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In this paper, we prove the existence of at least three weak solutions for Neumann doubly eigenvalue elliptic systems involving the $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$-Laplacian. The approach is based on a recent three critical points theorem obtained by B. Ricceri [9]. We also give some examples to illustrate the obtained results.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in ensuring the existence of at least three weak solutions for the following quasilinear elliptic system

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p_{i}} u_{i}+a_{i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} &  \tag{1}\\ =\lambda F_{u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)+\mu G_{u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ is a non-empty bounded open set with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \Delta_{p_{i}} u_{i}:=\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i}\right)$ is the $p_{i}$-Laplacian operator, $p_{i}>N, a_{i} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{essinf}_{\Omega} a_{i}>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, \lambda$ and $\mu$ are positive

[^0]parameters, $F, G: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable functions with respect to $x \in \Omega$ for every $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and are $C^{1}$ with respect to $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega, F_{u_{i}}$ and $G_{u_{i}}$ denotes the partial derivative of $F$ and $G$ with respect to $u_{i}$, respectively, and $v$ is the outer unit normal to $\partial \Omega$.
Moreover, $F$ and $G$ satisfy the following additional assumptions:
( $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ ) for every $M>0$ and every $1 \leq i \leq n$,
$$
\sup _{\left|\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right| \leq M}\left|F_{u_{i}}\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right| \in L^{1}(\Omega)
$$
$\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}\right) F(x, 0, \ldots, 0)=0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.
(G) for every $M>0$ and every $1 \leq i \leq n$,
$$
\sup _{\left|\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right| \leq M}\left|G_{u_{i}}\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right| \in L^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Throughout this paper, we let $X$ be the Cartesian product of the $n$ Sobolev spaces $W^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, i.e., $X=W^{1, p_{1}}(\Omega) \times W^{1, p_{2}}(\Omega) \times \cdots \times W^{1, p_{n}}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|:=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}, \quad u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}:=\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}+a_{i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}\right) d x\right]^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, which is equivalent to the usual one.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
c:=\max \left\{\sup _{u_{i} \in W^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}}}{\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}: \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p_{i}>N$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the embedding $X \hookrightarrow\left(C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})\right)^{n}$ is compact, and so $c<+\infty$. It follows from Proposition 4.1 of [2] that

$$
\sup _{u_{i} \in W^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}}}{\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}>\frac{1}{\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

where $\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}:=\int_{\Omega}\left|a_{i}(x)\right| d x$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and so $\frac{1}{\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}} \leq c$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. In addition, if $\Omega$ is convex, it is known [2] that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{u_{i} \in W^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|u_{i}(x)\right|}{\left\|u_{i}\right\|} \\
& \quad \leq 2^{\frac{p_{i}-1}{p_{i}}} \max \left\{\left(\frac{1}{\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}, \frac{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}{N^{\frac{1}{p_{i}}}}\left(\frac{p_{i}-1}{p_{i}-N} m(\Omega)\right)^{\frac{p_{i}-1}{p_{i}}} \frac{\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{\infty}}{\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $m(\Omega)$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set $\Omega$, and equality occurs when $\Omega$ is a ball.

We mean by a (weak) solution of system (1), any $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\nabla u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i}(x) \nabla v_{i}(x) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(x)\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}(x) v_{i}(x) d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)\right) v_{i}(x) d x \\
& \quad-\mu \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)\right) v_{i}(x) d x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in X$.
In recent years, many publications (see, e.g., $[1,3-6]$ and the references therein) have appeared about elliptic problems with Neumann boundary conditions which have been used in a great variety of application. For example in [1], Afrouzi et al., using an abstract critical point result of B. Ricceri [8], established the existence of at least three weak solutions for system (1), while in [6], the authors, with the same method, proved the existence of at least three solutions for a class of two-point boundary value systems of the type

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u_{i}^{\prime \prime}+u_{i}=\lambda F_{u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)+\mu G_{u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \quad \text { in }(a, b)  \tag{4}\\
u_{i}^{\prime}(a)=u_{i}^{\prime}(b)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Also in [5], G. Bonanno and P. Candito using Ricceri's three critical points theorem [7], established the existence of an interval $\Lambda \subseteq] 0,+\infty[$ and a positive real number $q$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u+a(x)|u|^{p-2} u=\lambda f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{5}\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ is a non-empty bounded open set with a boundary $\partial \Omega$ of class $C^{1}, a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{essinf}_{\Omega} a>0, p>N, \lambda>0$ and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, possesses at least three weak solutions whose norms in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ are less than $q$.

The goal of this work is to establish some new criteria for system (1) to have at least three weak solutions in $X$, by means of a very recent abstract critical points result of B. Ricceri [9]. First, we recall the following result of [9, Theorem 1], with easy manipulations, that we are going to use in the sequel.

Lemma 1.1. Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space; $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$,
bounded on bounded subsets of $X ; \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gatteaux derivative is compact such that

$$
\Phi(0)=\Psi(0)=0
$$

Assume that there exists $r>0$ and $\bar{x} \in X$, with $r<\Phi(\bar{x})$, such that

$$
\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) \frac{\sup _{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)}{r}<\frac{\Psi(\bar{x})}{\Phi(\bar{x})}
$$

(a $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ ) for each $\left.\lambda \in \Lambda_{r}:=\right] \frac{\Phi(\bar{x})}{\Psi(\bar{x})}, \frac{r}{\sup _{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)}[$, the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ is coercive.

Then, for each compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq \Lambda_{r}$, there exists $\rho>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in[a, b]$ and every $C^{1}$ functional $\Gamma: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact derivative, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, the equation

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(x)-\lambda \Psi^{\prime}(x)-\mu \Gamma^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\rho$.

## 2. Main results

In the present section we discuss the existence of multiple solutions for system (1). For any $\gamma>0$, we denote by $K(\gamma)$ the set

$$
\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|t_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}} \leq \gamma\right\}
$$

This set will be used in some of our hypotheses with appropriate choices of $\gamma$.
We state our main result as follows.
Theorem 2.1. Assume that the conditions $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}\right),(\mathrm{G})$ are satisfied, and there exist a positive constant $r$ and a function $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in X$ such that
(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}>r$;
(ii) $\left(r \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \frac{\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) d x}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right)\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in K(c r)} F\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) d x>0$;
(iii) $\limsup _{\left(\left|t_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|t_{n}\right|\right) \rightarrow(+\infty, \ldots,+\infty)} \frac{F\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|t_{i}\right|^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}} \leq 0$.

Then, setting

$$
\Lambda:=] \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right)\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) d x}, \frac{r}{\int_{\Omega} \sup _{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in K(c r)} F\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) d x}[
$$

for each compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq \Lambda$, there exists $\rho>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in[a, b]$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, system (1) admits at least three weak solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\rho$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For each $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in X$, define $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
\Phi(u):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}
$$

and

$$
\Psi(u):=\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{1}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)\right) d x
$$

Clearly, $\Phi$ is bounded on each bounded subset of $X$ and it is well known that $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are well defined and continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals whose derivatives at the point $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in X$ are the functionals $\Phi^{\prime}(u)$ and $\Psi^{\prime}(u)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi^{\prime}(u)(v) \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\nabla u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}-2} \nabla u_{i}(x) \nabla v_{i}(x) d x+\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(x)\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i}(x) v_{i}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Psi^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)\right) v_{i}(x) d x
$$

for every $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in X$, as well as $\Psi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is a compact operator (see Proposition 26.2 of [11]). Furthermore, by Proposition 25.20 of [11], $\Phi$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. Also, $\Phi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is an uniformly monotone operator in $X$ (for more details, see (2.2) of [10]), and since $\Phi^{\prime}$ is coercive and hemicontinuous in $X$, by applying Theorem 26.A of [11], $\Phi^{\prime}$ admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\Phi(0)=\Psi(0)=0
$$

Also, we see that the required hypothesis $\Phi(\bar{x})>r$ follows from (i) and the definition of $\Phi$ by choosing $\bar{x}=w$. Moreover, since for each $u_{i} \in W^{1, p_{i}}(\Omega)$

$$
\sup _{x \in \Omega}\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}} \leq c\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (see (2)), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in X$. From (6), for each $r>0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r]) & =\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in X: \Phi(u) \leq r\right\} \\
& =\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in X: \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}} \leq r\right\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in X: \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|u_{i}(x)\right|^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}} \leq c r \text { for all } x \in \Omega\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Psi(u) & =\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \sup _{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in K(c r)} F\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from the condition (ii), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Psi(u) & \leq \int_{\Omega} \sup _{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in K(c r)} F\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) d x \\
& <\left(r \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \frac{\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) d x}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right)\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}} \\
& =r \frac{\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) d x}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}} \\
& =r \frac{\Psi(w)}{\Phi(w)}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which $\left(a_{1}\right)$ of Lemma 1.1 follows.

Thanks to the assumption (iii), the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ is coercive for every parameter $\lambda$, in particular, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda \subseteq] \frac{\Phi(w)}{\Psi(w)}, \frac{r}{\sup _{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u)}$. Then, also condition ( $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ ) holds.

In addition, since $G: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function with respect to $x \in \Omega$ for every $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and is $C^{1}$ with respect to $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, satisfying condition (G), the functional

$$
\Gamma(u)=\int_{\Omega} G\left(x, u_{1}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)\right) d x
$$

is well defined and continuously Gâteaux differentiable on $X$ with a compact derivative, and

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x)\right) v_{i}(x) d x
$$

for all $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in X$. Thus, all the hypotheses of Lemma 1.1 are satisfied. Also note that the solutions of the equation $\Phi^{\prime}(u)-\lambda \Psi^{\prime}(u)-$ $\mu \Gamma^{\prime}(u)=0$ are exactly the weak solutions of (1). So, the conclusion follows from Lemma 1.1.

Next, we want to give a verifiable consequence of Theorem 2.1 for a fixed text function $w$.

Corollary 2.2. Assume that there exist $n+1$ positive constants $\theta_{i}$ and $\tau$ with $\theta_{i}<\tau$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that Assumption (iii) in Theorem 2.1 holds, and
(j) $\frac{1}{c} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \theta_{i}^{p_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \tau^{p_{i}}\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tau, \ldots, \tau) d x$

$$
-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{i}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}\right)} F\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) d x>0
$$

Then, setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda:=] \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \tau^{p_{i}}\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tau, \ldots, \tau) d x}, \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n}\right) \theta_{i}^{p_{i}} \\
&\left(c \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \int_{\Omega} \sup _{\substack{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{i}^{p_{i}} p_{i}}{p_{i}}\right)}} F\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) d x
\end{aligned},
$$

for each compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq \Lambda$, there exists $\rho>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in[a, b]$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, system (1) admits at least three weak solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\rho$.

Proof. Choose $w(x)=\left(w_{1}(x), \ldots, w_{n}(x)\right)=(\tau, \ldots, \tau)$ for every $x \in \Omega$. Then we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\tau^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}$. Put $r:=\frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{i}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}$. Now since $\theta_{i}<\tau$, bearing in mind that $\frac{1}{\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}} \leq c$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, one has $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}>r$ which is (i) of Theorem 2.1. Also, since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(r \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \frac{\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{1}(x), \ldots, w_{n}(x)\right) d x}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right)\left\|w_{i}\right\|_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}}} \\
=\frac{1}{c} \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{i}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \tau^{p_{i}}\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tau, \ldots, \tau) d x \\
=\frac{1}{c} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \theta_{i}^{p_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \tau^{p_{i}}\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tau, \ldots, \tau) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

(j) guarantees (ii). Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and the proof is complete.

Now, we give an example to illustrate Corollary 2.2.

Example 2.3. Let $\Omega=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x^{2}+y^{2}<16\right\}$. Consider the system

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{3} u_{i}+\left|u_{i}\right| u_{i} &  \tag{7}\\ =\lambda\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\left(u_{i}^{+}\right)^{49} e^{-u_{i}^{+}}\left(50-u_{i}^{+}\right)+\mu G_{u_{i}}\left(x, y, u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & \text { in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

for $i=1,2$, where $t^{+}:=\max \{t, 0\}$ and $G: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary function which is measurable with respect to $(x, y) \in \Omega$ for every $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and is $C^{1}$ with respect to $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ for a.e. $(x, y) \in \Omega$, satisfying

$$
\sup _{\left|\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \leq M}\left|G_{u_{i}}\left(x, y, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right| \in L^{1}(\Omega)
$$

for every $M>0$ and $i=1,2$.
By choosing $\tau=2, \theta_{i}=1, p_{i}=3, a_{i}(x, y) \equiv 1$ for $i=1,2$, and by a simple calculation, we obtain that $c=\frac{2^{8}}{\pi}$. So, with

$$
F\left(x, y, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } t_{i}<0, i=1,2 \\ \left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) t_{2}^{50} e^{-t_{2}} & \text { for } t_{1}<0, t_{2} \geq 0 \\ \left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) t_{1}^{50} e^{-t_{1}} & \text { for } t_{1} \geq 0, t_{2}<0 \\ \left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{2} t_{i}^{50} e^{-t_{i}} & \text { for } t_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2\end{cases}
$$

for each $(x, y) \in \Omega$ and $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{c} \frac{p_{2} \theta_{1}^{p_{1}}+p_{1} \theta_{2}^{p_{2}}}{p_{2} \tau^{p_{1}}\left\|a_{1}\right\|_{1}+p_{1} \tau^{p_{2}}\left\|a_{2}\right\|_{1}} \iint_{\Omega} F(x, y, \tau, \tau) d x d y \\
& -\iint_{\Omega} \sup _{\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in K\left(\frac{\theta_{1}^{p_{1}}}{p_{1}}+\frac{\theta_{2}^{p_{2}}}{p_{2}}\right)} F\left(x, y, t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d x d y \geq \frac{1}{2^{15}} \times\left(2^{51} e^{-2}\right) \iint_{\Omega}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) d x d y \\
& -\sup _{\left|t_{1}\right|^{3}+\left|t_{2}\right|^{3} \leq 2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} t_{i}^{50} e^{-t_{i}} \iint_{\Omega}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) d x d y \geq 2^{43} \pi e^{-2}-2^{8} \pi\left((\sqrt[3]{2})^{50} e^{\sqrt[3]{2}}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\limsup _{\left|t_{1}\right| \rightarrow+\infty,\left|t_{2}\right| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F\left(x, y, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\left|t_{i}\right|^{3}}{3}}=0
$$

for $(x, y) \in \Omega$. Hence, Corollary 2.2 is applicable to system (7) for

$$
\Lambda \subseteq] \frac{e^{2}}{3 \times 2^{50}}, \frac{1}{2^{15} \times(\sqrt[3]{2})^{50} \times 3 e^{\sqrt[3]{2}}}[
$$

Corollary 2.4. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{1}$ function and assume that there exist $n+1$ positive constants $\theta_{i}$ and $\tau$ with $\theta_{i}<\tau$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$
\text { (k) } \frac{1}{c} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \theta_{i}^{p_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \tau^{p_{i}}\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}} F(\tau, \ldots, \tau)-\max _{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{i}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}\right)} F\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)>0 \text {; }
$$

(kk) $\lim \sup _{\left(\left|t_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|t_{n}\right|\right) \rightarrow(+\infty, \ldots,+\infty)} \frac{F\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mid t_{i} p_{i}}{p_{i}}} \leq 0$.
Then, setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda:=] \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \tau^{p_{i}}\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{1}}{m(\Omega)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) F(\tau, \ldots, \tau)}, \\
& \left.\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n} p_{j}\right) \theta_{i}^{p_{i}}}{m(\Omega)\left(c \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right)} \max _{\substack{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{i}^{p_{i}}}{p_{i}}\right)}} F\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

for each compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq \Lambda$, there exists $\rho>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in[a, b]$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, the system

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p_{i}} u_{i}+a_{i}(x)\left|u_{i}\right|^{p_{i}-2} u_{i} &  \tag{8}\\ =\lambda F_{u_{i}}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)+\mu G_{u_{i}}\left(x, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) & \text { in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, admits at least three weak solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\rho$.

Finally, we conclude this paper by giving an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.4 and an example of it when $n=1$.

Corollary 2.5. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function. Put $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(\xi) d \xi$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that there exist two positive constants $\theta$ and $\tau$ with $\theta<\tau$ such that
(1) $\frac{1}{c} \frac{\theta^{p}}{\tau^{p}\|a\|_{1}} F(\tau)-\max _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(t)>0$;
(11) $\limsup _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(t)}{|t|^{p}} \leq 0$.

Then, setting

$$
\Lambda:=] \frac{\tau^{p}\|a\|_{1}}{p m(\Omega) F(\tau)}, \frac{\theta^{p}}{m(\Omega)(p c) \max _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(t)}[
$$

for each compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq \Lambda$, there exists $\rho>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in[a, b]$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u+a(x)|u|^{p-2} u=\lambda f(u)+\mu g(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{9}\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

admits at least three weak solutions in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ whose norms are less than $\rho$.
Example 2.6. Let $\Omega$ be the open unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Putting $f(t)=t^{11} e^{-t}(12-t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, one has $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(\xi) d \xi=t^{12} e^{-t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{3} u+\frac{2+x}{\pi}|u| u=\lambda e^{-u} u^{11}(12-u)+\mu g(x, y, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{10}\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function.
Note that, in this case, $c=\frac{216}{\pi}$. In fact, by choosing $\tau=3, \theta=1, p=3$ and $a(x, y)=\frac{2+x}{\pi}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{c} \frac{\theta^{p}}{\tau^{p}\|a\|_{1}} F(\tau)-\max _{t \in[-\theta, \theta]} F(t) & =\frac{1}{2^{4} \times 3^{6}} F(3)-F(-1) \\
& =\frac{3^{6} \pi}{2^{4} e^{3}}-e \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we have that $\lim \sup _{|t| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(t)}{|t|^{3}}=0$. Thus, all hypotheses of Corollary 2.5 are satisfied. So, setting $\Lambda \subseteq] \frac{2 e^{3}}{310 \pi}, \frac{1}{2^{3} \times 3^{4} e}$ [, for each compact interval $[a, b] \subseteq \Lambda$, there exists $\rho>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in[a, b]$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, problem (10) has at least three weak solutions in $W^{1,3}(\Omega)$ whose norms are less than $\rho$.
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