# SEQUENTIAL ORDERS OF ADJUNCTION SPACES 

## JI-CHENG HOU

Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint spaces, $M$ be a closed subset of $X$, and $f: M \longrightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. In the direct sum $X \oplus Y$ of $X$ and $Y$, define an equivalence relation $\sim$ by $a \sim f(a)$ for each $a \in M$. The quotient space $X \oplus Y / \sim$, is denoted by $X \cup_{f} Y$, usually called the adjunction space determined by $X, Y$ and $f$. In this paper we prove that for two sequential spaces $X$ and $Y$, $\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq \operatorname{so}(X)+\operatorname{so}(Y)$ and, if $\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right)>\max \{\operatorname{so}(X), \operatorname{so}(Y)\}$ and $\operatorname{so}(X) \leq \omega$, then there exists a special map $p: S_{2} \hookrightarrow X \cup_{f} Y$, where $s o(X)$ denotes the sequential order of $X$ and $S_{2}$ is the Arens' space. We also give an answer for a question of Kannan [4].

## 1. Introduction.

In [1], Arhangel'skii and Franklin constructed sequential spaces of its sequential order $\alpha$ for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq \omega_{1}$. It was done by attaching a sequential space to a sequential space by a continuous map. In Section 2, we give the relations between sequential orders of attaching space and original spaces. In Section 4, we answer a question of Kannan in [4].

Definition. Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint spaces, $M$ be a closed subset of $X$, and $f: M \longrightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. In the direct sum $X \oplus Y$ of $X$ and $Y$,
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define an equivalence relation $\sim$ as follows: if $f(a)=f(b)$ then $a, b, f(b)$ are equivalent. The quotient space $X \oplus Y / \sim$, is denoted by $X \cup_{f} Y$, usually called the adjunction space determined by $X, Y$ and $f$. If $a \in X \backslash M$, we denote by $a$ the equivalent class of $a$ when confusion does not occur. It is well-known that if $X$ and $Y$ are paracompact(normal), then $X \cup_{f} Y$ is also paracompact(normal). Nevertheless, a simple example shows that the Hausdorffness of $X$ and $Y$ does not imply that $X \cup_{f} Y$ is Hausdorff.

This indicates that the topological property what both of $X$ and $Y$ have, may not be transformed in $X \cup_{f} Y$.

Throughout this paper, we use $q$ to denote the naturally quotient map from $X \oplus Y$ to $X \cup_{f} Y$, and $N$ to denote the set of natural numbers. As a topological space, $N$ has the discrete topology.

For a subset $A$ of a topological space $X$, we denote by $\bar{A}^{X}$ (resp. $[A]_{X}^{\text {Seq }}$ ) the closure (resp. sequential closure, i.e., the set of limits of convergent sequences consisting of points of $A$ ) of $A$ in $X$. We shall write $\bar{A}$ (resp. [A] ${ }^{\text {Seq }}$ ) for $\bar{A}^{X}$ (resp. $[A]_{X}^{S e q}$ ) when confusion does not occur. A space $X$ is sequential if, whenever $A \subseteq X$ and $A$ is not closed, there is a sequence from $A$ converging to a point outside the set $A$, and $X$ is Fréchet if, whenever $x \in \bar{A}$, there is a sequence from $A$ converging to $x$.

Let $A$ be a subset of a space $X$.
We define $[A]_{\alpha}^{X}$ by induction on $\alpha \in \omega_{1}+1$ as follows: $[A]_{0}^{X}=A$, $[A]_{\alpha+1}^{X}=\left[[A]_{\alpha}^{X}\right]_{X}^{S e q}$ and $[A]_{\alpha}^{X}=\cup\left\{[A]_{\beta}^{X}: \beta<\alpha\right\}$ for a limit $\alpha$. We shall write $[A]_{\alpha}$ for $[A]_{\alpha}^{X}$ when confusion does not occur. One can easily see that $[A]_{\omega_{1}+1}=[A]_{\omega_{1}}$, and that a space is sequential if and only if $\bar{A}=[A]_{\omega_{1}}$ for all subsets $A$ of $X$. For a sequential space $X$ we define $\operatorname{so}(X)$, the sequential order, by $\operatorname{so}(X)=\min \left\{\alpha \in \omega_{1}+1: \bar{A}=[A]_{\alpha}\right.$ for every $\left.A \subseteq X\right\}$. Obviously, if $X$ is a Fréchet space, then $\operatorname{so}(X) \leq 1$.

It is straightforward that if $X$ and $Y$ are both sequential spaces, then so is $X \cup_{f} Y$. Nevertheless, for two Fréchet spaces $X$ and $Y, X \cup_{f} Y$ need not be Fréchet, but, as is shown in the sequel, $\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq 2$.

## 2. Main results.

We first recall a well-known fact about the space $X \cup_{f} Y$ (cf. Theorem 6.3 of [3]) which is frequently used in the sequel.

Theorem 2.0 ([2]). Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint spaces. Then:
(1) $Y$ is embedded as a closed set in $X \cup_{f} Y$, and the restriction of $q$ to $Y$ is a homeomorphism.
(2) $X \backslash A$ is embedded as open set in $X \cup_{f} Y$, and the restriction of $q$ to $X \backslash A$ is a homeomorphism.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint sequential spaces. Then

$$
\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq \operatorname{so}(X)+\operatorname{so}(Y) .
$$

Corollary 2.2. Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint Fréchet spaces. Then

$$
\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq 2 .
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint sequential spaces, $M$ a closed subset of $X, f: M \longrightarrow Y$ a continuous mapping. If $f\left(\bar{A}^{X} \cap M\right)$ is closed in $Y$ for every $A \subseteq X \backslash M$, then

$$
\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq \max \{s o(X), \operatorname{so}(Y)\}
$$

Corollary 2.4. Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint sequential spaces and let $M$ be a closed subset of $X$. If $M$ is countably compact, then $\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq \max \{\operatorname{so}(X)$, so $(Y)\}$.

Proof. From the countable compactness of $A$ and sequentiality of $Y$, it follows that $f$ is closed. According to Theorem 2.3, $\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq \max \{s o(X), \operatorname{so}(Y)\}$.

Corollary 2.5. Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint Fréchet spaces, If $X$ is countably compact, then $X \cup_{f} Y$ is also Fréchet.

Remark. Obviously, the converses of Theorem 2.3, Corollary 2.4 and 2.5 need not be true.

Theorem 2.6. Let $X$ be a Hausdorff Fréchet space, $Y$ be a Fréchet $T_{1}$-space, $M$ be a closed subset of $X$ and let $f: M \longrightarrow Y$ be continuous. Then, $X \cup_{f} Y$ is Fréchet if and only if $f(\bar{A} \cap M)$ is closed in $Y$ for every $A \subseteq X \backslash M$.

As we have showed above the sequential order of $X \cup_{f} Y$ is suppressed by the sum of $\operatorname{so(X)}$ and $s o(Y)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.3, if $f$ is closed, then $\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq \max \{s o(X), s o(Y)\}$. Therefore it is natural to ask when is $X \cup_{f} Y$ really large than both of $\operatorname{so}(X)$ and $s o(Y)$. The following theorem give a necessary condition for the question when $\operatorname{so}(X) \leq \omega$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint Hausdorff sequential spaces, $M$ be a closed subset of $X, f: M \longrightarrow Y$ be a continuous mapping and let $\operatorname{so}(X) \leq \omega$. If

$$
\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right)>\max \{\operatorname{so}(X), \operatorname{so}(Y)\},
$$

then there exists an embedding map $p: S_{2} \hookrightarrow X \cup_{f} Y$ such that

$$
\left\{p\left(t_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq q(M)
$$

and

$$
\left\{p\left(t_{n m}\right): n, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq X \backslash M
$$

Recall the definition of $S_{2}$ (see also example 1.6 .19 of [3]).
Let $T=\left\{t_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a sequence converging to $t_{0} \notin T$. Then $S_{2}$ is the space obtained by attaching the space $N \times\left\{t_{n}: n \in \omega\right\}$ to the space $T \cup\left\{t_{0}\right\}$ by the continuous map $f:\left\{\left(n, t_{0}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \longrightarrow\left\{t_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ defined by $f\left(\left(n, t_{0}\right)\right)=t_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For convenience, we write $t_{n m}$ for $\left(n, t_{m}\right)$.

Corollary 2.8. Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint Hausdorff Fréchet spaces, $M \subseteq X$ a closed subset and $f: M \longrightarrow Y$ a continuous map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right)=2$;
(2) there exists an embedding map $p: S_{2} \hookrightarrow X \cup_{f} Y$ such that

$$
\left\{p\left(t_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq q(M)
$$

and

$$
\left\{p\left(t_{n m}\right): n, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq X \backslash M
$$

Question. (a) Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint sequential spaces. Then, does

$$
\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq \operatorname{so}(Y)+\operatorname{so}(X)
$$

hold?
(b) In Theorem 2.7, whether the condition of $s o(X) \leq \omega$ can be removed?

## 3. The proofs of theorems.

Lemma 3.1. Let $X, Y$ be two topological spaces. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be $a$ continuous map. Then, for any $A \subseteq X$ and ordinal number $\alpha$,

$$
f\left([A]_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq[f(A)]_{\alpha} .
$$

Proof. We show Lemma 3.1 by induction.
Suppose that $f\left([A]_{\beta}\right) \subseteq[f(A)]_{\beta}$ for all $\beta<\alpha$.
If $\alpha$ is a limit, then

$$
f\left([A]_{\alpha}\right)=\cup_{\beta<\alpha} f\left([A]_{\beta}\right) \subseteq \cup_{\beta<\alpha}[f(A)]_{\beta}=[f(A)]_{\alpha} .
$$

If $\alpha$ is not a limit, then $\alpha=\beta+1$ for some $\beta<\alpha$. Fix $y \in f\left([A]_{\beta+1}\right)$. Then $y=f(x)$ for some $x \in[A]_{\beta+1}$. Thus there is a sequence $\left\{x_{i}: i<\omega\right\}$ in $[A]_{\beta}$ such that $x_{i} \longrightarrow x$ as $i \longrightarrow \infty$. Since $f$ is continuous, $f\left(x_{i}\right) \longrightarrow f(x)$ as $i \longrightarrow \infty$. By the supposition, we have $\left\{f\left(x_{i}\right): i<\omega\right\} \subseteq[f(A)]_{\beta}$. Therefore $f(x) \in[f(A)]_{\beta+1}$ which completes the proof.
Lemma 3.2. Let $X, Y$ be two disjoint topological spaces. Also let $A \subseteq X \backslash M$. If $z=q(y) \in \bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$ for some $y \in Y$, then, $y \in{\overline{f\left(\bar{A}^{X} \cap M\right)}}^{Y}$.
Proof. Let $V$ be a neighbourhood open in $Y$ of $y$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that $f^{-1}(V) \cap \bar{A}^{X} \neq \emptyset$. It is obvious that $V \cap f(M) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $f^{-1}(V) \cap \bar{A}^{X}=\emptyset$. Then there is an open subset $U^{\prime}$ of $X$ containing $f^{-1}(V)$ such that $U^{\prime} \cap A=\emptyset$.

On the other hand, since $f$ is continuous, there is an open subset $U^{\prime \prime}$ of $X$ such that $f^{-1}(V)=U^{\prime \prime} \cap M$. Let $U=U^{\prime} \cap U^{\prime \prime}$ and $W=q(U \cup V)$. It is easy to see that $W \cap A=\emptyset$. If we can show that $W$ is an open neighbourhood of $z$ in $X \cup_{f} Y$, then this completes the proof of Lemma 3.2, because it contradicts $z \in \bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$. Obviously, $z \in W$. Notice that if $x \in M \backslash U$ and $q(x) \in W$, then $f(x) \in V$. In fact, there is $w \in U \cup V$ such that $q(w)=q(x)$. If $w \in U$, then $w \in M$. Since $f^{-1}(V)=M \cap U$, it follows that $w \in f^{-1}(V)$. So $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. Hence, $q^{-1}(W)=U \cup V$.
The proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\operatorname{so}(X)=\alpha$ and $s o(Y)=\beta$. We will show that $\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right) \leq \alpha+\beta$. Let $k=\left.q\right|_{Y}$ and $j=\left.q\right|_{X}$ be the restrictions of $q$ to $X$ and $Y$ respectively. Now let us fix $A \subseteq X \cup_{f} Y$ and $z \in \bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$. It is easy to see that $z \in \overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cup \overline{A \cap k(Y)}{ }^{X} \cup_{f} Y$. Now we prove that $z \in[A]_{\alpha+\beta}$.

Case 1. $z \in \overline{A \cap k(Y)}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$.
By Theorem 2.0, $k(Y)$ is a closed subset of $X \cup_{f} Y$. Therefore, $z \in$ $\overline{A \cap k(Y)}^{k(Y)}$. By the facts that $k(Y)$ and $Y$ are homeomorphic (Theorem 2.0) and that $s o(Y)=\beta$, one has

$$
z \in[A \cap k(Y)]_{\beta}^{k(Y)} \subseteq[A]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \subseteq[A]_{\alpha+\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} .
$$

Case 2. $z \in \overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$.
If $z \in X \backslash M$, then $z \in \overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X \backslash M}$ because $X \backslash M$ is embedded in $X \cup_{f} Y$ as an open subspace, and so $z \in \overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X}$. Since $s o(X)=\alpha$, we have $z \in[A \cap(X \backslash M)]_{\alpha}$, and so, by Lemma 3.1,

$$
z \in[A \cap(X \backslash M)]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \subseteq[A]_{\alpha+\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} .
$$

If $z \notin X \backslash M$, then $z=k(y)$ for some $y \in Y$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
z=q(y) & \in q\left({\left.\overline{f\left(\overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X} \cap M\right)}{ }^{Y}\right) \text { (by Lemma 3.2) }}=q\left(\left[f\left(\overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X} \cap M\right)\right]_{\beta}^{Y}\right)\right. \\
& \subseteq\left[q\left(f\left(\overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X} \cap M\right)\right)\right]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \text { (by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& =\left[q\left(\overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X} \cap M\right)\right]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \text { (by the definition of } q \text { ) } \\
& \subseteq\left[q\left(\overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X}\right)\right]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \\
& =\left[q\left([A \cap(X \backslash M)]_{\alpha}^{X}\right)\right]_{\beta}^{X U_{f} Y} \\
& \subseteq\left[[q(A \cap(X \backslash M))]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y}\right]_{\beta}^{X U_{f} Y} \text { (by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& =\left[[A \cap(X \backslash M)]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y}\right]_{\beta}^{X \cup U_{f} Y} \\
& =[A \cap(X \backslash M)]_{\alpha+\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \\
& \subseteq[A]_{\alpha+\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y .}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two topological spaces, $M$ be a closed subset of $X$ and let $f: M \longrightarrow Y$ be continuous. Also, let $A \subseteq X \backslash M$ be such that $f(\bar{A} \cap M)$ is closed in $Y$. Then, $q\left(\bar{A}^{X}\right)=\bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$.

Proof. Let $z \in \bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$. If $z \in X \backslash M$, then by Theorem 2.0, $z \in \bar{A}^{X \backslash M} \subseteq \bar{A}^{X}$. Thus $z=q(z) \in q\left(\bar{A}^{X}\right)$. If $z=q(y) \in \bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y)$ where $y \in Y$, by Lemma 3.2, $y \in \overline{f(\bar{A} \cap M)}^{\prime}$. Since $f(\bar{A} \cap M)$ is closed in $Y$, there exists $x \in \bar{A} \cap M$ such that $f(x)=y$, hence $z \in q\left(\bar{A}^{X}\right)$.

The proof of Theorem 2.3. Take $B \subseteq X \cup_{f} Y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[B]_{s o\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} } & =\bar{B}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \\
& =\overline{B \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cup \overline{B \cap q(Y)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \\
& =q\left(\overline{B \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X}\right) \cup \overline{B \cap q(Y)}^{q(Y)}(\text { by Lemma 3.3) } \\
& =q\left([B \cap(X \backslash M)]_{s o(X)}^{X}\right) \cup[B \cap q(Y)]_{s o(Y)}^{q(Y)} \\
& \subseteq[B \cap(X \backslash M)]_{s o f(X)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cup[B \cap q(Y)]_{s o(Y)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \text { (by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& \subseteq[B]_{s o(X)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cup[B]_{s o(Y)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \\
& =[B]_{\max \{s o(X), s o(Y)\}}^{X \cup_{f} Y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $X$ be a Hausdorff space, $Y$ be a $T_{1}$-space, $M$ be a closed subset of $X$ and let $f: M \longrightarrow Y$ is continuous. Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq$ $X \backslash M$ is a sequence which is convergent in $X \cap_{f} Y$ to a point $q(y)$ where $y \in Y$. Then there is $x \in{\overline{\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}}}^{X} \cap M$ such that $y=f(x)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is not a closed subset of $X$. Therefore, in particular, $\left[\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right]_{1}^{X} \backslash\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \neq \emptyset$, because $X$ is sequential. As $X$ is $T_{1}$, there exists a point $x \in\left[\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right]_{1}^{X} \backslash\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and a subsequence $\left\{x_{k_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ such that $x_{k_{n}} \longrightarrow x$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Since $X$ is Hausdorff, we have ${\overline{\left\{x_{k_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}}}^{X}=\left\{x_{k_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup\{x\}$. Note now that $q(y) \in$ ${\overline{\left\{x_{k_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}}}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2, $y \in{\overline{f\left({\overline{\left(x_{k_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}}}^{X} \cap M\right)}}^{Y}=$ $\overline{\{f(x)\}}^{Y}$. Since $Y$ is $T_{1}$, it follows that $y=f(x)$.

The proof of Theorem 2.6. By Theorem 2.3, we only need to show the necessary.

Let $A \subseteq X \backslash M$. Suppose $\bar{A}^{X} \cap M \neq \emptyset$, and let $y \in{\overline{f\left(\bar{A}^{X} \cap M\right)}}^{Y}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(y) & \in{\overline{q\left(f\left(\bar{A}^{X} \cap M\right)\right)}}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \\
& \subseteq{\overline{q\left(\bar{A}^{X}\right)}{ }^{X \cup_{f} Y} \quad(\text { by the definition of } q)} \subseteq{\overline{\overline{q(A)}}{ }^{X \cup_{f} Y}}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \quad \text { (by the continuity of } q \text { ) } \\
& =\bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $X \cup_{f} Y$ is Fréchet, there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ from $A$ such that $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is convergent in $X \cup_{f} Y$ to the point $q(y)$. By Lemma 3.4, this implies that $y \in f(\bar{A} \cap M)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $X$ be a Hausdorff space, $Y$ be a $T_{1}$-sequential space, $M$ be a closed subset of $X$ and let $f: M \longrightarrow Y$ is continuous. Also let $A \subseteq X \backslash M$. If

$$
\alpha=\min \left\{\beta:[A]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y) \text { is not closed in } q(Y)\right\},
$$

then $[A]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y) \subseteq q(M)$.
Proof. Take a point $q(y) \in[A]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y)$ where $y \in Y$. Since $y \notin A$, the following ordinal is well-defined:

$$
\beta(y)=\min \left\{\beta: q(y) \in[A]_{\beta+1}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \backslash[A]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y}\right\}
$$

Now, $q(y) \in[A]_{\beta(y)+1}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \backslash[A]_{\beta(y)}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$ implies the existence of a sequence $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ from $[A]_{\beta(y)}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$ which is convergent in $X \cup_{f} Y$ to the point $q(y)$. In the case, the set $\left\{n: x_{n} \notin X \backslash M\right\}$ is finite. Indeed, otherwise we can find a subsequence $\left\{x_{k_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cap q(Y)$ such that $x_{k_{n}} \longrightarrow q(y)$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. However, this will finally imply that $q(y) \in[A]_{\beta(y)}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$ because, by construction, $\beta(y)<\alpha$ and $[A]_{\beta(y)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y)$ is closed. So, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\{x_{n}: n \geq n_{0}\right\} \subseteq X \backslash M$. By Lemma 3.4, it follows that $y \in f(\bar{A} \cap M)$.
The proof of Theorem 2.7. Let $\max \{\operatorname{so}(X), \operatorname{so}(Y)\}=\alpha$. Since $\operatorname{so}\left(X \cup_{f} Y\right)>\alpha$, there exists $A \subseteq X \cup_{f} Y$ such that

$$
\bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \backslash[A]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \neq \emptyset
$$

We pick

$$
z \in \bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \backslash[A]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y}
$$

Since

$$
\bar{A}^{X \cup_{f} Y}=\overline{A \cap q(Y)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cup \overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X \cup_{f} Y}
$$

by Theorem 2.0 and the hypothesis of $\max \{\operatorname{so}(X), \operatorname{so}(Y)\}=\alpha$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=q(y) \in \overline{A \cap(X \backslash M)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \backslash[A \cap(X \backslash M)]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \in Y$. For the covenience, without loss of generality, we may write $A \cap(X \backslash M)=A$.
Claim 1. $[A]_{s o(X)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y)$ is not closed in $q(Y)$.
Since $X \cup_{f} Y$ is sequential, by $(*)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ from $[A]_{s o(X)}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$ converging to a point $z^{\prime}=q(y)$ outside $[A]_{s o(X)}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$ where $y \in Y$. If $\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cap(X \backslash M)$ is infinite, then, by Lemma 3.4, there is a subsequence $\left\{z_{k_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $x \in X$ such that $x \in{\overline{\left\{z_{k_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}}}^{X}$ and $y=f(x)$.

On the other hand, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, z_{k_{n}} \in[A]_{s o(X)}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap(X \backslash M)=[A]_{s o(X)}^{X \backslash M} \subseteq$ $[A]_{s o(X)}^{X}=\bar{A}^{X}$. Therefore, $x \in[A]_{s o(X)}^{X}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, $q(y)=q(x) \in$ $[A]_{s o(X)}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$ which is a contradiction. So, $\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cap q(Y)$ is infinite, this completes the proof of Claim 1.

Now let us define

$$
\beta=\min \left\{\alpha:[A]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y) \text { is not closed in } q(Y)\right\}
$$

By Lemma 3.5, $[A]_{\alpha}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y) \subseteq q(M)$.
On the other hand, since $X \cup_{f} Y$ is sequential, we can choose

$$
z_{0} \in\left[[A]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y)\right]_{1}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \backslash[A]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y)
$$

There is a sequence $\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ from $[A]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y)(\subseteq q(M))$ converging to $z_{0}$. Since $X$ and $Y$ are $T_{1}$, so is $X \cup_{f} Y$. Hence $\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a infinite subset. As $Y$ is Hausdorff, there exists a subsequence $\left\{z_{k_{n}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and a family $\left\{V_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ of pairwise disjoint open subsets of $X \cup_{f} Y$ such that $z_{k_{n}} \in V_{n}$. Let

$$
\beta_{n}=\min \left\{\gamma: z_{k_{n}} \in[A]_{\gamma}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y)\right\}
$$

Obviously, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an ordinal number $\alpha_{n}$ such that $\beta_{n}=\alpha_{n}+1$ and

$$
z_{k_{n}} \in[A]_{\alpha_{n}+1}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \backslash[A]_{\alpha_{n}}^{X \cup_{f} Y} .
$$

Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a sequence $\left\{z_{n m}: j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ from $[A]_{\alpha_{n}}^{X \cup_{f} Y}$ converging to $z_{k_{n}}$. Since $X \cup_{f} Y$ is $T_{1},\left\{z_{n m}: j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is infinite for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the definition of $\beta$ and the fact that $\alpha_{n}<\alpha_{n}+1=\beta_{n} \leq \beta$, it follows that $\left|\left\{z_{n m}: m \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cap q(Y)\right|<\aleph_{0}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For convenience, we still denote by $\left\{z_{n m}: m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, the intersection of $\left\{z_{n m}: m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $X \backslash M$.

Claim 2. No there is a sequence from $\left\{z_{n m}: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converging to $z_{0}$.
In fact, if $\beta=\operatorname{so}(X)$ and if there is a sequence from $\left\{z_{n m}: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converging to $z_{0}$, then, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4, we have $z_{0} \in[A]_{\beta}^{X \cup_{f} Y} \cap q(Y)$ which is a contradiction.

If $\beta<\operatorname{so}(X)$, since $\operatorname{so}(X) \leq \omega$, so $\beta$ is not limit. Rest of the proof of Claim 2 is evident.

Since $X \backslash M$ is embedding in $X \cup_{f} Y$ as an open subset, therefore if we define map

$$
p: S_{2} \longrightarrow X \cup_{f} Y
$$

by $p\left(t_{n}\right)=z_{k_{n}}, p\left(t_{n m}\right)=z_{n m}$ and $p\left(t_{0}\right)=z_{0}$, then it is not difficult to verify that the map $p$ satisfies all of conditions required in the statement.

## 4. Incidental observation.

Definition 4.0. Let $X, Y$ be two topological spaces. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Let $\alpha$ be an ordinal number and $C$ a subset of $Y$. We define $C_{f}^{\alpha}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
C_{f}^{\alpha}=C & \text { if } \quad \alpha=0 \\
C_{f}^{\alpha}=f\left(\overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)}\right) & \text { if } \quad \alpha=\beta+1, \\
C_{f}^{\alpha}=\cup_{\beta<\alpha} C_{f}^{\beta} & \text { if } \quad \text { if } \alpha \text { is a limit ordinal number. }
\end{array}
$$

In [4], Kannan asked that if $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a quotient mapping, $A$ and $B$ are both open subsets of $Y$, and $A \cup B=Y$, then for any $C \subseteq Y$, does

$$
C_{f}^{\alpha}=(A \cap C)_{f_{A}}^{\alpha} \cup(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha}
$$

holds? Where $f_{A}$ and $f_{B}$ are the restrictions of $f$ to $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ respectively. The following theorem completely answers the question above in positive.

Theorem 4.1. If $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a quotient mapping, $A$ and $B$ are both open subsets of $Y$, and $A \cup B=Y$, then for any $C \subseteq Y, C_{f}^{\alpha}=(A \cap C)_{f_{A}}^{\alpha} \cup(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha}$ where $f_{A}$ and $f_{B}$ are the restrictions of $f$ to $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ respectively.

To prepare for the proof of Theorem 4.1, we first introduce a lemma.
Lemma 4.2. If $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a quotient mapping, $B$ is an open subsets of $Y$, then, for any $C \subseteq Y$ and any ordinal number $\alpha,(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha}=B \cap C_{f}^{\alpha}$ where $f_{B}$ means the restriction of $f$ to $f^{-1}(B)$.

Proof. We first show that $(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha} \subseteq B \cap C_{f}^{\alpha}$.
Suppose that $(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta} \subseteq C_{f}^{\beta}$ for all $\beta<\alpha$. If $\alpha=\beta+1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha} & =(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta+1} \\
& =f_{B}\left(\overline{f_{B}^{-1}\left((B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}\right.}{ }^{f^{-1}(B)}\right) \\
& =f\left(f^{-1}(B) \cap \overline{f^{-}\left((B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}\right)}\right) \\
& \subseteq f\left(\overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)}\right) \\
& =C_{f}^{\beta+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha$ is a limit, then $(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha}=\cup_{\beta<\alpha}(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta} \subseteq \cup_{\beta<\alpha} C_{f}^{\beta}=C_{f}^{\alpha}$.
Next, we show that $B \cap C_{f}^{\alpha} \supseteq(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha}$.
Suppose that $B \cap C_{f}^{\beta} \subseteq(B \cap C)_{f}^{\beta}$ for all $\beta<\alpha$. If $\alpha=\beta+1$, we will show that $B \cap C_{f}^{\beta+1} \subseteq(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta+1}$.

If $y \in B \cap C_{f}^{\beta+1} \backslash(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta+1}$, then $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)} \neq \emptyset$ and $f^{-1}(y) \cap{\overline{f_{B}^{-1}\left((B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}\right)}}^{f^{-1}(B)}=\emptyset$. By the soppostion of induction, it follows that $f^{-1}(y) \cap f^{-1}(B) \cap f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\alpha}\right)=\emptyset$. Since $f^{-1}(B)$ is open and $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$, one has $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)}=\emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $B \cap C_{f}^{\beta+1} \subseteq(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta+1}$

If $\alpha$ is a limit, then $B \cap C_{f}^{\alpha}=B \cap\left(\cup_{\beta<\alpha}\right) C_{f}^{\beta}=\cap_{\beta<\alpha}\left(B \cap C_{f}^{\beta}\right) \subseteq$ $\cup_{\beta<\alpha}(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}=(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha}$, which completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

The proof of Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $C_{f}^{\beta}=(A \cap C)_{f_{A}}^{\beta} \cup(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}$ for all
$\beta<\alpha$. If $\alpha=\beta+1$, then $C_{f}^{\alpha}=C_{f}^{\beta+1}=f\left(\overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(C \cap A)_{f_{A}}^{\alpha} & =(C \cap A)_{f_{A}}^{\beta+1} \\
& \left.=f_{A}\left(\overline{\left(f ^ { - 1 } \left((C \cap A)_{f_{A}}^{\beta}\right.\right.}\right)^{f^{-1}(A)}\right) \\
& =f\left(f^{-1}(A) \cap \overline{\left.f^{-1}\left((C \cap A)_{f_{A}}^{\beta}\right)\right) .}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $(C \cap B)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha}=f\left(f^{-1}(B) \cap \overline{f^{-1}\left((C \cap B)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}\right)}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (C \cap A)_{f_{A}}^{\alpha} \cup(C \cap B)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha}=(C \cap A)_{f_{A}}^{\beta+1} \cup(C \cap B)_{f_{B}}^{\beta+1} \\
& =f\left(\left(f^{-1}(A) \cup \overline{f^{-1}(C \cap B)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}}\right) \cap\left(f^{-1}(B) \cup \overline{f^{-1}\left((C \cap A)_{f_{A}}^{\beta}\right)}\right) \cap \overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)}\right) \\
& \subseteq f\left(\overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)}\right)=C_{f}^{\beta+1}=C_{f}^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $y \in C_{f}^{\beta+1}$, then $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)} \neq \emptyset$. Next we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \in(C \cap A)_{f_{A}}^{\beta+1} \cup(C \cap B)_{f_{B}}^{\beta+1} . \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $y \in A \cap B$, then $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)$ and so, by the supposition of induction, (**) follows. If $y \in B \backslash A$, then $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{f^{-1}\left((C \cap B)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}\right)} \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, since $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)} \neq \emptyset$ and $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$, one has $f^{-1}(y) \cap$ $\overline{\overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta}\right)} \cap f^{-1}(B)} \neq \emptyset$. Since $f^{-1}(B)$ is open, $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{f^{-1}\left(C_{f}^{\beta} \cap B\right)} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.2 and the supposition of induction, $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{f^{-1}\left((B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}\right)} \neq \emptyset$, and so $y \in(C \cap B)_{f_{B}}^{\beta+1}$. Similarly, we can show $y \in(C \cap A)_{f_{A}}^{\beta+1}$ in the case of $y \in A \backslash B$.

If $\alpha$ is a limite, then by the supposition of induction, $C_{f}^{\alpha}=\cup_{\beta<\alpha} C_{f}^{\beta}=$ $\cup_{\beta<\alpha}\left((A \cap C)_{f_{A}}^{\beta} \cup(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\beta}\right)=(A \cap C)_{f_{A}}^{\alpha} \cup(B \cap C)_{f_{B}}^{\alpha}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.
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