

A VERY AMPLENESS RESULT

ANTONIO LAFACE

Let (M, L) be a polarized manifold. The aim of this paper is to establish a connection between the generators of the graded algebra $\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} H^0(M, iL)$ and the very ampleness of the line bundle rL . Some applications are given.

1. Introduction.

Let L be an ample line bundle on an algebraic manifold M , the problem of finding the least n such that nL is very ample is a basic one in the classification theory of polarized varieties. Many attempts were made in order to establish a general formula. A fundamental result due to Matsusaka [9] says that there is a constant c , depending only on the Hilbert polynomial of (M, L) , such that cL is very ample. Moving in another direction Fujita conjectured ([5], § 2, Conjecture b) that $K_M + (n + 2)L$ is very ample and $K_M + (n + 1)L$ is spanned for every polarized manifold (M, L) with $n = \dim M$. In this paper we consider the graded algebra:

$$G(M, L) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} H^0(M, iL).$$

Now the generators of this algebra describe the whole structure of (M, L) . They also allows us to determine the embedding of M via the linear system $|rL|$ for $r \gg 0$, so there must be a connection between these generators and the very ampleness of rL . The aim of this paper is pointing out this connection. The

paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we prove the main theorem asserting the very ampleness of rL , outside the base locus of L , for L a r -generated line bundle. This also implies the very ampleness of $2L$ for any ample 2-generated line bundle 2.7. In Section 3 some applications of the main Theorem 2.2 are given, concerning: a) sectionally hyperelliptic polarized varieties of type $(-)$, b) surfaces of general type, c) del Pezzo surfaces.

2. The main theorem.

All notation used in this paper are standard in algebraic geometry. Let L be a line bundle on a projective manifold M and consider the associated graded algebra $G(M, L)$. The following definition generalizes the notion of simply generated line bundle.

Definition 2.1. *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. A line bundle L on M is r -generated if $G(M, L)$ is generated by the sections of $H^0(M, L), \dots, H^0(M, rL)$. We also say that the pair (M, L) is r -generated.*

There is a connection between the r -generation of L and the very ampleness of the line bundle rL ; this connection, extending a known fact holding for simply generated line bundles, is expressed by the following

Theorem 2.2. *Given a polarized manifold (M, L) with L effective and r -generated, then $\varphi_{|rL|}$ is an embedding of $M \setminus Bs|L|$.*

Proof. Set $N = M \setminus Bs|L|$ and $\varphi = \varphi_{|rL|}$. First of all we observe that φ is well defined in N , since L is spanned on N and so are its multiples. By hypothesis there is an integer $k \geq 1$ such that kL is very ample. Take $p, q \in N$ and by contradiction suppose that no section of $H^0(iL)$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$ separates p and q . Then each section $s \in H^0(M, iL)$ that vanish at p must vanish at q too. Consider two sections $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(iL)$, and define γ, λ so that $s_1(p) = \gamma s_1(q)$ e $s_2(p) = \lambda s_2(q)$. If we take $s = s_1(p)s_2 - s_2(p)s_1$ we have that $s(p) = 0$ and necessarily $0 = s(q) = s_1(q)s_2(q)(\gamma - \lambda)$. This implies that $\gamma = \lambda$. We note that if one of the two sections vanishes at q it must vanish also at p , otherwise it will separate p and q , but in this situation we may equally take $\gamma = \lambda$.

The previous argument shows that there exist some constants γ_i associate to each $H^0(iL)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that for each section $s \in H^0(iL)$ we have $s(p) = \gamma_i s(q)$.

Now note that since $G(M, L)$ is an algebra, the constants γ_i have to satisfy the relations:

$$\gamma_i = \gamma^i \text{ where } \gamma := \gamma_1.$$

For, since L is effective there exists $\sigma \in H^0(L)$, then $\sigma^i(p) = \gamma^i \sigma^i(q)$, but $\sigma^i \in H^0(iL)$ so we have also $\sigma^i(p) = \gamma_i \sigma^i(q)$ and this implies the previous equation.

Now let us consider a section $s \in H^0(kL)$. By the r -generation hypothesis the sections of $H^0(M, kL)$ are linear combinations of products of sections in $H^0(iL)$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then we can write $s = \sum \xi_j s_j$ where $\xi_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s_j = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}$ with $\sigma_{n_i} \in H^0(iL)$, where α_i is the number of sections of $H^0(iL)$ that appears in the product. Note that $\sum_{i=0}^r i\alpha_i = k$, because $s_j \in H^0(kL)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s(p) &= \sum \xi_j s_j(p) = \sum \xi_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}(p) \right) = \sum \xi_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \gamma^i \sigma_{n_i}(q) \right) = \\ &= \sum \xi_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^r (\gamma^{i\alpha_i} \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}(q)) \right) = \sum \xi_j \gamma^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^r i\alpha_i\right)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}(q) \right) = \\ &= \sum \xi_j \gamma^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}(q) \right) = \gamma^k \sum \xi_j s_j(q) = \gamma^k s(q). \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtained that the sections of $H^0(kL)$ do not separate points, which is absurd. Then there exists a section $\sigma \in H^0(iL)$ which separates p and q , i.e. $\sigma(p) = 0$ and $\sigma(q) \neq 0$. Since L is spanned outside Bs $|L|$ we may take a section $\delta \in H^0(L)$ such that $\delta(q) \neq 0$. Now the section $\sigma \delta^{r-i} \in H^0(rL)$ separates p and q .

In order to show that the map φ is an immersion at each point $p \in N$ we have to show that for each vector $\tau \in T_p M$, there exists a section $\sigma \in H^0(iL)$ such that $d\sigma(\tau) \neq 0$ and $\sigma(p) = 0$. By contradiction suppose that such a section does not exist, then for each $1 \leq i \leq r$ there exists a constant η_i such that for each $s \in H^0(iL)$, $ds(\tau) = \eta_i s(p)$. To show this let $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(iL)$ and consider α, β such that $ds_1(\tau) = \alpha s_1(p)$ and $ds_2(\tau) = \beta s_2(p)$. Now consider the section $s = s_1(p)s_2 - s_2(p)s_1$; we have $s(p) = 0$ and $0 = ds(\tau) = s_1(p)s_2(p)(\beta - \alpha)$. This implies $\alpha = \beta$.

Define $\eta := \eta_1$. Take $\sigma^i \in H^0(iL)$ then $\eta_i \sigma^i(p) = d[\sigma^i](\tau) = id\sigma(\tau)\sigma^{i-1}(p) = i\eta \sigma^i(p)$. Hence we have:

$$\eta_i = i\eta.$$

Now for a section $s \in H^0(kL)$ we have:

$$ds(\tau) = \sum \xi_j ds_j(\tau) = \sum \xi_j d\left[\prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i} \right](\tau) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum \xi_j \left(\sum_{h=1}^r d \left[\prod_{n_h=1}^{\alpha_h} \sigma_{n_h} \right] (\tau) \prod_{i=1, i \neq h}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}(p) \right) = \\
 &= \sum \xi_j \left(\sum_{h=1}^r \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\alpha_h} d \sigma_m(\tau) \prod_{n_h=1, n_h \neq m}^{\alpha_h} \sigma_{n_h}(p) \right) \prod_{i=1, i \neq h}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}(p) \right) = \\
 &= \sum \xi_j \left(\sum_{h=1}^r \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\alpha_h} h \eta_1 \prod_{n_h=1}^{\alpha_h} \sigma_{n_h}(p) \right) \prod_{i=1, i \neq h}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}(p) \right) = \\
 &= \sum \xi_j \left(\sum_{h=1}^r h \alpha_h \eta_1 \right) \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}(p) = \sum \xi_j k \eta_1 \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{n_i=1}^{\alpha_i} \sigma_{n_i}(p) = \eta_k s(p).
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the sections of $H^0(kL)$ do not separate p from the vector τ , but this contradicts the very ampleness of kL . \square

Theorem 2.2 immediately gives the following

Corollary 2.3. *Let (M, L) a polarized manifold with L spanned and r -generated, then tL is very ample.*

Note that Theorem 2.2 cannot be inverted. The following examples show very ample line bundles L which are not 1-generated.

Example 2.4. Let (S, L) be an abelian surface polarized by a very ample line bundle. Let $L^2 = 2d$, since S is abelian K_S is the trivial bundle, so we have $h^i(L) = h^i(K_S + L) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. It follows that $h^0(L) = \chi(L) = \chi \mathcal{O}_S + \frac{L^2 - LK_S}{2} = d$.

Let $S^2(H^0(L))$ denote the second symmetric power of $H^0(L)$. Then:

$$\dim S^2(H^0(L)) = \frac{d(d+1)}{2}.$$

Now if L is simply generated we have $S^2(H^0(L)) \cong H^0(2L)$, but $h^0(2L) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + \frac{4L^2 - 2LK_S}{2} = 4d$. So if $d \leq 6$ we have $h^0(2L) = 4d > \frac{d(d+1)}{2} = S^2(H^0(L))$. It is well known that there exist abelian surfaces of degree $2d = 10$ in \mathbb{P}^4 .

Example 2.5. Let $Q = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, we have $\text{Pic}(Q) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Let $L_1, L_2 \in \text{Pic}(Q)$ be two generators; then $2L_1 + 4L_2$ is very ample ([6], Ch. 2.18, p. 380). So there exists a smooth curve $C \in |2L_1 + 4L_2|$. Now consider the polarized curve (C, L) , where $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)_C$. Since C is smooth

we have: $2g(C) - 2 = (2L_1 + 4L_2 + K_Q)(2L_1 + 4L_2) = (2L_1 + 4L_2 - 2L_1 - 2L_2)(2L_1 + 4L_2) = 2L_2(2L_1 + 4L_2) = 4$. Hence $g(C) = 3$ and $\text{deg } L = (L_1 + L_2)(2L_1 + 4L_2) = 6 > 2g(C) - 1$. Then $h^1(L) = 0$ and $h^0(L) = 4$. From this it follows that: $\dim S^2(H^0(L)) = h^0(2L) = 10$. Now consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$ and taking cohomology, we obtain:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) \rightarrow H^0(2L) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since C is contained in a quadric it follows that $H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) \neq 0$, and recalling that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) = S^2(H^0(L))$ we immediately see that the map: $S^2(H^0(L)) \rightarrow H^0(2L)$ cannot be surjective.

Theorem 2.2 can be regarded as a generalization of the following well known fact

Proposition 2.6. *Let (M, L) be a polarized manifold and assume that L is simply generated (i.e. 1-generated), then L is very ample.*

We have only to show that in this case $\text{Bs}|L| = \emptyset$. By contradiction suppose that there exists $p \in \text{Bs}|L|$ and let tL be very ample. Since L is 1-generated, each section of tL is a sum of products of sections belonging to $H^0(L)$, then tL would not be spanned at p .

Theorem 2.2 also allows us to prove the following

Theorem 2.7. *Let (M, L) be a polarized manifold with effective and 2-generated line bundle; then $2L$ is very ample.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.2 we have only to show that:

- $2L$ is spanned.
- $2L$ separates p, q with at least one in $\text{Bs}|L|$.
- $2L$ separates $p \in \text{Bs}|L|$ from each $\tau \in T_pM$.

Let $tL, t \geq 2$, be a very ample line bundle. Each section $s \in H^0(tL)$ is of the form

$$(1) \quad s = \sum \left(\prod_{i=0}^a \alpha_i \prod_{j=0}^b \beta_j \right),$$

with $\alpha_i \in H^0(2L)$ and $\beta_j \in H^0(L)$ so that $2a + b = t$. Now $2L$ is spanned outside $Bs|L|$, since $Bs|2L| \subset Bs|L|$. Let $p \in Bs|L|$, if every $\alpha \in H^0(2L)$ vanishes at p then each product in 1 must vanish, so tL is not spanned.

If $p \in Bs|L|$ and $q \notin Bs|L|$ take $\beta \in H^0(L)$ such that $\beta(q) \neq 0$, $\beta^2 \in H^0(2L)$ separates p and q . Let $p, q \in Bs|L|$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 the same shows that there exists a section in $H^0(L)$ or in $H^0(2L)$ separating p from q , then $2L$ separates p and q .

If $p \in Bs|L|$ and $\tau \in T_pM$, then as before we know that exists a section of $H^0(L)$ or of $H^0(2L)$ separating p and τ . But in this case we may have a $\beta \in H^0(L)$ with $d\beta_p(\tau) \neq 0$. This doesn't tell anything on $H^0(2L)$ because $\beta^2(p) = 0$ but also $d\beta_p^2(\tau) = 0$. Now we prove that, if $2L$ does not separate p and τ , such a β does not exist. We have two cases:

t is even. Let η be as in Theorem 2.2 such that $d\alpha_p(\tau) = \eta\alpha(p)$, for each $\alpha \in H^0(2L)$. Let $t = 2r$ and consider $s \in H^0 = (tL)$:

$$\begin{aligned} ds_p(\tau) &= \sum (d(\prod_{i=1}^a \alpha_i \prod_{j=0}^b \beta_j)_p(\tau)) = \sum (d(\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i)_p(\tau)) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j \neq i} (\prod \alpha_j(p)) d\alpha_{i_p}(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \eta (\prod \alpha_j(p)) = \\ &= \sum r \eta (\prod_{j=1}^r \alpha_j(p)) = r \eta s(p). \end{aligned}$$

So tL does not separate p and τ .

t is odd. Since $\beta_j(p) = 0$ for each j , we have:

$$s(p) = \sum (\prod_{i=0}^a \alpha_i(p) \prod_{2j+1=0}^b \beta_j(p)) = 0.$$

Then tL would not span in p . □

3. Some applications.

In this section we discuss some applications of Theorem 2.2.

First we consider a polarized manifold (M, L) with $Bs|L| = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ (a finite set) and we obtain some information on the generator of $G(M, L)$. To apply the main technique: “the Apollonius method” see [3], recall that

given (M, L) be a polarized manifold, a sequence of irreducible and reduced subvarieties D_i of M such that $D_i \in |L_{D_{i-1}}|$ and $D_1 \in |L|$ is called a *ladder* of (M, L) and the D_i are said the *rungs* of the ladder. In the second applications we study some connection between the generator of the canonical ring $G(S, K_S)$ of a surface of general type and the very ampleness of tK_S . Finally we study the very ampleness of $-tK_S$ for a del Pezzo surface.

a) *Hyperelliptic polarized manifold of type $(-)$.*

In this subsection we apply the main theorem to provide an evidence for the first conjecture of Fujita in a special case. First of all we recall Fujita's conjecture, see [5] (§ 2, Conjecture b).

Conjecture 3.1. *For every polarized manifold (M, L) with $\dim M = n$, $K_M + (n + 2)L$ is very ample.*

We recall that the delta-genus $\Delta(M, L)$ of a polarized manifold (M, L) is defined as $\Delta(M, L) = L^n + n - h^0(M, L)$. Here we deal with polarized manifolds with $\Delta(M, L) = 1$. In this case for $L^n \geq 3$ it is known [3] that L is very ample. It is also easy to prove that for $L^n = 2$ the line bundle $(g + 1)L$ is very ample [7].

The case $L^n = 1$ is very difficult to classify and there are only partial results, see [4]. Here we consider only polarized manifolds sectionally hyperelliptic of type $(-)$. In this case Fujita [4] proved the following facts:

- (2) $H^q(M, tL) = 0$ for $q \geq 1, t \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- (3) $K_M = (2g - n - 1)L$ where $g = g(M, L)$ and $n = \dim M$,
- (4) $\text{Pic } M \cong \mathbb{Z}$, generated by L ,
- (5) (M, L) has a ladder.

Properties 2 and 3 are true also for the rungs (D, L_D) of this ladder. In this situation we may apply Theorem 2.2 to prove the very ampleness of $K_M + (n + 2)L = (2g + 1)L$.

We start with a lemma on the r -generation inspired by [3], 2.3.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M, L) be a polarized manifold with $\Delta(M, L) = d(M, L) = 1$, sectionally hyperelliptic of type $(-)$; if the line bundle L_C is r -generated, then L is r -generated.*

Proof. Consider a ladder of $(M, L) : (M_1, L_1) = (M, L), \dots, (M_n, L_n) = (C, L_C)$ and proceed by induction on n .

For $n = 1$ the assumption is true. Now suppose that it is true for $k + 1$ and consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M_k}(-L_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M_{k+1}} \rightarrow 0,$$

tensor with $\mathcal{O}_{M_k}(tL_k)$ and consider the exact cohomology sequence, recalling 2 we get:

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(M_k, (t-1)L_k) \rightarrow H^0(M_k, tL_k) \rightarrow H^0(M_{k+1}, tL_{k+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

So for each t the restriction map ψ :

$$H^0(M_k, tL_k) \rightarrow H^0(M_{k+1}, tL_{k+1})$$

is surjective. Let us take a set of generators $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i$ of $G(M_{k+1}, L_{k+1})$ and their inverse images η_1, \dots, η_i in $G(M_k, L_k)$, if $\sigma \in H^0(M_k, L_k)$ is the defining section of M_{k+1} then $G(M_k, L_k)$ is generated by the η_j and σ . To show this, observe that by 6 $H^0(M_k, L_k)$ is generated by σ and by the η_j that belong to $H^0(M_{k+1}, L_{k+1})$. Proceeding by induction on t it is simple to prove the assertion. Finally observe that η_1, \dots, η_i and σ belong to $H^0(M_k, tL_k)$ with $t \leq r$. This completes the proof. \square

Considering the ladder of (M, L) , by adjunction from 3 we have:

$$K_{M_i} = (2g - i - 1)L_i.$$

Then $K_C = (2g - 2)L_C = (2g - 2)p$, with $p = \text{Bs } |L|$. Hence $g(M, L) = g = g(C)$ the genus of the curve C . Then since C is an hyperelliptic curve we have that L_C is exactly $2g + 1$ -generated. Then by the lemma (M, L) is $2g + 1$ -generated.

The following theorem extends what proved in [8] for $g = 1$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (M, L) be a polarized manifold with $\Delta(M, L) = L^n = 1$, sectionally hyperelliptic of type $(-)$, then $(2g + 1)L$ is very ample.*

Proof. Since L is $(2g + 1)$ -generated, by 2.2 we know that $\varphi_{|(2g+1)L|}$ is an embedding of $M \setminus \{p\}$.

To see that $(2g + 1)L$ is spanned on p we proceed by induction. Clearly $(2g + 1)L_C$ is very ample. Consider a smooth $D \in |L|$ (we know that such a D exists by the ladder property), then consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(2gL) \rightarrow H^0((2g + 1)L) \rightarrow H^0((2g + 1)L_D) \rightarrow 0.$$

By induction we have that $(2g + 1)L_D$ is spanned on p then there exists $\sigma \in H^0((2g + 1)L_D)$ with $\sigma(p) \neq 0$. By the surjectivity of the restriction map we have a $\gamma \in H^0((2g + 1)L)$ such that $\gamma(p) \neq 0$.

To see that $(2g + 1)L$ defines an embedding in p , consider a vector $\tau \in T_pM$. We say that exists a section $\eta \in H^0(M, L)$ such that $d\eta(\tau) \neq 0$. To construct η we may take an hypersurface $D \in |L|$ such that $\tau \notin T_pD$ then the section that define D clearly have a differential that does not vanish on τ . Suppose by contradiction that for each $D \in |L| \tau \in T_pD$ then the intersection of n such D_i cannot be transverse, so $L^n > 1$, absurd. Section η^{2g+1} defines an embedding in p .

Now consider a point $q \in M$ different from p , we have to find a section in $H^0((2g + 1)L)$ that separates p and q , i.e. that vanishes on p but not on q . To see this observe that every section of $H^0(L)$ vanish on p , since $p = \text{Bs } |L|$, but there is a section $\sigma \in H^0(L)$ that does not vanish on q , otherwise $q \in \text{Bs } |L|$. Now consider $\sigma^{2g+1} \in H^0((2g + 1)L)$, this section separates p from q . \square

Theorem 3.3 is as best as possible as proved in the following:

Proposition 3.4. *Given a polarized manifold (M, L) with $\Delta(M, L) = d(M, L) = 1$, sectionally hyperelliptic of type $(-)$, the least integer t such that tL is very ample is $2g + 1$, i.e. $K_M + rL$ is not very ample for $r \leq n + 1$.*

Proof. By contradiction suppose that $2gL$ is very ample and consider a regular ladder of (M, L) . Since the ladder is regular, the very ampleness of $2gL$ implies the very ampleness of the line bundles L_{M_i} .

In particular we have that $2gp$ is very ample, where $p = \text{Bs } |L|$ and $2gp$ is a divisor on C , where C is the one-dimensional element of the ladder. Note that C is an hyperelliptic curve and that $g(M, L) = g(C)$.

Now we may compute canonical bundle by adjunction. By 6 we have $K_M = (2g - n - 1)L$, then we obtain: $K_D = (K_M + L)_D = (2g - n)L_D$, and by induction: $K_C = (2g - 2)L_C$. But $L_C = \text{Bs } |L| = p$ so we have $K_C = (g - 1)2p$. Since C is hyperelliptic we know that $h^0(2p) = 2$. Now consider the canonical map $\varphi_{|K_C|}$ on C and let (σ, τ) is a basis of $H^0(2p)$, a basis of $H^0(K_C)$ is: $\langle \sigma^{g-1}, \sigma^{g-2}\tau, \dots, \tau^{g-1} \rangle$.

Now observe that $\langle \sigma^g, \sigma^{g-1}\tau, \dots, \tau^g \rangle$ is a basis of $H^0(K_C + 2p)$. In fact by Riemann-Roch we have $h^0(K_C + 2p) = g + 1$. This theorem implies that also $\varphi_{|K_C+2p|}$ is a double covering of \mathbb{P}^1 followed by the Veronese embedding, but this implies that $(2g + 1)L_C = K_C + 2p$ is not very ample. \square

b) Surfaces of general type.

Let S be a minimal surface of general type (i.e. of Kodaira dimension 2) and let K_S be its canonical bundle. It is known [1] that K is ample outside the set of

(−2)-curves, i.e. $K_C = 0$ if and only if C is a (−2)-curve.

In literature there are results [1] on the C -isomorphism property of the maps $\varphi_n = \varphi_{|nL|}$, there are also results [2] on the generation of the canonical ring:

$$\bigoplus_{t \geq 1} H^0(S, tK_S).$$

As Theorem 2.2 shows there must be a connection between these results. In the following table the results on the generation of the canonical ring, for surfaces with $q = H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, are compared with the very ampleness of rK_S . For each pair of values of K_S^2 and $P_g(S) = h^0(S, K_S)$ are compared the C -isomorphism property of $\varphi_{|rK_S|}$ and the r -generation of K_S .

K_S^2	φ_{rK_S} is a C -isomorphism	P_g	K_S is r -generated
1	5	0	≤ 6
		1	≤ 4
		2	5
2	4	0	≤ 6
		1	≤ 4
		2	3(★)
		3	4
3	3 K_S is spanned and φ_3 is a birational morphism	0	≤ 6
		1	≤ 4
		2	3(★)
		3	2(●)

Where (★) means that the result is true if $|K_S|$ contains an irreducible element and (●) means that the result is true if K_S is base point free and $\varphi_{|K_S|}(S)$ is not a rational surface of degree $r - 1$ in \mathbb{P}^r ($r = h^0(K_S)$).

We observe that r -generation of K_S is not so far from the very ampleness of rK_S and by Theorem 2.2 we have also the following propositions:

Proposition 3.5. *Let S be a surface of general type with $P_g = K^2 = 2$ and $q = 0$. If the generic element of $|K_S|$ is irreducible, then $\varphi_{|3K_S|}$ is a C -isomorphism outside $Bs |K_S|$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.7 of [2], K_S is 3-generated so the proof follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Similarly one can prove

Proposition 3.6. *Let S a surface of general type with $q = 0$, $P_g \geq 3$, $K_S^2 \geq 3$ and K_S spanned, then we have two cases:*

- $\varphi_{|K_S|}(S)$ is a rational surface of degree $r - 1$ in \mathbb{P}^r ($r = h^0(K_S)$),
- $2K_S$ is a C -isomorphism.

c) *Del Pezzo surfaces.*

In the following table is resumed the correspondence between very ampleness and r -generation for a Del Pezzo Surface. Here $L = -K_S$

degree	very ampleness	r -generation
$L^2 = 3$	L	1-generated
$L^2 = 2$	2L	2-generated
$L^2 = 1$	3L	3-generated

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bombieri, *Canonical model of surfaces of general type*, Publ. IHES, 42 (1973), pp. 447–495.
- [2] C. Ciliberto, *Sul grado dei generatori dell'anello canonico di una superficie di tipo generale*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Polit. Torino, 41 - 3 (1983), pp. 83–111.
- [3] T. Fujita, *Classification theory of polarized varieties*, Cambridge University Press.
- [4] T. Fujita, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one III*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 36 - 1 (1984), pp. 75–89.
- [5] T. Fujita, *Problems on Polarized varieties*, Unpublished supplement to [3].
- [6] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer. n. 52, 1977.
- [7] A. Lanteri - M. Palleschi - A.J. Sommese, *Very ampleness of $K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\dim X}$ for ample and spanned line bundles \mathcal{L}* , Osaka J. Math., 26 (1989), pp. 647–664.

- [8] A. Lanteri - M. Palleschi - A.J. Sommese, *On triple covers of \mathbb{P}^n as very ample divisors*, Classification of Algebraic Varieties, Proc. L'Aquila 1992, Contemporary Mathematics 162, pp. 277–292.
- [9] T. Matsusaka, *Polarized Varieties with a given Hilbert polynomial*, Amer. J. Math., 5 (1975), pp. 1027–1076.

*Dipartimento di Matematica “F. Enriques”,
Università di Milano,
Via C. Saldini, 50,
20133 Milano (ITALY)*