# REPRESENTATION FORMULAS FOR THE MOMENTS OF THE DENSITY OF ZEROS OF ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIAL SETS

## BRUNA GERMANO - PAOLO EMILIO RICCI

The moments of the density of zeros of orthogonal polynomial systems generated by a three-term recurrence relation are represented by Lucas polynomials of the first kind and by Bell polynomials.

### 1. The problem.

Suppose the coefficients  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_{n-1}\}$   $(n \ge 1)$  of the three-term recurrence relation:

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} P_{-1} = 0 &, P_0(x) = 1 \\ P_n(x) = (x - \alpha_n) P_{n-1}(x) - \beta_{n-1}^2 P_{n-2}(x), & (n \ge 1) \end{cases}$$

be given. By a well known Favard theorem (see [5]) there exists a measure  $d\phi(x)$  with a suitable support [a, b], such that the system  $\{P_k(x)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$  is an O.P.S. with respect to this measure in the interval [a, b].

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  consider:

I. - the density of the zeros  $x_{k,n}$  (k = 1, ..., n) of  $P_n(x)$  defined by:

(1.2) 
$$\rho_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta(x - x_{k,n}) \qquad (\delta = \text{Dirac delta})$$

Entrato in Redazione il 19 gennaio 1993.

and the related moments:

(1.3) 
$$\mu_r^{(n)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k,n}^r;$$

II. - the asymptotic density of zeros of the system  $\{P_k(x)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$  defined by:

(1.4) 
$$\rho(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_n(x)$$

and the related moments:

$$\mu_r := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_r^{(n)}.$$

J.S. Dehesa [2] (see also [3]) proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** (Dehesa). The moments of the density of zeros  $\rho_n(x)$  of  $P_n(x)$  are given by the following formulae:

(1.6) 
$$\mu_r^{(n)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{(r)} F_r(r'_1, r_1, r'_2, r_2, \dots, r'_j, r_j, r'_{j+1}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-t} \alpha_i^{r'_1} \beta_i^{2r_1} \alpha_{i+1}^{r'_2} \dots \alpha_{i+j-1}^{r'_j} \beta_{i+j-1}^{2r_j} \alpha_{i+j}^{r'_{j+1}} \qquad (r = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Where  $j := \left[\frac{r}{2}\right]$  is the greater integer contained in r/2;  $\sum_{(r)}$  denotes that the sum runs over all partitions of the integer r, say it  $(r'_1, r_1, r'_2, r_2, \dots, r'_i, r_j, r'_{i+1})$ , subject to the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} r'_1 + r'_2 + \dots + r'_{j+1} + 2(r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_j) = r \\ \text{if } r_s = 0, \ 1 < s < j, \text{ then } r_k = r'_k = 0, \ \forall k > s; \end{cases}$$

t denotes the number of non vanishing  $r_i$  involved in the corresponding partition of r; and lastly:

$$F_{r}(r'_{1}, r_{1}, r'_{2}, r_{2}, \dots, r'_{j}, r_{j}, r'_{j+1}) :=$$

$$:= r \frac{(r'_{1} + r_{1} - 1)!(r_{j-1} + r'_{j} - 1)!}{r'_{1}!r_{1}!(r_{j-1} - 1)!r'_{j}!} \prod_{i=1}^{j-2} \frac{(r_{i} + r'_{i+1} + r_{i+1} - 1)!}{(r_{i} - 1)!r'_{i+1}!r_{i+1}!}.$$

The first few moments are:

$$\mu_{1}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}$$

$$\mu_{2}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}^{2} + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} \beta_{h}^{2} \right\}$$

$$\mu_{3}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}^{3} + 3 \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} \beta_{h}^{2} (\alpha_{h} + \alpha_{h+1}) \right\}$$

$$\mu_{4}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}^{4} + 4 \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} \beta_{h}^{2} (\alpha_{h}^{2} + \alpha_{h} \alpha_{h+1} + \alpha_{h+1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{h}^{2}) + 4 \sum_{h=1}^{n-2} \beta_{h}^{2} \beta_{h+1}^{2} \right\}.$$

The purpose of the Dehesa's study is to obtain informations about the asymptotic density of zeros directly from the coefficients of the three-term recurrence relation. However, formula (1.6) is highly not linear with respect to the coefficients  $\{\alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}\}$ , so that it is not practical to find the asymptotic density of zeros, since it is necessary again to perform the following two steps:

- to evaluate the limit (1.5);
- to solve the corresponding moment problem.
- J.S. Dehesa proposed to us to represent the moments  $\mu_r^{(n)}$  in terms of some particular system of number theory polynomials, in order to use relative properties to investigate the limit (1.5).

In this paper a representation formula for the moments  $\mu_r^{(n)}$  is given in terms of Lucas polynomials of the first kind or, alternatively, in terms of Bell polynomials, by means of some preceding papers of M. Bruschi - P.E. Ricci [1] and A. Di Cave - P.E. Ricci [4].

# 2. The characteristic polynomial of the Jacobi matrix.

For any integer n, consider the three-diagonal symmetrix Jacobi matrix:

(2.1) 
$$J_{n} := \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \beta_{3} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \alpha_{n-1} & \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_{n-1} & \alpha_{n} \end{vmatrix}$$

so that the characteristic polynomial

(2.2) 
$$P_n(x) := (-1)^n \det(J_n - xI) =$$

$$= \left(x^n - u_{1,n}x^{n-1} + u_{2,n}x^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n u_{n,n}\right) =$$

$$= \left(x^n - u_1x^{n-1} + u_2x^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n u_n\right)$$

satisfies for any  $n \ge 1$  the recurrence relation (1.1).

Set, for any  $s: 1 \le s \le n$ , and integers  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s: 1 \le k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_s \le n$ :

where  $\delta_{h,k}$  denotes the Kronecker delta, and  $J_{1,2,...,n} = J_n$ .

The coefficients  $u_s := u_{s,n}$   $(1 \le s \le n)$  are given by the following formulae:

(2.4) 
$$u_{1} = \operatorname{tr} J_{n}$$

$$u_{2} = \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2}} \det J_{k_{1}, k_{2}}$$

$$u_{s} = \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2} < \dots < k_{s}} \det J_{k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{s}}$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$u_{n} = \det J_{n}.$$

It is easy to see that all the preceding determinants can be computed by recurrence relations. We have, in fact:

(2.5) 
$$\det J_{k_1,\ldots,k_s} = \alpha_{k_s} \det J_{k_1,\ldots,k_{s-1}} - \beta_{k_s-1} \beta_{k_{s-1}} \delta_{k_{s-1},k_s-1} \det J_{k_1,\ldots,k_{s-2}},$$

and in particular:

(2.6) 
$$u_n = \det J_n = \alpha_n \det J_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1}^2 \det J_{n-2}.$$

By (2.5) and induction method the following expression for the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial easily follows:

$$(2.7)_1 u_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k$$

$$(2.7)_2 u_2 = \sum_{k_1 < k_2}^{1,n} \alpha_{k_1} \alpha_{k_2} - \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} \beta_h^2$$

$$(2.7)_3 u_3 = \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3}^{1,n} \alpha_{k_1} \alpha_{k_2} \alpha_{k_3} - \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} \beta_h^2 \sum_{k=1}^n {}^{(h,h+1)} \alpha_k$$

where the symbol  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} {(h,h+1)}$  denotes that the sum runs over all indexes k different from h and h+1.

With similar notations we have:

$$(2.7)_{4} u_{4} = \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2} < k_{3} < k_{4}}^{1,n} \alpha_{k_{1}} \alpha_{k_{2}} \alpha_{k_{3}} \alpha_{k_{4}} - \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} \beta_{h}^{2} \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2}}^{1,n} {}^{(h,h+1)} \alpha_{k_{1}} \alpha_{k_{2}} + \sum_{h_{1} < h_{2} \atop h_{2} - h_{1} \ge 2}^{1,n-1} \beta_{h_{1}}^{2} \beta_{h_{2}}^{2}$$

and in general, putting:

$$\sigma := \left[\frac{s}{2}\right] = \begin{cases} s/2 & \text{if } s \text{ is even} \\ (s-1)/2 & \text{if } s \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

we can write:

$$(2.7)_{s} \qquad u_{s} = \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2} < \dots < k_{s}}^{1,n} \alpha_{k_{1}} \alpha_{k_{2}} \dots \alpha_{k_{s}} +$$

$$- \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} \beta_{h}^{2} \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2} < \dots < k_{s-2}}^{1,n} (h,h+1) \alpha_{k_{1}} \alpha_{k_{2}} \dots \alpha_{k_{s-2}} +$$

$$+ \sum_{h_{1} < h_{2}}^{1,n-1} \beta_{h_{1}}^{2} \beta_{h_{2}}^{2} \sum_{k_{1} < k_{2} < \dots < k_{s-4}}^{1,n} (h_{1},h_{1}+1;h_{2},h_{2}+1) \alpha_{k_{1}} \alpha_{k_{2}} \dots \alpha_{k_{s-4}} +$$

$$+ \begin{cases} (-1)^{\sigma} \sum_{\substack{h_{1} < \dots < h_{\sigma} \\ h_{i} - h_{j} \geq 2}}^{1, n - 1} \beta_{h_{1}}^{2} \cdots \beta_{h_{\sigma}}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} {}^{(h_{1}, h_{1} + 1; \dots; h_{\sigma}, h_{\sigma} + 1)} \alpha_{k}, & (s \text{ odd}) \end{cases}$$

$$+ \begin{cases} (-1)^{\sigma} \sum_{\substack{h_{1} < \dots < h_{\sigma} \\ h_{i} - h_{j} \geq 2}}^{1, n - 1} \beta_{h_{1}}^{2} \cdots \beta_{h_{\sigma}}^{2}, & (s \text{ even}) \end{cases}$$

Note that the first term in the sum for obtaining  $u_s$  represents the elementary symmetric function of order s relative to the numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  (sum of products of s elements chosen in any way among these numbers); the second term, with negative sign, represents the sum of products of each  $\beta_h^2$  times the elementary symmetric function of order s-2 of the numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{h-1}, \alpha_{h+2}, \ldots, \alpha_n$  (these are the elements that belong to the matrix obtained from  $J_n$  erasing the rows and columns containing  $\beta_h$ ); the third term, with positive sign, represents the sum of products of each  $\beta_{h_1}^2 \beta_{h_2}^2$  times the elementary symmetric function of order s-4 of the numbers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{h_1-1}, \alpha_{h_1+2}, \ldots, \alpha_{h_2-1}, \alpha_{h_2+2}, \ldots, \alpha_n$  (belonging to the matrix obtained from  $J_n$  erasing the rows and columns containing  $\beta_{h_1}$  and  $\beta_{h_2}$ ); and so on.

By the means of the preceding formulae  $(2.7)_s$  (s = 1, ..., n), all the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial  $P_n(x)$  can be theoretically constructed from the given coefficients of the recurrence relation  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n; \beta_1, ..., \beta_{n-1}$ .

A representation formula for the moments of the density of zeros of the O.P.S. in terms of Lucas polynomials of the first kind in several variables can be now easily deduced.

# 3. A representation formula by means of Lucas polynomials of the first kind.

We recall the definition of Lucas polynomials of the first kind in several variables  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ .

For any integer n, set:

(3.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \Psi_{n-1}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k,n} \\ \Psi_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_1^2 - 2u_2 = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k,n}^2 \\ \Psi_{n+1}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_1^3 - 3u_1u_2 + 3u_3 = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k,n}^3 \\ \dots \\ \Psi_{2n-2}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_1\Psi_{2n-3} - u_2\Psi_{2n-4} + \dots + \\ + (-1)^{n-2}u_{n-1}\Psi_{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1}n u_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k,n}^n \end{cases}$$

and, for N > 2n - 2:

(3.2) 
$$\Psi_N(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_1 \Psi_{N-1} - u_2 \Psi_{N-2} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} u_n \Psi_{N-n} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k,n}^{N-n+2}.$$

The choice of indexes is justified in [1], in order to find, in case n = 2, the classical Lucas polynomials of the first kind in two variables (see Lucas [6]).

From preceding considerations the following theorem follows:

**Theorem 2.** For any integers  $n \ge 1$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the following representation formula for the moments of the density of zeros of orthogonal polynomials is true:

(3.3) 
$$\mu_r^{(n)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k,n}^r = \frac{1}{n} \Psi_{r+n-2}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$$

where the variables  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  are given by the preceding formulae  $(2.7)_s$   $(s = 1, \ldots, n)$ .

**Remark I.** If all  $x_{k,n}$  does not vanish, the representation formula (3.3) is still true with  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , since the Lucas polynomials of the first kind in several variables are well defined.

**Remark II.** Observe that, by (3.2), only the first n moments are linearly independent, since the corresponding problem is an algebraic moment problem. All subsequent moments can be computed by formula (3.2).

## 4. A representation formula by means of Bell polynomials.

In [4] the following representation formula for Lucas polynomials of the first kind in several variables is shown:

**Theorem 3.** For any integer  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following representation formula is true:

(4.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \Psi_{r+n-2}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} Y_r(f_1, g_1; \dots; f_r, g_r) \\ f_h = (h-1)! \\ g_h = (-1)^{h-1} h! u_h & (h=1, 2, \dots, n) \\ g_{n+\ell} = 0 & (\ell \ge 1) \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 3 the following representation formula follows:

**Theorem 4.** For any integers n and r, the moments of the density of zeros of orthogonal polynomials are represented in the following way by Bell polynomials:

(4.2) 
$$\begin{cases} \mu_r^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n(r-1)!} Y_r(f_1, g_1; \dots; f_r, g_r) \\ f_h = (h-1)! \\ g_h = (-1)^{h-1} h! u_h & (h=1, 2, \dots, n) \\ g_{n+\ell} = 0 & (\ell \ge 1) \end{cases}$$

with the same meaning  $(2.7)_s$  (s = 1, ..., n) for the  $u_s$ .

Recalling Faa' Di Bruno's formula (see e.g. Riordan [7]), formula (4.2) can be written in the following way:

$$\mu_r^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n(r-1)!} \sum_{\pi(r)} \frac{r! f_k}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_r!} \left(\frac{g_1}{1!}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{g_2}{2!}\right)^{k_2} \dots \left(\frac{g_r}{r!}\right)^{k_r} =$$

$$= \frac{r}{n} \sum_{\pi(r)} \frac{(k-1)!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_r!} (u_1)^{k_1} (-u_2)^{k_2} \dots \left((-1)^{r-1} u_r\right)^{k_r},$$

where  $u_{n+\ell} = 0$ ,  $\forall \ell \geq 1$ ,  $k = k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_r$ , and the sum  $\sum_{\pi(r)}$  is extended to all *partitions* of the integer r, that is to all non-negative integral solutions of the equation:  $k_1 + 2k_2 + \ldots + rk_r = r$ .

## 5. An integral representation formula.

In [1] a classical formula of the complex variable is interpreted in order to obtain integral representation formulas for the Lucas polynomials of the first kind.

The following theorem is valid:

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\gamma$  be the boundary of a circular domain, with center at the origin, containing all the zeros  $x_{k,n}$  of the polynomial  $P_n(x)$ . Let N > n-2 be an integer. Then the following integral representation formulas are true:

(5.1) 
$$\Psi_{N}(u_{1}, \dots, u_{n}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{\lambda^{N-n+2} P_{n}'(\lambda)}{P_{n}(\lambda)} d\lambda =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{n\lambda^{N+1} - (n-1)u_{1}\lambda^{N} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}u_{n-1}\lambda^{N-n+2}}{P_{n}(\lambda)} d\lambda;$$

(5.2) 
$$\Psi_{N}(u_{1}, \dots, u_{n}) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{u_{1}\lambda^{N} - 2u_{2}\lambda^{N-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}nu_{n}\lambda^{N-n+1}}{P_{n}(\lambda)} d\lambda.$$

Putting N = r + n - 2 the integral representation formulas for the moments of the density of zeros of O.P.S. follow:

(5.3) 
$$\mu_r^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2n\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{n\lambda^{r+n-1} - (n-1)u_1\lambda^{r+n-2} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}u_{n-1}\lambda^r}{P_n(\lambda)} d\lambda$$

(5.4) 
$$\mu_r^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2n\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{u_1 \lambda^{r+n-2} - 2u_2 \gamma^{r+n-3} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} n u_n \lambda^{r-1}}{P_n(\lambda)} d\lambda.$$

Formulae (5.3)-(5.4) could be used in computing the limit (1.5) provided that a circular domain containing the support [a, b] of the measure  $d\phi(x)$  would be known.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bruschi P.E. Ricci, I polinomi di Lucas e di Tchebycheff in più variabili, Rend. Mat. (VI), 13 (1980).
- [2] J.S. Dehesa, On the conditions for a Hamiltonian matrix to have an eigenvalue density with some prescribed characteristics, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 2 n. 4 (1976).
- [3] J.S. Dehesa F.J. Gálvez, Global properties of zeros of orthogonal polynomials, in: Marcellan (Ed.) Proc. Third Spanish Symposium on Orthogonal Polynomials and its Appl., Segovia, 1985.
- [4] A. Di Cave P.E. Ricci, Sui polinomi di Bell ed i numeri di Fibonacci e di Bernoulli, Le Matematiche, 35 (1980).
- [5] J. Favard, Sur les polynomes de Tchebicheff, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 200 (1935).
- [6] E. Lucas, Théorie des Nombres, Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1891.

[7] J. Riordan, An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1958.

Dipartimento di Matematica "G. Castelnuovo", Università La Sapienza, Piazzale A. Moro 2, 00185 Roma (Italy)