

CAUSAL (ANTICAUSAL) BESSEL DERIVATIVE AND THE ULTRAHYPERBOLIC BESSEL OPERATOR

MANUEL AGUIRRE TÉLLEZ - RUBÉN ALEJANDRO CERUTTI

Let B_C^α and B_A^α be ultrahyperbolic Bessel operator causal (anticausal) of the order α defined by $B_C^\alpha f = G_\alpha(P + i0, m, n) * f$, $B_A^\alpha f = G_\alpha(P - i0, m, n) * f$ and let D_C^α and D_A^α be generalized causal (anticausal) Bessel derivative of order α defined by $D_C^\alpha f = G_{-\alpha}(P - i0, m, n) * f$, $D_A^\alpha f = G_{-\alpha}(P + i0, m, n) * f$. In this note we give a sense to several relations of type:

$$\begin{aligned} & B_C^\alpha(B_A^\beta f) + B_A^\alpha(B_C^\beta f), \\ & D_C^\alpha(D_A^\beta f) + D_A^\alpha(D_C^\beta f), \\ & e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i} B_A^\alpha(B_C^\beta f) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i} B_C^\alpha(B_A^\beta f), \\ & e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i} D_A^\alpha(D_C^\beta f) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i} D_C^\alpha(D_A^\beta f). \end{aligned}$$

I.1. Introduction.

Let $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ be a point of the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and let $P = P(t)$ be a non degenerate quadratic form in n -variables of the form

$$(I; 1.1) \quad P = P(t) = t_1^2 + \dots + t_p^2 - t_{p+1}^2 - \dots - t_{p+q}^2,$$

where $p + q = n$.

Let $G_\alpha(P \pm i0, m, n)$ be the causal (anticausal) distribution defined by

$$(I;1.2) \quad G_\alpha(P \pm i0, m, n) = H_\alpha(m, n)(P \pm i0)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\alpha-n}{2})} K_{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}}\left(\sqrt{m^2(P \pm i0)}\right),$$

where m is a positive real number, α a complex number, K_ν designates the modified Bessel function of third kind

$$K_\nu(z) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)}{\sin \pi \nu},$$

$$I_\nu(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu+2m}}{m! \Gamma(m + \nu + 1)}$$

and

$$H_\alpha(m, n) = \frac{2^{1-\frac{\alpha+n}{2}} (m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n-\alpha}{2})} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}qi}}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})},$$

$(P \pm i0)^\lambda$ is the distribution defined by

$$(I;1.3) \quad (P \pm i0)^\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (P \pm i\varepsilon |t|^2)^\lambda;$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $|t|^2 = t_1^2 + \dots + t_n^2$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $P = P(t)$ is the quadratic form defined in (I;1.1) (cf. [7], p. 275).

The following formula is valid (cf. [11], p. 35)

$$(I;1.4) \quad \mathcal{F}[G_\alpha(P \pm i0, m, n)] = \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} (m^2 + Q \mp i0)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

where $(m^2 + Q \pm i0)^\lambda$ is the distribution defined by

$$(I;1.5) \quad (m^2 + Q \pm i0)^\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (m^2 + Q \pm i\varepsilon |t|^2)^\lambda;$$

m is a positive real number, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform.

From [3], p. 566, we have,

$$(I;1.6) \quad (m^2 + Q \pm i0)^\lambda = (m^2 + Q)_+^\lambda + e^{\pm \lambda \pi i} (m^2 + Q)_-^\lambda,$$

where

$$(I;1.7) \quad (m^2 + Q)_\pm^\lambda = \begin{cases} (m^2 + Q)^\lambda & \text{if } m^2 + Q \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m^2 + Q < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(I;1.8) \quad (m^2 + Q)_-^\lambda = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m^2 + Q > 0 \\ (-m^2 - Q)^\lambda & \text{if } m^2 + Q \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

From (I;1.6) and considering the formula

$$(I;1.9) \quad \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin z\pi}$$

we have

$$(I;1.10) \quad (m^2 + Q)_-^\lambda = \Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(1-\lambda)(2\pi i)^{-1} [(m^2 + Q + i0)^\lambda - (m^2 + Q - i0)^\lambda]$$

and

$$(I;1.11) \quad (m^2 + Q)_+^\lambda = \Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(1-\lambda)(2\pi i)^{-1} \cdot [e^{\lambda\pi i}(m^2 + Q - i0)^\lambda - e^{-\lambda\pi i}(m^2 + Q + i0)^\lambda]$$

On the other hand, S.E. Trione ([11], p. 23, formula (I,3.6)) proves the validity of multiplicative product:

$$(I;1.12) \quad (m^2 + Q \pm i0)^\lambda \cdot (m^2 + Q \pm i0)^\mu = (m^2 + Q \pm i0)^{\lambda+\mu}$$

for $m > 0$, where

$$(I;1.13) \quad m^2 + Q = m^2 + y_1^2 + \dots + y_p^2 - y_{p+1}^2 - \dots - y_{p+q}^2$$

and $(m^2 + Q \pm i0)^\lambda$ is defined by (I;1.5).

Let $B^\alpha f$ be the ultrahyperbolic Bessel operator defined by the formula

$$(I;1.14) \quad B^\alpha f = G_\alpha * f, \quad f \in S,$$

(cf. [10], p. 75).

We consider an auxiliary weight function $\lambda_\alpha(P \pm i0, m, n)$ defined as follows

$$(I;1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_\alpha(P \pm i0, m, n) &= \\ &= \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}q} 2^{1-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} (m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n+\alpha}{2})}}{\Gamma(\frac{n+\alpha}{2})} (P \pm i0)^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} K_{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} \left(\sqrt{m^2(P \pm i0)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [6]), and

$$(I;1.16) \quad \lambda_\alpha(|t|^2, m = 1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n+\alpha}{2})} \int_0^\infty \eta^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}-1} e^{-\eta - \frac{|t|^2}{4\eta}} d\eta,$$

$\lambda_\alpha(P \pm i0, m, n)$ is the causal (anticausal) analogue of the weight function introduced by Rubin (cf. [8]).

Let f be a function belong to S , S the Schwartz class of infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n decreasing at infinity faster than $|x|^{-1}$. The weighted difference of order ℓ of a function f at the point x , with interval t and weight λ_α , is defined by

$$(I;1.17) \quad (\Delta_t^\ell f)(x, \lambda_\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^\ell \binom{\ell}{k} (-1)^k \lambda_\alpha(k(P \pm i0)) f(x - kt).$$

Let the following causal hypersingular operator on weighted differences defined by

$$(I;1.18) \quad (T_\ell^\alpha f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (P + i0)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\ell \binom{\ell}{k} (-1)^k \lambda_\alpha(k(P + i0)) f(x - kt) \right\} dt,$$

(cf. [5], p. 72).

The following formula is valid (cf. [5])

$$(I;1.19) \quad \mathcal{F}[T_\ell^\alpha f](\xi) = d_{n,\ell}(\alpha)(m^2 + Q - i0)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{F}[f](\xi),$$

where

$$(I;1.20) \quad d_{n,\ell}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}+1} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}q} \mathcal{A}_\ell(\alpha)}{2^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{n+\alpha}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2} + 1) \sin \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}} & \text{for } \alpha \neq 2, 4, \dots \\ \frac{(-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}q} 2^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2} + 1) \Gamma(\frac{n+\alpha}{2})} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \mathcal{A}_\ell(\alpha) & \text{for } \alpha = 2, 4, \dots \end{cases}$$

here $\mathcal{A}_\ell(\alpha)$ is defined by

$$(I;1.21) \quad \mathcal{A}_\ell(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^\ell \binom{\ell}{k} (-1)^k k^\alpha.$$

For $\alpha \neq 1, 3, 5, \dots$ we define the generalized causal Bessel derivative as follows

$$(I;1.22) \quad D_C^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{d_{n,\ell}(\alpha)} (T_\ell^\alpha f)(x)$$

(cf. [5]).

Then in virtue of (I;1.11), we have

$$(I;1.23) \quad \mathcal{F}[D^\alpha f](\xi) = (m^2 + Q - i0)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathcal{F}[f](\xi).$$

We define (cf. [5]) the ultrahyperbolic causal Bessel operator by the formula

$$(I;1.24) \quad B_C^\alpha f = G_\alpha(P + i0, m, n) * f$$

and the ultrahyperbolic anticausal Bessel operator as

$$(I;1.25) \quad B_A^\alpha f = G_\alpha(P - i0, m, n) * f.$$

The generalized anticausal Bessel operator is the anticausal analogue to the define in (I;1.14), and in the same way we have the generalized anticausal Bessel derivative

$$(I;1.26) \quad D_A^\alpha f = \frac{1}{d_{n,\ell}(\alpha)} (T_\alpha^\ell f)(x).$$

We had prove (cf. [5]) that

$$(I;1.27) \quad \mathcal{D}_C^\alpha B_C^\alpha f = f$$

and, analogously

$$(I;1.28) \quad \mathcal{D}_A^\alpha B_A^\alpha f = f.$$

Also we had prove that (cf. [5])

$$(I;1.29) \quad \mathcal{D}_C^\alpha f = G_{-\alpha}(P - i0, m, n) * f.$$

I.2. In this paragraph we will consignate some elementary properties of the ultrahyperbolic causal Bessel kernel. We begin by observe that the distributional function $G_\alpha(P \pm i0, m, n)$ is the causal (anticausal) analogue of the kernel due to A. Calderón and Aronszajn-Smith (cf. [4] and [2]) and share many properties with the Bessel kernel, like the following:

a) for all α, β complex numbers

$$(I;2.1) \quad \mathcal{F}[G_\alpha * G_\beta] = \mathcal{F}[G_\alpha] \cdot \mathcal{F}[G_\beta]$$

and

$$(I;2.2) \quad G_\alpha * G_\beta = G_{\alpha+\beta}$$

(cf. [11], p. 37).

b)

$$(I;2.3) \quad G_{-2k}(P \pm i0, m, n) = K^k(\delta)$$

and when $k = 0$

$$(I;2.4) \quad G_0(P \pm i0, m, n) = \delta,$$

where K^k designate the n -dimensional ultrahyperbolic Klein-Gordon operator iterated k -times

$$(I;2.5) \quad K^k = \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_p^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_{p+1}^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_{p+q}^2} + m^2 \right\}^k,$$

where m is a positive real number.

c) The distributional functions $G_{2k}(P \pm i0, m, n)$ where n is an integer ≥ 2 ; $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are elementary causal (anticausal) solutions of the ultrahyperbolic Klein-Gordon operator iterated k times.

$$(I;2.6) \quad K^k\{G_{2k}\} = \delta.$$

I.3. Along this paper we shall need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let λ and μ be complex numbers such that λ, μ and $\lambda + \mu \neq -k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$; then the following formulae are valid*

$$(I;3.1) \quad e^{(\lambda-\mu)\pi i}(m^2 + Q - i0)^\lambda \cdot (m^2 + Q + i0)^\mu + \\ + e^{-(\lambda-\mu)\pi i}(m^2 + Q + i0)^\lambda \cdot (m^2 + Q - i0)^\mu = \\ = [1 - C(\lambda, \mu)]e^{(\lambda+\mu)\pi i}(m^2 + Q + i0)^{\lambda+\mu} + \\ + [1 + C(\lambda, \mu)]e^{-(\lambda+\mu)\pi i}(m^2 + Q - i0)^{\lambda+\mu}$$

and

$$(I;3.2) \quad (m^2 + Q + i0)^\lambda \cdot (m^2 + Q - i0)^\mu + (m^2 + Q - i0)^\lambda \cdot \\ \cdot (m^2 + Q + i0)^\mu = [1 + C(\lambda, \mu)](m^2 + Q - i0)^{\lambda+\mu} + \\ + [1 - C(\lambda, \mu)](m^2 + Q + i0)^{\lambda+\mu},$$

where

$$(I;3.3) \quad C(\lambda, \mu) = 2i \sin \lambda \pi \cdot \sin \mu \pi \csc(\lambda + \mu)\pi = \\ = \frac{2\pi i \Gamma(\lambda + \mu) \Gamma(1 - \lambda - \mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(1 - \lambda) \Gamma(\mu) \Gamma(1 - \mu)}$$

and $(m^2 + Q \pm i0)^\lambda$ is defined by (I;1.5).

Proof. It results from (I;1.10), (I;1.11) and considering the multiplicative product (I;1.12) (see [11], p. 39).

In the next we will write

$$(I;3.4) \quad G_C^\alpha = G_\alpha(P + i0, m, n)$$

and

$$(I;3.5) \quad G_A^\alpha = G_\alpha(P - i0, m, n),$$

where $G_\alpha(P \pm i0, m, n)$ is defined by (I;1.2).

On the other hand, from [11], p. 37, we have

$$(I;3.6) \quad G_{-2k}(P \pm i0, m, n) \in O'_C$$

and

$$(I;3.7) \quad G_\alpha(P \pm i0, m, n) \in S' \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in C,$$

where O'_C designates the space of rapidly decreasing distribution ([9], p. 244) and S' designates the dual of S and S is the Schwartz set of functions ([9], p. 268).

Lemma 2. *Let α and β be complex numbers, then the following formula is valid*

$$(I;3.8) \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}(G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta = \\ = \left[1 - C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right]e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i}G_A^{\alpha+\beta} + \\ + \left[1 + C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right]e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i}G_C^{\alpha+\beta}$$

and

$$(I;3.9) \quad G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta + G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta = \\ = \left[1 + C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right]G_C^{\alpha+\beta} + \left[1 - C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right]G_A^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Proof. From (I;2.14) we conclude, by appealing to the theorem of Schwartz (cf. [9], p. 268), that the following formula is valid

$$(I;3.10) \quad \mathcal{F}\{e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}(G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}(G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta)\} = \\ = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}\mathcal{F}\{G_A^\alpha\} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{G_C^\beta\} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}\mathcal{F}\{G_C^\alpha\} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{G_A^\beta\}$$

and

$$(I;3.11) \quad \mathcal{F}\{G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta + G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta\} = \mathcal{F}\{G_A^\alpha\} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{G_C^\beta\} + \mathcal{F}\{G_C^\alpha\} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{G_A^\beta\}.$$

Substituting (I;1.4) into (I;3.10) and (I;3.11) we have

$$(I;3.12) \quad \mathcal{F}\{e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}(G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}(G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta)\} = \\ = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}[e^{(\alpha+\beta)\frac{\pi i}{2}} \cdot (m^2 + Q + i0)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(m^2 + Q - i0)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}](2\pi)^{-n} + \\ + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}[e^{(\alpha+\beta)\frac{\pi i}{2}}(m^2 + Q - i0)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot (m^2 + Q + i0)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}](2\pi)^{-n}$$

and

$$(I;3.13) \quad \mathcal{F}\{G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta + G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta\} = \\ = e^{(\alpha+\beta)\frac{\pi i}{2}}[(m^2 + Q + i0)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot (m^2 + Q - i0)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}](2\pi)^{-n} + \\ + e^{(\alpha+\beta)\frac{\pi i}{2}}[(m^2 + Q - i0)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot (m^2 + Q + i0)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}](2\pi)^{-n}.$$

Putting $\lambda = -\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $\mu = -\frac{\beta}{2}$ in (I;3.1) and (I;3.2) and substituting in the right hand member of (I;3.12) and (I;3.13) we have

$$(I;3.14) \quad \mathcal{F}\{e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}(G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}(G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta)\} = \\ = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{(\alpha+\beta)\frac{\pi i}{2}} \left\{ \left(1 - C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i} (m^2 + Q + i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} + \right. \\ \left. + \left(1 + C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i} (m^2 + Q - i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \right\}$$

and

$$(I;3.15) \quad \mathcal{F}\{G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta + G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta\} = \\ = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{(\alpha+\beta)\frac{\pi i}{2}} \left\{ \left(1 + C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) (m^2 + Q - i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} + \right. \\ \left. + \left(1 - C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) (m^2 + Q + i0)^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \right\}.$$

By substituting (I;1.4) in (I;3.14), (I;3.15) and taking into account the uniqueness theorem of the Fourier transform, we conclude

$$(I;3.16) \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}(G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i}(G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta) = \\ = \left(1 - C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i} G_A^{\alpha+\beta} + \\ + \left(1 + C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i} G_C^{\alpha+\beta}$$

and

$$(I;3.17) \quad G_A^\alpha * G_C^\beta + G_C^\alpha * G_A^\beta = \\ = \left(1 + C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) G_C^{\alpha+\beta} + \left(1 - C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) G_A^{\alpha+\beta}$$

which is the thesis of Lemma 2.

II.1.

Theorem 1. Let α, β be complex numbers and let B_C^λ (resp. B_A^λ) be the ultrahyperbolic causal (anticausal) Bessel operator of order λ of a function f belong to S . Then

$$(II;1.1) \quad B_C^\alpha(B_A^\beta f) + B_A^\alpha(B_C^\beta f) = \\ = [1 + C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)] B_C^{\alpha+\beta} f + [1 - C\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}\right)] B_A^{\alpha+\beta} f.$$

Proof. It results from the definitions (I;1.16), (I;1.17) and from (I;3.9).

Theorem 2. Let α, β be complex numbers and let D_C^λ (resp. D_A^λ) be the generalized causal (anticausal) Bessel derivative of order λ of a function f belong to S . Then

$$(II;1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D_C^\alpha(D_A^\beta f) + D_A^\alpha(D_C^\beta f) &= \\ &= [1 + C(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2})]D_C^{\alpha+\beta} f + [1 - C(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2})]D_A^{\alpha+\beta} f. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It results from (I;1.14), (I;1.16) and (I;3.9).

Theorem 3. Let α, β be complex numbers and let B_C^λ (resp B_A^λ) be the ultrahyperbolic causal (anticausal) Bessel operator of order λ of a function f belong to S . Then the following formula is valid

$$(II;1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i} B_A^\alpha(B_C^\beta f) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i} B_C^\alpha(B_A^\beta f) &= \\ &= [1 - C(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2})]e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i} B_A^{\alpha+\beta} f + \\ &\quad + [1 + C(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2})]e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i} B_C^{\alpha+\beta} f. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (II;1.3) it results from (I;1.16), (I;1.17) and (I;3.8).

Theorem 4. Let α, β be complex numbers and let D_C^λ (resp. D_A^λ) be the generalized causal (anticausal) Bessel derivative of order λ of a function f belong to S . Then is valid

$$(II;1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i} D_A^\alpha(D_C^\beta f) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\pi i} D_C^\alpha(D_A^\beta f) &= \\ &= [1 - C(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2})]e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i} D_A^{\alpha+\beta} f + \\ &\quad + [1 + C(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2})]e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\pi i} D_C^{\alpha+\beta} f. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (II;1.4) it results from (I;1.14), (I;1.18) and (I;3.8).

Otherwise we can observe that the following formulae are valid for α and β complex numbers

$$(II;1.5) \quad D_C^\beta B_C^\alpha f = D_C^{\beta-\alpha} f.$$

$$(II;1.6) \quad D_A^\beta B_A^\alpha f = D_A^{\beta-\alpha} f.$$

$$(II;1.7) \quad B_C^\beta D_C^\alpha f = B_C^{\beta-\alpha} f.$$

$$(II;1.8) \quad B_A^\beta D_A^\alpha f = B_A^{\beta-\alpha} f.$$

Theorem 5. Let α, β be complex numbers and let $B_C^\lambda(B_A^\lambda)$ be the ultrahyperbolic causal (anticausal) Bessel operator and let $D_C^\mu(D_A^\mu)$ be the generalized causal (anticausal) Bessel derivative of order μ of a function f belong to S . Then the following formula is valid

$$(II;1.9) \quad B_C^\alpha(D_A^\beta f) + B_A^\alpha(D_C^\beta f) = \\ = (1 + C(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}))B_C^{\alpha-\beta} + (1 - C(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta}{2}))B_A^{\alpha-\beta}.$$

Proof. (II;1.9) results from (I;1.16), (I;1.17) and (I;2.8).

Theorem 6. Let α, β be complex numbers and let $B_C^\lambda(B_A^\lambda)$ be the ultrahyperbolic causal (anticausal) Bessel operator of order λ and let D_C^μ (resp D_A^μ) be the generalized causal (anticausal) Bessel derivative of order μ of a function f belong to S . Then is valid

$$(II;1.10) \quad D_C^\alpha(B_A^\beta f) + D_A^\alpha(B_C^\beta f) = \\ = (1 + C(\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}))D_C^{\alpha+\beta} f + (1 - C(\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\beta}{2}))D_A^{\alpha+\beta} f.$$

Proof. From (I;1.14), (I;1.15) and (I;2.13) it results (II;1.10).

REFERENCES

- [1] M.A. Aguirre, *Multiplicative and convolution products of causal distribution*, Serie I, Trabajos de Matemática N.166, Instituto Argentino de Matemática, IAM-CONICET, 1990.
- [2] N. Aronszajn - K.T. Smith, *Theory of Bessel Potentials, I*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 11 (1961), pp. 385–475.
- [3] D.W. Bresters, *On distributions connected with quadratic forms*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 16 (1968), pp. 563–581.
- [4] A.P. Calderón, *Lebesgue space of differentiable function and distributions*, Proceedings of Sym. in Pure Mathematics, Vol. IV, Partial Diff. Eq., 1961.
- [5] R.A. Cerutti, *On the inversion of Bessel potentials*, Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina, 39 (1994), pp. 70–75.
- [6] R.A. Cerutti, *On the ultrahyperbolic Bessel operator: an inversion theorem*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 22 - 2 (1995).

- [7] I.M. Gelfand - G.E. Shilov, *Generalized functions*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [8] B.S. Rubin, *Description and inversion of Bessel potentials by using hypersingular integrals with weighted differences*, *Differential Equations*, 22 - 10 (1986), pp. 1246–1256.
- [9] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [10] S.E. Trione, *Inversion of ultrahyperbolic Bessel operators*, *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, 34 (1988), pp. 69–77.
- [11] S.E. Trione, *Distributional Products*, *Cursos de Matemática N. 3, Serie II*, IAM-CONICET, 1981.

*Manuel Aguirre Téllez,
Facultad de Ciencias Exactas,
Universidad del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires,
Pinto 399,
7000 Tandil (ARGENTINA)*

*Rubén Alejandro Cerutti,
Facultad de Ciencias Exactas,
Universidad Nacional del Norderste,
9 de Julio 1449,
3400 Corrientes (ARGENTINA)*