# DERIVED CATEGORY OF TORIC VARIETIES WITH PICARD NUMBER THREE 
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We construct a full, strongly exceptional collection of line bundles on the variety $X$ that is the blow up of the projectivization of the vector bundle $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\left(b_{1}\right)$ along a linear space of dimension $n-2$, where $b_{1}$ is a non-negative integer.

## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ and let $D^{b}(X)$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of coherent sheaves of $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-modules. This category is an important algebraic invariant of $X$. In order to understand the derived category $D^{b}(X)$ one is interested in knowing a strongly exceptional finite collection of objects in $D^{b}(X)$ that generates the derived category $D^{b}(X)$.

The notion of "strongly exceptional" collection was first introduced by Gorodentsev and Rudakov [8] in order to study vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. An exceptional collection $\left\{F_{0}, F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right\}$ of sheaves gives a functor $F_{E}$ from the category of coherent sheaves $\operatorname{Coh}(X)$ to the derived category $D^{b}(\mathscr{A}$ - module) of $\mathscr{A}$-modules, where $E=\oplus_{i=0}^{m} F_{i}$ and $\mathscr{A}=\operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$. The functor $F_{E}$ is extendable to the derived functor $D^{b}\left(F_{E}\right)$ from $D^{b}(X)$ to $D^{b}(\mathscr{A}$-module). In
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[3] Bondal proved that if $\left\{F_{0}, F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right\}$ is a full strongly exceptional collection then the functor $D^{b}\left(F_{E}\right)$ is an equivalence of categories. The existence of a full strongly exceptional collection $\left\{F_{0}, F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right\}$ of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective variety puts a strong condition on $X$ namely the Grothendieck group $K^{0}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$. In general for an arbitrary variety $X, K^{0}(X)$ has torsion but $K^{0}$ of a toric variety is a finitely generated free abelian group. So it is interesting to search for full strongly exceptional collections of sheaves in case of toric variety. For a smooth complete toric variety Kawamata [11] proved that the derived category $D^{b}(X)$ has a full collection of exceptional objects. In his collection, the objects are sheaves rather than line bundles and the collection is only exceptional and not strongly exceptional. For a smooth complete toric variety $X$, there is a well known construction due to Bondal which gives a (finite) full collection of line bundles of $D^{b}(X)$. In general Bondal's collection of line bundles need not be a strongly exceptional collection but one "hopes" that for huge families of toric varieties we will be able to choose a subset and order it in such a way that it becomes a full strongly exceptional collection. In [12] King made the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. For any smooth, complete toric variety $X$ there exists a full, strongly, exceptional collection of line bundles. ${ }^{1}$

This conjecture was disproved by Hille and Perling, in [10] they gave an example of a smooth, complete toric surface that does not have a full strongly exceptional collection of line bundles. The conjecture was reformulated by Costa and Miró-Roig:

Conjecture 1.2. For every smooth, complete Fano toric variety there exists a full strongly exceptional collection of line bundles.

This conjecture is still open and is supported by many numerical evidences. It has an affirmative answer when the Picard number of $X$ is less than or equal to two. When the Picard number is one it is easy to see that $X$ is isomorphic to projective space $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ and the collection $(\mathscr{O}, \mathscr{O}(1), \cdots, \mathscr{O}(r))$ is a full strongly exceptional collection (this is a consequence of Beilinson's theorem). When the Picard number of $X$ is two, the above question has affirmative answer and this is due to Costa and Miró-Roig [6]. When the Picard number is 3 the question is not fully resolved.

Toric varieties with Picard number three are completely classified by Batyrev [1] in terms of its primitive collections, he showed that any toric variety with Picard number 3 has 3 or 5 primitive collections. Toric varieties with 3

[^0]primitive collections are isomorphic to a projectivization of a decomposable bundle over a smooth toric variety $W$ of a smaller dimension with Picard number 2 , hence by [6] we have an affirmative answer to the conjecture. When the number of primitive collections is 5 the conjecture is still open. There are some partial results known in this case, for example recently Costa and Miró-Roig [5] answered the above conjecture affirmatively when $X$ is a blow up of $\mathbb{P}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$ along a multilinear subvariety of codimension 2. Motivated by this result, R. M. Miró-Roig and L. Costa (in the meeting P.R.A.G.MAT.I.C' 09) suggested us to investigate this question for a large family of toric varieties parameterized by positive integers $b_{1}, n$. In this note we consider a toric variety $X$ which is a blow up of the projectivization of the vector bundle $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\left(b_{1}\right)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ along a linear space of dimension $n-2$, where $b_{1}$ is a positive integer. We are able to answer the conjecture affirmatively for this family of toric varieties (see Theorem 4.15). Note that not all our varieties are Fano, in fact $X$ is Fano if $b_{1}<n-1$.

We outline the structure of this paper. In §2, we briefly review the notions of strongly exceptional collection of sheaves and few basic facts about toric varieties which will be needed later on. In §3, we recall Batyrev's classification of toric varieties and we describe the family of toric varieties which we are interested in, in terms of fans and its primitive relations. In §4 we determine explicitly a full strongly exceptional collection of line bundles for this family of toric varieties.

## 2. Preliminaries

The goal of this section is to fix the notation and basic facts that we will use through this paper. We start by recalling the notions of exceptional sheaves, exceptional collection of sheaves, strongly exceptional collection of sheaves and full strongly exceptional collection of sheaves. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.1. 1. A coherent sheaf $F$ on $X$ is exceptional if $\operatorname{Hom}(F, F)=\mathbb{C}$ and Ext $_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{i}(F, F)=0$ for $i>0$.
2. An ordered collection $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)$ of coherent sheaves on $X$ is an exceptional collection if each sheaf $F_{i}$ is exceptional and
Ext ${ }_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{i}\left(F_{k}, F_{j}\right)=0$ for $j<k$ and $i \geq 0$.
3. An exceptional collection $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)$ of coherent sheaves on $X$ is a strongly exceptional collection if $E x t{ }_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{i}\left(F_{j}, F_{k}\right)=0$ for $j \leq k$ and $i \geq 1$.
4. A (strongly) exceptional collection $\left(F_{0}, F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right)$ of coherent sheaves on $X$ is a full (strongly) exceptional collection if it generates the bounded derived category $D^{b}(X)$ of $X$ i.e. the smallest triangulated category containing $\left\{F_{0}, F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right\}$ is equivalent to $D^{b}(X)$.

## 3. Toric varieties with Picard number three

In this section we introduce notation and facts concerning toric varieties that we use in our paper. An $n$ dimensional toric variety $X$ is a smooth, projective variety containing an $n$ dimensional torus $T$ ( $n$ copies of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ ) together with an action on $X$ and characterized by a fan $\Sigma$ of strongly convex polyhedral cones in $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, where $N$ is a lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. We denote the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $N$ by $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ and by $e_{1}^{*}, \cdots, e_{n}^{*}$ its dual basis in $M:=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$. For every one dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ there is a unique generator $v \in N$ such that $\sigma \cap N=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cdot v$, it is called the ray generator. The set of all ray generators is denoted by $R$. To each ray generator $r \in R$ one can associate a toric divisor $D_{r}$ (see also [7]). If the number of toric divisors is $m$ then the Picard number of $X$ is $m-n$ where $n$ is the dimension of $X$. The anticanonical divisor $-K_{X}$ is given by $-K_{X}=\sum_{r \in R} D_{r}$. We say that $X$ is Fano if $-K_{X}$ is ample.

Smooth, complete toric varieties with Picard number three have been classified by Batyrev in [1] according to their primitive relations. Let $\Sigma$ be a fan in $N=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Definition 3.1. We say that a subset $P \subset R$ is a primitive collection if it is a minimal (with respect to inclusion) subset of $R$ which does not span a cone in $\Sigma$.

In other words a primitive collection is a subset of ray generators, such that all together they do not span a cone in $\Sigma$ but if we remove any generator, then the rest spans a cone that belongs to $\Sigma$. To each primitive collection $P=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ we associate a primitive relation. Let $w=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be the cone of the smallest dimension that contains $w$. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}$ be the ray generators of this cone. The toric variety of $\Sigma$ was assumed to be smooth, so there are unique positive integers $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}$ such that

$$
w=\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_{i} y_{i}
$$

Definition 3.2. For each primitive collection $P=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ the linear relation:

$$
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}-n_{1} y_{1}-\cdots-n_{s} y_{s}=0
$$

is called the primitive relation (associated to $P$ ).

Using the results of [9] and [14] Batyrev proved in [1] that for any smooth, complete $n$ dimensional fan with $n+3$ generators its set of ray generators can be partitioned into $l$ non-empty sets $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{l-1}$ in such a way that the primitive collections are exactly sums of $p+1$ consecutive sets $X_{i}$ (we use a circular numeration, that is we assume that $i \in \mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z})$, where $l=2 p+3$. Moreover $l$ is equal to 3 or 5 . The number $l$ is of course the number of primitive collections. In the case $l=3$ the fan $\Sigma$ is a splitting fan (that is any two primitive collections are disjoint). These varieties are well characterized, and we know much about full strongly exceptional collections of line bundles on them. The case of five primitive collections is much more complicated and is our object of study. For $l=5$ we have the following result of Batyrev [1, Theorem 6.6].

Theorem 3.3. Let $Y_{i}=X_{i} \cup X_{i+1}$, where $i \in \mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{0}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p_{0}}\right\}, \quad X_{1}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p_{1}}\right\}, \quad X_{2}=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p_{2}}\right\} \\
X_{3}=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{p_{3}}\right\}, \quad X_{4}=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p_{4}}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}+p_{4}=n+3$. Then any n-dimensional fan $\Sigma$ with the set of generators $\bigcup X_{i}$ and five primitive collections $Y_{i}$ can be described up to a symmetry of the pentagon by the following primitive relations with nonnegative integral coefficients $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{p_{2}}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p_{3}}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{1}+\cdots+v_{p_{0}}+y_{1}+\cdots+y_{p_{1}}-c_{2} z_{2}-\cdots-c_{p_{2}} z_{p_{2}} \\
-\left(b_{1}+1\right) t_{1}-\cdots-\left(b_{p_{3}}+1\right) t_{p_{3}}=0 \\
y_{1}+\cdots+y_{p_{1}}+z_{1}+\cdots+z_{p_{2}}-u_{1}-\cdots-u_{p_{4}}=0 \\
z_{1}+\cdots+z_{p_{2}}+t_{1}+\cdots+t_{p_{3}}=0 \\
t_{1}+\cdots+t_{p_{3}}+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{p_{4}}-y_{1}-\cdots-y_{p_{1}}=0 \\
u_{1}+\cdots+u_{p_{4}}+v_{1}+\cdots+v_{p_{0}}-c_{2} z_{2}-\cdots-c_{p_{2}} z_{p_{2}}-b_{1} t_{1}-\cdots-b_{p_{3}} t_{p_{3}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

In our case we will be interested in varieties $X$ with Picard number three that have the following sets $X_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}, X_{1}=\{y\}, X_{2}=\{z\}, X_{3}=\{t\}, X_{4}=\{u\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, from now on let us denote by $X$ a smooth toric variety with Picard number 3 and primitive collections $X_{0} \cup X_{1}, X_{1} \cup X_{2}, \ldots, X_{4} \cup X_{0}$. We see that the cone $\left.<v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, z\right\rangle$ is in our fan, so as the variety is smooth, these ray generators form a basis $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ of a lattice. In this basis, using the
above primitive relations we see that all the considered ray generators are of the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1} & =e_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}=e_{n-1} \\
t & =-e_{n} \\
z & =e_{n}  \tag{3.2}\\
u & =-e_{1}-\cdots-e_{n-1}-b e_{n} \\
y & =-e_{1}-\cdots-e_{n-1}-(b+1) e_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

One can see that for any fixed dimension $n$ we obtain an infinite number of smooth toric varieties parameterized by $b=b_{1} \geq 0$, but only a finite number of them is Fano, namely for $b<n-1$ (because the sum of coefficients in each primitive relation has to be positive).

We need following basic facts about divisors on toric varieties. To each ray generator $r \in R$ we can associate a divisor $D_{r}$ [7]. The relations (in the Picard group) among the divisors are given by the following equations:

$$
\sum_{r \in R} e_{i}^{*}(r) D_{r}=0
$$

what is

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{v_{1}}-D_{u}-D_{y}=0, \ldots, D_{v_{n-1}}-D_{u}-D_{y}=0 \\
D_{z}-D_{t}-b D_{u}-(b+1) D_{y}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3.4. The above linear relations imply that $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{3}=$ $<D_{v}, D_{y}, D_{t}>$, i.e. each divisor can be uniquely written in a form:

$$
e D_{v}+f D_{y}+g D_{t}
$$

where $D_{v}$ is any fixed $D_{v_{i}}$ (they are all linearly equivalent).
Proof. From the relations above it is obvious that each divisor is linearly equivalent to a divisor of this form. Let us assume that it has two such presentations. It means that they have to be linearly dependent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e D_{v}+f D_{y}+ & g D_{t}=e^{\prime} D_{v}+f^{\prime} D_{y}+g^{\prime} D_{t}+i\left(D_{v}-D_{u}-D_{y}\right) \\
& +j\left(D_{z}-D_{t}-b D_{u}-(b+1) D_{y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $D_{z}$ occurs only on the right hand side in the above equality we have $j=0$. Once $j=0$ the divisor $D_{u}$ occurs only on the right hand side so $i=0$ and we get uniqueness.

## 4. Main theorem

In this section we prove that for smooth toric projective varieties $X$ with Picard number 3 with sets of generators

$$
X_{0}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}, \quad X_{1}=\{y\}, \quad X_{2}=\{z\}, \quad X_{3}=\{t\}, \quad X_{4}=\{u\}
$$

in the situation described in the previous section there exists a full strongly exceptional collection of line bundles in the derived category.

We proceed in several steps. First by pushing forward a trivial line bundle by a Frobenius morphism we obtain a vector bundle that splits into the direct sum of line bundles which by Bondal's result [2] generate $D^{b}(X)$. We can calculate the set $C$ of these line bundles explicitly using the algorithm described in [15]. Then, we choose an ordered subset $C^{\prime} \subset C$ and we prove that $C^{\prime}$ is strongly exceptional. Finally using Koszul complexes we prove that $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ generate the same category, hence $C^{\prime}$ is also full.

### 4.1. Full collection

We fix a prime integer $p \gg 0$. Let $F: X \rightarrow X$ be the $p$-th Frobenius morphism of our toric variety $X$, that is an extension of a morphism:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F: & T \rightarrow T \\
& t \rightarrow t^{p}
\end{array}
$$

where $T$ is the torus of $X$. Using the results of [15] we can calculate the split of the push forward $F_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$. We will use similar notation as used in [15]. Let us recall the algorithm. We fix a basis of $N$. Let

$$
R=k\left[\left(X^{e_{1}^{*}}\right)^{ \pm 1}, \ldots,\left(X^{\hat{e}_{n}^{*}}\right)^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

be the coordinate ring of the torus $T$. To each cone $\chi_{i} \subset N$ of maximal dimension we associate a matrix $A_{i}$ whose rows are ray generators in the chosen basis. Let $B_{i}=A_{i}^{-1}$ and $C_{i j}=B_{j}^{-1} B_{i}$. Let $w_{i j}=\left(w_{i j}^{1}, \ldots, w_{i j}^{n}\right)$ be the $j$-th column of the matrix $B_{i}$. To each maximal cone $\chi_{i} \subset N$ one can also associate an open affine subvariety $U_{\chi_{i}}$ with the coordinate ring

$$
R_{i}=k\left[X_{i 1}, \ldots, X_{i n}\right] \subset R
$$

where we use the notation $X_{i j}=X^{w_{i j}}=\left(X^{e_{1}^{*}}\right)^{w_{i j}^{1}} \ldots\left(X^{e_{n}^{*}}\right)^{w_{i j}^{n}}$. If we consider two cones $\chi_{i}, \chi_{j} \subset N$ then $\chi_{i} \cap \chi_{j}$ is a face of $\chi_{i}$. Using [7, Proposition 2, p. 13] we see that there is a monomial $M_{i j}$ such that $\left(R_{i}\right)_{M_{i j}}$ is the coordinate ring of $\chi_{i} \cap \chi_{j}$. Let

$$
I_{i j}=\left\{g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right): X_{i}^{g} \text { is a unit in }\left(R_{i}\right)_{M_{i j}}\right\}
$$

Let us also define the set

$$
\left.P_{p}=\left\{\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right): 0 \leq g_{i}<p\right)\right\}
$$

For $w \in I_{j i}$ one can define maps:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{i j p}^{w}: P_{p} \rightarrow I_{j i}, \\
r_{i j p}^{w}: P_{p} \rightarrow P_{p}
\end{gathered}
$$

determined for $g \in P_{p}$ by the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j} g+w=p h_{i j p}^{w}(g)+r_{i j p}^{w}(g) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us fix a Cartier divisor $D=\left\{\left(U_{\chi_{i}}, X_{i}^{u_{i}}\right)\right\}$ and a line bundle $L \cong \mathscr{O}(D)$. From [15, Lemma 4] one gets, for each $g \in P_{p}$ and each cone $\chi_{l}$ a $T$-Cartier divisor $D_{g}=\left\{\left(U_{\chi_{i}}, X_{i}^{g_{i}}\right)\right\}$, where $g_{i}=h_{\text {lip }}^{u_{l i}}(g)$, that is independent from the choice of $l$. Moreover by [15, Theorem 1] taking all $g \in P_{p}$ one gets line bundles that form a split of the push forward by the Frobenius morphism $F_{*}(L)$. In our case the algorithm simplifies.

Let us consider three matrices:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{0}=I d_{n}, A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 
& & & 0 \\
& I d_{n-1} & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right] \\
A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& \\
& I d_{n-2} & & \vdots & \vdots \\
-1 & \ldots & -1 & -1 & -b \\
-1 & \ldots & -1 & -1 & -b-1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The matrices above correspond to following cones:

$$
\sigma_{0}=<v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, z>, \quad \sigma_{1}=<v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, t>, \quad \sigma_{2}=<v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-2}, u, y>
$$

From 4.1 we get:

$$
C_{0 j}=A_{j} A_{0}^{-1}=A_{j}
$$

Let $g \in P_{p}$, as we are pushing forward trivial line bundle we want to calculate $h_{0 j p}^{0}$ and $r_{0 j p}^{0}$ that satisfy:

$$
A_{j} g=p h_{0 j p}^{0}(g)+r_{0 j p}^{0}(g)
$$

where $r_{0 j m}^{0} \in P_{p}$. As $A_{0} g=p \cdot 0+g$, we see that $D_{g}$ as a Cartier divisor on $X$ is given by 1 on $U_{\sigma_{0}}$. On $U_{\sigma_{1}}$ the divisor is given by:

$$
\begin{cases}1 & \text { if } g_{n}=0 \\ X^{-w_{i n}} & \text { if } g_{n} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

and on $U_{\sigma_{2}}$ by:

$$
\begin{cases}X^{-s w_{j(n-1)}} X^{-s w_{j n}} & \text { if } g_{n}=0 \\ X^{-s w_{j(n-1)}} X^{-s w_{j n}} \text { or } X^{-s w_{j(n-1)}} X^{-(s+1) w_{j n}} & \text { if } g_{n} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

where $s=g_{1}+\cdots+g_{n-1}+b g_{n}$. We see that for $p \gg 0, F_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ splits into the direct sum of line bundles, all of which belong to one of the following three subsets:

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}=\left\{\mathscr{O}\left(-q D_{u}-(q+1) D_{y}-D_{t}\right): q=0, \ldots, n-1+b\right\} \\
B_{2}=\left\{\mathscr{O}\left(-q D_{u}-q D_{y}-D_{t}\right): q=1, \ldots, n-1+b\right\} \\
B_{3}=\left\{\mathscr{O}\left(-q D_{u}-q D_{y}\right): q=0, \ldots, n-1\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 4.1. With the above notation the line bundles from the set $B_{1} \cup B_{2} \cup$ $B_{3}$ generate the derived category $D^{b}(X)$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Bondal's result from [2], that the split of the push forward of a trivial bundle by the $p$-th Frobenius morphism generates the derived category $D^{b}(X)$ for $p$ sufficiently large.

### 4.2. Forbidden subsets

In this subsection we want to characterize acyclic line bundles on $X$ i.e. line bundles whose higher cohomologies vanishes. We will use this characterization to check if $\operatorname{Ext}{ }^{i}(L, M)=H^{i}\left(L^{\vee} \otimes M\right)=0$ for $i>0$.

Let $\Sigma$ be an arbitrary fan in $N=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with the set of ray generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}$ be the toric variety associated to the fan $\Sigma$. For $I \subset\{1, \ldots, m\}$ let $C_{I}$ be the simplicial complex generated by sets $J \subset I$ such that $\left\{x_{i}: i \in J\right\}$ generates the cone in $\Sigma$ and for $r=\left(r_{i}: i=1, \ldots, m\right)$. Let us define $\operatorname{Supp}(r):=C_{\left\{i: r_{i} \geq 0\right\}}$.

From the result of Borisov and Hua [4] we have the following:
Proposition 4.2. The cohomology $H^{j}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}, L\right)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum over all $r=\left(r_{i}: i=1, \ldots, m\right)$ such that $\mathscr{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} D_{x_{i}}\right) \cong L$ of the $(n-j)$-th reduced homology of the simplicial complex $\operatorname{Supp}(r)$.

Definition 4.3. A line bundle L on $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}$ is called acyclic if $H^{i}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}, L\right)=0$ for $i \geq 1$.

Definition 4.4. A proper subset I of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ is called a forbidden set if the simplicial complex $C_{I}$ has nontrivial reduced homology.

From Proposition 4.2 we have the following characterization of acyclic line bundles

Proposition 4.5. A line bundle L on $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}$ is acyclic if it is not isomorphic to none of the following line bundles

$$
\mathscr{O}\left(\sum_{i \in I} r_{i} D_{x_{i}}-\sum_{i \notin I}\left(1+r_{i}\right) D_{x_{i}}\right)
$$

where $r_{i} \geq 0$ and $I$ is a proper forbidden subset of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$.
Hence to determine which bundles on $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}$ are acyclic it is enough to know which sets $I$ are forbidden.

In case of simplicial complex $C_{I}$ on the set of vertices $I$ we also define a primitive collection as a minimal subset of vertices that do not form a simplex. A complex $C_{I}$ is determined by its primitive collections, namely it contains simplices (subsets of $I$ ) that contain none of primitive collections.

In case of our variety (described at the beginning of this section) we have $C_{I}=\left\{J \subset I: \widehat{Y}_{i}:=\left\{j: x_{j} \in Y_{i}\right\} \nsubseteq J\right.$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, 5\right\}$, since $Y_{i}$ are primitive collections. So sets $\widehat{Y}_{i}$ are primitive collections in the simplicial complex. The only difference between sets $\widehat{Y}_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$ is that the first one is the set of indices of rays in the second one, so in fact they could be even identified. For our convenience we also define sets $\widehat{X}_{i}:=\widehat{Y}_{i} \cap \widehat{Y_{i-1}}$ which are similarly sets of indices of sets $X_{i}$.

Lemma 4.6. A primitive collection is a forbidden subset.
Proof. Let $I$ be a primitive collection with $k$ elements. The chain complex of $C_{I}$ is as follows

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\binom{k}{k-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\binom{k}{k-2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\binom{k}{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\binom{k}{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0
$$

which is not exact because the Euler characteristic is nonzero.
Lemma 4.7. A sum of two consecutive primitive collections is a forbidden subset.

Proof. Let $I=\widehat{Y_{i}} \cup \widehat{Y_{i+1}}=\widehat{X_{i}} \cup \widehat{X_{i+1}} \cup \widehat{X_{i+2}},\left|\widehat{X_{i}}\right|=k_{1},\left|\widehat{X_{i+1}}\right|=k_{2},\left|\widehat{X_{i+2}}\right|=k_{3}$ and $|I|=k$. Then chain complex of $C_{I}$ is as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\binom{k}{k-1}-\binom{k_{1}}{k-1-k_{2}-k_{3}}-\binom{k_{3}}{k-1-k_{1}-k_{2}}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\binom{k}{t}-\binom{k_{1}}{t-k_{2}-k_{3}}-\binom{k_{3}}{t-k_{1}-k_{2}}} \rightarrow \ldots \\
\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is not exact because the Euler characteristic is nonzero.

Lemma 4.8. If a nonempty subset I is not a sum of primitive collections, then it is not forbidden.

Proof. The simplicial homology of a simplicial complex is equal to the singular homology of this complex considered as a topological space (each simplex $D$, which is a $d$ element set, can be changed into the convex hull of $d$ linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ that correspond to elements of this set). To avoid confusion with scalars let us name elements of $\{1, \ldots, m\} \supset I$ as $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$. The above names are not by accident the same as rays of a fan, because this complex as a topological space can be realized by sum of convex hulls of sets of rays that form a cone in $\Sigma$ and whose indices are contained in $I$.

There exists $a \in I$ such that $a$ does not belong to any primitive collection which is contained in $I$. We can define a homotopy

$$
H:[0,1] \times C_{I} \rightarrow C_{I}
$$

which for $x=\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} x_{m}\left(\alpha_{i} \geq 0, \sum \alpha_{i}=1\right)$ gives

$$
H(t, x):=t \alpha_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\left(1-t+t \alpha_{a}\right) x_{a}+\ldots+t \alpha_{m} x_{m}
$$

Of course $x \in C_{I}$ means that $S_{x}:=\left\{i: \alpha_{i}>0\right\} \subset I$ and $Y_{i} \nsubseteq S_{x}$, but then $S_{x} \cup\{a\}$ also satisfies this conditions, so $H(t, x) \in C_{I}$ and $H$ is well defined. It is easy to observe that $H$ is continuous $H(0, \cdot)=x_{a}$ and $H(1, \cdot)=i d_{C_{I}}$. The complex $C_{I}$ is homotopic to a point, so it has trivial reduced homologies.

Lemma 4.9. A sum of three consecutive primitive collections is not a forbidden subset.

Proof. At the beginning of this proof we should give the same remark as in the proof of lemma 4.8. We have $I=\widehat{Y_{i}} \cup \widehat{Y_{i+1}} \cup \widehat{Y_{i+2}}=\widehat{X_{i}} \cup \widehat{X_{i+1}} \cup \widehat{X_{i+2}} \cup \widehat{X_{i+3}}$, so in our situation at least one of the sets $\widehat{X_{i+1}}, \widehat{X_{i+2}}$ has only one element. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\widehat{X_{i+2}}=\left\{x_{c}\right\}$ and also that $\widehat{X_{i}}=$ $\left\{x_{a_{1}}, \ldots, x_{a_{A}}\right\}, \widehat{X_{i+1}}=\left\{x_{b_{1}}, \ldots, x_{b_{B}}\right\}, \widehat{X_{i+3}}=\left\{x_{d_{1}}, \ldots, x_{d_{D}}\right\}$. Let us define the homotopy

$$
H:[0,1] \times C_{I} \rightarrow C_{I}
$$

which for $x=\alpha_{a_{1}} x_{a_{1}}+\ldots+\alpha_{b_{1}} x_{b_{1}}+\ldots+\alpha_{c} x_{c}+\alpha_{d_{1}} x_{d_{1}}+\ldots+\alpha_{d_{D}} x_{d_{D}}$ gives

$$
H(t, x):=x+t \alpha_{c} x_{a_{1}}-t \alpha_{c} x_{c} .
$$

If $\alpha_{c}=0$ then $H(t, x)=x$. If $\alpha_{c} \neq 0$ then $S_{H(x, t)} \subset S_{x} \cup\left\{a_{1}\right\}$, but this set is also in our symplicial complex $C_{I}$, if contrary $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{A}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{B}$ are in $S_{x}$ so $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{B}, c\right\}=Y_{i+1} \subset S_{x}$ a contradiction. So the homotopy $H$ is well defined.

It is easy to observe that $H$ is continuous, $H(0, \cdot)=i d_{C_{I}}$ and $H\left(1, C_{I}\right)$ is a symplicial complex on vertices $x_{a_{1}}, \ldots, x_{b_{B}}, x_{d_{1}}, \ldots, x_{d_{D}}$ with only one primitive collection $\left\{x_{a_{1}}, \ldots, x_{b_{B}}\right\}$. Hence in the same way as in Lemma $4.8 H\left(1, C_{I}\right)$ can be contracted to a point $x_{d_{1}}$. This shows that $C_{I}$ is homotopic to a point, so it has trivial reduced homologies.

The above Lemmas match together to the following
Theorem 4.10. The only forbidden subsets are primitive collections, their complements (these are exactly sums of two consecutive primitive collections) and the empty set.

This gives us that in our situation
Corollary 4.11. With the above notation a line bundle $L$ is acyclic if and only if it is not isomorphic to any of the following line bundles

$$
\mathscr{O}\left(\alpha_{1} D_{v}+\alpha_{2} D_{y}+\alpha_{3} D_{z}+\alpha_{4} D_{t}+\alpha_{5} D_{u}\right)
$$

where exactly 2,3 or 5 consecutive $\alpha$ are negative and if $\alpha_{1}<0$ then $\alpha_{1} \leq$ $-(n-1)$.

Proof. Since all $D_{v_{i}}$ are linearly equivalent we match them together and as a consequence $\alpha_{1}$ is the sum of all the coefficients of $D_{v_{i}}$.

### 4.3. Strongly exceptional collection

We are looking for a full strongly exceptional collection. From the general theory we know that if it exists then its length should be equal to the rank of the Grothendieck group $K_{0}(X)$. In case of a smooth toric varieties the rank of this group is equal to the number of maximal cons in the fan.

In our case the maximal cones are $n$ dimensional subsets of the set of all ray generators, except those subsets that contain a primitive collection. We want to calculate how many such subsets there are. First let us notice that at most 2 elements of such subset can be contained in $X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup X_{3} \cup X_{4}$, because otherwise it would contain a primitive collection. This means that we have got only two possibilities:

1) Exactly two elements of our subset are in this set. There are $\binom{n-1}{n-2}$. $\left(\binom{4}{2}-3\right)=3(n-1)$ such subsets.
2) There is only one element of our subset that is in $X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup X_{3} \cup X_{4}$. We have got only two such subsets: $X_{0} \cup X_{2}$ and $X_{0} \cup X_{3}$.

All together we see that in our case there are $3 n-1$ maximal cones. Let us choose the following ordered sequence of $3 n-1$ line bundles from $B_{1} \cup B_{2} \cup B_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+b) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+b) D_{v}-D_{t}\right) \\
& \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-2+b) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-2+b) D_{v}-D_{t}\right), \ldots  \tag{4.2}\\
& \mathscr{O}\left(-(b+1) D_{v}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-b D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right) \\
& \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1) D_{v}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-2) D_{v}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}
\end{align*}
$$

We want to prove that this is a strongly exceptional collection. We know that for any line bundles $L$ and $M$ on $X$ :

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(L, M)=H^{i}\left(L^{\vee} \otimes M\right)
$$

First we want to prove that for any $L$ and $M$ in (4.2) $L^{\vee} \otimes M$ is acyclic. Let us write down line bundles of the form $L^{\vee} \otimes M$ where $L$ and $M$ are taken from (4.2).

$$
\text { Diff }= \begin{cases}(1) \mathscr{O}\left(s D_{v}\right) & s=-(n-1), \ldots, n-1 \\ (2) \mathscr{O}\left(s D_{v}+D_{t}\right) & s=b+2-n, \ldots, n-1+b \\ (3) \mathscr{O}\left(s D_{v}-D_{t}\right) & s=-(n-1+b), \ldots, n-b-2 \\ (4) \mathscr{O}\left(s D_{v}+D_{y}\right) & s=-(n-1), \ldots, n-2 \\ (5) \mathscr{O}\left(s D_{v}-D_{y}\right) & s=-(n-2), \ldots, n-1 \\ (6) \mathscr{O}\left(s D_{v}+D_{y}+D_{t}\right) & s=b-(n-1), \ldots, b+n-1 \\ (7) \mathscr{O}\left(s D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right) & s=-(b+n-1), \ldots, n-1-b\end{cases}
$$

From Corollary 4.11 we know that they are acyclic if they are not of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{O}\left(\alpha_{1} D_{v}+\alpha_{2} D_{y}+\alpha_{3} D_{z}+\alpha_{4} D_{t}+\alpha_{5} D_{u}\right) \cong \\
\cong \mathscr{O}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{3} b\right) D_{v}+\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}\right) D_{y}+\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) D_{t}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where exactly 2,3 or 5 consecutive $\alpha$ are negative and if $\alpha_{1}<0$ then $\alpha_{1} \leq$ $-(n-1)$.

We will show that all line bundles of Diff are not of this form. First let us observe that they are not of this form for all $\alpha$ negative since then the coefficient of $D_{t}$ is less than or equal to -2 . Let us suppose that they are of this form with exactly 2 or 3 consecutive $\alpha$ negative.
(1) The coefficient of $D_{y}$ is 0 therefore $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{5}$. But $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ cannot have the same sign (we treat 0 as positive) so $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ have different signs. This means that $\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}$ have the same sign, and as $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}=0$, they
both have to be equal to zero. So $\alpha_{2}$ and as a consequence $\alpha_{1}$ are negative hence the coefficient of $D_{v}$ is less than or equal to $-n$, which is a contradiction.
(2) The coefficient of $D_{y}$ is 0 so as before $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ have different signs. This means that $\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}$ are of the same sign. We know that $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}=1$, so they both have to be positive and at most one equal to one. So $\alpha_{2}$ and as a consequence $\alpha_{1}$ is negative hence the coefficient of $D_{v}$ is less than or equal to $-(n-1)+b$, which is a contradiction.
(3) As before $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ have different signs. $\alpha_{3}$ cannot be positive since then $\alpha_{4}$ and as a consequence coefficient of $D_{t}$ would also be positive. So $\alpha_{3}$ and as a consequence $\alpha_{4}$ is negative hence the coefficient of $D_{t}$ is less than or equal to -2 , which is a contradiction.
(4) The coefficient of $D_{y}$ is 1 therefore $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{5}+1$. But $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ cannot have the same sign, so $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ have different signs or $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=0$ and $\alpha_{5}=-1$.

First case: $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ have different signs. This means that $\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}$ have the same sign, and as $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}=0$ we see that $\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}=0$. So $\alpha_{2}$ and as a consequence $\alpha_{1}$ is negative hence the coefficient of $D_{v}$ is less than or equal to $-n-1$, which is a contradiction.

Second case: $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=0$ and $\alpha_{5}=-1$. We have also $\alpha_{4}=0$ so $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ are negative hence the coefficient of $D_{v}$ is less than or equal to $-n$, which is a contradiction.
(5) The coefficient of $D_{y}$ is -1 therefore $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{5}-1$. But $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ cannot have the same sign so $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ have different signs. This means that $\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}$ have the same sign, and as $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}=0$ we see that $\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}=0$. So $\alpha_{2}$ and as a consequence $\alpha_{1}$ is negative hence the coefficient of $D_{v}$ is less than or equal to $-(n-1)$, which is a contradiction.
(6) The coefficient of $D_{y}$ is 1 therefore $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{5}+1$. But $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ cannot have the same sign, so $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ have different signs or $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=0$ and $\alpha_{5}=-1$.

First case: Assume that $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ have different signs. In this case $\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}$ are of the same sign and as $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}=1$ they have to be positive and at most one. So $\alpha_{2}$ and as a consequence $\alpha_{1}$ is negative hence the coefficient of $D_{v}$ is less than or equal to $-n+b$, which is a contradiction.

Second case: Assume $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=0$. We have $\alpha_{4}=1$ so $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ are negative hence the coefficient of $D_{v}$ is less than or equal to $-n$, which is a contradiction.
(7) The coefficient of $D_{y}$ is -1 therefore $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{5}-1$. But $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ cannot have the same sign so $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ have different signs. $\alpha_{3}$ cannot be positive since then $\alpha_{4}$ and as a consequence the coefficient of $D_{t}$ would also be positive. So $\alpha_{3}$ and as a consequence $\alpha_{4}$ is negative. Hence the coefficient of $D_{t}$ is less than or equal to -2 , which is a contradiction.

To have a strongly exceptional collection it remains to prove that any pair of two line bundles $L_{i}$ and $L_{j}$ for $i<j$ from our ordered sequence satisfies $0=E x t^{0}\left(L_{j}, L_{i}\right)=H^{0}\left(L_{j}^{\vee} \otimes L_{i}\right)$. This is equivalent to showing that $L_{j}^{\vee} \otimes L_{i}$ has no global sections so from [4] it is not of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
(*) \mathscr{O}\left(\alpha_{1} D_{v}+\alpha_{2} D_{y}+\alpha_{3} D_{z}+\alpha_{4} D_{t}+\alpha_{5} D_{u}\right) \cong \\
\cong \mathscr{O}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{3} b\right) D_{v}+\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}\right) D_{y}+\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) D_{t}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with all $\alpha_{i}$ nonnegative. Let us partition our ordered collection into two collections:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Col}_{1}=\left(\mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+b) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+b) D_{v}-D_{t}\right),\right. \\
\mathscr{O}\left(-(n-2+b) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-2+b) D_{v}-D_{t}\right) \\
\left.\ldots, \mathscr{O}\left(-(b+1) D_{v}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-b D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Col}_{2}=\left(\mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1) D_{v}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-2) D_{v}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}\right)
$$

If we take a difference of an element from $\mathrm{Col}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Col}_{2}$, then the coefficient of $D_{t}$ is negative so the difference is not of the form (*). If we take the difference of two elements from $\mathrm{Col}_{2}$ then the coefficient of $D_{v}$ is negative, hence it is not of the form $\left(^{*}\right)$. If we take the difference of two elements from $\mathrm{Col}_{1}$, then either the coefficients of $D_{v}$ is negative or the difference is equal to $-D_{y}$. The divisor $-D_{y}$ is not of the form $\left({ }^{*}\right)$, because $\alpha_{5}$ would have to be strictly positive, hence the coefficient of $D_{v}$ would not be zero.

We have proven:
Proposition 4.12. With the above notation the following ordered sequence of line bundles in $X$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+b) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+b) D_{v}-D_{t}\right), \ldots \\
\ldots, \mathscr{O}\left(-(b+1) D_{v}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-b D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1) D_{v}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}
\end{gathered}
$$

is a strongly exceptional collection.

### 4.4. Generating a derived category

Finally, we will prove that the strongly exceptional collection given in Proposition 4.12 is also full. As already mentioned it is enough to prove that it generates all line bundles of the set $B_{1} \cup B_{2} \cup B_{3}$. In order to show that we need following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.13. Let $k$ be any integer. Line bundles $\mathscr{O}\left(-k D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \ldots$, $\mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+k) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(k+1) D_{v}-D_{t}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+k) D_{v}-D_{t}\right)$ generate $\mathscr{O}\left(-k D_{v}-D_{t}\right)$ in the derived category.

Proof. We consider the Koszul complex for $\mathscr{O}\left(D_{y}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(D_{v_{1}}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}\left(D_{v_{n-1}}\right)$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1) D_{v}-D_{y}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(-D_{v}\right)^{n-1} \oplus \mathscr{O}\left(-D_{y}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O} \rightarrow 0
$$

By tensoring it with $\mathscr{O}\left(-k D_{v}-D_{t}\right)$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+k) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right) \\
\rightarrow \cdots & \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(-(1+k) D_{v}-D_{t}\right)^{n-1} \oplus \mathscr{O}\left(-k D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right) & \rightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(-k D_{v}-D_{t}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

All sheaves that appear in this exact sequence, apart from the last one, are exactly $\mathscr{O}\left(-k D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+k) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(k+1) D_{v}-\right.$ $\left.D_{t}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+k) D_{v}-D_{t}\right)$, so indeed we can generate $\mathscr{O}\left(-k D_{v}-D_{t}\right)$.

Lemma 4.14. Let $k$ be any integer. Line bundles $\mathscr{O}\left(-(k+1) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \ldots$, $\mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+k) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(k+1) D_{v}-D_{t}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}\left(-(n+k) D_{v}-D_{t}\right)$ generate $\mathscr{O}\left(-k D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right)$ in the derived category.

Proof. The proof is similar to the last one. We have to consider the Koszul complex for line bundles $\mathscr{O}\left(D_{u}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(D_{v_{1}}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}\left(D_{v_{n-1}}\right)$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1) D_{v}-D_{u}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathscr{O}\left(-D_{v}\right)^{n-1} \oplus \mathscr{O}\left(-D_{u}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O} \rightarrow 0
$$

we dualize it and we tensor with $\mathscr{O}\left(-(n+k) D_{v}-D_{t}\right)$.
Summarizing, we have proved:
Theorem 4.15. Let $X$ be a smooth, complete, $n$ dimensional toric variety with Picard number three, ray generators $X_{0} \cup \cdots \cup X_{4}$, where

$$
X_{0}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}, \quad X_{1}=\{y\}, \quad X_{2}=\{z\}, \quad X_{3}=\{t\}, \quad X_{4}=\{u\}
$$

primitive collections $X_{0} \cup X_{1}, X_{1} \cup X_{2}, \ldots, X_{4} \cup X_{0}$ and primitive relations:

$$
v_{1}+\cdots+v_{n-1}+y-(b+1) t=0
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y+z-u=0 \\
z+t=0 \\
t+u-y=0 \\
u+v_{1}+\cdots+v_{n-1}-b t=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $b$ is a positive integer.
Then the collection

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+b) D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1+b) D_{v}-D_{t}\right), \ldots \\
\ldots, \mathscr{O}\left(-(b+1) D_{v}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-b D_{v}-D_{y}-D_{t}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(-(n-1) D_{v}\right), \ldots, \mathscr{O}
\end{gathered}
$$

is a full strongly exceptional collection of line bundles.
Proof. We already know that this is a strongly exceptional collection from Proposition 4.12. Inductively using lemmas 4.13 and 4.14 we can prove that it generates sets $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$. The set $B_{3}$ is already in our collection, hence our collection is also full.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Originally this conjecture was made in terms of existence of titling bundles whose direct summands are line bundles but it is easy to see that they are equivalent, see [6]

