0-DIMENSIONAL SUBSCHEMES OF CURVES LYING ON A SMOOTH QUADRIC SURFACE

GIUSEPPE ZAPPALÀ

We characterize all the possible Hilbert functions for 0-dimensional subschemes of an irreducible curve C lying on a smooth quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3_k$.

Introduction.

The three-codimensional projective schemes and, in particular, the 0-dimensional subschemes of \mathbb{P}^3 , represent a subject of the algebraic geometry where very little is still known. Specifically we consider the following general problem: how do some properties of the irreducible curves of \mathbb{P}^3 affect the Hilbert function of their 0-dimensional subschemes? So one can consider curves whose minimal surface has fixed degree, complete intersection curves, arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay curves, arithmetically Buchsbaum curves etc..

In this paper we answer one of these questions: we characterize all the possible Hilbert function of the 0-dimensional subschemes of an irreducible curve lying on a smooth quadric, just using the type (a, b) of the curve. The postulation of 0-dimensional schemes on a smooth quadric have been extensively studied in [3] and in [5].

More precisely let Q be a smooth quadric. In [7] the author proves that if $X \subset Q$ is a 0-dimensional scheme contained in a complete intersection

Entrato in Redazione il 19 dicembre 1996.

 $(2, b, s), 2 \le b \le s$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \ge s$ and $\Delta H(X, n) > 1$ then $\Delta^2 H(X, n+1) \le -2$.

Here we prove that if *C* is an irreducible curve of type (a, b) with $a \le b$, lying on a smooth quadric surface and $\{v_n\}$ is a O-sequence, definitively zero, such that $v_n \le \Delta H(C, n)$, $s = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid v_n < \Delta H(C, n)\} \ge b$ and $\Delta v_{n+1} \le -2$ for all $n \ge s$ such that $v_n > 1$, then on *C* there is a 0-dimensional scheme *X*, such that $\Delta H(X, n) = v_n$.

In Section 1 we fix notation and preliminaries, in the second section we give the general form of the Hilbert function for a 0-dimensional subscheme of a curve of type (a, b). The third section forms the technical heart of this paper. In particular we explain how one can construct a 0-dimensional scheme X, with assigned Hilbert function, on some lines of a smooth quadric surface Q, in a way such that it is possible to embed X in a suitable curve of Q. This result will be very useful to prove the theorem in Section 4.

1. Notation and preliminaries.

Throughout this paper Q will denote a smooth quadric surface in \mathbb{P}^3_k , k algebraically closed field. Let $C \subset Q$ be a curve of type $(a, b), a \leq b$. We set c = b - a and $d = a + b = \deg C$. Let R = k[x, y, z, w] be the polynomial ring over k in four indeterminates and I_C the saturated homogeneous ideal of C in R.

It is known that a minimal free resolution of R/I_C as R-module is: if c = 0

$$0 \to R(-b-2) \to R(-b) \oplus R(-2) \to R \to R/I_C \to 0;$$

if c = 1

$$0 \rightarrow R(-b-1)^2 \rightarrow R(-b)^2 \oplus R(-2) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I_C \rightarrow 0;$$

if $c \ge 2$

$$0 \to R(-b-2)^{c-1} \to R(-b-1)^{2c} \to R(-b)^{c+1} \oplus R(-2) \to R \to R/I_C \to 0;$$

(see [4]).

If $\phi : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a function, the *first difference* of ϕ is $\Delta\phi(0) = \phi(0)$, $\Delta\phi(n) = \phi(n) - \phi(n-1)$ if $n \ge 1$, and recursively the *n*-th difference is $\Delta^n\phi(0) = \Delta^{n-1}\phi(0), \ \Delta^n\phi(n) = \Delta^{n-1}\phi(n) - \Delta^{n-1}\phi(n-1)$ if $n \ge 1$. The above resolutions allows us to compute the Hilbert function of C. In particular we get

n 0 1 2 ··· b-1 b 0 ··· $\Delta^2 H(C, n)$ 1 2 2 ··· 2 1 - c 0 ···

If M is a graded R-module, we write M_n for the degree n piece of M and if M is a finite length graded R-module we define *diameter* of M (write diam M) the number of the nonzero graded pieces of M.

If \mathscr{I}_C is the ideal sheaf of *C*, we recall that the module

$$M_C = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(\mathscr{I}_C(n))$$

is called the Hartshorne-Rao module (or simply the Rao module) of *C*. M_C is a finite length *R*-module and $M_C = 0$ if and only if *C* is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay curve if and only if $c \le 1$. Moreover if $c \ge 2$ and $\rho(n) = \dim_k M_{C,n}$ we have that

$$\rho(n) = \begin{cases} (b-n-1)(n-a+1) & \text{for } a \le n \le b-2\\ 0 & \text{for } n \le a-1 \text{ or } n \ge b-1 \end{cases}$$

(see [2]), consequently diam $M_C = c - 1$ and we can obtain the first and the second difference of $\rho(n)$:

2. Hilbert function of the subschemes of *C*.

In this section we found the general form of the first difference of the Hilbert function of a 0-dimensional scheme of a curve of type (a, b) on a smooth quadric, such that

$$s = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Delta H(X, n) < \Delta H(C, n) \right\} \ge b.$$

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3_k$ be a curve, \mathscr{I}_C its ideal sheaf, $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3_k$ a surface such that no irreducible component of C is contained in S. Then $X = C \cap S$ is a 0-dimensional scheme.

Let F = 0, $F \in R_s$, be the equation of S; we have for any n the following short exact sequence of sheaves:

$$0 \to \mathscr{I}_C(n-s) \stackrel{F}{\to} \mathscr{I}_C(n) \to \mathscr{J}_X(n) \to 0,$$

where the first map is the multiplication by F and \mathscr{J}_X is its cokernel: of course it is the ideal sheaf of X as a subscheme of S. It induces a long exact sequence on the cohomological groups

$$0 \to H^0(\mathscr{I}_C(n-s)) \to H^0(\mathscr{I}_C(n)) \to H^0(\mathscr{J}_X(n)) \to$$

$$\to H^1(\mathscr{I}_C(n-s)) \to H^1(\mathscr{I}_C(n)) \to H^1(\mathscr{J}_X(n)) \to \cdots$$

or, if $J_X = \bigoplus_n H^0(\mathscr{J}_X(n))$, the exact sequence of graded *R*-modules:

$$0 \to I_C(-s) \xrightarrow{F} I_C \to J_X \to M_C(-s) \xrightarrow{F} M_C \to \cdots$$

and if K is the kernel of the map:

$$M_C(-s) \xrightarrow{F} M_C$$

we have the exact sequence of graded *R*-modules:

(*)
$$0 \to I_C(-s) \xrightarrow{F} I_C \to J_X \to K \to 0.$$

From the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{I}_{S}(n) \to \mathscr{I}_{X}(n) \to \mathscr{J}_{X}(n) \to 0,$$

since $H^1(\mathscr{I}_S(n)) = 0$ for any *n*, we obtain the exact sequence of graded *R*-modules:

$$0 \to I_S \to I_X \to J_X \to 0,$$

hence

$$\dim_k J_{X,n} = \dim_k I_{X,n} - \dim_k I_{S,n} = \dim_k I_{X,n} - \dim_k R_{n-s}$$

and from (*) we get the following relation between the Hilbert function of *C* and the Hilbert function of *X*:

$$H(X, n) = H(C, n) - H(C, n - s) - \dim_k K_n.$$

Let us consider now a curve $C \subset Q$ of type $(a, b), a \leq b$ and a surface S, such that $s \geq b$. Since $s \geq b > c - 1 = \text{diam } M_C$ we have

$$H(X, n) = H(C, n) - H(C, n - s) - \rho(n - s)$$

(we use the convention that H(C, n) = 0 for $n \le -1$), and consequently

$$\Delta H(X, n) = \Delta H(C, n) - \Delta H(C, n - s) - \Delta \rho(n - s);$$

so for $n \le s + a - 1$

$$\Delta H(X, n) = \Delta H(C, n) - \Delta H(C, n - s).$$

Moreover

$$\Delta H(X, s + a) = \Delta H(C, s + a) - \Delta H(C, a) - c + 1$$

= a + b - (2a + 1) - c + 1 = 0,

and, since $\Delta H(X, n)$ is a O-sequence, $\Delta H(X, n) = 0$ for $n \ge s + a$. Finally we obtain:

$$\Delta H(X,n) = \begin{cases} \Delta H(C,n) - \Delta H(C,n-s) & \text{for } n \le s+a-1\\ 0 & \text{for } n \ge s+a. \end{cases}$$

Let $X \subset C$ be a 0-dimensional scheme. We define

$$s = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Delta H(X, n) < \Delta H(C, n) \right\}$$

and we assume that $s \ge b$. Let S be a surface, deg S = s, such that $X \subset S$ but no irreducible component of C is contained in S. Since $X \subset S \cap C$, $\Delta H(X, n) \le \Delta H(S \cap C, n)$, i.e. the last part of the first difference of the Hilbert function of X is

 $d-1 \ge h_1, h_e \ge 1, 0 \le e \le a$ and ([7] Theorem 2.2) $h_n - h_{n+1} \ge 2$ for $1 \le n \le e-1$.

3. Technical lemmas.

In this section we prove three lemmas, which will be useful in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 3.1. Let *E* be a scheme of *t* skew lines L_1, \ldots, L_t lying on *Q*. Let $X \subset E$ be a finite set of points such that $|X \cap L_i| = l_i \ge t$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Then the Hilbert function of *X* depends only on the number of the points arranged on each line.

Proof. Of course, we can assume that

$$l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \ldots \geq l_t$$
.

We want to compute H(X, n) for every integer n. We distinguish three cases: $1 \le n \le l_t - 1$ or $l_t \le n \le l_1 - 1$ or $n \ge l_1$.

If $1 \le n \le l_t - 1$ we have that $I_{X,n} = I_{E,n}$, so H(X, n) = H(E, n).

If $l_t \leq n \leq l_1 - 1$ we set $\beta = \max\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, l_i \geq n+1\}$. We want to prove that $H(X, n) = H(L_1 \cup ... \cup L_{\beta}, n) + \sum_{i=\beta+1}^{t} l_i$. We call $P_{\beta+1,1}, \ldots, P_{\beta+1,l_{\beta+1}}, \ldots, P_{t,1}, \ldots, P_{t,l_t}$ the points of X such that $P_{i,j} \in L_i$ for $\beta + 1 \leq i \leq t$ and $1 \leq j \leq l_i$. We have to prove that these points impose independent conditions to the linear system of the surfaces of degree n containing the lines L_1, \ldots, L_{β} . To do this it is enough to prove that there is a surface S of degree $\leq n$ containing the lines L_1, \ldots, L_{β} , passing through the points $P_{\beta+1,1}, \ldots, P_{\beta+1,l_{\beta+1}}, \ldots, P_{\gamma,1}, \ldots, P_{\gamma,k}, \beta + 1 \leq \gamma \leq t,$ $1 \leq k \leq l_{\gamma}$ but not through $P_{\gamma,k+1}$ (we use the convention that if $\gamma \leq t - 1$ then $P_{\gamma,l_{\gamma}+1} = P_{\gamma+1,1}$).

If $\gamma - 1 \ge k$ we take the plane Π_i identified by the line L_i and by the point $P_{\gamma,i}$ for $1 \le i \le k$, and we take a plane Π_i passing through the line L_i but not through $P_{\gamma,k+1}$ for $k + 1 \le i \le \gamma - 1$. We set $S = \Pi_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Pi_{\gamma-1}$.

If $\gamma - 1 < k$ we take the plane Π_i identified by the line L_i and by the point $P_{\gamma,i}$ for $1 \le i \le \gamma - 1$ and a plane Π_i passing through $P_{\gamma,i}$ but not through $P_{\gamma,k+1}$ for $\gamma \le i \le k$. We set $S = \Pi_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Pi_k$.

In both cases deg $S = \max{\{\gamma - 1, k\}} \le n$.

If $n \ge l_1$ then similar arguments show that $H(X, n) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} l_i$.

We call Σ_1 and Σ_2 the two rulings of lines lying on Q.

Lemma 3.2. Let *E* be a scheme of *t* lines L_1, \ldots, L_t of Σ_1 and $v \leq t$ lines M_1, \ldots, M_v of Σ_2 . Let $X \subset E$ be a finite set of points such that $|X \cap L_i \cap M_j| = \emptyset$, $\forall i, j, and l_i \geq t+m$, for $i = 1, \ldots, t$, where $l_i = |X \cap L_i|$, for $i = 1, \ldots, t$, $m_i = |X \cap M_i|$ for $i = 1, \ldots, v$ and $m = \max\{m_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq v\}$, $m_i \geq 1$. Then the Hilbert function of *X* depends only on the numbers of the points arranged on each line.

Proof. We can assume that

and

$$l_1 \geq \ldots \geq l_t$$

$$m_1 \geq \ldots \geq m_v$$

If $1 \le n \le t + m_v - 1$ then H(X, n) = H(E, n). In fact let f be $\in I_{X,n}$; since on L_i there are $l_i \ge t + m_1 \ge t + m_v \ge n + 1$ points, $f \in I_{L_1 \cup ... \cup L_t, n}$ and since the points are different from the intersection points between the lines L_i and M_j , f is zero on $t + m_j \ge n + 1$ points on M_j , so f is zero on all M_j , i.e. $f \in I_{E,n}$.

If $t + m_v \le n \le t + m_1 - 1$, $(m_1 > m_v)$, denote $\gamma = \max\{j \mid 1 \le j \le v, m_j + t \ge n + 1\}$, then $H(X, n) = H(L_1 \cup ... \cup L_t \cup M_1 \cup ... \cup M_{\gamma}, n) + \sum_{i=\gamma+1}^{v} m_i$. In fact, as in the previous case, $I_{L_1 \cup ... \cup L_t \cup M_1 \cup ... \cup M_{\gamma}, n} \subset I_{X,n}$, so it is enough to prove that the points lying on $M_{\gamma+1}, ..., M_v$ impose independent conditions to the linear system of the surfaces of degree n containing the lines $L_1, ..., L_t, M_1, ..., M_{\gamma}$. We denote these points $Q_{\gamma+1,1}, ..., Q_{\gamma+1,m_{\gamma+1}}, ..., Q_{v,1}, ..., Q_{v,m_v}$. To do this we prove that there is a surface of degree $\le n$, containing the lines $L_1, ..., L_t, M_1, ..., L_t, M_1, ..., M_{\gamma}$, passing through

$$Q_{\gamma+1,1},\ldots,Q_{\gamma+1,m_{\gamma+1}},\ldots,Q_{\sigma,1},\ldots,Q_{\sigma,k}$$

but not through $Q_{\sigma,k+1}$, $\gamma + 1 \leq \sigma \leq v$, $1 \leq k \leq m_{\sigma}$ (we use again the convention that if $\sigma \leq v-1$ then $Q_{\sigma,m_{\sigma}+1} = Q_{\sigma+1,1}$). Let us consider the planes Π_i containing the lines L_i and M_i , $1 \leq i \leq \sigma - 1$; Π_i passing through L_i but not containing $Q_{\sigma,k+1}$, $\sigma \leq i \leq t$; Π_{t+i} passing through $Q_{\sigma,i}$ but not through $Q_{\sigma,k+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. We have that deg $(\Pi_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Pi_{t+k}) = t + k \leq t + m_{\sigma} \leq n$. If $t + m_1 \leq n \leq l_1 - 1$, $(l_1 > t + m_1)$, called $\beta = \max\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t, l_i \geq n + 1$, then $H(X, n) = H(L_1 \cup \ldots \cup L_{\beta}, n) + \sum_{i=\beta+1}^t l_i + \sum_{i=1}^v m_j$. In fact as

in the previous cases we have only to prove that the points

$$P_{\beta+1,1}, \ldots, P_{\beta+1,l_{\beta+1}}, \ldots, P_{t,1}, \ldots, P_{t,l_t},$$

 $Q_{1,1}, \ldots, Q_{1,m_1}, \ldots, Q_{v,1}, \ldots, Q_{v,m_v}$

 $P_{i,j} \in L_i$ and $Q_{i,j} \in M_i$, impose independent conditions to the linear system of the surfaces of degree *n* containing the lines L_1, \ldots, L_β . For the points $P_{i,j}$ it is the same proof of the Lemma 1. So it is enough to prove that there is a surface of degree $\leq n$ containing the lines L_1, \ldots, L_β , passing through the points

$$P_{\beta+1,1}, \ldots, P_{\beta+1,l_{\beta+1}}, \ldots, P_{t,1}, \ldots, P_{t,l_t},$$

 $Q_{1,1}, \ldots, Q_{1,m_1}, \ldots, Q_{\sigma,1}, \ldots, Q_{\sigma,k}$

 $1 \le \sigma \le v, 1 \le k \le m_{\sigma}$ and not through $Q_{\sigma,k+1}$ (with the usual convention). Let us consider the planes Π_i passing through L_i and $M_i, 1 \le i \le \sigma - 1$; Π_i passing through the lines L_i but not containing $Q_{\sigma,k+1}, \sigma \le i \le t$; Π_{t+i} passing through $Q_{\sigma,1}, \ldots, Q_{\sigma,k}$, but not through $Q_{\sigma,k+1}, 1 \le i \le k$. We have that deg $(\Pi_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Pi_{t+k}) = t + k \le t + m_{\sigma} \le n$.

If $n \ge l_1$ then similar arguments show that $H(X, n) = \deg X$. \Box

In [8] it is proved that for any O-sequence satisfying a "decreasing type" condition there is a 0-dimensional subscheme of a smooth quadric with this O-sequence as its Hilbert function. Unfortunately the construction given in [8] cannot be used for our purpose, so we need a construction "ad hoc". The following technical lemma gives this construction.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\{v_n\}$ be a O-sequence of the type

 $n \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \cdots \quad t-1 \quad t \quad t+1 \quad \cdots \quad t+u-1 \quad t+u \quad \cdots \\ v_n \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad \cdots \quad 2t-1 \quad k_1 \quad k_2 \quad \cdots \quad k_u \quad 0 \quad \cdots$

 $2t \geq k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \ldots \geq k_u > 0, u \geq 1.$

If $\delta = \max\{t, k_1\}$, then there is a 0-dimensional subscheme X, lying on δ lines of Q, such that $\Delta H(X, n) = v_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Delta H(X, n)$ depends only on the number of the points arranged on each line.

Proof. We rename the integers k_1, \ldots, k_u and settle them in the following way:

$$k_1 = h_{q,\alpha_q} = \dots = h_{q,1} > h_{q-1,\alpha_{q-1}} = \dots = h_{q-1,1} > \dots$$

 $\dots > h_{1,\alpha_1} = \dots = h_{1,1} = k_u.$

We set $\beta_i = h_{i,1}$; s = t + u; $r = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i = t^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_i \beta_i$. We have that $\beta_1 = k_u$, $\beta_q = k_1$ and $u = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_i$.

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3_k$ be a smooth quadric and Σ_1 and Σ_2 the two rulings of lines on Q. We have two cases.

First case: $0 < k_1 \le t$. We arrange r points on any t lines of Σ_1 , in the following way:

on	β_1	lines we take any	S	points on each
"	$\beta_2 - \beta_1$	"	$s - \alpha_1$	"
• • •				
,,	$\beta_q - \beta_{q-1}$	"	$s - \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \alpha_i$	"
,,	$t - \beta_q$	"	$s - \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_i$	"

So the number of points is

$$\begin{split} \beta_{1}s + \sum_{i=2}^{q} (\beta_{i} - \beta_{i-1})(s - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{j}) + t(t - \beta_{q}) \\ &= \beta_{1}s + \sum_{i=2}^{q} \beta_{i}(s - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{j}) - \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \beta_{i}(s - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_{j}) + t^{2} - t\beta_{q} \\ &= \beta_{1}s + \beta_{q}s - \beta_{1}s + \beta_{1}\alpha_{1} - \beta_{q} \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \alpha_{j} + \sum_{i=2}^{q-1} \beta_{i}\alpha_{i} + t^{2} - t\beta_{q} \\ &= \beta_{q}u + t^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \beta_{i}\alpha_{i} - \beta_{q} \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \alpha_{j} \\ &= \beta_{q}\alpha_{q} + t^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \beta_{i}\alpha_{i} = r. \end{split}$$

We have to verify that the first difference of the Hilbert function of this set of points X is equal to v_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We write E_i for a scheme made up by *i* skew lines on Q. The hypotheses of the Lemma 3.1 are satisfied. So for computing H(X, n) we have to calculate the number γ_n of the lines on which we put more than *n* points and the number ζ_n of the points that lie on the other $t - \gamma_n$ lines. If $1 \le n \le t - 1$, $\gamma_n = t$, so $\Delta H(X, n) = \Delta H(E_t, n) = v_n$.

If $t \le n \le s - 1$ then we can write $n = t + \sum_{i=m+1}^{q} \alpha_i + k$, $1 \le m \le q$, $0 \le k \le \alpha_m - 1$, so $\gamma_n = \beta_m$. If $k \ge 1$ then also $\gamma_{n-1} = \beta_m$, so, by the Lemma 3.1, $\Delta H(X, n) = H(X, n) - H(X, n-1) = H(E_{\beta_m}, n) + \zeta_n - H(E_{\beta_m}, n-1) - \zeta_n = \Delta H(E_{\beta_m}, n) = \beta_m = v_n$; if k = 0, $\gamma_{n-1} = \beta_{m+1}$, so $\Delta H(X, n) = H(X, n) - H(X, n-1) = H(E_{\beta_m}, n) + \zeta_n - H(E_{\beta_{m+1}}, n-1) - \zeta_{n-1} = \beta_m^2 + (n - \beta_m + 1)\beta_m + \sum_{i=m}^{q} (\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i)(s - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_j) - \beta_{m+1}^2 + (n - (1 - \beta_{m+1} + 1)\beta_{m+1} - \sum_{i=m+1}^{q} (\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i)(s - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_j) = n\beta_m + \beta_m - n\beta_{m+1} + (\beta_{m+1} - \beta_m)(t + \sum_{j=m+1}^{q} \alpha_j) = n(\beta_m - \beta_{m+1}) + \beta_m + (\beta_{m+1} - \beta_m)n = \beta_m = v_n$. If $n \ge s$ then $\gamma_n = 0$ and $\zeta_n = r$, so $\Delta H(X, n) = 0 = v_n$.

Second case: $t + 1 \le k_1 \le 2t$. We set $p = \min\{1 \le i \le q \mid \beta_i \ge t\}$ and $\bar{b} = \beta_p - t$. We have that $0 \le \bar{b} < \beta_p - \beta_{p-1}$. We choose our points X on t

lines L_1, \ldots, L_t of Σ_1 in the following way:

on
$$\beta_1$$
 lines we take any s points on each
" $\beta_2 - \beta_1$ " $s - \alpha_1$ "
...
" $\beta_{p-1} - \beta_{p-2}$ " $s - \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \alpha_i$ "
" $\beta_p - \beta_{p-1} - \overline{b}$ " $s - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_i$ "

and on $k_1 - t$ lines M_1, \ldots, M_{k_1-t} of Σ_2 with the only restriction $X \cap L_i \cap M_j = \emptyset$, $\forall i, j$, in the following way:

on	$ar{b}$	lines we take	$u - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \alpha_i$	points on each
"	$\beta_{p+1} - \beta_p$	"	$u - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i$	"
•••	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •
"	$\beta_q - \beta_{q-1}$	"	$u - \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \alpha_i$	"

The number of these points is

$$\beta_{1}s + \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} (\beta_{i} - \beta_{i-1})(s - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{j}) + (\beta_{p} - \beta_{p-1} - \bar{b})(s - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{j}) + + \bar{b}(u - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{j}) + \sum_{i=p+1}^{q} (\beta_{i} - \beta_{i-1})(u - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{j}) = = t^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \beta_{i}\alpha_{i} = r.$$

Again we have to prove that $\Delta H(X, n) = v_n$. We write E_{ij} for a scheme made up by *i* lines of Σ_1 and by *j* lines of Σ_2 and we set $E = E_{tk_1-t}$. Because of our choice the hypotheses of the Lemma 3.2 are satisfied.

If $1 \le n \le t - 1$ then $\Delta H(X, n) = \Delta H(E, n) = 2n + 1 = v_n$.

If $t \le n \le t + \alpha_q - 1$ then $\Delta H(X, n) = \Delta H(E, n) = \beta_q = v_n$.

If $t + \alpha_q \leq n \leq t + \sum_{i=p}^q \alpha_i - 1$ then every lines of E in Σ_1 have more than n points of X. Let γ_n be the number of the lines of Σ_2 on which we have more than n points and ζ_n the number of the points lying on the other $\beta_q - t - \gamma_n$ lines of Σ_2 . Then $H(X, n) = H(E_{t\gamma_n}, n) + \zeta_n$. We write $n = t + \sum_{i=m+1}^q \alpha_i + k$, $p - 1 \leq m \leq q$, $0 \leq k \leq \alpha_m - 1$. Then
$$\begin{split} \gamma_n &= \bar{b} + \beta_m - \beta_p = \beta_m - t. \text{ If } k \geq 1 \text{ then also } \gamma_{n-1} = \beta_m - t \text{ and } \zeta_{n-1} = \zeta_n, \text{ so,} \\ \text{by the Lemma 3.2, } \Delta H(X, n) &= \Delta H(E_{t\gamma_n}, n) = t + \gamma_n = t + \beta_m - t = \beta_m = v_n. \\ \text{If } k &= 0, \gamma_{n-1} = \beta_{m+1} - t, \text{ so } \Delta H(X, n) = H(E_{t\gamma_n}, n) + \zeta_n - H(E_{t\gamma_{n-1}}, n) - \zeta_{n-1} = t^2 + (1 + \sum_{i=m+1}^q \alpha_i)(t + \gamma_n) + \sum_{i=m}^q (\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i) \sum_{j=i+1}^q \alpha_j - t^2 - \sum_{i=m+1}^q \alpha_i(t + \gamma_{n-1}) - \sum_{i=m+1}^q (\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i) \sum_{j=i+1}^q \alpha_j = t + \gamma_n + \sum_{i=m+1}^q \alpha_i \gamma_n - \sum_{i=m+1}^q \alpha_i \gamma_{n-1} + (\beta_{m+1} - \beta_m) \sum_{j=m+1}^q \alpha_j = \beta_m = v_n. \end{split}$$
Similar arguments work for $t + \sum_{i=p}^q \alpha_i \leq n \leq s - 1 \text{ and } n \geq s. \Box$

Example 3.4. Let us consider the following O-sequence:

п	0	•••	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	• • •
v_n	1	• • •	11	12	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	4	4	0	

We have that $\beta_1 = 4$, $\beta_2 = 8$, $\beta_3 = 10$, $\beta_4 = 12$; $\alpha_1 = 2$, $\alpha_2 = 4$, $\alpha_3 = 3$, $\alpha_4 = 1$; t = 6, u = 10, s = 16; p = 2, $\bar{b} = 2$.

We put our points in the following way:

on	4	lines of Σ_1 we take any	16	points on each
"	2	"	14	"

and, distinct from the intersection points:

on	2	lines of Σ_2 we take	8	points on each
"	2	"	4	"
,,	2	"	1	point on each.

4. The main result.

In this section we prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.1. Let *C* be an irreducible curve, lying on a smooth quadric, of type $(a, b), 1 \le a \le b$. Let $\{v_n\}$ be a *O*-sequence of the kind

п	0	•••	$b\!-\!1$	b		s-1	S	•••	s + e - 1	s+e	• • •
v_n	1		2b - 1	d	• • •	d	h_1		h_e	0	

 $d = a+b, d-1 \ge h_1, h_e \ge 1, 0 \le e \le a \text{ and } h_n - h_{n+1} \ge 2 \text{ for } 1 \le n \le e-1.$ Then there is a 0-dimensional scheme $X \subset C$, such that $\Delta H(X, n) = v_n$,

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3_k$ be a smooth quadric and let Σ_1 and Σ_2 be the rulings of lines on Q of type (1, 0) and (0, 1) respectively. We set c = b - a.

Let *S* be a surface such that deg S = s and $C \not\subset S$ and let $R_1, \ldots, R_c \in \Sigma_1$, $R_i \neq R_j, \forall i \neq j$, such that $R_i \not\subset S$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$. We call *D* the curve $C \cup R_1 \cup \ldots \cup R_c$. Then *D* is a complete intersection curve on *Q* of type (b, b) and the scheme $Z = S \cap D$ is a 0-dimensional complete intersection.

If $\vartheta = s + b - 1$ then we consider the following O-sequence

$$\bar{v}_n = \Delta H(Z, \vartheta - n) - v_{\vartheta - n}$$

i.e.

 $t = b - e, 2t \ge k_1 \ge \ldots \ge k_e \ge c.$

Let Y be a 0-dimensional scheme of cs points, arranged s for any line R_i , such that they are distinct from the b points where R_i meets C. If we are able to build a zero dimensional scheme $\bar{X} \subset C$, such that $\Delta H(\bar{X} \cup Y, n) = \bar{v}_n$ and a surface S, deg S = s, such that $C \not\subset S$, $R_i \not\subset S$ for $1 \le i \le c$, $\bar{X} \cup Y \subset S$, then for the *liaison theory*, (see [6] and [1]), the theorem is proved.

To build the scheme \overline{X} we want obviously to use the Lemma 3.3. Since each line of Σ_1 meets C in b points and each line of Σ_2 meets C in a points it is enough to show that the construction of the Lemma 3.3, in this situation, uses a number of points $\leq b$ for the lines of Σ_1 (except the points that we put on the lines R_1, \ldots, R_c) and a number $\leq a$ for the lines of Σ_2 .

With the same notation of the Lemma 3.3 if $s \ge b + 1$ then $\beta_1 = c$ and $\alpha_1 \ge s - b$. Our construction uses first of all c lines of Σ_1 where we put s points. We take as such lines just the R_1, \ldots, R_c . Instead, on the other lines of Σ_1 we must take a number of points $\le s - \alpha_1 \le s - s + b = b$. If $\beta_q > t$ then our construction uses lines of Σ_2 too. We can have p = 1 or $p \ge 2$. If p = 1 then

$$c = \beta_1 \ge t \Rightarrow b - a \ge b - e \Rightarrow a \le e \Rightarrow a = e,$$

hence $\overline{b} = 0$ so we must put on these lines a number of points $\leq s - t - \alpha_1 \leq s - b + e - \alpha_1 \leq s - b + e - s + b = e = a$. If $p \geq 2$ then the number of points is $\leq s - t - \alpha_1 - \ldots - \alpha_{p-1} \leq s - b - e - \alpha_1 \leq s - b + e - s + b = e \leq a$.

If s = b then $\beta_1 = k_e = 2b - 1 - h_1 \ge 2b - 1 - a - b + 1 = c$, so we can repeat the same arguments.

So far we have built the 0-dimensional scheme $\bar{X} \cup Y$. The existence of the surface S is trivial.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.D. Davis A.V. Geramita F. Orecchia, *Gorenstein algebras and the Cayley-Bacharach theorem*, Proc. A.M.S., 93–4 (1985), pp. 593–597.
- [2] S. Giuffrida R. Maggioni, On the Rao module of a curve lying on a smooth cubic surface in P³, Communications in algebra, 18–7 (1990), pp. 2039–2061.
- [3] S. Giuffrida R. Maggioni A. Ragusa, On the postulation of 0-dimensional subschemes on a smooth quadric, Pac. J. Math., 155 (1992), pp. 251–282.
- [4] S. Giuffrida R. Maggioni A. Ragusa, *Resolutions of 0-dimensional subschemes* of a smooth quadric, Proc. International Conference, Ravello, 1992.
- [5] G. Paxia G. Raciti A. Ragusa, Uniform position properties and Hilbert functions for points on a smooth quadric, J.Algebra, 149 (1992), pp. 102–121.
- [6] C. Peskine L. Szpiro, *Liaison des variétés algébriques*. *I*, Inventiones Math., 26 (1974), pp. 271–302.
- [7] G. Raciti, Hilbert function and geometric properties for a closed zero-dimensional subscheme of a quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, Communications in algebra, 18–9 (1990), pp. 3041–3053.
- [8] G. Raciti, Construction of a set of points on a smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with assigned Hilbert function, The curve seminar at Queen's vol. VI Queen's papers in pure and applied mathematics, 83 (1989), J1–J13.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Catania, Viale Andrea Doria 6, 95125 Catania (ITALY)