# THE VORONOVSKAYA THEOREM FOR SOME OPERATORS OF THE SZASZ-MIRAKJAN TYPE

#### LUCYNA REMPULSKA - MARIOLA SKORUPKA

We give the Voronovskaya theorem for some operators of the Szasz-Mirakjan type defined in the space of functions continuous on  $[0, +\infty)$  and having the polynomial growth at infinity.

Some approximation properties of these operators are given in [2], [4].

#### 1. Notations.

**1.1.** Let  $\mathbb{R}_0 := [0, +\infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, ...\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and let  $w_q(\cdot)$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , be the weight function defined on  $\mathbb{R}_0$  by the formula

(1) 
$$w_0(x) := 1, \quad w_q(x) := (1 + x^q)^{-1} \quad \text{if} \quad q \ge 1.$$

Analogously as in [1], we denote by  $C_q$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the space of real-valued functions f defined on  $\mathbb{R}_0$  and such that  $w_q$  f is uniformly continuous and bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}_0$ . The norm in  $C_q$  is defined by

$$||f||_q := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_0} w_q(x) |f(x)|.$$

Entrato in Redazione il 29 agosto 1995.

AMS Subject classification: 41A36

Key words: Linear positive operator, Voronowskaya theorem.

For a fixed  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$  let

$$C_q^2 := \{ f \in C_q : f', f'' \in C_q \}$$
.

**1.2.** In [2] were introduced the operators  $L_n$  and  $U_n$  of the Szasz-Mirakjan type for functions  $f \in C_q$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

(2) 
$$L_n(f;x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{2k}{n}\right),$$

(3) 
$$U_n(f;x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \frac{n}{2} \int_{I_{n,k}} f(t) dt,$$

 $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ , where

(4) 
$$p_{n,k}(x) := \frac{1}{\cosh nx} \frac{(nx)^{2k}}{(2k)!}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

 $\sinh x$ ,  $\cosh x$ ,  $\tanh x$  are the elementary hyperbolic functions and

$$I_{n,k} := \left\lceil \frac{2k}{n}, \frac{2k+2}{n} \right\rceil, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In [4] we have introduced the operators  $A_n$  an  $B_n$  in the space  $C_q$ :

(5) 
$$A_n(f; x) := \frac{f(0)}{1 + \sinh nx} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{2k+1}{n}\right),$$

(6) 
$$B_n(f; x) := \frac{f(0)}{1 + \sinh nx} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{n,k}(x) \frac{n}{2} \int_{I_{n,k}^*} f(t) dt,$$

 $n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ , where

(7) 
$$q_{n,k}(x) := \frac{1}{1 + \sinh nx} \frac{(nx)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!},$$

and

$$I_{n,k}^* := \left\lceil \frac{2k+1}{n}, \frac{2k+3}{n} \right\rceil, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

It is clear that  $L_n$ ,  $U_n$ ,  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are a linear positive operators defined on every space  $C_q$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and

(8) 
$$L_n(1; x) = U_n(1; x) = A_n(1; x) = B_n(1; x) = 1$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In [2] and [4] was proved that  $L_n$ ,  $U_n$ ,  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are a operators from  $C_q$  into  $C_q$ . Moreover, in [2] and [4] some approximation properties of these operators were given. In particular in [2] it was proved that if  $f \in C_q$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there exists a positive constant M(q) depending only on q and such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  holds

(9) 
$$w_q(x) |L_n(f;x) - f(x)| \le M(q) \omega \left( f; \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{n}} \right),$$

where  $\omega(f; \cdot)$  is the modulus of continuity of f, i.e.

$$\omega\left(f;t\right) := \sup_{0 < h \le t} \left\| f\left(\cdot + h\right) - f\left(\cdot\right) \right\|_{q} .$$

The estimation (9) is true also for the operators  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$  and  $U_n$  ([2], [4]).

## 2. Auxiliary results.

In this section we shall give some properties of the above operators, which we shall apply to the proofs of the main theorems. We set

(10) 
$$S(nx) := \frac{\sinh nx}{1 + \sinh nx}, \qquad T(nx) := \frac{\cosh nx}{1 + \sinh nx},$$

$$V(nx) := 1 - \tanh nx,$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

From (2) - (8) and (10), and by the elementary calculations, we obtain

**Lemma 1.** For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$L_{n}(t-x;x) = -xV(nx),$$

$$L_{n}((t-x)^{2};x) = \left(2x^{2} - \frac{x}{n}\right)V(nx) + \frac{x}{n},$$

$$L_{n}((t-x)^{4};x) = \left(8x^{4} - \frac{12x^{3}}{n^{2}} + \frac{4x^{2}}{n^{2}} - \frac{x}{n^{3}}\right)V(nx) + \frac{3x^{2}}{n^{2}} + \frac{x}{n^{3}},$$

$$U_{n}(t-x;x) = -xV(nx) + \frac{1}{n},$$

$$U_{n}((t-x)^{2};x) = \left(2x^{2} - \frac{3x}{n}\right)V(nx) + \frac{x}{n} + \frac{4}{3n^{2}},$$

$$U_{n}((t-x)^{4};x) = \left(8x^{4} - \frac{28x^{3}}{n^{2}} + \frac{32x^{2}}{n^{2}} - \frac{21x}{n^{3}}\right)V(nx) + \frac{12x}{n^{3}} + \frac{16}{5n^{4}},$$

$$A_{n}(t-x;x) = x(T(nx) - 1),$$

$$A_{n}((t-x)^{2};x) = x^{2}(S(nx) - 2T(nx) + 1) + \frac{x}{n}T(nx),$$

$$A_{n}((t-x)^{4};x) = x^{4}(7S(nx) - 8T(nx) + 1) + \frac{12x^{3}}{n}(T(nx) - S(nx)) + \frac{x^{2}}{n^{2}}(7S(nx) - 4T(nx)) + \frac{x}{n^{3}}T(nx),$$

$$B_{n}(t-x;x) = x(T(nx) - 1) + \frac{1}{n}S(nx),$$

$$B_{n}((t-x)^{2};x) = x^{2}(S(nx) - 2T(nx) + 1) + \frac{2x}{n}(T(nx) - S(nx)) + \frac{4}{3n^{2}}S(nx).$$

$$B_{n}((t-x)^{4};x) = x^{4}(7S(nx) - 8T(nx) + 1) + \frac{28x^{3}}{n}(T(nx) - S(nx)) + \frac{x^{2}}{n^{2}}(35S(nx) - 32T(nx)) + \frac{17x}{n^{3}}T(nx).$$

Applying Lemma 1, we shall prove two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** For every fixed  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_0$  holds

(12) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n L_n (t - x_0; x_0) = 0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} n A_n (t - x_0; x_0) ,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n U_n (t - x_0; x_0) = 1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} n B_n (t - x_0; x_0) ,$$

(13) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \begin{cases} L_n \left( (t - x_0)^2; x_0 \right) \\ U_n \left( (t - x_0)^2; x_0 \right) \\ A_n \left( (t - x_0)^2; x_0 \right) \\ B_n \left( (t - x_0)^2; x_0 \right) \end{cases} = x_0.$$

*Proof.* We shall give the proof only for  $L_n$ , because the proofs for  $U_n$ ,  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are analogous.

By Lemma 1 we get

$$nL_n(t-x;x) = \frac{-2nx}{e^{2nx}+1},$$
  

$$nL_n((t-x)^2;x) = x\left(\frac{4nx-2}{e^{2nx}+1}+1\right),$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which immediately yield (12) and (13) for  $L_n$ .

**Lemma 3.** For every fixed  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_0$  there exists a positive constant  $M_1(x_0)$ , depending only on  $x_0$ , such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  holds

(14) 
$$\begin{cases} L_n \left( (t - x_0)^4 ; x_0 \right) \\ U_n \left( (t - x_0)^4 ; x_0 \right) \\ A_n \left( (t - x_0)^4 ; x_0 \right) \end{cases} \leq M_1 (x_0) n^{-2}.$$

$$B_n \left( (t - x_0)^4 ; x_0 \right)$$

*Proof.* For example we shall give the proof for  $A_n$ . From (10) we get for  $x \ge 0$  and  $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$x^{r} |S(nx) - T(nx)| = \frac{2x^{r} e^{-nx}}{2 + e^{nx} - e^{-nx}} \le \frac{2x^{r}}{e^{nx} + 1} \le 2r! n^{-r},$$
  
$$x^{r} |1 - S(nx)| = \frac{2x^{r}}{2 + e^{nx} - e^{-nx}} \le \frac{2x^{r}}{e^{nx} + 1} \le 2r! n^{-r},$$

and similarly

$$x^{r} |1 - T(nx)| \le 2r! n^{-r},$$
  
 $0 \le S(nx) \le 1, \qquad 0 < T(nx) \le 1.$ 

Using these inequalities, we obtain from (11)

$$A_{n}\left((t-x_{0})^{4};x_{0}\right) \leq x_{0}^{4}\left\{7|S\left(nx_{0}\right)-T\left(nx_{0}\right)|+|1-T\left(nx_{0}\right)|\right\} + \frac{12x_{0}^{3}}{n}\left|S(nx_{0})-T\left(nx_{0}\right)|+\frac{x_{0}^{2}}{n^{2}}\left\{7|S\left(nx_{0}\right)-T\left(nx_{0}\right)|+3T\left(nx_{0}\right)\right\} + \frac{x_{0}}{n^{3}}T\left(nx_{0}\right) \leq 16\left(4!n^{-4}\right) + 24\left(3!n^{-4}\right) + n^{-2}\left(28n^{-2} + 3x_{0}^{2}\right) + \frac{x_{0}}{n^{3}}T\left(nx_{0}\right) \leq \left(3x_{0}^{2} + x_{0} + 556\right)n^{-2} \equiv M_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)n^{-2}$$

for every fixed  $x_0 \ge 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence the proof of (14) is completed.

The following lemma is proved in [4].

**Lemma 4.** For every fixed  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist the positive coefficients  $a_{p,k}$  and  $b_{p,k}$ ,  $1 \le k \le \left[\frac{p+1}{2}\right]$ , depending only on p, k and  $a_{2m,m} = 1$ ,  $b_{2m+1,m+1} = 1$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  holds

(15) 
$$A_n\left(t^p;x\right) = S\left(nx\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]} a_{p,k} \frac{x^{2k}}{n^{p-2k}} + T\left(nx\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{p+1}{2}\right]} b_{p,k} \frac{x^{2k-1}}{n^{p-(2k-1)}},$$

where S(nx), T(nx) are defined by (10), [y] denotes the integral part of y. (As usual we assume that  $\sum_{k=i}^{j} c_k = 0$  if i > j, for each  $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

**Remark.** By the mathematical induction were proved the formulae similar to (15) for  $B_n(t^p; x)$  ([4]) and for  $L_n(t^p; x)$  and  $U_n(t^p; x)$  ([2]),  $n, p \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

The full formulae for  $a_{p,k}$  and  $b_{p,k}$  given in (15) are not necessary for the next properties of considered operators.

By Lemma 4 the following inequalities were derived in the papers [2] (for  $L_n$  and  $U_n$ ) and [4] (for  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ ).

**Lemma 5.** For every fixed  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$  there exists a positive constant  $M_2(q)$  depending only on q such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  holds

(16) 
$$w_q(x) L_n\left(\frac{(t-x)^2}{w_q(t)}; x\right) \le M_2(q) \frac{x+1}{n},$$

$$w_{q}(x)U_{n}\left(\frac{(t-x)^{2}}{w_{q}(t)};x\right)$$

$$w_{q}(x)A_{n}\left(\frac{(t-x)^{2}}{w_{q}(t)};x\right) \leq M_{2}(q)\frac{x+1}{n}.$$

$$w_{q}(x)B_{n}\left(\frac{(t-x)^{2}}{w_{q}(t)};x\right)$$

Now, using Lemma 5, we can prove.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $x_0$  be a fixed point on  $\mathbb{R}_0$  and  $\varphi(\cdot; x_0)$  be a given function belonging to the space  $C_q$ , with some  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and such that

$$\lim_{t \to x_0} \varphi(t; x_0) = 0 \quad \left(\lim_{t \to 0+} \varphi(t; 0) = 0\right).$$

Then

(17) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} L_n\left(\varphi\left(t;x_0\right);x_0\right) = 0,$$

(18) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} U_n (\varphi (t; x_0); x_0) = 0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} A_n (\varphi (t; x_0); x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n (\varphi (t; x_0); x_0).$$

*Proof.* We shall prove only (17), because the proof of (18) is analogous. By (2) we have for every fixed  $x_0 \ge 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

(19) 
$$w_q(x_0) L_n(\varphi(t; x_0); x_0) = w_q(x_0) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x_0) \varphi\left(\frac{2k}{n}; x_0\right).$$

Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the properties of  $\varphi(\cdot; x_0)$  there exists a positive constant  $\delta \equiv \delta(\varepsilon)$  such that  $|\varphi(t; x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  if  $|t - x_0| < \delta$  and  $t \ge 0$ . Moreover, there exists a positive constant  $M_3 \equiv M_3(q)$  such that

$$w_a(t) |\varphi(t; x_0)| \le M_3$$
 for  $t \ge 0$ .

Hence we get from (19)

$$w_q(x_0) | L_n(\varphi(t; x_0); x_0) | \le w_q(x_0) \sum_{k \in Q_{n,1}} p_{n,k}(x_0) \left| \varphi\left(\frac{2k}{n}; x_0\right) \right| +$$

$$+w_q(x_0)\sum_{k\in Q_{n,2}}p_{n,k}(x_0)\left|\varphi\left(\frac{2k}{n};x_0\right)\right|:=\Sigma_1+\Sigma_2,$$

where  $Q_{n,1} := \{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \left|\frac{2k}{n} - x_0\right| < \delta\}$  and  $Q_{n,2} := \{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \left|\frac{2k}{n} - x_0\right| \ge \delta\}$ . Moreover, by (1) - (3) and by the above properties of  $\varphi(\cdot; x_0)$ , we have

$$\sigma_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k} (x_0) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

$$\Sigma_2 \leq M_3 w_q(x_0) \sum\nolimits_{k \in Q_{n,2}} p_{n,k}(x_0) \left( w_q\left(\frac{2k}{n}\right) \right)^{-1}.$$

But if  $\left|\frac{2k}{n} - x_0\right| \ge \delta$ , then  $1 \le \delta^{-2} \left(\frac{2k}{n} - x_0\right)^2$  and further we get

$$\Sigma_2 \le M_3 \, \delta^{-2} w_q (x_0) \, \sum_{k \in Q_{n,2}} \, p_{n,k} (x_0) \left( w_q \left( \frac{2k}{n} \right) \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{2k}{n} - x_0 \right)^2$$

$$\leq M_3 \delta^{-2} w_q(x_0) L_n\left(\frac{(t-x_0)^2}{w_q(t)}; x_0\right),$$

which by (16) yields  $\Sigma_2 \leq M_3 M_2(q) \frac{x_0 + 1}{n\delta^2}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is obvious that, for a fixed  $x_0$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $M_2(q)$ ,  $M_1$ , there exists a natural number  $n_0 \equiv n_0$  ( $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $x_0$ ,  $M_2(q)$ ,  $M_3$ ) such that for all  $n_0 < n \in \mathbb{N}$  holds

$$M_3 M_2(q) \frac{x_0+1}{n\delta^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$\Sigma_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
 for all  $n > n_0$ .

Summing up, we obtain

$$w_q(x_0) |L_n(\varphi(t; x_0); x_0)| < \varepsilon$$
 for  $n > n_0$ ,

i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} w_q(x_0) L_n(\varphi(t;x_0);x_0) = 0.$$

From this and by (1) we obtain the desired assertion (17).

### 3. The Voronovskaya theorems.

The Voronovskaya theorem for the Bernstein operator is given in [3]. In this part we prove the similar theorems for our operators.

**Theorem 1.** If  $f \in C_q^2$  with some  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then

(20) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \{ L_n(f; x) - f(x) \} = \frac{x}{2} f''(x)$$

for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_0$  be a fixed point. Then, by the Taylor formula, we can write for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}_0$ 

(21) 
$$f(t) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(t - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x_0)(t - x_0)^2 + \psi(t; x_0)(t - x_0)^2,$$

where  $\psi\left(\,\cdot\,;\,x_{0}\right)$  is a function belonging to  $C_{q}$  and such that

$$\lim_{t\to x_0}\psi(t;x_0)=0.$$

From (21) and by (2) and (8) we get

(22) 
$$L_{n}(f(t); x_{0}) - f(x_{0}) = f'(x_{0}) L_{n}(t - x_{0}; x_{0}) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x_{0}) L_{n}((t - x)^{2}; x_{0}) + L_{n}(\psi(t; x_{0}) (t - x_{0})^{2}; x_{0})$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 2 follows

(23) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n L_n (t - x_0; x_0) = 0,$$

(24) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n L_n \left( (t - x_0)^2; x_0 \right) = x_0.$$

By (2) and the Hölder inequality we get for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

(25) 
$$\left| L_n \left( \psi \left( t; x_0 \right) (t - x_0)^2; x_0 \right) \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \left\{ L_n \left( \psi^2 \left( t; x_0 \right); x_0 \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ L_n \left( (t - x_0)^4; x_0 \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover, the function  $\varphi(t; x_0) := \psi^2(t; x_0), t \ge 0$ , satisfies the following conditions:  $\varphi(\cdot; x_0) \in C_{2q}$  and

$$\lim_{t\to x_0}\varphi\left(t;x_0\right)=0.$$

From this and in view of Lemma 6 we get

(26) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} L_n \left( \psi^2 (t; x_0); x_0 \right) \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} L_n \left( \varphi (t; x_0); x_0 \right) = 0.$$

Using (26) and (14) we obtain from (25)

(27) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n L_n \left( \psi (t; x_0) (t - x_0)^2; x_0 \right) = 0.$$

Now, applying (23), (24) and (27), we immediately derive from (22)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\Big\{L_n(f(t);x_0) - f(x_0)\Big\} = \frac{x_0}{2}f''(x_0).$$

Thus the proof of (20) is finished.

Analogously, using Lemmas 2, 3 and 6 and by (21), we can prove the following Voronovskaya theorem for the operators  $U_n$ ,  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f \in C_q^2$  with some  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then, for every fixed  $x \geq 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left\{ U_n(f(t); x) - f(x) \right\} = f'(x) + \frac{x}{2} f''(x),$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left\{ A_n(f(t); x) - f(x) \right\} = \frac{x}{2} f''(x),$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left\{ B_n(f(t); x) - f(x) \right\} = f'(x) + \frac{x}{2} f''(x).$$

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] M. Becker, Global approximation theorems for Szasz Mirakjan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 27 (1) (1978), pp. 127-142.
- [2] B. Firlej L. Rempulska, Approximation of functions of several variables by some operators of the Szasz Mirakjan type, Fasciculi Mathematici (in print).
- [3] P.P. Korovkin, Linear operators and Approximation Theory, Moscow, 1959 (Russ).
- [4] L. Rempulska M. Skorupka, On approximation of functions by some operators of the Szasz Mirakjan type, Fasciculi Mathematici (in print).

Institute of Mathematics, Poznań University of Technology, Piotrowo 3A, 60-965 Poznań (POLAND)