# SYMMETRIZATION RESULTS FOR A MULTI-EXPONENT, DEGENERATE AND ANISOTROPIC ELECTROSTATIC PROBLEM 

GONOKO MOUSSA

In this paper, we give some isoperimetric inequalities for the capacity $c_{p}$ of an anisotropic configuration where each connected component has the form $\Omega_{i}=\omega_{i} \backslash \bar{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \omega_{i}$ and $\omega_{i}^{\prime}$ are regular bounded open sets in $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}},\left(N_{i} \geq 1\right)$. The anisotropy of $\Omega_{i}$ is described by a Finsler metric (or gauge function) $\phi_{i}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$ and the growth exponent $p$ may vary with $i$. Using the convex symmetrization, we prove in particular that $c_{p} \geq \tilde{c}_{p}$, where $\tilde{c}_{p}$ is the capacity of a suitable symmetrized anisotropic configuration.

## 1. Statement of the problem.

Let $\Omega_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ be open sets of the form $\Omega_{i}=\omega_{i} \backslash \bar{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}$, where $\omega_{i}$ and $\omega_{i}^{\prime}$ are regular bounded open sets in $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}\left(N_{i} \geq 1\right)$ such that $\bar{\omega}_{i}^{\prime} \subset \omega_{i}$. Let $\gamma_{i}=\partial \omega_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\partial \omega_{i}^{\prime}$ be the respective boundaries of $\omega_{i}$ and $\omega_{i}^{\prime}$.

Let $r=\left(r_{i}\right), p=\left(p_{i}\right), q=\left(q_{i}\right), i=1 \ldots, n$ be multi-exponents such that

$$
1 \leq r_{i} \leq \infty, 1+\frac{1}{r_{i}}<p_{i}<\infty, q_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
p_{i} & \text { if } & r_{i}=\infty  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{r_{i}}{1+r_{i}} p_{i} & \text { if } & r_{i}<\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$
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(hence $1<q_{i} \leq p_{i}$ ) and let $a_{i}: \Omega_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a (a.e.) positive function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} \in L^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right), \quad a_{i}^{-1}=\frac{1}{a_{i}} \in L^{r_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ and $L^{r_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ are classical Lebesgue spaces. Let

$$
L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)=\left\{v: \Omega_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \int_{\Omega_{i}} a_{i}|v|^{p_{i}} d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

be the weighted Lebesgue space equipped with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{\left.L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}} \Omega_{i}\right)}=\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} a_{i}|v|^{p_{i}} d x\right)^{1 / p_{i}}
$$

and let us introduce the spaces

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{L}^{q}=\left\{v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right),\right. & \left.\forall i=1, \ldots, n, v_{i} \in L^{q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right\} \\
\mathbb{L}_{a}^{p}=\left\{v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right),\right. & \left.\forall i=1, \ldots, n, v_{i} \in L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right\}
\end{array}
$$

We equip them with the respective norms

$$
\|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^{q}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{L^{q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)}, \quad\|v\|_{\mathbb{L}_{a}^{p}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|v_{i}\right\|_{L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)}
$$

By Hölder's inequality, (1.1) and (1.2), it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{\mathbb{L}^{g}} \leq \max _{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left\{\left\|a_{i}^{-1}\right\|_{L_{i}^{L}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)}^{1 / p_{i}}\right\}\|v\|_{\mathbb{L}_{a}^{p}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows that $\mathbb{L}_{a}^{p} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{q}$ with continuous imbedding. Moreover, let us set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{W}^{1, q} & =\left\{v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right), \forall i=1, \ldots, n, v_{i} \in W^{1, q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right\} \\
\mathbb{W}_{a} & =\left\{v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right), \forall i=1, \ldots, n, v_{i} \in L^{q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right), \nabla v_{i} \in L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for simplicity $\nabla$ denotes the gradient (in the sense of distributions) in any dimension.

By the previous remark, $\mathbb{W}_{a} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}^{1, q}$ with continuous imbedding. In particular if $v \in \mathbb{W}_{a}$ then $v_{\mid \gamma_{i}}$ and $v_{\mid \gamma_{i}^{\prime}}$ are well defined and belong respectively to $L^{q_{i}}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ and $L^{q_{i}}\left(\gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, we can define

$$
\mathbb{H}=\left\{v \in \mathbb{W}_{a}, v_{1}=1 \text { on } \gamma_{1}^{\prime}, v_{n}=0 \text { on } \gamma_{n} \text { and } v_{i \mid \gamma_{i}}=v_{i+1_{\mid \gamma_{i+1}^{\prime}}}=k_{i}\right.
$$

$$
\text { (undetermined constant) for } i=1, \ldots, n-1\} \text {. }
$$

Let $\phi_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} \rightarrow[0,+\infty[(i=1, \ldots, n)$, be non negative strictly convex functions, differentiable off the origin, homogeneous in the sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}, \phi_{i}(t \xi)=|t| \phi_{i}(\xi) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with linear growth

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \delta>0, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}},|\xi| \leq \phi_{i}(\xi) \leq \delta|\xi| \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|$.$| denotes the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$.
Let $G_{i}: \Omega_{i} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} \rightarrow G_{i}(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}(i=1, \ldots, n)$, be Carathéodory functions (i.e. measurable with respect to $x$ and continuous with respect to $\xi$ ) such that

- for almost every $x \in \Omega_{i}, G_{i}(x,$.$) is strictly convex, homogeneous of degree$ $p_{i}$ in the sense

$$
\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}, G_{i}(x, t \xi)=|t|^{p_{i}} G_{i}(x, \xi)
$$

and it admits a gradient $g_{i}(x,$.$) ,$

- there exists $c \geq 1$ such that for almost every $x \in \Omega_{i}$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}(x) \phi_{i}(\xi)^{p_{i}} \leq G_{i}(x, \xi) \leq c a_{i}(x)|\xi|^{p_{i}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{J(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x, v \in \mathbb{H}\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the integral being finite thanks to (1.6).
For $N_{i}=N, \phi_{i}(\xi)=|\xi|$ and $p_{i}=p$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, similar problems have been considered by V. Ferone and L. Boukrim. In an interesting paper [9], V. Ferone has given an isoperimetric inequality for the $p$-capacity $c_{p}$ of a configuration $\Omega=(G \backslash E) \backslash\left(\cup_{i} H_{i}\right)$, where $\Omega$ represents a nonhomogeneous isotropic medium, $\partial G$ and $\partial E$ have given potentials respectively equal to 0 and 1 , and the $H_{i}$ have constant unknown potentials $K_{i}$. He has shown that $c_{p} \geq c_{p}^{*}$ where $c_{p}^{*}$ is the $p$-capacity of a symmetrical configuration which has no interior
conductor such as $H_{i}$. In his thesis [6] (see also the short note [5]), L. Boukrim has extended and completed Ferone's result when $\Omega$ is multiconnected and when the $H_{i}$ separate the different connected components of $\Omega$. He proved that $c_{p} \geq \bar{c}_{p} \geq c_{p}^{*}$, where $\bar{c}_{p}$ is the $p$-capacity of a symmetrized isotropic configuration (having inner conductors) and gave isoperimetric estimates for the unknown potentials $K_{i}$.

In this paper the anisotropy function $\phi_{i}$, as well the growth exponent $p_{i}$, may be different when $i$ varies. Our purpose is to show that the generalized $p$ capacity of the collection of $\Omega_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$, denoted $c_{p}$ (see section 2 below) is not smaller than the $p$-capacity $\tilde{c}_{p}$ of a symmetrized anisotropic configuration and to give isoperimetric estimates for the unknown potentials $K_{i}$. The proof, inspired by the work of L. Boukrim, uses the notion of relative rearrangement introduced by J. Mossino and R. Temam [12] and developed in [13, 14]. But the anisotropy of $\Omega_{i}$ requires other arguments related to the new notion of convex symmetrization introduced in [1].

## 2. Study of the problem.

In this section we study the existence, uniqueness and characterization of solution of problem (1.7).

Theorem 1. Problem (1.7) admits a solution and only one.
Proof. The proof is not quite standard in this context of degenerate problems in several domains in different dimensions and with different exponents. Let $u^{m}$ be a minimizing sequence: $u^{m} \in \mathbb{H}$ and $J\left(u^{m}\right) \rightarrow I$, where $I$ denotes the infimum in (1.7). We have, due to the coerciveness condition in (1.6) together with (1.5),

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_{i}} a_{i}(x)\left|\nabla u_{i}^{m}\right|^{p_{i}} d x \leq J\left(u^{m}\right) \leq c
$$

and hence $\left\|\nabla u_{i}^{m}\right\|_{L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}} \leq c$ where here (and in the following) we denote by $c$ any constant.

In particular $\nabla u_{n}^{m}$ is bounded in $L^{q_{n}}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)^{N_{n}}$. As $u_{n}^{m}=0$ on $\gamma_{n}, u_{n}^{m}$ is bounded in $W^{1, q_{n}}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ by Poincaré inequality. By continuity of the trace mapping (i.e. $\left.W^{1, q_{n}}\left(\Omega_{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{q_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right), k_{n-1}^{m}=u_{n \mid \gamma_{n}^{\prime}}^{m}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$.

Now $\nabla u_{n-1}^{m}$ is bounded in $L^{q_{n-1}}\left(\Omega_{n-1}\right)^{N_{n-1}}$ and $k_{n-1}^{m}=u_{n-\left.1\right|_{\gamma_{n-1}}}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. It follows from Poincaré inequality that $u_{n-1}^{m}$ is bounded in $W^{1, q_{n-1}}\left(\Omega_{n-1}\right)$ and, just as above $k_{n-2}^{m}=u_{n-\left.1\right|_{\gamma_{n-1}^{\prime}} ^{m}}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$, so
that by induction $u_{i}^{m}$ is bounded in $W^{1, q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ (for any $i=1, \ldots, n$ ) and $k_{i}^{m}=u_{i \mid \gamma_{i}}^{m}=u_{i+1 \mid \gamma_{i+1}^{\prime}}^{m}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$ (for any $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ ).

Up to an extraction of a subsequence we may suppose that for any $i=$ $1, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{i}^{m} \rightharpoonup u_{i} \text { weakly in } W^{1, q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \\
& u_{i}^{m} \rightarrow u_{i} \text { strongly in } L^{q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)(\text { by compactness })
\end{aligned}
$$

$u_{i \mid \gamma_{i}}^{m}\left(\operatorname{resp} . u_{i \mid \gamma_{i}^{\prime}}^{m}\right) \rightarrow u_{i \mid \gamma_{i}}\left(\left(\right.\right.$ resp. $\left.u_{i \mid \gamma_{i}^{\prime}}\right)$ strongly in $L^{q_{i}}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L^{q_{i}}\left(\gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla u_{i}^{m} & \rightharpoonup \zeta_{i} \text { weakly in } L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}} \\
k_{i}^{m} & \rightarrow k_{i} \text { in } \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $u^{m} \in \mathbb{H}$, we get $u_{1}=1$ on $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, u_{n}=0$ on $\gamma_{n}, u_{i \mid \gamma_{i}}=u_{i+1 \mid \gamma_{i+1}^{\prime}}=k_{i}(i=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$ ). As $\nabla u_{i}^{m} \rightharpoonup \zeta_{i}$ weakly in $L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}$, we get $\nabla u_{i}^{m} \rightharpoonup \zeta_{i}$ weakly in $L^{q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}$ by using the continuity of the imbedding $L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$. Since $u_{i}^{m} \rightarrow u_{i}$ in $L^{q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$, it follows that $\zeta_{i}=\nabla u_{i} \in L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}$ and $u \in \mathbb{H}$.

It remains to prove that $u$ solves (1.7). We note that $(x, \xi) \in \Omega_{i} \times \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} \rightarrow$ $G_{i}(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that by (1.5) and (1.6)

$$
a_{i}(x)|\xi|^{p_{i}} \leq G_{i}(x, \xi) \leq c a_{i}(x)|\xi|^{p_{i}}
$$

Hence the mapping $r \rightarrow G_{i}(x, r)$ is continuous from $L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}$ into $L^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ and the mapping $r \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}(x, r) d x$ is continuous from $L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}$ into $\mathbb{R}$. It is also convex, so that it is lower semicontinuous for the weak topology of $L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}$ and as $\nabla u_{i}^{m} \rightharpoonup \nabla u_{i}$ in $L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=\liminf \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}^{m}\right) d x \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \liminf \int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}^{m}\right) d x \\
\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

which proves that $u$ solves (1.7). By the strict convexity, the gradient is the same in each $\Omega_{i}$ for all solutions of (1.7) and it follows from the boundary conditions in $\mathbb{H}$ that the solution of (1.7) is unique (and then the above convergences hold for the whole sequence $u^{m}$ ). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $u$ be the solution of (1.7). It is classical that $u$ is characterized by the variational formulation: $u \in \mathbb{H}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \int_{\Omega_{i}} g_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right) . \nabla v_{i} d x, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{H}_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{H}_{0}=\left\{v \in \mathbb{W}_{a}, v_{1}=0 \text { on } \gamma_{1}^{\prime}, v_{n}=0 \text { on } \gamma_{n} \text { and } v_{i \mid \gamma_{i}}=v_{i+1 \mid \gamma_{i+1}^{\prime}}=k_{i}\right. \\
& \quad \text { (undetermined constant) for } i=1, \ldots, n-1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $u$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\mathcal{A}_{i} u_{i}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{i} \text { (in the sense of distributions) } \\
u_{1}=1 & \text { on } \gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \\
u_{n}=0 & \text { on } \gamma_{n}, \\
u_{i \mid \gamma_{i}}=u_{i+\left.1\right|_{\gamma_{i+1}^{\prime}}}=k_{i} & \text { (unprescribed constant) for } i=1, \ldots, n-1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{A}_{i} u_{i}=-\frac{1}{p_{i}} \operatorname{div}\left(g_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right)\right)
$$

and for simplicity div (resp. $\nabla$ ) denotes the divergence (resp. gradient in any dimension $N_{i}$.

Let $v_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ be the unique solution of
(2.2) $\inf \left\{\frac{1}{p_{i}} \int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}(x, \nabla w) d x, w \in W_{a_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right), w=1\right.$ on $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}, w=0$ on $\left.\gamma_{i}\right\}$,
where

$$
W_{a_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)=\left\{v \in L^{q_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right), \nabla v \in L_{a_{i}}^{p_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}\right\} .
$$

Then $v_{i}$ is characterized by $v_{i} \in W_{a_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right), v_{i}=1$ on $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}, v_{i}=0$ on $\gamma_{i}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{i}} g_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) . \nabla \varphi d x=0, \forall \varphi \in W_{a_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right), \varphi=0 \text { on } \gamma_{i}^{\prime} \cup \gamma_{i} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathscr{A}_{i} v_{i}=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{i} \text { (in the sense of distributions), }  \tag{2.4}\\
v_{i}=1 & \text { on } \gamma_{i}^{\prime} \\
v_{i}=0 & \text { on } \gamma_{i}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Next, we prove that the solution $u$ of (1.7) is explicit in terms of the solutions $v_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ of (2.2).

Theorem 2. Let $u$ be the solution of (1.7), $k_{i}=u_{i+\left.1\right|_{r_{i+1}^{\prime}}}$ and let $v_{i}$ be the solution of (2.2). Let

$$
c_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right) d x
$$

be a generalized p-capacity of the collection of $\Omega_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. We have
(a) $c_{p}>0$,
(b) $c_{p}=\frac{1}{p_{i}} \int_{\Omega_{i}} g_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right) . \nabla v_{i} d x$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$,
(c) $\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right) d x>0$,
(d) $k_{i} \neq k_{i-1}$,
(e) $u_{i}=\left(k_{i-1}-k_{i}\right) v_{i}+k_{i}$,
(f) $\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right) d x=\left(k_{i-1}-k_{i}\right) c_{p}$,
(g) $0=k_{n}<k_{n-1}<\ldots<k_{i+1}<k_{i}<\ldots<k_{i}<k_{0}=1$,
(h) $\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x=\frac{c_{p}}{\left(k_{i-1}-k_{i}\right)^{p_{i-1}}}$,
(i) $0<v_{i}<1, k_{i}<u_{i}<k_{i-1}$.

Proof. (a) If $c_{p}=0$ then we get from (1.5) and (1.6) that $u_{i}$ is constant in each connected component $\Omega_{i}$. Using the transmission conditions (because $u \in \mathbb{H}$ ), we obtain a contradiction.
(b) Let $\tilde{v}^{i}=\left(\tilde{v}_{1}^{i}, \ldots, \widetilde{v}_{n}^{i}\right)$ be the function defined by

$$
\tilde{v}_{i}^{i}=v_{i}, \quad \tilde{v}_{j}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & j<i \\
0 & \text { if } & j>i
\end{array}\right.
$$

As $\tilde{v}^{i}-u \in \mathbb{H}_{0}$, we get, using the variational formulation of $u$,

$$
0=\sum_{j} \frac{1}{p_{j}} \int_{\Omega_{j}} g_{j}\left(x, \nabla u_{j}\right) . \nabla\left(\tilde{v}_{j}^{i}-u_{j}\right) d x
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
c_{p}=\sum_{j} \int_{\Omega_{j}} G_{j}\left(x, \nabla u_{j}\right) d x=\frac{1}{p_{i}} \int_{\Omega_{i}} g_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right) . \nabla v_{i} d x
$$

(c) If $\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right) d x=0$ then from (1.5) and (1.6), we have $\nabla u_{i}=0$ and hence $c_{p}=0$ using (b); but this contradicts (a).
(d) If $k_{i}=k_{i-1}$, then we can define $m^{i}=\left(m_{1}^{i}, \ldots, m_{n}^{i}\right)$ by

$$
m_{j}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
k_{i} & \text { for } \quad j=i \\
u_{i} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $m^{i}$ belongs to $\mathbb{H}$. It follows from (c) that

$$
\sum_{j} \int_{\Omega_{j}} \frac{1}{p_{j}} G_{j}\left(x, \nabla m_{j}^{i}\right) d x<\sum_{j} \int_{\Omega_{j}} \frac{1}{p_{j}} G_{j}\left(x, \nabla u_{j}\right) d x
$$

which contradicts the minimality property of $u$.
(e) Following (d), one can define $w_{i}=\frac{u_{i}-k_{i}}{k_{i-1}-k_{i}}$. It is easy to check (from $\mathcal{A}_{i} u_{i}=0$ ), that $\mathcal{A}_{i} w_{i}=0, w_{i}=1$ on $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}, w_{i}=0$ on $\gamma_{i}$. The functions $v_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ satisfy the same equation which has a unique solution. It follows that $w_{i}=v_{i}$.
(f) It is sufficient to replace $v_{i}$ by $\frac{u_{i}-k_{i}}{k_{i-1}-k_{i}}$ in (b).
(g) Clear from (a), (c) and (f).
(h) Replace $u_{i}$ by $\left(k_{i-1}-k_{i}\right) v_{i}+k_{i}$ in (b).
(i) Using convenient test functions in (2.3), it is easy to prove that $0<v_{i}<$ 1 and then (e) gives $k_{i}<u_{i}<k_{i-1}$.

Remark 1. From (h) of Theorem 2, $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(k_{i-1}-k_{i}\right)=1$ and $k_{i}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{i}\left(k_{j-1}-\right.$ $k_{j}$ ), we get

$$
1=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{c_{p}}{\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}-1}}
$$

and

$$
k_{i}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{i}\left(\frac{c_{p}}{\int_{\Omega_{j}} G_{j}\left(x, \nabla v_{j}\right) d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}-1}}
$$

Remark 2. If Green's formula is valid, then we have from $\mathcal{A}_{i} u_{i}=0$ in $\Omega_{i}$ and from (b) of Theorem 2 that for all $i=1, \ldots, n$

$$
c_{p}=-\int_{\gamma_{i}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial v^{\mathcal{A}_{i}}} d \gamma=-\int_{\gamma_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial v^{\mathcal{A}_{i}}} d \gamma
$$

where

$$
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial v^{A_{i}}}=\frac{1}{p_{i}} g_{i}\left(x, \nabla u_{i}\right) \cdot v
$$

and for simplicity $v$ denotes the outer normal to $\Omega_{i}$ on $\gamma_{i}$ as well as the inner normal to $\Omega_{i}$ on $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$.

## 3. Main inequalities.

Let us recall some notions of (unidimensional and relative) rearrangement (see for example [3], [8], [11], [12], [13], [14]). In this paper, we use only the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (for different values of $N$ ). For a measurable set $E$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, let $|E|$ be its measure. Let $u$ be a measurable function from $E$ into $\mathbb{R}$. The (unidimensional) decreasing rearrangement $u_{*}$ of $u$ is defined on $\bar{E}^{*}=[0,|E|]$ by $u_{*}(|E|)=e s s_{E} \inf u$ and for $s<|E|, u_{*}(s)=\inf \{\theta \in \mathbb{R},|u>\theta| \leq s\}$ where $|u>\theta|=|\{x \in E: u(x)>\theta\}|$; the increasing rearrangement of $u$, denoted $u^{*}$, is then $u^{*}(s)=u_{*}(|E|-s)$. The functions $u, u_{*}$ and $u^{*}$ satisfy $|u>\theta|=\left|u_{*}>\theta\right|=\left|u^{*}>\theta\right|$.

For $v \in L^{1}(E)$ and $u: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable, we define the function $\mathcal{W}$ on $\overline{E^{*}}$ by

$$
\mathcal{W}(s)= \begin{cases}\int_{u>u_{*}(s)} v(x) d x & \text { if }\left|u=u_{*}(s)\right|=0, \\ \int_{u>u_{*}(s)} v(x) d x+\int_{0}^{s-\left|u>u_{*}(s)\right|}\left(\left.v\right|_{P(s))_{*}(\sigma) d \sigma}\right. & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\left(\left.v\right|_{P(s)}\right)_{*}$ is the decreasing rearrangement of $v$ restricted to $P(s)=\{x \in$ $\left.E: u(x)=u_{*}(s)\right\}$. The integrable function $\frac{d W}{d s}$ is called (according to [12], [13], [14]) the relative rearrangement of $v$ with respect to $u$ and is denoted $v_{*_{u}}$.

We recall also some facts about the function $\phi_{i}$ defined in section 1 . As it has been said earlier, the function $\phi_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} \rightarrow[0,+\infty[$ is strictly convex, homogeneous of degree one, with linear growth and differentiable off the origin.

Let

$$
B_{\phi_{i}}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} ; \phi_{i}(\xi) \leq 1\right\}
$$

be the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$ relative to $\phi_{i}$. It follows from the definition of $\phi_{i}$ that the ball $B_{\phi_{i}}$ (the so-called Wulff shape relative to $\phi_{i}$ ) is bounded, convex and symmetric with respect to the origin.

We denote by $\phi_{i}^{0}: \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} \rightarrow\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ the dual function of $\phi_{i}$ defined by

$$
\phi_{i}^{0}\left(\xi^{*}\right)=\sup \left\{\xi^{*} \cdot \xi ; \xi \in B_{\phi_{i}}\right\}=\sup _{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\xi^{*} \cdot \xi}{\phi_{i}(\xi)}, \quad \forall \xi^{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}
$$

One can check that $\phi_{i}^{0}$ is also a convex function and satisfies the properties (1.4) and $\frac{1}{\delta}\left|\xi^{*}\right| \leq \phi_{i}^{0}\left(\xi^{*}\right) \leq\left|\xi^{*}\right|$ (see for example [15]). In the sequel, we assume that the dual function $\phi_{i}^{0}$ is strictly convex and differentiable everywhere but in the origin. The corresponding unit ball $B_{\phi_{i}^{0}}$ is known as Frank diagram. One can also prove from (1.4) the following useful properties of the functions $\phi_{i}$ and $\phi_{i}^{0}$ (see for example [4]). Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} \backslash\{0\}$ and let $t \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(\xi)=\nabla \phi_{i}(\xi) \cdot \xi, \quad \phi_{i}^{0}(\xi)=\nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(\xi) \cdot \xi \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \phi_{i}(t \xi)=\frac{t}{|t|} \nabla \phi_{i}(\xi), \quad \nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(t \xi)=\frac{t}{|t|} \nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(\xi) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\phi_{i}\left(\nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(\xi)\right)=\phi_{i}^{0}\left(\nabla \phi_{i}(\xi)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\phi_{i}^{0}(\xi) \nabla \phi_{i}\left(\nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(\xi)\right)=\phi_{i}(\xi) \nabla \phi_{i}^{0}\left(\nabla \phi_{i}(\xi)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the isoperimetric inequalities of this section are consequences of the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\alpha_{i}$ be the Lebesgue measure of the unit ball (i.e. Frank diagram) $B_{\phi_{i}^{0}}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} ; \phi_{i}^{0}(\xi) \leq 1\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$. Let $p_{i}^{\prime}$ be such that $\frac{1}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}=1$ and let $v_{i}$ be the unique solution of (2.2). Then for all $t, t^{\prime}$ such that $0 \leq t \leq t^{\prime} \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^{\prime}-t \leq N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} & \alpha_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} . \\
& \cdot \int_{\left|v_{i}>t^{\prime}\right|}^{\left|v_{i}>t\right|}\left(\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|+\sigma\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}-p_{i}^{\prime}}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(\sigma-\left|v_{i}>t^{\prime}\right|\right) d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For $\theta \in] 0,1[$, let us set

$$
z_{i}=\theta-\left(v_{i}-\theta\right)_{-}=\left\{\begin{array}{llr}
v_{i} & \text { if } \quad v_{i} \leq \theta \\
\theta & \text { if } & v_{i}>\theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the function $\varphi=z_{i}-\theta v_{i}$ satisfies the conditions $\varphi \in W_{a_{i}}\left(\Omega_{i}\right), \varphi=0$ on $\gamma_{i}^{\prime} \cup \gamma_{i+1}$. In consequence, we have using (2.3)

$$
0=\int_{\Omega_{i}} g_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) . \nabla\left(z_{i}-\theta v_{i}\right) d x
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{v_{i} \leq \theta} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x=\theta \int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \theta} \int_{v_{i}>\theta} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x=-\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by using (1.6), (1.2) and Hölder's inequality, we have for $h>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h} \int_{\theta<v_{i} \leq \theta+h} \phi_{i}\left(\nabla v_{i}\right) d x \leq\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{\theta<v_{i} \leq \theta+h} a_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} d x\right)^{1 / p_{i}^{\prime}} \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{\theta<v_{i} \leq \theta+h} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{1 / p_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and letting $h$ tend to 0 , we get (thanks to (3.5))

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{d}{d \theta} \int_{v_{i}>\theta} \phi_{i}\left(\nabla v_{i}\right) d x \leq\left(-\frac{d}{d \theta} \int_{v_{i}>\theta} a_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} d x\right)^{1 / p_{i}^{\prime}} \\
& \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{1 / p_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the following formula of derivation (see [14])

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} \int_{v_{i}>\theta} a_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} d x=\mathcal{W}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}(\theta)\right) v_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)
$$

where $v_{i}(\theta)=\left|v_{i}>\theta\right|$ and $\mathcal{W}^{\prime}=\left(a_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}}\right)_{* v_{i}}$ is the relative rearrangement of $a_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}}$ with respect to $v_{i}$ it comes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d \theta} \int_{v_{i}>\theta} \phi_{i}\left(\nabla v_{i}\right) d x \leq\left(-\mathcal{W}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}(\theta)\right) v_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)\right)^{1 / p_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{1 / p_{i}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P_{\phi_{i}, \Omega_{i}}\left(\left\{v_{i}>\theta\right\}\right)$ be the generalized perimeter relative to $\phi_{i}$ and $\Omega_{i}$ of the set $\left\{x \in \Omega_{i}, v_{i}(x)>\theta\right\}$ defined in [2] by

$$
P_{\phi_{i}, \Omega_{i}}\left(\left\{v_{i}>\theta\right\}\right)=\sup \left\{\int_{v_{i}>\theta} \operatorname{div}(\sigma) d x ; \sigma \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}\right), \phi_{i}^{0}(\sigma) \leq 1\right\}
$$

The following two results hold (see [1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d \theta} \int_{v_{i}>\theta} \phi_{i}\left(\nabla v_{i}\right) d x=P_{\phi_{i}, \Omega_{i}}\left(\left\{v_{i}>\theta\right\}\right), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\phi_{i}, \Omega_{i}}\left(\left\{v_{i}>\theta\right\}\right) \leq N_{i} \alpha_{i}^{1 / N_{i}}\left(\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|+v_{i}(\theta)\right)^{1-\frac{1}{N_{i}}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's note that for $\phi_{i}(\xi)=|\xi|$, the result (3.7) is nothing else the FlemingRishel formula (see [10]) and the corresponding inequality (3.8) is known as the isoperimetric inequality for the perimeter of De Giorgi (see [7]).

Now, using (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \leq N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} \\
\cdot\left(\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|+v_{i}(\theta)\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}-p_{i}^{\prime}} \mathcal{W}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}(\theta)\right)\left(-v_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By integrating between $t$ and $t^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
t^{\prime}-t \leq N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} \cdot \\
\cdot \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \chi\left[v_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right),(t)\right](\sigma)\left(\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|+\sigma\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}-p_{i}^{\prime}}\left(a_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}}\right)_{* v_{i}}(\sigma) d \sigma \\
\leq N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} \cdot \\
\cdot \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|}\left(\chi\left[v_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right), v_{i}(t)\right](.)\left(\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|+.\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}-p_{i}^{\prime}}\right)_{*}(\sigma)\left(a_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}}\right)_{*}(\sigma) d \sigma
\end{gathered}
$$

(for this latest inequality, see Theorem 3 in [13])

$$
=N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}}
$$

$$
\cdot \int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \chi\left[0, v_{i}(t)-v_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right](\sigma)\left(\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|+v_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)+\sigma\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}-p_{i}^{\prime}}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}(\sigma) d \sigma
$$

(using the properties of the (unidimensional) decreasing rearrangement)

$$
\begin{gathered}
=N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} \\
\cdot \int_{v_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)}^{v_{i}(t)}\left(\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|+\sigma\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{l}}-p_{i}^{\prime}}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(\sigma-v_{i}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) d \sigma
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\prime}-t \leq N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} \\
& \int_{\left|v_{i}>t^{\prime}\right|}^{\left|v_{i}>t\right|}\left(\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|+\sigma\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}}-p_{i}^{\prime} \\
& \left(a_{i}^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(\sigma-\left|v_{i}>t^{\prime}\right|\right) d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t, t^{\prime}$ such that $0 \leq t \leq t^{\prime} \leq 1$.
Making $t=0$ and $t^{\prime}=1$ in Theorem 3, we obtain
Corollary 1. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, f_{i}(\sigma)=\left(\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|+\sigma\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}-p_{i}^{\prime}}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in$ $\left[0,\left|\Omega_{i}\right|\right]$ and $I_{i}=\int_{0}^{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} f_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma$. We have

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime} / p_{i}} \geq \frac{N_{i}^{p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}}}{I_{i}}
$$

Now we are able to state our main results of this section.
Theorem 4. Let $S$ be the unique positive solution of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I_{i} S^{p_{i}^{\prime}-1}}{N_{i}^{p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}}=1$.
We have $c_{p} \geq S$. Moreover for any $s \in\left[0,\left|\Omega_{i}\right|\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{p}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(k_{i-1}-u_{i *}(s)\right) \leq N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}} \int_{0}^{s} f_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives for $s=\left|\Omega_{i}\right|$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{p}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(k_{i-1}-k_{i}\right) \leq N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}} I_{i} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) From Remark 1 and Corollary 1, we have

$$
1=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{c_{p}}{\int_{\Omega_{i}} G_{i}\left(x, \nabla v_{i}\right) d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}-1}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I_{i} c_{p}^{p_{i}^{\prime}-1}}{N_{i}^{p_{i}^{p}} \alpha_{i}^{p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}} .
$$

As the last expression is (strictly) increasing in $c_{p}$, we get $c_{p} \geq S$.
(b) From Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we deduce that for all $t$ such that $k_{i} \leq t \leq k_{i-1}$

$$
k_{i-1}-t \leq N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}}\left(c_{p}\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}} \int_{0}^{\left|u_{i}>t\right|} f_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma .
$$

Making $t=u_{i *}(s), s$ in $\left[0,\left|\Omega_{i}\right|\right]$ and noticing that $\left|u_{i}>u_{i *}(s)\right| \leq s$, we obtain (3.9).

## 4. Symmetrized problem and isoperimetric inequalities.

We begin by recalling the notion of convex symmetrization introduced in the paper of A. Alvino, V. Ferone, P. L. Lions and Trombetti [1].

For $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $\phi_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} \rightarrow[0,+\infty[$ be a strictly convex function, differen differentiable off the origin, satisfying (1.4) and (1.5). Let $\phi_{i}^{0}$ be its dual and $B_{\phi_{i}^{0}}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}} ; \phi_{i}^{0}(\xi) \leq 1\right\}$ be the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$ relative to $\phi_{i}^{0}$ (i.e. the Frank diagram relative to $\phi_{i}$ ) with Lebesgue measure $\alpha_{i}$. Moreover, we assume that the dual function $\phi_{i}^{0}$ is strictly convex and differentiable everywhere but in the origin.

Let $E$ be a measurable set in $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$ and let $u$ be a measurable function from $E$ into $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\widetilde{E}_{i}$ be the set homothetic to the Frank diagram $B_{\phi_{i}^{0}}$ such that $\left|\widetilde{E}_{i}\right|=|E|$. Note that both $E$ and $\widetilde{E}_{i}$ are subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$.

The convex symmetrization (or convex symmetric decreasing rearrangement) relative to $\phi_{i}^{0}$ of $u$, denoted by $u_{i}^{c}$ is defined on $\widetilde{E}_{i}$ by

$$
u_{i}^{c}(x)=u_{*}\left(\alpha_{i}\left(\phi_{i}^{0}(x)\right)^{N_{i}}\right) ; \quad x \in \widetilde{E}_{i} .
$$

The function $u$ and $u_{i}^{c}$ are equimeasurable. The level sets of $u_{i}^{c},\{x \in$ $\left.\widetilde{E}_{i} ; u_{i}^{c}(x)>t\right\}$, are homothetic to $B_{\phi_{i}^{0}}$ and have the same measure as $\{x \in E ; u(x)>t\}$. Indeed, the convex symmetrization coincides with the Schwarz symmetrization (or spherically symmetric increasing rearrangement) when $\phi_{i}(\xi)=|\xi|$.

Now let $\tilde{\omega}_{i}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ be the set of $\mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$, homothetic to the ball $B_{\phi_{i}^{0}}$ such that $\left|\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right|=\left|\omega_{i}\right|$ (resp. $\left.\left|\tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}\right|=\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)$. The sets $\tilde{\omega}_{i}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}$ are bounded, convex, symmetric with respect to the origin and homothetic. Moreover $\overline{\tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}} \subset \tilde{\omega}_{i}$. Let $A_{i}=\tilde{\omega}_{i} \backslash \overline{\tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}}, \tilde{\gamma}_{i}=\partial \tilde{\omega}_{i}, \tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}=\partial \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $\mu$ be the normal to $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}$ pointing outside $A_{i}$ or the normal to $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}$ pointing inside $A_{i}$.

Let $\tilde{a}_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$
\tilde{a}_{i}(x)=a_{i}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i}\left(\phi_{i}^{0}(x)\right)^{N_{i}}-\left|\tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)
$$

where $a_{i}^{*}$ is the increasing rearrangement of $a_{i}$. As the function $a_{i}$, the function $\tilde{a}_{i}$ also satisfies (1.2) (with $A_{i}$ instead of $\Omega_{i}$ ).

We begin by the explicit resolution of the symmetrized problem corresponding to (2.4) in $A_{i}$.
Proposition 1. For $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $\mathscr{B}_{i}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial_{\mu} \mathscr{B}_{i}}$ be the operators defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{i} V & =-\operatorname{div}\left[\tilde{a}_{i} \phi_{i}(\nabla V)^{p_{i}-1} \nabla \phi_{i}(\nabla V)\right], \\
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \mu^{\mathcal{B}_{i}}} & =\tilde{a}_{i} \phi_{i}(\nabla V)^{p_{i}-1} \nabla \phi_{i}(\nabla V) \cdot \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $V_{i}$ be the solution of the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathscr{B}_{i} V_{i}=0 & \text { in } \quad A_{i}  \tag{4.1}\\
V_{i}=0 & \text { on } \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{i} \\
V_{i}=1 & \text { on } \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We have, with $f_{i}$ and $I_{i}$ defined in Corollary 1,
(a) $V_{i}(x)=\frac{1}{I_{i}} \int_{\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|}^{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} f_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma$,
(b) $-\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial \mu^{\mathcal{B}_{i}}} d \gamma=N_{i}^{p_{i}} \alpha_{i}^{p_{i} / N_{i}} I_{i}^{1-p_{i}}$.

Proof. (a) With $x \in A_{i}$ and $r=\phi_{i}^{0}(x)$, we obtain $\nabla V_{i}=\frac{d V_{i}}{d r} \nabla \phi_{i}^{0}$. Using the properties of $\phi_{i}$ and $\phi_{i}^{0}$, we get for $x \in A_{i}$,

$$
\phi_{i}\left(\nabla V_{i}(x)\right)=\phi_{i}\left(\frac{d V_{i}}{d r} \nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(x)\right)=\left|\frac{d V_{i}}{d r}\right| \quad \text { by (1.4) and (3.3) }
$$

$$
\nabla \phi_{i}\left(\nabla V_{i}(x)\right)=\nabla \phi_{i}\left(\frac{d V_{i}}{d r} \nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(x)\right)=\frac{d V_{i}}{d r}\left|\frac{d V_{i}}{d r}\right|^{-1} \frac{x}{\phi_{i}^{0}(x)} \text { by (3.1) and (3.4). }
$$

Therefore the operator $\mathscr{B}_{i}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{B}_{i} V_{i} & =a_{i}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i} r^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\frac{d V_{i}}{d r}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{d V_{i}}{d r} \frac{1}{r}\left(N_{i}-\frac{1}{r} \nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(x) \cdot x\right) \\
& +\left(p_{i}-1\right) a_{i}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i} r^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\frac{d V_{i}}{d r}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{d^{2} V_{i}}{d r^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(x) \cdot x \\
& +\frac{d}{d r} a_{i}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i} r^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\frac{d V_{i}}{d r}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{d V_{i}}{d r} \frac{1}{r} \nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(x) \cdot x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see by (3.2) that

$$
\nabla \phi_{i}^{0}(x) \cdot x=\phi_{i}^{0}(x)=r
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{B}_{i} V_{i} & =\left|\frac{d V_{i}}{d r}\right|^{p_{i}-2}\left[\frac{d}{d r} a_{i}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i} r^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right) \frac{d V_{i}}{d r}+\right. \\
+ & \left.a_{i}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i} r^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\left(p_{i}-1\right) \frac{d^{2} V_{i}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{N_{i}-1}{r} \frac{d V_{i}}{d r}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\mathscr{B}_{i} V_{i}=0$ is equivalent to

$$
\frac{d V_{i}}{d r}=k r^{\frac{N_{i}-1}{1-p_{i}}}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(\alpha_{i} r^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)
$$

where $k$ is a constant. Hence, since $V_{i}=0$ on $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}$ and $V_{i}=1$ on $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}$, we deduce that

$$
V_{i}(x)=\frac{1}{I_{i}} \int_{\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|}}^{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} f_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma
$$

for all $x \in A_{i}$.
(b) We have, from earlier computations, for $x$ in $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}$,

$$
\frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial \mu^{B_{i}}}=a_{i}^{*}\left(\alpha_{i} r^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\frac{d V_{i}}{d r}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \frac{d V_{i}}{d r} \frac{x \cdot \mu}{\phi_{i}^{0}(x)}=
$$

$$
=-N_{i}^{p_{i}-1} \alpha_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{N_{i}}-1} r^{-N_{i}}\left(I_{i}\right)^{1-p_{i}} x . \mu
$$

and then,

$$
-\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial \mu^{\mathcal{B}_{i}}} d \gamma=N_{i}^{p_{i}-1} \alpha_{i}^{\frac{p_{i}}{N_{i}}-1} r^{-N_{i}}\left(I_{i}\right)^{1-p_{i}} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}} x . \mu d \gamma=N_{i}^{p_{i}} \alpha_{i}^{p_{i} / N_{i}} I_{i}^{1-p_{i}}
$$

because $\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}} x . \mu d \gamma=N_{i}\left|\tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}\right|=N_{i}\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ and if $x \in \tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}$ we have $\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}}=$ $\left|\tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\prime}\right|=\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|$.

This ends the proof of Proposition 1.
Remark 3. Similar computations show that one has also

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{i}} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial \mu^{\mathcal{B}_{i}}} d \gamma=\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial \mu^{\mathcal{B}_{i}}} d \gamma \\
\int_{A_{i}} \tilde{a}_{i} \phi_{i}\left(\nabla V_{i}\right)^{p_{i}} d x=N_{i}^{p_{i}} \alpha_{i}^{p_{i} / N_{i}} I_{i}^{1-p_{i}}=-\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial \mu^{\mathcal{B}_{i}}} d \gamma
\end{gathered}
$$

that is Green's formula is valid.
We consider the symmetrized problem defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}} \int_{A_{i}} Q_{i}\left(x, \nabla V_{i}(x)\right) d x, \quad V \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Q_{i}(x, \xi)=\tilde{a}_{i}(x) \phi_{i}(\xi)^{p_{i}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tilde{\mathbb{H}}=\left\{V \in \mathbb{W}_{\tilde{a}}, V_{1}=1 \text { on } \tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}, V_{n}=0 \text { on } \tilde{\gamma}_{n} \text { and } V_{i \mid \tilde{\gamma}_{i}}=V_{i+1 \mid \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^{\prime}}=K_{i}\right. \\
\text { (undetermined constant) for } \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1\} .
\end{array}
$$

Remark 4. It follows from Theorem 1 that the symmetrized problem (4.2) admits too one solution and only one.

Let us denote by $U$ the solution of the symmetrized problem (4.2). Let $K_{i}$ be the common value of $U_{i}$ on $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}$ and $U_{i+1}$ on $\tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^{\prime}(i=1, \ldots, n-1)$. Let $\tilde{c}_{p}=\sum_{1}^{n} \int_{A_{i}} Q_{i}\left(x, \nabla U_{i}(x)\right) d x$ be the generalized $p$-capacity of the collection of $A_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. It follows from Theorem 2 applied with $\tilde{c}_{p}, U_{i}, K_{i}, V_{i}$ instead of $c_{p}, u_{i}, k_{i}, v_{i}$ and Remark 3 that Green's formula is also valid for $U_{i}$, so that $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n}$ satisfy:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{B}_{i} U_{i}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad A_{i},  \tag{4.3}\\
U_{i}=1 \quad \text { on } \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{1}^{\prime}, \\
U_{n}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{n}, \\
U_{i \mid \tilde{\gamma}_{i}}=U_{i+1 \mid \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^{\prime}}=K_{i} \quad \text { (unprescribed constant) } \\
\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{i}} \frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial \mu^{\mathcal{B}_{i}}} d \gamma=\int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{i}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial \mu^{\mathcal{B}_{i}}} d \gamma \quad \text { for } \quad(i=1, \ldots, n-1),
\end{array}\right.
$$

The symmetrized problem can be solved explicitly:
Theorem 5. (explicit resolution of the symmetrized problem). Let $U$ be the solution of (4.2), $\quad K_{i}=U_{i \mid \tilde{\gamma}_{i}}=U_{i+1 \mid \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}^{\prime}}(i=1, \ldots, n-1)$. Let $\tilde{c}_{p}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{A_{i}} Q_{i}\left(x, \nabla U_{i}(x)\right) d x$. Then the values $\tilde{c}_{p}, K_{i}$ and $U_{i}$ are given respectively by
(1) $1=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I_{i}\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime}-1}}{N_{i}^{p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}}$
(2) $K_{i}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{I_{j}\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{p_{j}^{\prime}-1}}{N_{j}^{p_{j}^{\prime}} \alpha_{j}^{p_{j}^{\prime} / N_{j}}}$
and for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, x \in A_{i}$
(3) $U_{i}(x)=K_{i-1}-N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}} \int_{0}^{\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|} f_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma$.
(As already mentioned there exists a unique $\tilde{c}_{p}>0$ solution of (1).)
Proof. Using Theorem 2, Proposition 1 and Remarks 1 and 3, we have

$$
1=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_{p}}{\int_{A_{i}} \tilde{a}_{i} \phi_{i}\left(\nabla V_{i}\right)^{p_{i}} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}-1}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I_{i}\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime}-1}}{N_{i}^{p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}}
$$

$$
1-K_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i}\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_{p}}{\int_{A_{j}} \tilde{a}_{j} \phi_{j}\left(\nabla V_{j}\right)^{p_{j}} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}-1}}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{I_{j}\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{p_{j}^{\prime}-1}}{N_{j}^{p_{j}^{\prime}} \alpha_{j}^{p_{j}^{\prime} / N_{j}}}
$$

and finally for $x \in A_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{i}(x)= & \left(K_{i-1}-K_{i}\right) V_{i}(x)+K_{i}=K_{i-1}-\left(K_{i-1}-K_{i}\right)\left(1-V_{i}(x)\right) \\
& =K_{i-1}-\frac{I_{i}\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{p_{i}^{\prime}-1}}{N_{i}^{P_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}}\left(1-\frac{1}{I_{i}} \int_{\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|}}^{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} f_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma\right) \\
& =K_{i-1}-N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}}\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}} \int_{0}^{\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|} f_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 5. For the symmetrized problem, it follows from Theorem 5 that (3.9) becomes an equality. Actually for $x \in \bar{A}_{i}, s=\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ belongs to $\left[0,\left|\Omega_{i}\right|\right]$ and $U_{i_{*}}(s)=U_{i}(x)$.

To summarize, the following theorem says that the inequalities in Theorem 4 are all isoperimetric.

Theorem 6. (isoperimetric inequalities).
a) $c_{p} \geq \tilde{c}_{p}$,
b) $\left(c_{p}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(k_{i-1}-u_{i_{*}}\left(\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right) \leq\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(K_{i-1}-U_{i}(x)\right)$ for $x \in \bar{A}_{i}$,
c) $\left(c_{p}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(k_{i-1}-k_{i}\right) \leq\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(K_{i-1}-K_{i}\right)$.

Proof. a) is already proved (see Theorem 4 and (1) in Theorem 5).
b) Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $x \in \bar{A}_{i}$ and $s=\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|$, we have by (3.9) of Theorem 4 and (3) of Theorem 5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(c_{p}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(k_{i-1}-u_{i_{*}}(s)\right) & \leq N_{i}^{-p_{i}^{\prime}} \alpha_{i}^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{i}}} \int_{0}^{\alpha_{i} \phi_{i}^{0}(x)^{N_{i}}-\left|\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right|} f_{i}(\sigma) d \sigma \\
& =\left(\tilde{c}_{p}\right)^{-\frac{p_{i}^{\prime}}{p_{i}}}\left(K_{i-1}-U_{i}(x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, (c) is a particular case of (b) with $x \in \tilde{\gamma}_{i}$.
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