

## ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS AND BMO-FUNCTIONS

CARLO SBORDONE

*Dedicated to the memory of Filippo Chiarenza*

### 1. Introduction.

The main object of the present work is the linear operator

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{A}u = \operatorname{div} (A(x)\nabla u)$$

where  $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a measurable function in open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with values in the space of all  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices, satisfying the usual ellipticity condition at almost every point of the domain  $\Omega$

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{|\xi|^2}{K(x)} \leq \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq K(x)|\xi|^2.$$

In Section 2 we treat the case

$$K(x) \equiv K$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad A(x) \in VMO(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$$

---

This work has been performed as a part of a National Research Project supported by MURST (40%).

and report briefly on some results obtained in [12].

In Section 3 we assume only

$$(1.4) \quad K(x) \in BMO(\Omega)$$

and we describe some recent results contained in joint papers with T. Iwaniec (see [13], [14]).

Recall that (1.4) means

$$\|K\|_{BMO} = \sup \left\{ \int_Q |K(x) - K_Q| dx, Q \text{ cube, } Q \subset \Omega \right\} < \infty$$

and that  $VMO$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty$  in the BMO norm.

## 2. The coefficient matrix in VMO.

The domain of the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  will be the Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , which is the completion of  $C_0^\infty$  with respect to the norm

$$|u|_p = \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}.$$

For some unbounded regions, such as  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ , functions from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  which differ by a constant are indistinguishable. We use the notation  $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for the space  $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In the present section we assume that the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is uniformly elliptic, that is

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{|\xi|^2}{K} \leq \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq K|\xi|^2$$

for a certain constant  $K \geq 1$ , for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  and all vectors  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Thus

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{A} : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p}(\Omega)$$

and the problem whether or not this operator has an inverse is of interest for us.

In other words, given  $f \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , does the differential equation

$$(2.3) \quad \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u) = \operatorname{div} f$$

have a unique solution  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ?

This is obviously true for  $p = 2$  with uniform bound

$$|u|_2 \leq K \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

When  $p$  is “close” to 2, the same is true if we require some regularity on the domain  $\Omega$ . According to Meyers [16], there is infact an  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, K) \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad |u|_p \leq c_p(n, K, \Omega) \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for  $2 - \varepsilon \leq p \leq 2 + \varepsilon$ . Similar results concerning nonlinear variational equations such as

$$(2.5) \quad \operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) = \operatorname{div} F$$

where  $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  verifies the Leray-Lions usual assumptions, have been recently establish in [11].

We want to emphasize that, without further assumptions on the coefficients, inequality (2.4) fails if  $p$  is too far from 2 (see [12] e.g.).

As far as we are aware the fact that continuity of  $A(x)$  is sufficient to obtain (2.4) for any  $1 < p < \infty$  goes back to Simader [19].

Let us now recall that  $VMO$  is the space of functions with vanishing mean oscillation introduced by Sarason. The idea to relax continuity of  $A$  into the assumption  $A \in VMO(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  is due to [6] and can be traced back to the important work of Filippo with M. Frasca and P. Longo [4], [5] dedicated to  $W_0^{2,p}$  estimates for nondivergence elliptic equations with  $VMO$  coefficients.

In [12] similar results based on estimates for the Riesz transforms, were established for the equation (2.3) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Other related papers are [7], [8], [9], [15], [1], [2].

Let us mention a recent result concerning nonlinear equations in divergence form

$$(2.6) \quad \operatorname{div} \left( \langle A(x) \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle^{\frac{p-2}{p}} A(x) \nabla u \right) = 0$$

where  $A(x)$  is a symmetric matrix verifying (2.2), due to Greco-Verde [10].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume  $A \in VMO(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ . For any  $1 < r_1 < 2$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, if  $|p - 2| < \delta$  and  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r_1}(\Omega)$  satisfies (2.6), then  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r_2}(\Omega)$  for all exponents  $1 < r_2 < \infty$ .*

### 3. The coefficient matrix with upper bound in BMO.

In the following we wish to illustrate some recent theorems obtained with T. Iwaniec for equation (2.3) under the non-uniform ellipticity condition

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{|\xi|^2}{K(x)} \leq \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq K(x)|\xi|^2.$$

The point is that the function  $K(x) \geq 1$  need not to be bounded. Our basic assumption will be

$$(3.2) \quad \|K\|_{BMO} \leq \lambda(n)$$

for  $\lambda(n)$  sufficiently small.

In particular, since the BMO-norm of a constant function  $K(x) \equiv K$  is zero, we are treating a natural extension of the classical case. One of the central results is the “higher” integrability of gradients.

We cannot expect the same sort of results as for the classical theory  $K(x) \equiv K$ , i.e.  $\nabla u \in L_{loc}^{2+\varepsilon}$ . We must content ourselves with only a very slight degree of improved integrability. We have the following

**Theorem 3.1.** *If (3.1), (3.2) hold with  $\lambda(n)$  sufficiently small and  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega)$  is a solution to the equation*

$$\operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u) = 0,$$

*if the gradient  $\nabla u$  is of class  $L^2 \log^{-1} L$ , then it belongs to  $L^2 \log L$  at least locally.*

It is worthwhile noting that we are also relaxing the usual requirement for the ratio of the upper and the lower bounds at (3.1) to be uniformly bounded (quasi-isotropic case). Thus, the right spaces in genuine nonisotropic situation are the Orlicz-Sobolev classes  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,1}$  such that  $\nabla u \in L^2 \log^\alpha L_{loc}$ . Examples show that one cannot go far beyond these classes and in particular that  $\nabla u \notin L_{loc}^{2+\varepsilon}$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  even though the BMO-norm of  $K(x)$  can be chosen arbitrarily small.

For our purpose it will be useful to review the  $L^p$  theory of Hodge decomposition in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In this case explicit calculations are possible by means of the Riesz transform

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{R} : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}f(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(x-y)f(y)}{|x-y|^{n+1}} dy.$$

Given a vector field  $F = (f^1, \dots, f^n) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we first solve the Poisson equation

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta U = (\Delta u^1, \dots, \Delta u^n) = F$$

for  $U = (u^1, \dots, u^n) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , which yields the following decomposition of  $F$

$$F = B + E, \quad \operatorname{div} B = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{curl} E = 0$$

where

$$B = \Delta U - \nabla \operatorname{div} U \quad \text{and} \quad E = \nabla \operatorname{div} U.$$

Then we define a  $n$ -dimensional version  $S$  of the Hilbert transform by

$$S(F) = E - B.$$

Thus  $S$  acts as identity on gradient fields and as minus identity on divergence free vector fields. In terms of the projection operators one can write

$$-S = B - E = I + 2\mathbf{R} \otimes \mathbf{R}.$$

Let us list basic properties of the operator  $S$ :

- i)  $S$  is an involution, that is  $S \circ S = I$
- ii)  $S$  is self adjoint, that is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle SF, G \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle F, SG \rangle$$

for  $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $G \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $p + q = pq$ . Thus, in particular

- iii)  $S$  is an isometry in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

By a duality argument it can be proved that  $\|S\|_q = \|S\|_p$ .

A device for the integral estimates for the solutions of PDE's is the Beltrami operator

$$I - \mu S : L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

where  $\Phi$  is a suitable Orlicz function and the matrix  $\mu$  satisfies

$$|\mu(x)| \leq \frac{K(x) - 1}{K(x) + 1}.$$

In fact, one way to express the Dirichlet problem

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} A(x) \nabla u = \operatorname{div} A(x) f \\ u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

where  $A(x)$  is a symmetric matrix with measurable coefficients satisfying the ellipticity bounds

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{|\xi|^2}{K(x)} \leq \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq K(x)|\xi|^2$$

under the assumption (3.2), is the following.

By making the positions:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \nabla u, \\ B &= A(x)(\nabla u - f), \quad \operatorname{div} B = 0, \\ F^- &= \frac{E - B}{2}, \quad F^+ = \frac{E + B}{2} \end{aligned}$$

we see that the equation (3.5) can be rewritten as

$$F^- = \mu(x)F^+ + g$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) &= \frac{I - A(x)}{I + A(x)}, \\ g(x) &= \left[ \frac{-A(x)}{I + A(x)} \right] f(x), \quad |g| \leq |f| \end{aligned}$$

and the solvability of (3.5) relies upon the invertibility of

$$I - \mu S.$$

It is obvious that  $I - \mu S$  is invertible in all Lebesgue spaces  $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  for which  $\|\mu\|_\infty \|S\|_r < 1$ . Less obvious is the following (see [14])

**Theorem 3.2.** *If (3.2) holds, then for  $\alpha \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  there exists a bounded linear operator*

$$\Pi : L^2 \log^\alpha L(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega) \rightarrow L^2 \log^\alpha L(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$$

such that

$$\Pi \circ (I - \mu S) = (I - \mu S) \circ \Pi = I$$

where  $d\omega = K^2(x)dx$ .

*Proof.* The proof requires some steps.

*First Step.* The Hilbert transform

$$S : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)$$

is bounded:

$$\begin{aligned} \|SF\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)} &= \|KSF\|_2 \leq \|S(KF)\|_2 + \|(KS - SK)F\|_2 \leq \\ &\leq \|KF\|_2 + c(n)\|K\|_{BMO}\|F\|_2 \leq c(n)\|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used a well known estimate for the commutator  $KS - SK$  due to Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss.

*Second Step.* We obtain the estimate:

$$(3.7) \quad \|\Pi g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)} \leq 2\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)}.$$

The proof is based on the following pointwise inequality

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{|SF|^2 + |F|^2}{1 + \varepsilon K} \leq \frac{2K}{1 + \varepsilon K} (|SF|^2 - |F|^2) + 4K^2|(I - \mu S)F|^2$$

which can be proved rather easily (see [14]).

Note that  $k = \frac{K}{1 + \varepsilon K}$  is bounded and its BMO-norm does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\|k\|_{BMO} \leq 2\|K\|_{BMO} \leq 2\lambda(n).$$

The existence of the operator  $\Pi$  follows from the estimate

$$(3.9) \quad \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)} \leq 2\|(I - \mu S)F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)}$$

for  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ , which derives by integrating (3.8). Namely if we introduce  $SF = S(E - B) = E + B$  and note that  $|SF|^2 - |F|^2 = 4\langle B, E \rangle$ , we have

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|E|^2 + |B|^2}{1 + \varepsilon K} \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle kB, E \rangle + 2\|F - \mu SF\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)}^2$$

we then apply Hodge decomposition of the vector field  $kB \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$

$$kB = B' + E'$$

and so, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle kB, E \rangle \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle E', E \rangle \right| \leq \|E'\|_2 \|E\|_2.$$

Using again Coifman-Rochberg-Weiss theorem we obtain

$$\|E'\|_2 \leq c(n) \|k\|_{BMO} \|B\|_2 \leq \lambda(n) c(n) \|B\|_2$$

and therefore (3.10) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|E|^2 + |B|^2}{1 + \varepsilon K} \leq 4\lambda(n)c(n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|E|^2 + |B|^2) + 2\|F - \mu SF\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)}^2.$$

By monotone convergence theorem and choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough we deduce

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F|^2 \leq 2\|F - \mu SF\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)}^2$$

and the second step is achieved, after an approximation argument of  $\mu$  based on the sequence of bounded matrices

$$\mu_h(x) = \begin{cases} \mu(x) & \text{if } |\mu(x)| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{h} \\ \frac{(h-1)\mu(x)}{h|\mu(x)|} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which satisfies

$$|\mu_h(x)| \leq \frac{K(x) - 1}{K(x) + 1}$$

and the operator  $I - \mu_h S$  is invertible in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ .

*Third Step.* The operator  $\Pi$ , originally defined in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)$  with values in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$  extends to a continuous operator

$$\Pi : L^2 \log L(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega) \rightarrow L^2 \log L(\mathbb{R}^n, dx).$$

A crucial role is played by inequality

$$(3.11) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k \langle B, E \rangle \right| \leq c(n) \|k\|_{BMO} \|B\|_{L^2 \log L} \|E\|_{L^2 \log^{-1} L}$$



for  $\operatorname{div} B = 0$  and  $\operatorname{curl} E = 0$ , which can be proved much the same way as the corresponding inequality in the second step, plus a suitable tool for establishing boundedness of singular integrals, maximal operators and some commutators in  $L^p \log^\alpha L$  spaces, see [11]–[14].

Another useful fact is that the norms

$$\|g\|_{L^2 \log L(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)}, \|Kg\|_{L^2 \log L(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)}$$

are comparable.

The above mentioned extension of the operator  $\Pi$  is established by mean of the inequality

$$\|F\|_{L^2 \log L(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)} \leq c(n) \|K(I - \mu S)F\|_{L^2 \log L(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)} + c(n) [K] \|F\|_2$$

where

$$[K] = \|K_0\|_\infty + \varepsilon(n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ e^{\frac{K-K_0}{\varepsilon(n)}} - 1 \right]$$

and  $K_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is such that  $1 \leq K_0(x) \leq K(x)$  and  $e^{\frac{K-K_0}{\varepsilon(n)}} - 1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . But this is a rather technical step that we don't pursue here (see [14]).

*Fourth Step.* The operator  $\Pi$  satisfies also

$$(3.12) \quad \|\Pi g\|_{L^2 \log^{-1} L(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)} \leq c(n) [K] \|g\|_{L^2 \log^{-1} L(\mathbb{R}^n, d\omega)}.$$

The verification of (3.12) is another technical piece of work that the interested reader will find in paper [14].  $\square$

By the previous Theorem 3.2 it is possible to obtain local estimates from which Theorem 3.1 follows.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Carozza - G. MoscarIELLO - A. Passarelli di Napoli, *Linear elliptic equations with BMO coefficients*, to appear on Rend. Lincei (1999).
- [2] M. Carozza - G. MoscarIELLO - A. Passarelli di Napoli, *Nonlinear elliptic equations with growth coefficients in BMO*, to appear on Houston J. of Math. (1999).
- [3] F. Chiarenza,  *$L^p$  regularity for systems of PDE's with coefficients in VMO*, Nonlinear Analysis, Function spaces and Applications, 5, Prague, 1994.
- [4] F. Chiarenza - M. Frasca - P. Longo, *Interior  $W^{2,p}$  estimates for non divergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Ric. di Matematica, 40 (I) (1991), pp. 49–168.
- [5] F. Chiarenza - M. Frasca - P. Longo,  *$W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for non divergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Trans. AMS, 336 (1993), pp. 841–853.
- [6] G. Di Fazio,  *$L^p$  estimates for divergence form elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Boll. Un. Mat. It., 7 (1996), pp. 409–420.
- [7] G. Di Fazio - D.K. Palagachev, *Oblique derivative problem for elliptic equations in non divergence form with VMO coefficients*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 37 (1996), pp. 537–556.
- [8] G. Di Fazio - D.K. Palagachev, *Oblique derivative problem for quasilinear elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc., 53 (1996), pp. 501–513.
- [9] G. Di Fazio - D.K. Palagachev - M.A. Ragusa, *Global Morrey regularity of strong solutions to Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, J. Funct. Anal., 166 (1999), pp. 179–196.
- [10] L. Greco - A. Verde, *A regularity property of  $p$ -harmonic functions*, Ann. Acc. Scient. Fennicae (to appear).
- [11] T. Iwaniec - C. Sbordone, *Weak minima of variational integrals*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 454 (1994), pp. 43–161.
- [12] T. Iwaniec - C. Sbordone, *Riesz transform and elliptic PDE's with VMO coefficients*, J. d' Analyse Math., 74 (1998), pp. 183–212.
- [13] T. Iwaniec - C. Sbordone, *Div-curl fields of finite distortion*, Comptes Rendus Ac. Sci. Paris, (I) 327 (1998), pp. 729–734.
- [14] T. Iwaniec - C. Sbordone, *Quasi harmonic fields*, Preprint Dip. Mat. e Appl. "R. Caccioppoli" (1999).
- [15] A. Maugeri - D.K. Palagachev, *Boundary value problem with an oblique derivative for uniformly elliptic operators with discontinuous coefficients*, Forum Math., 10 (1998), pp. 393–405.
- [16] N. Meyers, *An  $L^p$  estimate for the gradient of solutions of second order elliptic divergence equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 17 (1963), pp. 189–206.
- [17] D.K. Palagachev, *Quasilinear elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Trans. AMS, 347 (1995), pp. 2481–2493.

- [18] D.K. Palagachev - M.A. Ragusa, *Morrey regularity of solutions to regular oblique derivative problem for elliptic operator with VMO coefficients*, (to appear).
- [19] C.G. Simader, *On Dirichlet boundary value problem*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, 1972.
- [20] B. Stroffolini, *Elliptic systems of PDE with BMO coefficients*, Preprint (1998).

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R. Caccioppoli",  
Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II",  
Complesso Monte S. Angelo - Via Cintia,  
80126 Napoli (ITALY)*