

MANY IDENTITIES FROM ONE

CHU WENCHANG

We specialise an ingeniously contrived identity to obtain a plethora of startling combinatorial identities. Limiting cases include well known evaluations of $\zeta(2)$, $\zeta(4)$ and $\zeta(6)$.

Let x , y , u and v be formal parameters and $\{a_i\}_{i \geq 0}$, $\{b_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be two sequences taken from a commutative ring. Define the a -rising factorial for $n \geq 0$ by

$$(1) \quad (x; a)_0 = 1, \quad (x; a)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (x + a_{k-1}) \quad (n > 0).$$

Then we have the following algebraic identity.

Theorem. *Suppose that $0 \leq m \leq n$ and r be natural numbers. There holds*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=m}^n \left\{ (x + a_k)(y + b_{r+k})z - (u + a_k)(v + b_{r+k}) \right\} \frac{(x; a)_k (y; b)_{r+k}}{(u; a)_{k+1} (v; b)_{r+k+1}} z^k \\ = \frac{(x; a)_{n+1} (y; b)_{r+n+1}}{(u; a)_{n+1} (v; b)_{r+n+1}} z^{n+1} - \frac{(x; a)_m (y; b)_{r+m}}{(u; a)_m (v; b)_{r+m}} z^m.$$

Entrato in Redazione l'8 luglio 1996.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 05A10; Secondary 05A19.

Key Words and Phrases: Binomial coefficient, Combinatorial identity.

Partially supported by IAMI (Milano, 1994)

Proof. Notice that the summand on the left of (2) can be split as

$$\frac{(x; a)_{k+1}(y; b)_{r+k+1}}{(u; a)_{k+1}(v; b)_{r+k+1}} z^{k+1} - \frac{(x; a)_k(y; b)_{r+k}}{(u; a)_k(v; b)_{r+k}} z^k.$$

Then the theorem follows immediately from the diagonal cancellation. \square

In what follows, we shall demonstrate a number of interesting identities from (2) which could be served as the reason for the present paper's title.

First of all, take $a_k = b_k = -k$, $u = -x$, $v = -y$, $m = +1$ and $r = 0$ in (2). Then $z = \pm 1$ correspond to the following identities.

Proposition 1.

$$(3a) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k \frac{\binom{x}{k} \binom{y}{k}}{\binom{x+k}{k} \binom{y+k}{k}} = \frac{xy}{2(x+y)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\binom{x}{n+1} \binom{y}{n+1}}{\binom{x+n}{n+1} \binom{y+n}{n+1}} \right\},$$

$$(3b) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k (xy + k^2) \frac{\binom{x}{k} \binom{y}{k}}{\binom{x+k}{k} \binom{y+k}{k}} = \frac{xy}{2} \left\{ -1 + (-1)^n \frac{\binom{x}{n+1} \binom{y}{n+1}}{\binom{x+n}{n+1} \binom{y+n}{n+1}} \right\}.$$

Taking $y = v = 0$ and $z = b_k = 1$ in (2) yields

Proposition 2.

$$(4) \quad \sum_{k=m}^n (x-u) \frac{(x; a)_k}{(u; a)_{k+1}} = \frac{(x; a)_{n+1}}{(u; a)_{n+1}} - \frac{(x; a)_m}{(u; a)_m}.$$

When $m = 0$, this reduces to [2]

Corollary 3.

$$(5) \quad 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{x + a_{i-1}}{u + a_i} = \frac{u + a_0}{u - x} \left\{ 1 - \prod_{j=0}^n \frac{x + a_j}{u + a_j} \right\}.$$

This identity contains two formulae of Gould (see [3], Eq.(2.1–2.2) and (4.1–4.2)) as special cases:

$$(6a) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\binom{x}{k}}{\binom{u+k}{k}} = \frac{u}{u+x} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\binom{x}{n+1}}{\binom{-u}{n+1}} \right\},$$

$$(6b) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{x}{k}}{\binom{u}{k}} = \frac{u+1}{u-x+1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\binom{x}{n+1}}{\binom{u+1}{n+1}} \right\}.$$

Next, let $\{\omega_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq p}$ be the p -th roots of unity. The substitution of $x \rightarrow -x^p$, $u \rightarrow -u^p$, and $a \rightarrow a^p$ in (4) gives that

Proposition 4.

$$(7) \quad \sum_{k=m}^n \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{(-\omega_j x; a)_k}{(-\omega_j u; a)_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{x^p - u^p} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{(-\omega_j x; a)_m}{(-\omega_j u; a)_m} - \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{(-\omega_j x; a)_{n+1}}{(-\omega_j u; a)_{n+1}} \right\}.$$

When $m = 0$, this reduces to an identity due to Chu [2]. In the latter case, setting $a_k = y - k$ would generate the following

Proposition 5.

$$(8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\binom{y-\omega_j x}{k}}{\binom{y-\omega_j u-1}{k}} = \frac{y^p - u^p}{x^p - u^p} \left\{ 1 - \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\binom{y-\omega_j x}{n+1}}{\binom{y-\omega_j u}{n+1}} \right\}.$$

For $y = -1$ and $y = u = 0$, Eq.(8) corresponding to two interesting special formulas [4] displayed below:

Corollary 6.

$$(9a) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\binom{k-\omega_j x}{k}}{\binom{k-\omega_j u+1}{k}} = \frac{1 - u^p}{x^p - u^p} \left\{ 1 - \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\binom{n-\omega_j x+1}{n+1}}{\binom{n-\omega_j u+1}{n+1}} \right\},$$

$$(9b) \quad \sum_{k=m}^n (-1)^{kp} \prod_{j=1}^p \binom{\omega_j x}{k} = \prod_{j=1}^p \binom{n - \omega_j x}{n}.$$

In fact, the last formulae is the limit, as $y \rightarrow 0$, of

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{kp} \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\binom{y-\omega_j x}{k}}{\binom{k-y}{k}} = \frac{y^p}{x^p} \left\{ 1 - \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\binom{y-\omega_j x}{n+1}}{\binom{y}{n+1}} \right\}$$

which is a reformulation of Eq.(8) under $u = 0$.

For $p = 2r$, an even integer, we have

$$\{\omega_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq 2r} = \{\omega_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq r} \cup \{-\omega_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq r}.$$

From the infinite product

$$(10) \quad \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{n^2} \right),$$

we can derive limiting forms of (9a–9b):

Proposition 7.

$$(11a) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\binom{k-\omega_j x}{k} \binom{k+\omega_j x}{k}}{\binom{k-\omega_j u+1}{k} \binom{k+\omega_j u+1}{k}} = \frac{1-u^{2r}}{x^{2r}-u^{2r}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{u^r}{x^r} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\sin \pi \omega_j x}{\sin \pi \omega_j u} \right\},$$

$$(11b) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{\omega_j x}{k} \binom{-\omega_j x}{k} = \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\sin \pi \omega_j x}{\pi \omega_j x}.$$

These contain the following simple but interesting examples:

Corollary 8.

$$(12a) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{u-2}{k} \binom{-u-2}{k}} = (1-u^{-2}) \left\{ 1 - \frac{\pi u}{\sin \pi u} \right\},$$

$$(12b) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{x}{k} \binom{-x}{k} = \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}.$$

When $r = 1, 2, 3$, taking the limits as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow 0$ successively in (11a), we obtain the celebrated Eulerian formulae:

$$(13a) \quad \sum_{n>0} n^{-2} = \pi^2/6,$$

$$(13b) \quad \sum_{n>0} n^{-4} = \pi^4/90,$$

$$(13c) \quad \sum_{n>0} n^{-6} = \pi^6/945.$$

Finally, put $a_k = b_k = -k$, $x \rightarrow x-t$, $y \rightarrow -x-t$, $u \rightarrow u-t$, $v \rightarrow -u-t$ and $z = 1$ in (2). We get the following nice result.

Proposition 9.

$$(14) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{x-t}{k} \binom{-x-t}{r+k}}{\binom{t-u+k}{k} \binom{t+u+r+k}{r+k}} = \frac{u^2-t^2}{(u-x)(u+x+r)} \left\{ \frac{\binom{-x-t}{r}}{\binom{-u-t}{r}} - \frac{\binom{x-t}{n+1} \binom{-x-t}{n+r+1}}{\binom{u-t}{n+1} \binom{-u-t}{n+r+1}} \right\}.$$

After a trivial manipulation in the cases of $u = t = 0$ and $x = t - 1 = 0$, we have the respective consequences:

Corollary 10.

$$(15a) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{x}{k} \binom{-x}{r+k} = (-1)^r \frac{x}{x+r} \binom{x-1}{n} \binom{-x-1}{r+n},$$

$$(15b) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\binom{u-2}{k} \binom{-u-2}{r+k}} = (-1)^r \frac{u^2 - 1}{u(u+r)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\binom{-u-1}{r}} - \frac{1}{\binom{u-1}{n+1} \binom{-u-1}{r+n+1}} \right\}.$$

In view of (10), their limiting forms are produced as follows:

Corollary 11.

$$(16a) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{x}{k} \binom{-x}{r+k} = \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi(x+r)},$$

$$(16b) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{u-2}{k} \binom{-u-2}{r+k}} = \frac{u^2 - 1}{u(u+r)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\binom{u+r}{r}} - \frac{\pi u}{\sin \pi u} \right\}.$$

For $r = 0$, these reduce to (12a) and (12b) respectively.

Before closing this short paper, I would like to sketch an application of the theorem to basic hypergeometric series.

Recall that the q -factorial is defined by

$$(17) \quad [x; q]_0 = 1, \quad [x; q]_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - xq^{k-1}) \quad (n > 0).$$

Then the replacement $a_k = q^{-k}t$ in (7) gives rise to

Proposition 12.

$$(18) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n q^{kp} \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{[\omega_j x/t; q]_k}{[q\omega_j u/t; q]_k} = \frac{t^p - u^p}{x^p - u^p} \left\{ 1 - \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{[\omega_j x/t; q]_{n+1}}{[\omega_j u/t; q]_{n+1}} \right\}.$$

When $t = p = 1$, its limiting form reduces to an elegant result [1]:

Corollary 13.

$$(19) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k \frac{[x; q]_k}{[qu; q]_k} = \frac{u-1}{u-x} \left\{ 1 - \frac{[x; q]_{\infty}}{[u; q]_{\infty}} \right\}.$$

From the examples listed above, it can be noted that identity (2) in the theorem contains a plentitude of combinatorial identities. The writer believes that it should do more significant ones as its special cases. For the interested readers, there is no harm to try.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1976.
- [2] W.C. Chu, *A family of algebraic identities with their applications*, J. of Dalian Institute of Tech.,29:1 (1989), pp. 1-8; MR90k:05021.
- [3] H.W. Gould, *Combinatorial Identities*, Morgantown, 1972.
- [4] L.C. Hsu - Y.Z. Ou, *A pair of combinatorial identities with their applications*, Chinese 1st Conf. on Combinatorial Mathematics, Dalian, 1983.
- [5] J. Riordan, *Combinatorial Identities*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.

*Istituto di Matematica "Guido Castelnuovo",
Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza",
Piazzale Aldo Moro 2,
00185 Roma (ITALY)*