h-1} p, \quad \forall h = 1, \dots, v$$

and observe that

$$(**) \quad \begin{cases} \beta p_h < q, & \text{if } h < v; \quad \beta p_v = q; \quad p_v \neq \frac{n}{k}; \\ \frac{1}{\beta} \geq 1 - p_h\left(\frac{k}{n} - \frac{1}{r_k}\right) & \text{if } n < kr_k; \\ \frac{1}{\beta} > 1 - \frac{p_h}{nr_k}(\lambda_k - n + kr_k) & \text{if } n \geq kr_k. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3. Fixed $k = 1$ or 2 , consider the correspondent parameters satisfying (\mathcal{A}) and the numbers p_h , previously defined, then the multiplication operator for a function $g \in M^{r_k, \lambda_k}(\Omega)$, defined in $W^{k, p_h}(\Omega)$, has values in $L^{\beta p_h}(\Omega)$ and there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$, independent of g and u , such that

$$|gu|_{\beta p_h, \Omega} \leq c \|g\|_{M^{r_k, \lambda_k}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{W^{k, p_h}(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 1, Proposition 2 and Remark 1. \square

4. Proofs.

For the proofs of the main results we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. Assume (2.2), $a_i \in M_{\text{loc}}^{r_1, \lambda_1}(\overline{\Omega})$, $a \in M_{\text{loc}}^{r_2, \lambda_2}(\overline{\Omega})$, $p \in]1, q[$ with r_k, λ_k, q satisfy (\mathcal{A}) . Then for any u solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2, p}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1, p}(\overline{\Omega}) \\ Lu \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\overline{\Omega}) \end{cases}$$

one has $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2, q}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. The way of proceeding is analogous to that of Theorem 5.1 of [1]. Since our assumptions on the lower order terms' coefficients of L are weaker than there, it seems better to remake the all proof. We just need to prove that if u is also in $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p_h}(\overline{\Omega})$ then

$$(4.1) \quad u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,\beta p_h}(\overline{\Omega}),$$

where β, p_h are the quantities defined in Proposition 2 and in the Remark 1.

We observe that if $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p_h}(\overline{\Omega})$ then, for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\zeta u \in W^{2,p_h}(\Omega)$ and so, by Proposition 3, one has:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (\zeta u)_{x_i} + a \zeta u \in L^{\beta p_h}(\Omega).$$

Since $Lu \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\overline{\Omega})$, it follows that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i x_j} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\beta p_h}(\overline{\Omega}).$$

As consequence of known results (see Theorem 4.2 of [3] and Theorem 3.2 of [4]), the assumption on u together with (4.2) give (4.1). \square

Lemma 4.2. *Assume (2.2), $a_i \in \tilde{M}^{r_1, \lambda_1}(\Omega)$, $a \in \tilde{M}^{r_2, \lambda_2}(\Omega)$, $p \in]1, q]$ with r_k, λ_k, q satisfy (\mathcal{A}) , $p_0 \in [1, q]$. Then for any u solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap \overset{o}{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^{p_0}(\Omega) \\ Lu \in L^q(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

one has $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Once observed that, by Lemma 4.1, u belongs to $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,q}(\overline{\Omega})$, one can go on as in the proof of theorem 5.1 of [1] to obtain the desired result. \square

Proof of the Theorem. First we point out that for every p a solution u of (\mathcal{D}) belongs to $C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. This follows just from the Sobolev imbedding theorem when $p > \frac{n}{2}$ and also from Lemma 4.1 when $p \in]1, \frac{n}{2}]$, that we apply with $r_1 = r, r_2 = s, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0, q = \min\{r, s\}$.

Moreover owing to the behaviour of u at infinity, u attains its maximum and minimum in Ω . Then to prove the result one can just follow the Vitanza argument ([8, Theorem 3.1]), which is based on a local analysis. \square

Proof of the Corollary. Arguing as in the proof of the Theorem, using Lemma 4.2 instead of Lemma 4.1, one shows that a solution u of (\mathcal{D}_0) belongs to $W^{2,t}(\Omega)$ for a $t > n/2$.

It is well known that this implies

$$u \in \overset{o}{W}^{1,t_1}(\Omega) \quad \text{with } t_1 > n.$$

So u goes to zero at infinity. Hence it is also a solution of (\mathcal{D}) and therefore $u = 0$ in Ω . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Cavaliere - M. Longobardi - A. Vitolo, *Imbedding estimates and elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains*, *Le Matematiche*, 20 (1996), pp. 87–104.
- [2] P. Cavaliere - G. Manzo - A. Vitolo, *Spaces of Morrey type and BMO spaces in unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n* , *Rend. Acc. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat.*, 20 (1996), pp. 123–140.
- [3] F. Chiarenza - M. Frasca - P. Longo, *Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for nondivergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, *Ricerche di Mat.*, 40 (1991), pp. 149–168.
- [4] F. Chiarenza - M. Frasca - P. Longo, *$W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for non divergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 336 (1993), pp. 841–853.
- [5] M. Transirico - M. Troisi - A. Vitolo, *Spaces of Morrey type and elliptic equations in divergence form on unbounded domains*, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (7)*, 9–B (1995), pp. 153–174.
- [6] M. Transirico - M. Troisi - A. Vitolo, *BMO spaces on domains of \mathbb{R}^n* , *Ricerche Mat.*, 45 (1996), pp. 355–378.
- [7] C. Vitanza, *$W^{2,p}$ regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients*, *Le Matematiche*, 47 (1992), pp. 177–186.
- [8] C. Vitanza, *A new contribution to the $W^{2,p}$ regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients*, *Le Matematiche*, 48 (1993), pp. 287–296.

*Facoltà di Scienze,
Università di Salerno,
Via S. Allende,
84081 Barinissi (SA) (ITALY)*