

## $L_1$ OF A VECTOR MEASURE

GUNNAR F. STEFANSSON

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space,  $X$  a real Banach space and  $\nu : \Omega \rightarrow X$  a countably additive vector measure.

We define a  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow R$  to be *weakly  $\nu$ -integrable* if it is  $x^*\nu$ -integrable for each  $x^* \in X^*$ . We show that the space  $w-L_1(\nu)$ , the space of all weakly  $\nu$ -integrable functions, is a Banach space containing  $L_1(\nu)$  as a closed linear subspace (the space  $L_1(\nu)$  was defined by I. Kluvanek and G. Knowles [5], for measures taking values in a locally convex topological vector spaces  $X$ , and studied in details by G.P. Curbera [2] and [3], for Banach space valued measures).

We give necessary and sufficient conditions for  $L_1(\nu)$  to equal  $w-L_1(\nu)$ . Also we show that in certain cases,  $\nu$ -integrability (resp. weak  $\nu$ -integrability) can be viewed in terms of integrability in the sense of Pettis (resp. Dunford). Finally, we show that when  $\nu$  is of bounded variation, we can approximate  $\nu$  by measures  $\mu$ , (in variation norm), where  $L_1(\nu)$  is order isomorphic to an abstract  $L$ -space.

### 1. Introduction.

Assume  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is a measurable space,  $(X, \tau)$  a locally convex linear topological vector space and  $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X$  a vector measure. In this setting, Lewis [6] defines a real-valued  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $f$  to be  *$\nu$ -integrable* if

- (1)  $f$  is  $x^*\nu$ -integrable for each  $x^* \in X^*$ , and

- (2) for every  $E \in \Sigma$  there exists an element of  $X$  denoted by  $\int_E f d\nu$  such that  $x^* \int_E f d\nu = \int_E f d(x^*\nu)$  holds for each  $x^* \in X^*$ .

Lewis shows that whenever  $(X, \tau)$  is sequentially complete then  $f$  is  $\nu$ -integrable if and only if

- (1') there is a sequence  $(f_n)$  of simple  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions which converges pointwise to  $f$ , and  
 (2')  $(\int_E f_n d\nu)$  is Cauchy for each  $E \in \Sigma$ .

In particular, Lewis's integral coincides with that of Bartle, Dunford and Schwartz in their setting, i.e. for Banach-valued measures [1].

Adopting Lewis's integral, Kluvanek and Knowles [5] define the analogue of the Lebesgue space of integrable functions.

A  $\nu$ -integrable function  $f$  is said to be  $\nu$ -null if its indefinite integral is (identically) the zero vector measure, and two  $\nu$ -integrable functions  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be  $\nu$ -equivalent or to be equal  $\nu$ -almost everywhere ( $\nu$ -a.e.) if the indefinite integral of  $|f - g|$  is  $\nu$ -null. A set  $E \in \Sigma$  is said to be  $\nu$ -null if its characteristic function is  $\nu$ -null.

Every  $\tau$ -continuous semi-norm  $p$  on  $X$  defines a semi-norm on the space  $L(\nu)$  of all  $\nu$ -integrable functions via the application

$$f \mapsto p(\nu)(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |f| d|x^*\nu| : x^* \in U_p^\circ \right\}.$$

Where  $U_p^\circ$  is the polar of the set  $U_p = \{x \in X : p(x) \leq 1\}$ . The above semi-norms turn  $L(\nu)$  into a locally convex linear lattice. The quotient space of  $L(\nu)$  modulo the subspace of all  $\nu$ -null functions is denoted by  $L_1(\nu)$ .

For  $(X, \tau)$  sequentially complete, Kluvanek and Knowles show that  $\nu$ -essentially bounded functions are  $\nu$ -integrable,  $L_\infty(\nu) \subset L_1(\nu)$ , and that convergence theorems of the type of Beppo Levi and Lebesgue hold.

G. Curbera [2] shows that when  $\nu$  is Banach-valued, the space  $L_1(\nu)$ , defined by Kluvanek and Knowles, is an order continuous Banach lattice with weak unit. In [3] he studies a priori conditions on the vector measure in order to guarantee that the resulting  $L_1$  is an abstract  $L$ -space.

The purpose of this note is to show how, in case of Banach-valued measures, Lewis's integral can be presented in terms of operators. Introducing integrable functions this way suggests a natural extension of the space  $L_1(\nu)$  to a Banach space we have chosen to call  $w$ - $L_1(\nu)$ . The elements of  $w$ - $L_1(\nu)$  appear briefly in [6], are said to have *generalized integral*. We show that for certain measures  $\nu$  the space  $L_1(\nu)$  (resp.  $w$ - $L_1(\nu)$ ) is isomorphic to a subspace of Pettis (resp. Dunford) integrable functions.

**2. Notation and terminology.**

Throughout this paper  $X$  denotes a Banach space and  $X^*$  its dual. The unit ball of  $X$  (resp.  $X^*$ ) is denoted by  $B_X$  (resp.  $B_{X^*}$ ) and the natural image of  $X$  in  $(X^*)^* = X^{**}$  is denoted by  $\widehat{X}$ .

The variation of a real-valued and countably additive measure  $\lambda$  is denoted by the symbol  $|\lambda|$ . If  $\nu$  is an  $X$ -valued vector measure and

$$\lim_{|\lambda|(E) \rightarrow 0} \nu(E) = 0$$

we say that  $\nu$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous and write  $\nu \ll \lambda$ . In that case,  $\lambda$  is called a *control measure* for  $\nu$ . By a theorem of Rybakov [4], Theorem IX.2.2, there exists  $x^*$  in  $X^*$  such that  $\nu \ll |x^* \nu|$ . We then call  $|x^* \nu|$  a *Rybakov control measure* for  $\nu$ .

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$  be a finite measure space. A function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$  is called *weakly  $\lambda$ -measurable* if for each  $x^*$  in  $X^*$  the real-valued function  $x^*g$  is  $\lambda$ -measurable.  $g$  is said to be *strongly  $\lambda$ -measurable* if it is weakly  $\lambda$ -measurable and  $\lambda$ -essentially separably valued; that is, if there exists a set  $E \in \Sigma$  with  $\lambda(E) = 0$  and such that  $g(\Omega - E)$  is a (norm) separable subset of  $X$ .

$g$  is said to be *determined* by a subspace  $D$  of  $X$  (with respect to a probability measure  $\lambda$ ) if for every  $x^*$  in  $X^*$ ,

$$x^*|_D = 0 \text{ implies } x^*g = 0; \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

A weakly  $\lambda$ -measurable function  $g$  is called *Dunford integrable* (with respect to  $\lambda$ ) if  $x^*g \in L_1(\lambda)$  for every  $x^*$  in  $X^*$ . In that case, the operator  $X^* \rightarrow L_1(\lambda), x^* \mapsto x^*g$  is bounded and thus, for each  $E$  in  $\Sigma$  the mapping

$$x^* \mapsto \int_E x^*g \, d\lambda,$$

defines an element of  $X^{**}$  and is called the *Dunford integral* of  $g$  over  $E$ . We denote the Dunford integral of  $g$  over  $E$  by  $D\text{-}\int_E g \, d\lambda$ . The function  $g$  is said to be *Pettis integrable* if  $D\text{-}\int_E g \, d\lambda$  is in  $\widehat{X}$  for all  $E$  in  $\Sigma$ .

If  $g$  is strongly  $\lambda$ -measurable there exists a sequence  $(\phi_n)$  of simple functions such that  $\|g(\omega) - \phi_n(\omega)\|$  tends to zero a.e.- $\lambda$ . If the sequence  $(\|g - \phi_n\|)$  converges to zero in  $L_1(\lambda)$  the function  $g$  is called *Bochner integrable*. In that case, the sequence  $(\int_E \phi_n \, d\lambda)$  is Cauchy in  $X$  for all  $E$  in  $\Sigma$  and its limit is the Bochner integral of  $g$  over,  $E, (B)\text{-}(\int_E g \, d\lambda)$ .

The symbol  $L_1(\lambda, X)$  denotes the vector space of all (equivalence classes of) Bochner integrable functions. When equipped with the norm

$$\|g\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} \|g\| \, d\lambda$$

then  $L_1(\lambda, X)$  becomes a Banach space.

The symbol  $P_1(\lambda, X)$  denotes the vector space of all (weak equivalence classes of) Pettis integrable functions  $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$ . For such function  $g$  define

$$\|g\|_p = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_{\Omega} |x^* g| d\lambda.$$

Then  $(P_1(\lambda, X), \|\cdot\|_p)$  is a normed linear space; not necessarily a Banach space.

If  $g$  is Pettis integrable its indefinite integral,  $\psi_g : E \mapsto (\text{Pettis})\text{-}\int_E g d\lambda$  is a countably additive vector measure and the function  $g$  is called a Pettis density for  $\psi_g$  with respect to  $\lambda$ . In general, the indefinite integral of a Dunford integrable function  $h$  is countable additive *iff* the set  $\{x^* h : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is a relatively weakly compact subset of  $L_1(\lambda)$ , and Pettis integrable functions are known to have this property.

### 3. Integration.

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \lambda)$  be a complete probability space and let  $\nu$  be a  $\lambda$ -continuous vector measure taking values in  $X$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The mapping  $S : X^* \rightarrow L_1(\lambda)$ ,  $x^* \mapsto S(x^*) = \frac{d(x^* \nu)}{d\lambda}$  is bounded. Moreover, it is weak\*-to-weak continuous.*

*Proof.* For any  $g \in L_{\infty}(\lambda)$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} g S(x^*) d\lambda \right| &\leq \|g\|_{\infty} \cdot \int_{\Omega} |S(x^*)| d\lambda \\ &= \|g\|_{\infty} \cdot |x^* \nu|(\Omega) \\ &= \|g\|_{\infty} \cdot \left| \frac{x^*}{\|x^*\|} \nu \right|(\Omega) \cdot \|x^*\| \\ &\leq \|g\|_{\infty} \cdot \|\nu\|(\Omega) \cdot \|x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|S\|$  is bounded by  $\|\nu\|(\Omega)$ .

To prove weak\*-to-weak continuity, assume  $(x_{\alpha}^*)$  is a net in  $B_{X^*}$  that converges weak\* to zero. Then  $(x_{\alpha}^* \nu)$  converges setwise to zero; that is,  $x_{\alpha}^* \nu(E) \rightarrow 0$  for each  $E \in \Sigma$ . Then  $S(x_{\alpha}^*)$  is a net in  $L_1(\lambda)$  bounded by  $\|\nu\|(\Omega)$  and

$$\int_E \phi S(x_{\alpha}^*) d\lambda \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $E \in \Sigma$  and all simple functions  $\phi$ .

Fix  $h \in L_\infty(\lambda)$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose a simple function  $\phi$  such that  $\|h - \phi\| < \varepsilon$ . Then find  $\alpha_0$  such that  $|\int_E \phi S(x_\alpha^*) d\lambda| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . Then, for  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int h S(x_\alpha^*) d\lambda \right| &\leq \left| \int (h - \phi) S(x_\alpha^*) d\lambda \right| + \left| \int \phi S(x_\alpha^*) d\lambda \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \|v\|(\Omega) + \varepsilon \\ &= \varepsilon \cdot (\|v\|(\Omega) + 1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $f : \Omega \rightarrow R$  is  $\lambda$ -measurable and  $f \in L_1(x^*v)$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$ , then the operator*

$$T_f : X^* \rightarrow L_1(\lambda), \quad x^* \mapsto f \frac{d(x^*v)}{d\lambda}$$

is bounded.

*Proof.* Indeed, if  $x_n^* \rightarrow x^*$  and  $T_f x_n^* \rightarrow h_{x^*}$ , then for some subsequence  $(x_{n_j}^*)$  of  $(x_n^*)$ ,

$$(*) \quad \frac{d(x_{n_j}^*v)}{d\lambda} \rightarrow \frac{d(x^*v)}{d\lambda} \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

by Lemma 1, and

$$(**) \quad f \frac{d(x_{n_j}^*v)}{d\lambda} = T_f x_{n_j}^* \rightarrow h_{x^*} \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

But (\*) certainly implies that

$$f \frac{d(x_{n_j}^*v)}{d\lambda} \rightarrow f \frac{d(x^*v)}{d\lambda} = T_f x^* \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

which, in view of (\*\*), shows that  $T_f x^* = h_{x^*}$ . An appeal to Banach's closed graph theorem shows that  $T_f$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** (compare [4], Lemma II.3.1) *If  $f$  is as in Proposition 2 then for each  $E \in \Sigma$  there exists an element  $x_E^{**} \in X^{**}$  such that*

$$x_E^{**}(x^*) = \int_E f d(x^*v)$$

for all  $x^* \in X^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T_f$  be as in Proposition 2. If  $I_E : L_1(\lambda) \rightarrow R$  denotes integration over  $E \in \Sigma$ ,  $I_E(h) = \int_E h d\lambda$ , then  $I_E \circ T_f : X^* \rightarrow R$  is an element of  $X^{**}$ ,

$$I_E \circ T_f(x^*) = \int_E f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} d\lambda = \int_E f d(x^*\nu).$$

Denote  $I_E \circ T_f$  by  $x_E^{**}$ .  $\square$

In view of Corollary 3 we extend Lewis's definition of integrability as follows (compare [4], Definition II. 3.2):

A  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow R$  is said to be *weakly  $\nu$ -integrable* if  $f$  is  $x^*\nu$ -integrable for all  $x^* \in X^*$ . In that case, the *weak  $\nu$ -integral* of  $f$  over a set  $E \in \Sigma$  is an element  $s_E^{**} \in X^{**}$  such that

$$x_E^{**}(x^*) = \int_E f d(x^*\nu)$$

for all  $x^* \in X^*$  and we write  $w\text{-}\int_E f d\nu$  to denote the element  $x_E^{**}$ . In the case  $w\text{-}\int_E f d\nu$  is in  $\widehat{X} \subset X^{**}$  for all  $E \in \Sigma$ , then  $f$  is called  *$\nu$ -integrable* and we write  $\int_E f d\nu$  instead of  $w\text{-}\int_E f d\nu$  to denote the  $\nu$ -integral of  $f$  over  $E \in \Sigma$ .

The following theorem characterizes  $\nu$ -integrability in terms of the operator  $T_f$  of Proposition 2.

**Theorem 4.** *Assume  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  are as before, and  $f$  and  $T_f$  as in Proposition 2. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is  $\nu$ -integrable.
- (b)  $T_f$  is weak\*-to-weak continuous.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Assume  $f$  is  $\nu$ -integrable and fix  $E \in \Sigma$ . For any  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$T_f^*(\chi_E)(x^*) = \int_E T_f x^* d\lambda = \int_E f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} d\lambda = \int_E f d(x^*\nu),$$

i.e.  $T_f^*(\chi_E) = \int_E f d\lambda \in \widehat{X}$  for all  $E \in \Sigma$ . Hence  $T_f^*(\phi) \in \widehat{X}$  for all simple functions  $\phi$ . Since the simple functions are dense in  $L_\infty(\lambda)$ ,  $T_f^*(L_\infty(\lambda)) \subset \widehat{X}$ . Consequently  $T_f$  is weak\*-to-weak continuous.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) If  $T$  is weak\*-to-weak continuous then  $T^*(\chi_E)$  is in  $\widehat{X}$ , but  $T^*(\chi_E) = w\text{-}\int_E f d\nu$ . Hence  $w\text{-}\int_E f d\nu \in \widehat{X}$  and  $f$  therefore  $\nu$ -integrable.  $\square$

**Remark.** Characterizing  $\nu$ -integrability in terms of the operator  $T_f$  as above provides us with a very simple proof of the following known result.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  be as above*

- (i) *If  $f \in L_\infty(\lambda)$  then  $f$  is  $\nu$ -integrable.*
- (ii) *If  $f$  is  $\nu$ -integrable,  $g$  is  $\lambda$ -measurable and  $|g| \leq |f|$  almost everywhere, then  $g$  is  $\nu$ -integrable.*

*Proof.* (i) If  $f \in L_\infty(\lambda)$  then  $f$  corresponds to a bounded (and hence, weakly continuous) linear functional on  $L_1(\lambda)$ . The mapping

$$\int f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} d\lambda$$

is a composition,

$$\int \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} d\lambda \mapsto \int f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} d\lambda,$$

a weak\*-to weak continuous mapping followed by a weakly continuous mapping.

(ii) There exists a set  $E_0$  of measure zero such that  $|f(w)| \leq |g(w)|$  for all  $w \in X - E_0$ . Define a function  $h$  as follows:  $h(w) = g(w)/f(w)$  if  $w \notin E_0$  and  $f(w) \neq 0$  and define  $h$  to be zero otherwise. Then  $h \in L_\infty(\lambda)$  and as  $f$  in (i),  $h$  defines a bounded (and hence weakly continuous) linear functional on  $L_1(\lambda)$ . The mapping

$$\int g \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} d\lambda$$

is a composition

$$\int f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} d\lambda \mapsto \int hf \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} d\lambda,$$

a weak\*-to weak continuous mapping (by  $\nu$ -integrability of  $f$ ) followed by a weakly continuous mapping.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.**  *$T_f$  is weak\*-to-weak continuous if and only if  $T_f$  is weakly compact.*

*Proof.* Necessity is clear. We prove sufficiency.

Since  $\{f d(x^*\nu)/d\lambda : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is a relatively weakly compact subset of  $L_1(\lambda)$ , it is uniformly integrable with respect to  $\lambda$ ; that is,

$$\lim_{\lambda(E) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_E \left| f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} \right| d\lambda = 0.$$

Uniform integrability of  $\{f d(x^*\nu)/d\mu : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$ , in turn, implies that the indefinite integral of  $f, \nu_f$  is countably additive.

Let  $E$  be any set in  $\Sigma$ . We want to show that  $\nu_f(E) \in X$ . For integers  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  let  $F_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : n - 1 \leq |f(\omega)| < n\}$ . Then  $(F_n)$  is a pairwise disjoint sequence in  $\Sigma$  and  $\Omega = \bigcup F_n$ . By countable additivity,  $\nu_f(E) = \sum \nu_f(E \cap F_n)$ . But  $\{\nu_f(A \cap F_n) : A \in \Sigma\} \subset \widehat{X}$  for all  $n$ . Hence  $\nu_f(E) \in \widehat{X}$ .  $\square$

We now proceed to illustrate a relation between the above integral and integrals of vector valued functions, the Pettis, the Dunford and the Bochner integral. We will need the following characterization of Pettis integrable functions.

**Proposition 7.** ([9]) *Assume  $g$  is Dunford integrable with respect to a probability measure  $\lambda$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $g$  is Pettis integrable.
- (b)  $g$  is determined by a subspace  $D$  of  $X$  and the operator  $X^* \rightarrow L_1(\lambda)$ ,  $x^* \mapsto x^*g$  is  $\sigma(X^*, D)$ -to-weak continuous.
- (c)  $g$  is determined by a subspace  $D$  of  $X$  which is weakly compactly generated and the set  $\{x^*g : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is relatively weakly compact.

**Proposition 8.** *Assume  $\nu$  has Pettis density  $g$  with respect to a probability measure  $\lambda$ . Then for any real-valued  $\lambda$ -measurable function  $f$ ,*

- (a)  $f$  is weakly  $\nu$ -integrable if and only if  $f \cdot g$  is Dunford integrable,
- (b)  $f$  is  $\nu$ -integrable if and only if  $\{x^*(f \cdot g) : x^* \in B_{X^*}\} \subset L_1(\mu)$  is relatively weakly compact if and only if  $f \cdot g$  is Pettis integrable.

*Proof.* If  $\nu$  has Pettis density  $g$  with respect to  $\lambda$  then  $\nu \ll \lambda$  and for any  $x^*$  in  $X^*$ ,

$$\frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} = x^*g,$$

and hence,

$$f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} = f \cdot (x^*g) = x^*(f \cdot g)$$

for any  $\lambda$ -measurable function  $f$ . It follows that  $f$  is weakly  $\nu$ -integrable if and only if  $f \cdot g$  is Dunford integrable, proving (a).

Since  $g$  is Pettis integrable it is determined by a weakly compactly generated subspace  $D$  of  $X$ . Clearly, every multiple  $f \cdot g$  is determined by the same space  $D$  and is therefore Pettis integrable if and only if  $\{x^*(f \cdot g) = f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is relatively weakly compact (by Proposition 7) if and only if  $f$  is  $\nu$ -integrable (by Lemma 6).  $\square$



**4. The space  $L_1(\nu)$ .**

When the linear topological vector space  $X$  is a Banach space, the topology on  $L_1(\nu)$ , as defined by Kluvanek and Knowles, becomes a norm topology; it is generated by the single (semi-)norm  $\| \cdot \|_\nu$ , where

$$\|f\|_\nu = \sup \left\{ \int |f| d|x^*\nu| : x^* \in B_{X^*} \right\}$$

Note that  $\|f\|_\nu = \|T_f\|$  where  $T_f$  is as in Proposition 2. Extend this norm to include the weakly  $\nu$ -integrable functions by defining

$$\|f\|_\nu = \|T_f\|.$$

If we define two such functions  $f$  and  $g$  to be *weakly  $\nu$ -equivalent* if the indefinite integral of  $|f - g|$  is the zero vector measure we get a linear space of equivalence classes that we will denote by  $w\text{-}L_1(\nu)$ .

**Theorem 9.**  *$(w\text{-}L_1(\nu), \| \cdot \|_\nu)$  is a Banach space containing  $L_1(\nu)$  as a closed linear subspace.*

*Proof.* Let  $(f_n)$  be a  $\| \cdot \|_\nu$ -Cauchy sequence in  $w\text{-}L_1(\nu)$ . Then  $(f_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in each of the spaces  $L_1(|x^*\nu|)$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$ . Let  $\lambda = |x_0^*\nu|$  be a Rybakov control measure for  $\|\nu\|$  and let

$$f_0 = \lim_n f_n \quad \text{in} \quad L_1(\lambda).$$

Find a subsequence  $(f_{n_j})$  a set  $E_0$  with  $\lambda(E_0) = 0$  such that

$$f_{n_j}(w) \rightarrow f(w) \quad \text{for all} \quad w \notin E_0.$$

Fix any  $x^* \in X^*$ . If

$$f_{x^*} = \lim_n f_n \quad \text{in} \quad L_1(|x^*\nu|),$$

then

$$f_{x^*} = \lim_i f_{n_i}$$

and we can find a subsequence  $(f_{n_{i_j}})$  of  $f_{n_i}$  and a set  $E_{x^*}$  with  $|x^*\nu|(E_{x^*}) = 0$  such that

$$f_{n_{i_j}}(w) \rightarrow f_{x^*}(w) \quad \text{for all} \quad w \notin E_{x^*}.$$

The set  $E_0 \cup E_{x^*}$  is of  $|x^*\nu|$ -measure zero, and off this set the following statements hold

$$f_{n_{i_j}}(w) \rightarrow f_{x^*}(w) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{n_{i_j}}(w) \rightarrow f_0(w).$$

Thus

$$\lim_n f_n = f_{x^*} = f_0 \quad \text{in } L_1(|x^* \nu|).$$

Since  $x^*$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $f_0 \in L_1(|x^* \nu|)$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$  and hence,  $f_0 \in w-L_1(\nu)$ . Evidently,

$$\lim_n \|f_0 - f_n\| = 0.$$

To show that  $L_1(\nu)$  is a closed subspace of  $w-L_1(\nu)$ , assume each  $f_n$  is an element of  $L_1(\nu)$ . Let  $\nu_n$  be the indefinite integral of  $f_n$  and  $\nu_0$  the indefinite integral of  $f_0$ . Then  $(\nu_n)$  is a sequence of  $X$ -valued measures and since

$$\|\nu_n(E) - \nu_0(E)\| \leq \|f_n - f_0\|_\nu \rightarrow 0$$

holds for all  $E \in \Sigma$ , it follows that  $\nu_0$  is  $X$ -valued and hence,  $f_0$  is  $\nu$ -integrable.  $\square$

In [2] it is shown that  $L_1(\nu)$  is an order continuous Banach lattice, and weakly sequentially complete whenever the Banach space in which  $\nu$  takes its range has no copy of  $c_0$ . The space  $w-L_1(\nu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete Banach lattice but in general, not order continuous. In fact, order continuity of  $w-L_1(\nu)$  coincides with weak sequential completeness of  $w-L_1(\nu)$  as shown in the following theorem which generalizes [2], Theorem 3.

**Theorem 10.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $w-L_1(\nu)$  is order continuous.
- (b)  $w-L_1(\nu) = L_1(\nu)$ .
- (c)  $L_1(\nu)$  is weakly sequentially complete.
- (d)  $w-L_1(\nu)$  is weakly sequentially complete.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Assume  $w-L_1(\nu)$  is order continuous and let  $f \in w-L_1(\nu)$ . We can assume  $f \geq 0$ . Find an increasing sequence  $(f_n)$  of simple functions such that

$$0 \leq f_n \leq f_{n+1} \leq \dots \leq f$$

and

$$f_n \rightarrow f \quad \text{a.e..}$$

Then  $(f_n)$  is order bounded and by order continuity, converges in norm. Evidently the limit is  $f$ . But the  $f_n$ 's are simple and therefore, belong to  $L_1(\nu)$  which is closed. Hence,  $f = \lim_n f_n \in L_1(\nu)$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). This is basically Curbera's argument. We prove that a norm bounded increasing sequence in  $L_1(\nu)$  converges in norm since in Banach lattices it is equivalent to weak sequential completeness ([5], Theorem 1.c.4). To

that end, let  $(f_n)$  be norm bounded and increasing. We can assume the  $f_n$ 's are all nonnegative. For any  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $(f_n)$  is a norm-bounded, nonnegative and increasing sequence in  $L_1(|x^*\nu|)$  and therefore converges (in  $L_1(|x^*\nu|)$ ) to some  $f_{x^*} \in L_1(|x^*\nu|)$ . If  $\lambda = |x_0^*\nu|$  is a Rybakov control measure for  $\nu$ , let  $f_0$  be the limit of  $(f_n)$  in  $L_1(\lambda)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 9,  $f_0 \in L_1(|x^*\nu|)$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $f_0 = f_{x^*}$   $|x^*\nu|$ -a.e. . Hence the sequence  $(f_n)$  converges in each of the spaces  $L_1(|x^*\nu|)$  to  $f_0$ . Then  $f_0 \in w - L_1(\nu)$ . But  $w - L_1(\nu) = L_1(\nu)$ , so  $f_0 \in L_1(\nu)$  which is order continuous. Being order bounded and increasing, the sequence  $(f_n)$  converges in norm to  $f_0$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Assume  $L_1(\nu)$  is weakly sequentially complete. Then every norm bounded increasing sequence converges in norm. Let  $f \in w-L_1(\nu)$ . We can assume  $f$  is nonnegative. Find a sequence  $(f_n)$  of simple functions such that

$$0 \leq f_n \leq f_{n+1} \leq \dots \leq f$$

and

$$f_n \rightarrow f \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Then  $(f_n)$  is norm bounded ( $\|f_n\| \leq \|f\|$  for all  $n$ ) and increasing in  $L_1(\nu)$ , and by weak sequential completeness of  $L_1(\nu)$ , the sequence converges in norm. The limit must be  $f$ , which implies that  $f$  is integrable. Since  $f$  was an arbitrary element of  $L_1(\nu)$ , it follows that  $w-L_1(\nu) = L_1(\nu)$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). This is clear.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). If  $w-L_1(\nu)$  is order continuous then  $w-L_1(\nu) = L_1(\nu)$  and hence,  $w-L_1(\nu)$  is weakly sequentially complete.

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Since  $L_1(\nu)$  is closed.  $\square$

In [3] Curbera proves that  $L_1(\nu)$  is (order isomorphic to) an abstract  $L$ -space *if and only if* every element  $f$  in  $L_1(\nu)$  belongs to  $L_1(|\nu|)$  in which case the two spaces are order isomorphic. In [7], Theorem 4.2, Lewis characterizes those elements of  $L_1(\nu)$  that belong to  $L_1(|\nu|)$  as those whose indefinite integrals are of bounded variation; that is, an element  $f$  in  $L_1(\nu)$  belongs to  $L_1(|\nu|)$  if and only if the measure

$$\nu_f : \Sigma \rightarrow X, E \mapsto \int_E f d\nu$$

is of bounded variation.

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\nu$  be a vector measure and  $\lambda$  a probability measure such that  $\nu \ll \lambda$ . The following two statements are equivalent:*

(a)  $\nu$  is of bounded variation.

(b) The set  $\{d(x^*\nu)/d\lambda : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is an order bounded subset of  $L_1(\lambda)$ .

In that case,

$$|\nu|(E) = \int_E h d\lambda,$$

where  $h = \text{lub} \{|d(x^*\nu)/d\lambda| : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$

*Proof.* (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Assuming the set  $\{d(x^*\nu)/d\lambda : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is an order bounded subset of  $L_1(\lambda)$ , let  $h = \text{lub} \{|d(x^*\nu)/d\lambda| : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$ . If  $E$  any element of  $\Sigma$ , then for any  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ ,

$$|x^*\nu(E)| \leq |x^*\nu|(E) = \int_E \left| \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} \right| d\lambda \leq \int_E h d\lambda,$$

and hence,

$$\|\nu(E)\| = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} |x^*\nu(E)| \leq \int_E h d\lambda.$$

Let  $\pi$  be any finite partition of  $\Omega$  into measurable sets. Then

$$\sum_{A \in \pi} \|\nu(A)\| \leq \sum_{A \in \pi} \int_A h d\lambda = \int_{\Omega} h d\lambda,$$

and consequently

$$|\nu|(\Omega) = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{A \in \pi} \|\nu(A)\| \leq \int_{\Omega} h d\lambda.$$

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). View the measure  $\nu$  as a measure into  $X^{**}$ . Since the measure is of finite variation, a direct consequence of a representation theorem of A. Ionescu Tulcea and C. Ionescu Tulcea [10] provides us with an  $X^{**}$ -valued function  $f$  such that

- (i)  $f(\cdot)x^*$  belongs to  $L_1(\lambda)$  for all  $x^*$  in  $X^*$ .
- (ii) For any  $E$  in  $\Sigma$  and any  $x^*$  in  $X^*$ ,

$$x^*\nu(E) = \int_E f x^* d\lambda.$$

The function  $f$  is called a *weak\*-density* for  $\nu$  with respect to  $\lambda$ . By [9], Lemma 2.6, there exists a countable partition  $\pi$  of  $\Omega$  into measurable sets such that for any  $E$  in  $\pi$  the set  $\{(f(\cdot)x^*)_{\chi_E} : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is a bounded subset of  $L_{\infty}(\lambda)$ . Denote by  $\kappa_E$  the least upper bound of  $\{(f(\cdot)x^*)_{\chi_E} : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  and let  $\kappa = \sum_{\pi} \kappa_E$ . For any  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $E \in \pi$

$$|x^*\nu(E \cap A)| \leq \int_{E \cap A} |f x^*| d\lambda \leq \int_{E \cap A} \kappa d\lambda.$$

It follows that

$$|\nu|(E \cap A) = \int_{E \cap A} \frac{d|\nu|}{d\lambda} d\lambda \leq \int_{E \cap A} \kappa d\lambda$$

and consequently,

$$\frac{d|\nu|}{d\lambda}(\omega) \leq \kappa(\omega) \quad \text{a.e.-}\lambda.$$

On the other hand we have that for  $x^*$  in  $B_{X^*}$

$$|x^*\nu|(E) = \int_E |fx^*| d\lambda \leq |\nu|(E) = \int_E \frac{d|\nu|}{d\lambda} d\lambda.$$

Hence,  $|f(\omega)x^*| \leq \frac{d|\nu|}{d\lambda}(\omega)$  a.e.- $\lambda$ , which implies that  $\kappa(\omega) \leq \frac{d|\nu|}{d\lambda}(\omega)$  a.e.- $\lambda$ .

Hence  $\kappa$  and  $\frac{d|\nu|}{d\lambda}$  are equal almost everywhere. Evidently  $h = \kappa$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 12.** Assume  $\nu$  is of bounded variation,  $\lambda$  a finite measure and  $\nu \ll \lambda$ . Then a  $\nu$ -integrable function  $f$  belongs to  $L_1(|\nu|)$  if and only if the set  $\{f d(x^*\nu)/d\lambda : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is an order bounded subset of  $L_1(\lambda)$ . In that case,

$$|\nu_f|(E) = \int_E |f| d|\nu|.$$

*Proof.*  $f$  belongs to  $L_1(|\nu|)$  if and only if the measure  $\nu_f$  is of bounded variation by [7], Theorem 4.2, if and only if  $\{d(x^*\nu_f)/d\lambda : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is an order bounded subset of  $L_1(\lambda)$  by Lemma 11. For any  $x^*$  in  $X^*$ ,

$$x^*\nu_f(E) = \int_E f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} d\lambda.$$

It follows that  $d(x^*\nu_f)/d\lambda = f d(x^*\nu)/d\lambda$  and the validity of the first claim follows.

Let  $h$  be the function

$$h = \text{lub} \left\{ \left| \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} \right| : x^* \in B_{X^*} \right\} = \frac{d|\nu|}{d\lambda}.$$

Since

$$\text{lub} \left\{ \left| \frac{d(x^*\nu_f)}{d\lambda} \right| : x^* \in B_{X^*} \right\} = \text{lub} \left\{ \left| f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} \right| : x^* \in B_{X^*} \right\} = |f| \cdot h$$

it follows that for any  $E \in \Sigma$ ,

$$|\nu_f|(E) = \int_E |f| \cdot h \, d\lambda = \int_E |f| \, d|\nu|. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 13.** *Assume  $\nu$  has a Bochner integrable density  $g$  with respect to  $\lambda$ . The correspondence*

$$U : f \mapsto f \cdot g$$

*is an isometry mapping  $w\text{-}L_1(\nu)$  into the space of Dunford integrable functions. Furthermore*

$$U(L_1(\nu)) = U(w\text{-}L_1(\nu)) \cap P(\lambda, X)$$

and

$$U(L_1(|\nu|)) = U(w\text{-}L_1(\nu)) \cap L_1(\lambda, X)$$

*Proof.* The equation

$$\|f\|_\nu = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int \left| f \frac{d(x^*\nu)}{d\lambda} \right| d\lambda = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int |f(x^*g)| d\lambda = \|f \cdot g\|_P$$

together with Theorem 4 prove the validity of the first two claims.

The equations

$$\|g\| = \frac{d|\nu|}{d\lambda} \quad |f| \cdot \|g\| = \frac{d|\nu_f|}{d\lambda}$$

together with Corollary 12 prove the last claim.  $\square$

**Remark.** When the vector measure  $\nu$  is represented by a Bochner integrable function  $g$  as above the spaces  $w\text{-}L_1(\nu)$ ,  $L_1(\nu)$  and  $L_1(|\nu|)$  correspond to multiples of  $f \cdot g$  of  $g$  that are Dunford-Pettis, and Bochner-integrable. Consequently,  $L_1(\nu)$  is order isomorphic to an abstract  $L$ -space if and only if  $f \cdot g$  is Bochner integrable whenever it is Pettis integrable. It follows from Theorem 10 that if  $L_1(\nu)$  is order isomorphic to an abstract  $L$ -space then  $L_1(\nu)$  can not be a proper subspace of  $w\text{-}L_1(\nu)$  and consequently, in the setting of the above proposition,  $L_1(\nu)$  is order isomorphic to an abstract  $L$ -space if and only if  $f \cdot g$  is Bochner integrable whenever it is Dunford integrable.

If the function  $g$  is only Pettis integrable to begin with it still holds, that  $f$  is weakly  $\nu$ -integrable (resp.  $\nu$ -integrable) if and only if  $f \cdot g$  is Dunford (resp. Pettis) integrable, but to give a precise description of  $L_1(|\nu|)$  is not possible.

Let's assume the measure  $\lambda$  is a Rybakov control measure for  $\nu$ ; that is  $\lambda = |z_0^*|$  for some  $z_0^* \in B_{X^*}$ . Further, assume that the measure  $\nu$  is  $X^{**}$ -valued and find an  $X^{**}$ -valued weak\*-density  $g$  for  $\nu$  with respect to  $\lambda = |z_0^*|$ . Since every  $\nu$ -integrable function  $f$  must belong to  $L_1(|x^* \nu|)$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$ , every element of  $L_1(\nu)$  belongs to  $L_1(\lambda)$ . On the other hand, if the set  $\{x^* g : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is not only order bounded in  $L_1(\lambda)$  but also bounded in  $L_\infty(\lambda)$  then every function in  $L_1(\lambda)$  is  $\nu$ -integrable.

If an  $X^{**}$ -valued function  $g$  is such that the set  $\{x^* g : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is a bounded subset of  $L_\infty(\lambda)$  we say that  $g$  is weak\*-bounded.

**Lemma 14.** *If  $\nu$  has a weak\*-bounded weak\*-density  $g$  with respect to a Rybakov control measure  $\lambda$ , then  $L_1(\nu)$  is order isomorphic to an abstract  $L$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be a weak\*-bounded weak\*-density for  $\nu$  with respect to a Rybakov control measure  $\lambda$  and let  $S$  be the operator  $S : x^* \mapsto d(x^* \nu)/d\lambda$  as in Lemma 1. By statement (i) in the proof of Lemma 11,  $Sx^* = gx^*$ . Since  $S$  is weak\*-to weak continuous, the adjoint,  $S^*$  maps  $L_\infty(\lambda)$  into  $X$ . Since  $g$  is weak\*-bounded  $S^*$  extends to a bounded operator defined on  $L_1(\lambda)$ . This means that every element of  $L_1(\lambda)$  is weakly  $\nu$ -integrable. By the density of  $L_\infty(\lambda)$  in  $L_1(\lambda)$ ,  $S^*(L_1(\lambda))$  is in  $X$  which implies that the elements of  $L_1(\lambda)$  are  $\nu$ -integrable as well.

Clearly, every  $\nu$ -integrable function  $f$  belongs to  $L_1(\lambda)$  and hence,  $L_1(\nu)$  is order isomorphic to  $L_1(\lambda)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $\nu$  be a vector measure of bounded variation. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a vector measure  $\mu$  such that for every  $E \in \Sigma$*

$$\|\nu(E) - \mu(E)\| \leq |\nu - \mu|(E) \leq \varepsilon$$

*and  $L_1(\mu)$  is order isomorphic to an abstract  $L$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be a Rybakov control measure for  $\nu$  and let  $g$  be a weak\*-density for  $\nu$  with respect to  $\lambda$ . As in the proof of Lemma 11, find a countable partition  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots\}$  of  $\Omega$  such that for each  $n$ , the function  $g \cdot \chi_{A_n}$  is weak\*-bounded. Let  $\kappa_n$  denote the least upper bound of  $\{g(\cdot)x^*\chi_{A_n} : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  and let  $\kappa = \sum_n \kappa_n$ . Then  $\kappa = d|\nu|/d\lambda$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Find  $n_0$  such that

$$|\nu|(\Omega - \bigcup_{n \leq n_0} A_n) < \varepsilon.$$

Let

$$A_0 = \bigcup_{n \leq n_0} A_n \quad \text{and} \quad g_0 = g \cdot \chi_{A_0}.$$

If we let  $\mu$  be the measure whose weak\*-density is  $g_0$  then

- (i)  $\mu(E) = \nu(E \cap A_0)$  for all  $E \in \Sigma$
- (ii)  $d|\mu|/d\lambda = \kappa \cdot \chi_{A_0}$  and consequently

$$\|\mu(E) - \nu(E)\| \leq |\mu - \nu|(E)$$

for all  $E \in \Sigma$ .

Since the weak\*-density for  $\mu$ ,  $g_0$  is weak\*-bounded the result now follows from Lemma 14.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.G. Bartle - N. Dunford - J.T. Schwartz, *Weak compactness and vector measures*, Canad. J. Math., 7 (1955), pp. 289–305.
- [2] G.P. Curbera, *Operators into  $L^1$  of a vector measures and applications to Banach lattices*, preprint.
- [3] G.P. Curbera, *When is  $L^1$  of a vector measures an AL-space*, preprint.
- [4] J. Diestel - J.J. Uhl jr, *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys, no.15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [5] I. Kluvanek - G. Knowles, *Vector Measures and Control Systems*, Mathematics Studies, no. 20, North Holland, Amsterdam-Oxford, 1975.
- [6] D.R. Lewis, *Integration with respect to vector measures*, Pacific J. of Math., 33 (1970), pp. 157–165.
- [7] D.R. Lewis, *On integration and summability in vector spaces*, Illinois J. Math., 16 (1972), pp. 294–307.
- [8] J. Lindenstrauss - L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [9] G. Stefansson, *Pettis Integrability*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 330 (1992), pp. 401–418.
- [10] A. Ionescu Tucea - C. Ionescu Tulcea, *On the lifting property II. Representation of linear operators on spaces  $L^r_E$ ,  $1 \leq r < \infty$* , J. Math. Mech., 11 (1962), pp. 773–795.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Pennsylvania State University,  
Altoona, PA 16601 - 3767, (U.S.A.)*