ALGEBRAIC AND GEOMETRIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF HYPERGROUPOIDS

GIUSEPPE GENTILE

Two concepts of automorphism of a hypergroupoid are introduced; the first one preserve the algebraic structure of a hypergroupoid, the second one preserve the geometric structure that one can associate naturally to a hypergroupoid. The groups of such automorphisms are studied, in particular in the case that the geometric space associated to a hypergroupoid is a Steiner system.

1. Definitions and notations.

Definition 1.1. A hypergroupoid (H, \circ) is a non-empty set H equipped with a hyperoperation \circ , that is an application $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(H)$, where $\mathcal{P}^*(H)$ is the set of non-empty subsets of H. If $x, y \in H$, we will denote by $x \circ y$ the hyperproduct of x and y.

Definition 1.2. A geometric space is a pair (H, \mathcal{B}) , where H is a non-empty set, which elements are called points, and \mathcal{B} is a family of non-empty subsets of H, called blocks.

Entrato in Redazione il 17 dicembre 1997.

¹⁹⁹¹ AMS Subjects Classification: Primary 20N20, Secondary 05C05.

Key words and phrases: Geometric automorphism, Algebraic automorphism, Steiner hypergroupoid, Quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid.

If (H, \circ) is a hypergroupoid, we say that the geometric space (H, \mathcal{B}) is associated to (H, \circ) if and only if the elements of \mathcal{B} are exactly the hyperproducts of two elements of H, that is:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{x \circ y\}_{x, y \in H}.$$

Conversely, if (H, \mathcal{B}) is a geometric space, many hypergroupoids (H, \circ) exist such that the set of all hyperproducts $x \circ y$ is exactly \mathcal{B} ; each one of these hypergroupoids is said to be associated to (H, \mathcal{B}) .

We recall the following

Definition 1.3. An automorphism of the geometric space (H, \mathcal{B}) is a bijective application $\varphi : H \to H$ such that:

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \varphi(B) \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Now we can introduce the following notion

Definition 1.4. Let (H, \circ) be a hypergroupoid. We say that $\varphi : H \to H$ is a geometric automorphism of (H, \circ) if it is an automorphism of the geometric space (H, \mathcal{B}) associated to (H, \circ) , that is:

$$\forall x, y \in H, \quad \exists u, v \in H: \quad \varphi(x \circ y) = \varphi(u) \circ \varphi(v).$$

We will denote by $Aut_G(H, \circ)$ (or simply Aut_GH) the group of all geometric automorphisms of (H, \circ) .

Definition 1.5. An automorphism of a hypergroupoid (H, \circ) is a bijective application $f : H \to H$ such that:

$$\forall x, y \in H, f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ f(y).$$

We will call these automorphisms, algebraic automorphisms of (H, \circ) and we will denote by $Aut_A(H, \circ)$ (or simply Aut_AH) the group of all algebraic automorphisms of (H, \circ) .

Remark 1.1. From the previous definitions it follows immediately that for any hypergroupoid (H, \circ) we have that:

$$Aut_AH \leq Aut_GH$$
,

that is Aut_AH is a subgroup of Aut_GH .

In general Aut_AH is proper a subgroup of Aut_GH in fact we have the following

Example 1.1. Let (H, \circ) be the hypergroupoid defined by:

0	1	1 2	
1	1, 2	1, 3	1, 3
2	1, 2	1, 3	1, 3
3	2, 3	1, 2	1, 2

The geometric space associated to (H, \circ) is (H, \mathcal{B}) , where:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}.$$

Clearly, any permutation on the set H is a geometric automorphism, that is:

$$Aut_GH = S_3;$$

while:

 $\mathcal{A}ut_AH = \{1_H\}.$

In fact we have that:

$$f_{1} \equiv (23) \notin Aut_{A}H, \text{ since } f_{1}(1 \circ 1) = \{1, 3\} \neq \{1, 2\} = f_{1}(1) \circ f_{1}(1);$$

$$f_{2} \equiv (13) \notin Aut_{A}H, \text{ since } f_{2}(1 \circ 1) = \{2, 3\} \neq \{1, 2\} = f_{2}(1) \circ f_{2}(1);$$

$$f_{3} \equiv (12) \notin Aut_{A}H, \text{ since } f_{3}(1 \circ 1) = \{1, 2\} \neq \{1, 3\} = f_{3}(1) \circ f_{3}(1);$$

$$f_{4} \equiv (123) \notin Aut_{A}H, \text{ since } f_{4}(1 \circ 1) = \{2, 3\} \neq \{1, 3\} = f_{4}(1) \circ f_{4}(1);$$

$$f_{5} \equiv (132) \notin Aut_{A}H, \text{ since } f_{5}(1 \circ 1) = \{1, 3\} \neq \{1, 2\} = f_{5}(1) \circ f_{5}(1).$$

Remark 1.2. Let (H, \mathcal{B}) and (H, \mathcal{B}') be two geometric structures such that \mathcal{B}' do not contains singletons and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}' \cup \mathcal{S}$ where \mathcal{S} is the set of all singletons of H. In [3] is proved that (H, \mathcal{B}) and (H, \mathcal{B}') have the same group of automorphisms and so they are geometrically equivalent. If \mathcal{B}' do not contains singletons then we say that (H, \mathcal{B}') is the canonical representation of all structures (H, \mathcal{B}) geometrically equivalent to (H, \mathcal{B}') obtained from (H, \mathcal{B}') by union of singletons.

2. Steiner systems, Steiner hypergroupoids and quasi-Steiner hypergroupoids.

In this section we study the *k*-Steiner systems, that is those geometric spaces (H, \mathcal{L}) , where *H* is a non-empty set, which elements are called points, and \mathcal{L} is a family of non-empty subsets of *H*, which elements are called lines, such that every line has *k* points and any two distinct points are contained in a unique line.

In [6] the following notion was introduced:

Definition 2.1. A hypergroupoid (H, \circ) is said to be a k-Steiner hypergroupoid $(k \ge 2)$ (or simply Steiner hypergroupoid), if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1)
$$\forall x, y \in H, \{x, y\} \subseteq x \circ y;$$

2)
$$\forall x, y \in H$$
, $|x \circ y| = \begin{cases} 1 & ij & x = y \\ k & if & x \neq y \end{cases}$;

3) the associativity holds for any triple of points not all distinct.

If (H, \mathcal{L}) is a k-Steiner system, then one can define a hyperoperation on H in the following way:

$$\forall x, y \in H, \quad x \circ y = \begin{cases} l_{xy} & \text{if } x \neq y \\ \{x\} & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

where l_{xy} denote the unique line trough x and y. In [6] it was proved that (H, \circ) is a k-Steiner hypergroupoid, that we will call associated to (H, \mathcal{L}) .

Conversely, if (H, \circ) is a k-Steiner hypergroupoid and if (H, \mathcal{L}') is the geometric structure associated to (H, \circ) , then the canonical representation (H, \mathcal{L}) of (H, \mathcal{L}') is a k-Steiner system. So, the notions of k-Steiner hypergroupoid and k-Steiner system are equivalent.

Now we introduce the following

Definition 2.2. A hypergroupoid (H, \circ) is said to be a k-quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid $(k \ge 2)$ (or simply quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid), if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) $\forall x, y \in H, x \neq y, \{x, y\} \subseteq x \circ y;$
- 2) $\forall x, y \in H$, $|x \circ y| = k$;
- 3) $\forall x, y, z, t \in H$, $|x \circ y \cap z \circ t| > 1 \Rightarrow x \circ y = z \circ t$.

Remark 2.1. The geometric structure associated to a *k*-quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid is always canonically represented. **Theorem 2.1.** If (H, \circ) is a k-quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid, then we have:

 $\forall x \in H, \quad \exists (u, v) \in H^2, \quad u \neq v : \ x \circ x = u \circ v.$

Proof. Let $x \in H$; by 2) it follows that:

$$|x \circ x| = k \ge 2$$

and therefore

$$\exists u, v \in x \circ x, \quad u \neq v.$$

By 1) we have that:

$$\{u, v\} \subseteq u \circ v$$

and so:

 $|x \circ x \cap u \circ v| \supseteq \{u, v\};$

by 3), it follows now that:

$$x \circ x = u \circ v. \qquad \Box$$

Theorem 2.2. Any quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid (H, \circ) is commutative. *Proof.* By 1) it follows that:

$$\forall x, y \in H, \quad x \neq y, \quad y \circ x \supseteq \{x, y\} \subseteq x \circ y;$$

and therefore, by 3), we have that:

$$x \circ y = y \circ x. \qquad \Box$$

Let (H, \mathcal{L}) be a k-Steiner system; we define on H a hyperoperation by setting:

$$\forall x, y \in H, \quad x \circ y = \begin{cases} l_{xy} & \text{if } x \neq y \\ l_x & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

where l_{xy} denote the unique line trough x and y and l_x is an arbitrary line of \mathcal{L} . Clearly, (H, \circ) is a k-quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid that we will call associated to (H, \mathcal{L}) .

Conversely, if (H, \circ) is a *k*-quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid and if we consider the family:

$$\mathcal{L} = \{x \circ y\}_{(x,y) \in H \times H},\$$

then (H, \mathcal{L}) is a k-Steiner system. In fact, from 2) it follows that every element of \mathcal{L} has cardinality k; from 1) it follows that any pair of distinct points are contained in a line; from 3) it follows that any pair of distinct points are contained in one and only one line. So, the notions of k-Steiner system and k-quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid are equivalent. **Remark 2.2.** If (H, \mathcal{L}) is a Steiner system, with |H| = v, $|\mathcal{L}| = b$, then the number of quasi-Steiner hypergroupoids associated to (H, \mathcal{L}) is b^v .

3. Remarkable groups of automorphisms in a Steiner system.

We already know that, if (H, \circ) is a hypergroupoid, then Aut_AH is a subgroup of Aut_GH and, in general, a proper subgroup; but in some cases these groups are coincident; in fact we have

Theorem 3.1. Let (H, \mathcal{L}) be a Steiner system, (H, \circ) be the associated Steiner hypergroupoid. Then we have:

$$Aut_A H = Aut_G H.$$

Proof. We must show that:

$$Aut_G H \subseteq Aut_A H$$

Let $\varphi \in Aut_G H$, $(x, y) \in H^2$. By definition of Steiner hypergroupoid it follows that:

$$\{x, y\} \subseteq x \circ y;$$

since φ preserve the incidences, we have that:

(3.1)
$$\varphi(x) \in \varphi(x \circ y) \ni \varphi(y).$$

On the other hand, by definition of Steiner hypergroupoid, we have that:

(3.2)
$$\varphi(x) \in \varphi(x) \circ \varphi(y) \ni \varphi(y).$$

Finally, we have that:

if x = y, then:

$$\varphi(x \circ x) = \varphi(x) = \varphi(x) \circ \varphi(x);$$

if $x \neq y$, then from (3.1) e (3.2) it follows that:

$$(\varphi(x \circ y)) \supseteq \{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\} \subseteq (\varphi(x) \circ \varphi(y)),$$

that is $\varphi(x \circ y)$ and $\varphi(x) \circ \varphi(y)$ are two lines in a Steiner system that meet in at least two points, and so they must to be equal, that is:

$$\varphi(x \circ y) = \varphi(x) \circ \varphi(y).$$

This shows that $\varphi \in Aut_G H$.

Now we will prove that, unlike Steiner hypergroupoids, the group Aut_AH of all algebraic automorphisms of an arbitrary quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid (H, \circ) is a proper subgroup of Aut_GH .

Theorem 3.2. Let (H, \mathcal{L}) be a Steiner system, (H, \circ) be a quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid associated to (H, \mathcal{L}) ; then we have:

$$Aut_AH \neq Aut_GH.$$

Proof. We suppose that $Aut_AH = Aut_GH$. We put $\mathcal{L}' = \{x \circ x\}_{x \in H}$. First of all we note that, in this case:

$$(3.3) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L},$$

in fact, if $\exists l \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}'$, then:

$$\forall x \in H, \quad x \circ x \neq l,$$

and so the geometric automorphisms that send a line of \mathcal{L}' in the line *l* are not algebraic and so $Aut_AH \neq Aut_GH$.

From (3.3) it follows that, if we put v = |H|, $b = |\mathcal{L}|$, we have that:

 $b \leq v$.

On the other hand, in a Steiner system we have always that $v \leq b$, and so:

$$b = v$$
,

and consequently:

$$(3.4) \qquad \forall x, y \in H, \quad x \neq y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x \circ x \neq y \circ y.$$

Now let $z \in H$ and $f \in Aut_A H$ such that the line $z \circ z$ is fixed by f; we have that:

$$f(z) \circ f(z) = f(z \circ z) = z \circ z,$$

and therefore from (3.4) it follows that f(z) = z; so, if an algebraic automorphism fixes the line $z \circ z$, then it must fixe the point z. So, the geometric automorphisms that fixe the line $z \circ z$, but do not fixe the point z are not algebraic, that is absurd. \Box

Theorem 3.3. Let (H, \mathcal{L}) be a Steiner system, (H, \circ) be a quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid associated to (H, \mathcal{L}) . Then we have that:

$$\mathcal{A}ut_A H = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}ut_G H : \varphi(x \circ x) = \varphi(x) \circ \varphi(x), \forall x \in H \}.$$

Proof. It is enough to observe that, as in Theorem 3.1, we have that:

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{A}ut_G H, \quad \forall x, y \in H, \quad x \neq y \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi(x \circ y) = \varphi(x) \circ \varphi(y),$$

but, in general, we have that:

$$\varphi(x \circ x) \neq \varphi(x) \circ \varphi(x). \qquad \Box$$

Corollary 3.4. Let (H, \mathcal{L}) be a Steiner system and $l \in \mathcal{L}$. Let (H, \circ_l) be the quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid defined by:

$$\forall x \in H, \quad x \circ_l x = l.$$

Then we have:

$$\mathcal{A}ut_A H = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}ut_G H : \varphi(l) = l\}$$

that is Aut_AH is the subgroup of Aut_GH that fixes the line l. *Proof.* It suffices to observe that:

$$\forall x \in H, \begin{cases} \varphi(x \circ_l x) = \varphi(l) \\ \varphi(x) \circ_l \varphi(x) = l \end{cases}$$

The corollary follows now from the previous theorem. \Box

Corollary 3.5. Let A = AG(2, q), P = PG(2, q); let *l* be a line in PG(2, q). Let (A, \circ) , (P, \circ_l) be respectively the Steiner hypergroupoid associated to AG(2, q) and the quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid associated to PG(2, q), where \circ_l is defined as in the previous corollary. Then we have:

$$Aut_A A \cong Aut_A P$$

Proof. It is enough to observe that:

$$Aut_A A = Aut_G A$$

by Theorem 3.1;

$$\mathcal{A}ut_G A \cong (\mathcal{A}ut_G P)_l,$$

where $(Aut_G P)_l$ is the subgroup of $Aut_G P$ that fixe the line l, since the automorphisms of an affine plane are (up to isomorphisms) those geometric ones that fixe a line in the projective plane in which it is imbedded;

$$(\mathcal{A}ut_G P)_l = \mathcal{A}ut_A P,$$

by the previous corollary. \Box

Example 3.1. Let $A \equiv AG(2, 2)$ and $P \equiv PG(2, 2)$. We consider the Steiner hypergroupoid (A, \circ) associated to AG(2, 2), that is:

0	1	2	3	4
1	1	1, 2	1, 3	1, 4
2	1, 2	2	2, 3	2, 4
3	1, 3	2, 3	3	3, 4
4	1,4	2, 4	3,4	4

and the quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid (P, \circ_l) associated to PG(2, 2), that is:

ol	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 4, 7	1, 5, 6	1, 5, 6	1, 4, 7
2	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	2, 4, 6	2, 5, 7	2, 4, 6	2, 5, 7
3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	3, 6, 7	3, 6, 7
4	1, 4, 7	2, 4, 6	3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3	3, 4, 5	2, 4, 6	1, 4, 7
5	1, 5, 6	2, 5, 7	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3	1, 5, 6	2, 5, 7
6	1, 5, 6	2, 4, 6	3, 6, 7	2, 4, 6	1, 5, 6	1, 2, 3	3, 6, 7
7	1, 4, 7	2, 5, 7	3, 6, 7	1, 4, 7	2, 5, 7	3, 6, 7	1, 2, 3

where $l = \{1, 2, 3\}$; from the last corollary it follows that:

$$Aut_A A \cong Aut_A P.$$

Theorem 3.6. Let (H, \mathcal{L}) be a (non-degenerated) Steiner system; let $l \in \mathcal{L}$, $p \in l$; let (H, \circ) be a quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid associated to (H, \mathcal{L}) such that:

$$\begin{cases} x \circ x = l, & \forall x \in (H \setminus l) \cup \{p\}; \\ x \circ x \neq l, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then we have:

$$Aut_A H \leq (Aut_G H)_{l,p}$$

where $(Aut_G H)_{l,p}$ denote the subgroup of $Aut_G H$ that fixes l and p. *Proof.* Let $f \in Aut_A H$; first of all we observe that, because the Steiner system is not degenerated, then:

$$|(H \setminus l) \cup \{p\}| > \frac{|H|}{2}.$$

So, since f is bijective, we have that:

$$\exists x, y \in (H \setminus l) \cup \{p\}: \quad f(x) = y.$$

We have that:

$$f(x \circ x) = f(l);$$

$$f(x) \circ f(x) = y \circ y = l;$$

and by Theorem 2.3:

$$(3.5) f(l) = l.$$

Now we prove that f(p) = p. By hypothesis we have that:

$$f(p \circ p) = f(l),$$

and from (3.5) it follows that:

$$f(p \circ p) = l.$$

By Theorem 2.3, we have that:

$$f(p) \circ f(p) = l,$$

and therefore, from the hypothesis on \circ , it follows that:

$$f(p) \in (H \setminus l) \cup \{p\}.$$

But f(l) = l is equivalent to $f(H \setminus l) = H \setminus l$, and therefore:

$$f(p) \notin H \setminus l,$$

that is:

$$f(p) = p. \qquad \Box$$

In general if a quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid satisfies the conditions of the previous theorem, then Aut_AH is not equal to $(Aut_GH)_{l,p}$, as we can see in the following

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 4, 7	1, 5, 9	1, 6, 8	1, 4, 7	1, 6, 8	1, 5, 9
2	1, 2, 3	4, 5, 6	1, 2, 3	2, 4, 9	2, 5, 8	2, 6, 7	2, 6, 7	2, 5, 8	2, 4, 9
3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	7, 8, 9	3, 4, 8	3, 5, 7	3, 6, 9	3, 5, 7	3, 4, 8	3, 6, 9
4	1, 4, 7	2, 4, 9	3, 4, 8	1, 2, 3	4, 5, 6	4, 5, 6	1, 4, 7	3, 4, 8	2, 4, 9
5	1, 5, 9	2, 5, 8	3, 5, 7	4, 5, 6	1, 2, 3	4, 5, 6	3, 5, 7	2, 5, 8	1, 5, 9
6	1, 6, 8	2, 6, 7	3, 6, 9	4, 5, 6	4, 5, 6	1, 2, 3	2, 6, 7	1, 6, 8	3, 6, 9
7	1, 4, 7	2, 6, 7	3, 5, 7	1, 4, 7	3, 5, 7	2, 6, 7	1, 2, 3	7, 8, 9	7, 8, 9
8	1, 6, 8	2, 5, 8	3, 4, 8	3, 4, 8	2, 5, 8	1, 6, 8	7, 8, 9	1, 2, 3	7, 8, 9
9	1, 5, 9	2, 4, 9	3, 6, 9	2, 4, 9	1, 5, 9	3, 6, 9	7, 8, 9	7, 8, 9	1, 2, 3

Example 3.2. Let $(H, \mathcal{L}) \equiv AG(2, 3)$. Let (H, \circ) be the quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid associated to AG(2, 3), defined by the following table:

Such hypergroupoid satisfies all conditions of the previous theorem with $l = \{1, 2, 3\}$, p = 1; we have that $Aut_AH \neq (Aut_GH)_{l,p}$; more precisely, we have that:

$$\mathcal{A}ut_{A}H = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1_{H}, (456)(798), (465)(789), \\ (32)(47)(59)(68), (32)(485769), (32)(496758) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $(H, \mathcal{L}) \equiv \prod_q$ be a projective plane of order q; let $l \in \mathcal{L}$, $p \notin l$; let (H, \circ) be a quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid associated to \prod_q such that:

$$\begin{cases} x \circ x = l, & \forall x \in l \cup \{p\}; \\ x \circ x \neq l, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then we have that:

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{A}H \leq (\operatorname{Aut}_{G}H)_{l,p},$$

where $(Aut_G H)_{l,p}$ denote the subgroup of $Aut_G H$ fixing l and p.

Proof. Let $f \in Aut_A H$ and $l = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{q+1}\}$; first of all we prove that:

$$(3.6) \qquad \exists x, y \in l \cup \{p\}: \quad f(x) = y.$$

We suppose that:

$$\forall x, y \in l \cup \{p\}, \quad f(x) \neq y$$

that is:

$$\forall x \in l \cup \{p\}, \quad f(x) \notin l \cup \{p\}.$$

So, by definition of \circ , it follows that:

$$\forall x \in l \cup \{p\}, \quad f(x) \circ f(x) = f(x \circ x) = f(l);$$

but:

$$f(x) \notin l \cup \{p\} \Rightarrow f(x) \circ f(x) \neq l.$$

So, it follows that:

$$(3.7) f(l) \neq l.$$

But f is also a geometric automorphism; therefore we have that $f(l) \in \mathcal{L}$; let $f(l) = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{q+1}\}$. Now $l \cap f(l) \neq \emptyset$ because (H, \mathcal{L}) is a projective plane. This means that:

$$\exists i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, q+1\}: b_i = c_j.$$

From this we obtain:

$$(3.8) c_j \circ c_j = b_i \circ b_i = l.$$

On the other hand, from $c_i \in f(l)$ it follows that:

$$\exists b_k \in l: \quad c_j = f(b_k);$$

therefore we have that:

$$c_j \circ c_j = f(b_k) \circ f(b_k) = f(b_k \circ b_k) = f(l),$$

and so, from (3.8), we have that:

f(l) = l,

that is absurd, by (3.7); this shows that (3.6) is true. So, we have that:

$$f(x \circ x) = f(l);$$

$$f(x) \circ f(x) = y \circ y = l;$$

and therefore, by Theorem 2.3, it follows that:

$$f(l) = l.$$

We observe now that:

$$f(p) \circ f(p) = f(p \circ p) = f(l) = l;$$

so, by definition of \circ , we have that:

$$f(p) \in l \cup \{p\};$$

but from f(l) = l, it follows necessarily:

$$f(p) \notin l$$
,

that is:

$$f(p) = p. \qquad \Box$$

Example 3.3. Let $(H, \mathcal{L}) \equiv PG(2.2)$. We consider the quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid (H, \circ) associated to PG(2, 2), defined by the following table:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 4, 7	1, 5, 6	1, 5, 6	1, 4, 7
2	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	2, 4, 6	2, 5, 7	2, 4, 6	2, 5, 7
3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	3, 6, 7	3, 6, 7
4	1, 4, 7	2, 4, 6	3, 4, 5	2, 5, 7	3, 4, 5	2, 4, 6	1, 4, 7
5	1, 5, 6	2, 5, 7	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	1, 4, 7	1, 5, 6	2, 5, 7
6	1, 5, 6	2, 4, 6	3, 6, 7	2, 4, 6	1, 5, 6	1, 2, 3	3, 6, 7
7	1, 4, 7	2, 5, 7	3, 6, 7	1, 4, 7	2, 5, 7	3, 6, 7	3, 4, 5

Such hypergroupoid satisfies all conditions of the previous theorem with $l = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and p = 6; in such case we have that:

$$Aut_A H = (Aut_G H)_{l,p}.$$

In fact any geometric automorphism fixing the line $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and the point 6 is also algebraic. To prove this, it is enough to show that if $f \in (Aut_G H)_{l,p}$, then:

$$\forall x \notin l \cup \{p\}, \quad f(x \circ x) = f(x) \circ f(x)$$

because for $x \in l \cup \{p\}$ the preceding equality holds, since for these x we have $x \circ x = l$ and f fixes $l \cup \{p\}$. In other words, we must show that:

$$f(x \circ x) = f(x) \circ f(x), \quad \forall x \in \{4, 5, 7\}.$$

First of all we observe that:

$$\left| (\mathcal{A}ut_G H)_{l,p} \right| = 6.$$

We have that:

$$f_1 \equiv (23) (47) \in \mathcal{A}ut_A H$$

because:

$$f_1(4 \circ 4) = f_1\{2, 5, 7\} = \{3, 4, 5\} = 7 \circ 7 = f_1(4) \circ f_1(4);$$

$$f_1(5 \circ 5) = f_1\{1, 4, 7\} = \{1, 4, 7\} = 5 \circ 5 = f_1(5) \circ f_1(5);$$

 $f_1(7 \circ 7) = f_1\{3, 4, 5\} = \{2, 5, 7\} = 4 \circ 4 = f_1(7) \circ f_1(7).$

Moreover we have:

$$f_2 \equiv (13) \, (57) \in \mathcal{A}ut_A H$$

because:

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(4 \circ 4) &= f_2\{2, 5, 7\} = \{2, 5, 7\} = 4 \circ 4 = f_2(4) \circ f_2(4); \\ f_2(5 \circ 5) &= f_2\{1, 4, 7\} = \{3, 4, 5\} = 7 \circ 7 = f_2(5) \circ f_2(5); \\ f_2(7 \circ 7) &= f_2\{3, 4, 5\} = \{1, 4, 7\} = 5 \circ 5 = f_2(7) \circ f_2(7). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have:

$$f_3 \equiv (12) \ (45) \in \mathcal{A}ut_A H$$

because:

$$f_3(4 \circ 4) = f_3\{2, 5, 7\} = \{1, 4, 7\} = 5 \circ 5 = f_3(4) \circ f_3(4);$$

$$f_3(5 \circ 5) = f_3\{1, 4, 7\} = \{2, 5, 7\} = 4 \circ 4 = f_3(5) \circ f_3(5);$$

$$f_3(7 \circ 7) = f_3\{3, 4, 5\} = \{3, 4, 5\} = 7 \circ 7 = f_3(7) \circ f_3(7).$$

Therefore, since $1_H \in Aut_A H$, we obtain that:

$$|\mathcal{A}ut_AH| \geq 4$$

and so:

$$Aut_A H = (Aut_G H)_{l,p}$$

The following example shows that, if a quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid satisfies all conditions of the previous theorem, in general we have that Aut_AH is a proper subgroup of $(Aut_GH)_{l,p}$.

Example 3.4. Let $(H, \mathcal{L}) \equiv PG(2, 2)$. We consider the following quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid (H, \circ) associated to PG(2, 2), defined by:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 4, 7	1, 5, 6	1, 5, 6	1, 4, 7
2	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	2, 4, 6	2, 5, 7	2, 4, 6	2, 5, 7
3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	3, 6, 7	3, 6, 7
4	1, 4, 7	2, 4, 6	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	2, 4, 6	1, 4, 7
5	1, 5, 6	2, 5, 7	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	2, 5, 7	1, 5, 6	2, 5, 7
6	1, 5, 6	2, 4, 6	3, 6, 7	2, 4, 6	1, 5, 6	1, 2, 3	3, 6, 7
7	1, 4, 7	2, 5, 7	3, 6, 7	1, 4, 7	2, 5, 7	3, 6, 7	1, 4, 7

As in the previous example (H, \circ) is a quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid associated to PG(2, 2) satisfying the conditions of the theorem, with $l = \{1, 2, 3\}, p = 6$; but in this case $g \equiv (12)(45)$ is a geometric automorphism fixing l and p that is not algebraic. So, in this case:

$$\operatorname{Aut}_A H \neq (\operatorname{Aut}_G H)_{l,p}.$$

Theorem 3.8. Let (H, \mathcal{L}) be a projective plane (finite of order $q \neq 2^h$, $\forall h \in \mathbb{N}$ or infinite); let Γ be a conic in (H, \mathcal{L}) , (H, \circ_{Γ}) the quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid defined by:

$$\forall x \in H, \quad x \circ_{\Gamma} x = l_{x,\Gamma},$$

where $l_{x,\Gamma}$ denote the polar line of x in respect to the conic Γ . Then we have:

$$\mathcal{A}ut_{A}H = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}ut_{G}H : f(l_{x,\Gamma}) = l_{f(x),\Gamma}, \forall x \in H \}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.3, since in this case we have:

$$f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) = f(l_{x,\Gamma})$$
$$f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x) = l_{f(x),\Gamma}. \quad \Box$$

Theorem 3.9. Let (H, \mathcal{L}) be a projective plane (finite of order $q \neq 2^h$, $\forall h \in \mathbb{N}$ or infinite); let Γ be a conic, (H, \circ_{Γ}) the quasi-Steiner hypergroupoid defined by:

$$\forall x \in H, \quad x \circ_{\Gamma} x = l_{x,\Gamma},$$

where $l_{x,\Gamma}$ denote the polar line of x in respect to the conic Γ . Then we have:

$$\mathcal{A}ut_A H = (\mathcal{A}ut_G H)_{\Gamma},$$

where $(Aut_G H)_{\Gamma}$ denote the group of all geometric automorphisms fixing the conic Γ .

Proof. First of all we observe that, from the geometric properties of the polar line, it follows that:

 $(3.9) \quad \forall x \in H, \quad x \in x \circ_{\Gamma} x \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x \in \Gamma \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x \circ_{\Gamma} x \cap \Gamma = \{x\};$

 $(3.10) \qquad \forall x, y \in H, \quad x \in y \circ_{\Gamma} y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad y \in x \circ_{\Gamma} x.$

Let $f \in Aut_A H$; we suppose that $f \notin (Aut_G H)_{\Gamma}$, that is:

$$\exists x \in \Gamma, \quad \exists y \notin \Gamma : \quad f(x) = y.$$

From $x \in \Gamma$, it follows that $x \in x \circ_{\Gamma} x$; and so:

$$y = f(x) \in f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x).$$

From $y \notin \Gamma$, it follows that $y \notin y \circ_{\Gamma} y$, that is:

$$y \notin f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x).$$

So, we have that:

$$f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) \neq f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x),$$

that is absurd.

Now let $f \in (Aut_G H)_{\Gamma}$, that is $f(\Gamma) = \Gamma$; by the previous theorem, it suffices to show that:

$$\forall x \in H, \quad f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) = f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x).$$

If $x \in \Gamma$ then it is easily proved that:

$$f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) \cap \Gamma = \{f(x)\}.$$

So, from (3.9), it follows that $f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x)$ is the polar line of f(x), that is:

$$f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) = f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x).$$

If $x \notin \Gamma$ we distinguish two cases:

a)
$$(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$$
;
b) $(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$.

In case a), since $|(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) \cap \Gamma| = 2$, we can set $(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) \cap \Gamma = \{p_x, q_x\}$; so, it follows that:

$$x \circ_{\Gamma} x = p_x \circ_{\Gamma} q_x$$

and therefore:

(3.11)
$$f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) = f(p_x \circ_{\Gamma} q_x).$$

Recalling the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have that:

(3.12)
$$f(p_x \circ_{\Gamma} q_x) = f(p_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(q_x).$$

Now, since $\{p_x, q_x\} \subseteq x \circ_{\Gamma} x$, from (3.10) it follows that:

$$x \in p_x \circ_{\Gamma} p_x \cap q_x \circ_{\Gamma} q_x;$$

and therefore:

$$f(x) \in f(p_x \circ_{\Gamma} p_x) \cap f(q_x \circ_{\Gamma} q_x).$$

Moreover, since $\{p_x, q_x\} \subseteq \Gamma$, it follows that $f(p_x)$, $f(q_x) \in \Gamma$, and so, by the first case, it follows that:

 $f(p_x \circ_{\Gamma} p_x) = f(p_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(p_x)$ and $f(q_x \circ_{\Gamma} q_x) = f(q_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(q_x);$

and therefore:

$$f(x) \in f(p_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(p_x)$$
 and $f(x) \in f(q_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(q_x);$

and so:

$$f(p_x), f(q_x) \in f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x)$$
 with $f(p_x) \neq f(q_x)$.

Therefore:

(3.13)
$$f(p_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(q_x) = f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x).$$

Finally, from (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), it follows now that:

$$f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) = f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x).$$

In case b) let $p_x \in \Gamma$, since (H, \mathcal{L}) is a projective plane, then we can set:

$$(3.14) p'_x = (p_x \circ_{\Gamma} p_x) \cap (x \circ_{\Gamma} x).$$

From $p_x \in p'_x \circ_{\Gamma} p'_x$, it follows that:

$$(p'_x \circ_{\Gamma} p'_x) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset;$$

and so, by case a), it follows that:

(3.15)
$$f(p'_x \circ_{\Gamma} p'_x) = f(p'_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(p'_x).$$

On the other hand, from $x \in p'_x \circ_{\Gamma} p'_x$ it follows that:

$$f(x) \in f(p'_x \circ_{\Gamma} p'_x) = f(p'_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(p'_x).$$

and so:

(3.16)
$$f(p'_x) \in f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x).$$

Now we choose another point $q_x \in \Gamma$, and analogously we set:

$$q'_x = (q_x \circ_{\Gamma} q_x) \cap (x \circ_{\Gamma} x).$$

We can suppose $p'_x \neq q'_x$. So, we have that:

(3.17)
$$f(q'_x) \in f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x).$$

Consequently, from (3.16) and (3.17), we have that:

(3.18)
$$f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x) = f(p'_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(q'_x).$$

On the other hand we have that:

$$p'_x, q'_x \in x \circ_{\Gamma} x$$

and so:

$$x \circ_{\Gamma} x = p'_x \circ_{\Gamma} q'_x.$$

Therefore:

 $f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) = f(p'_x \circ_{\Gamma} q'_x);$

so, since $p'_x \neq q'_x$, we have:

$$f(p'_x \circ_{\Gamma} q'_x) = f(p'_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(q'_x)$$

and so:

$$f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) = f(p'_x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(q'_x).$$

Comparing this equality with (3.18), we have that:

$$f(x \circ_{\Gamma} x) = f(x) \circ_{\Gamma} f(x).$$

The proof is now complete. \Box

4. A general result about algebraic automorphisms.

Theorem 4.1. Let (H, \circ) be a hypergroupoid associated to a geometric space (H, \mathcal{B}) and let $\varphi \in Aut_G(H, \circ)$; let \bullet be the hyperoperation on H defined by:

$$\forall x, y \in H, \quad x \bullet y = \varphi(x \circ y).$$

Then we have:

$$\mathcal{A}ut_A(H,\circ) = \mathcal{A}ut_A(H,\bullet) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}ut_G(H,\circ)}(\mathcal{A}ut_A(H,\circ)).$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{Aut_G(H,\circ)}(Aut_A(H,\circ))$ denote the centralizer of $Aut_A(H,\circ)$ in $Aut_G(H,\circ)$.

Proof. \Leftarrow) Let $f \in Aut_A(H, \circ)$; by definition of • it follows immediately that:

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} f(x \bullet y) = f(\varphi(x \circ y)) \\ f(x) \bullet f(y) = \varphi(f(x) \circ f(y)) = \varphi(f(x \circ y)). \end{cases}$$

Then, since $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{Aut_G(H,\circ)}(Aut_A(H,\circ))$, we have that:

$$\forall z \in H, \quad f(\varphi(z)) = \varphi(f(z))$$

and, from (4.1), it follows that:

$$\forall x, y \in H, \quad f(x \bullet y) = f(x) \bullet f(y)$$

that is:

$$f \in Aut_A(H, \bullet).$$

So, we have that:

$$Aut_A(H, \circ) \subseteq Aut_A(H, \bullet).$$

Now let $g \in Aut_A(H, \bullet)$; from (4.1) it follows that:

$$\forall x, y \in H, \quad g(\varphi(x \circ y)) = \varphi(g(x \circ y)).$$

Such property holds for φ^{-1} ; in fact, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y \in H, \quad \varphi^{-1}(g(x \circ y)) &= \varphi^{-1}(g\varphi\varphi^{-1}(x \circ y)) = \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(\varphi g\varphi^{-1}(x \circ y)) = \varphi^{-1}\varphi(g\varphi^{-1}(x \circ y)) = g(\varphi^{-1}(x \circ y)). \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows now that:

$$\begin{cases} g(x \circ y) = g(\varphi^{-1}(x \bullet y)) \\ g(x) \circ g(y) = \varphi^{-1}(g(x) \bullet g(y)) = \varphi^{-1}(g(x \bullet y)) \end{cases}$$

that is:

$$g\in \mathcal{A}ut_A(H,\circ).$$

So, we have that

$$Aut_A(H, \bullet) \subseteq Aut_A(H, \circ).$$

 \Rightarrow) We will show that:

$$\varphi \notin \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}ut_G(H,\circ)}(\mathcal{A}ut_A(H,\circ)) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}ut_A(H,\circ) \neq \mathcal{A}ut_A(H,\bullet).$$

Since $\varphi \notin \mathcal{C}_{Aut_G(H,\circ)}(Aut_A(H,\circ))$, we have that:

$$\exists h \in \mathcal{A}ut_A(H, \circ) : \quad h\varphi \neq \varphi h$$

that is:

$$\exists v \in H : \quad h\varphi(v) \neq \varphi h(v).$$

Since φ is a geometric automorphism, we have that:

$$\exists B \in \mathcal{B}: \quad h\varphi(B) \neq \varphi h(B),$$

and therefore, since (H, \circ) is associated to (H, \mathcal{B}) :

$$\exists x, y \in H : \quad h\varphi(x \circ y) \neq \varphi h(x \circ y).$$

So, from (4.1) it follows that:

$$h(x \bullet y) \neq h(x) \bullet h(y)$$

that is:

$$h \notin Aut_A(H, \bullet).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Corsini, Prolegomena of hypergroup theory, Aviani Editore, Udine, 1993.
- [2] G. Gentile R. Migliorato, *Feebly associative hypergroupoids*, Giornate di Geometrie Combinatorie, Atti del Convegno Scientifico Internazionale, Perugia, 1993, pp. 259–268.
- [3] R. Migliorato, *Finite hypergroups and combinatoric spaces*, Proc. of the Fourth International Congress on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications, Xanthi, Greece, 1990, World Scientific, pp. 67–79.
- [4] R. Migliorato, Some topics on the feebly associative hypergroupoids, Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications, Proceedings of the Congress, Iasi, 1993, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, FL, pp. 133–142.
- [5] R. Migliorato, Non associative hypergroupoids, in printing.
- [6] G. Tallini, *Ipergruppoidi di Steiner e Geometrie Combinatorie*, Atti del Convegno su Sistemi Binari e Applicazioni, Taormina, 1978.

Department of Mathematics, University of Messina, Contrada Papardo, Salita Sperone 31, 98166 Messina (ITALY), e-mail: gentile@dipmat.unime.it