LE MATEMATICHE Vol. LXVIII (2013) – Fasc. I, pp. 33–51 doi: 10.4418/2013.68.1.4

## LACUNARY SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A MUSIELAK-ORLICZ FUNCTION

### KULDIP RAJ - SUNIL K. SHARMA

In this paper we introduce lacunary sequence spaces defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  and a sequence of modulus functions  $F = (f_k)$ . We also make an effort to study some topological properties and inclusion relations between these spaces.

#### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $l_{\infty}$  and *c* denote the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences  $x = (x_k)$  normed by  $||x|| = \sup_k |x_k|$ , respectively. Let  $\sigma$  be a one-to-one mapping of the set of positive integers into itself such that  $\sigma^m(n) = \sigma(\sigma^{m-1}(n)), m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ . A continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $l_{\infty}$  is said to be an invariant mean or a  $\sigma$ -mean if and only if

1.  $\varphi(x) \ge 0$  when the sequence  $x = (x_n)$  has  $x_n \ge 0$  for all n,

- 2.  $\varphi(e) = 1$ , where  $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$  and
- 3.  $\varphi(\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}) = \varphi(\{x_n\})$  for all  $x \in l_{\infty}$ .

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 40A05, 40C05, 40D05.

*Keywords:* Lacunary sequence, Difference sequence, Modulus function, Musielak-Orlicz function.

Entrato in redazione: 25 aprile 2012

For certain kinds of mappings  $\sigma$  every invariant mean  $\varphi$  extends the limit functional on the space *c*, in the sense that  $\varphi(x) = \lim x$  for all  $x \in c$ . The set of all  $\sigma$ -convergent sequences will be denoted by  $V_{\sigma}$ . If  $x = (x_n)$ , set  $Tx = (Tx_n) = (x_{\sigma(n)})$ . It can be shown in [20] that

$$V_{\sigma} = \left\{ x \in l_{\infty} : \lim_{m} t_{mn}(x) = le \text{ uniformly in } n, \ l = \sigma - \lim x \right\},$$
(1)

where  $t_{mn}(x) = (x_n + Tx_n + ... + T^m x_n)/(m+1)$ . The special case of (1) in which  $\sigma(n) = n+1$  was given by Lorentz [7]. Several authors including Schaefer [20], Mursaleen [12], Savaş [19] and many others have studied invariant convergent sequences.

A bounded sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be strongly  $\sigma$ -convergent to a number l if and only if  $(|x_k - l|) \in V_{\sigma}$  with  $\sigma$  - limit zero (see[13]). By  $[V_{\sigma}]$ , we denote the set of all strongly  $\sigma$ -convergent sequences. It is known that  $c \subset [V_{\sigma}] \subset V_{\sigma} \subset l_{\infty}$ . By a lacunary sequence  $\theta = (i_r), r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , where  $i_0 = 0$ , we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers  $h_r = (i_r - i_{r-1}) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  are denoted by  $I_r = (i_{r-1}, i_r]$  and the ratio  $i_r/i_{r-1}$  will be denoted by  $q_r$ . The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences  $N_{\theta}$  was defined by Freedman [4] as follows:

$$N_{\theta} = \Big\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - L| = 0 \text{ for some } L \Big\}.$$

In [6], Kızmaz defined the sequence spaces

$$Z(\Delta) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : (\Delta x_k) \in Z \right\} \text{ for } Z = \ell_{\infty}, c \text{ and } c_0,$$

where  $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k) = (x_k - x_{k+1})$ . Et and Çolak [3] generalized the difference sequence spaces to the sequence spaces

$$Z(\Delta^n) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : (\Delta^n x_k) \in Z \right\} \text{ for } Z = \ell_{\infty}, c \text{ and } c_0,$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Delta^0 x = (x_k)$ ,  $\Delta x = (x_k - x_{k+1})$ ,

$$\Delta^n x = (\Delta^n x_k) = (\Delta^{n-1} x_k - \Delta^{n-1} x_{k+1}).$$

The generalized difference sequence has the following binomial representation

$$\Delta^n(x_k) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (-1)^{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} x_{k+\nu}$$

An Orlicz function  $M : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  is convex and continuous such that M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0 for x > 0. Let *w* be the space of all real or complex sequences  $x = (x_k)$ . Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [8] used the idea of Orlicz function to define the following sequence space,

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \right\}$$

which is called as an Orlicz sequence space. The space  $\ell_M$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$||x|| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \le 1 \right\}.$$

It is shown in [8] that every Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_M$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_p (p \ge 1)$ . An Orlicz function M satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition if and only if for any constant L > 1 there exists a constant K(L) such that  $M(Lu) \le K(L)M(u)$  for all values of  $u \ge 0$ .

A sequence  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  of Orlicz functions is called a Musielak-Orlicz function (see [11], [14]). A sequence  $\mathcal{N} = (N_k)$  defined by

$$N_k(v) = \sup\{|v|u - M_k(u) : u \ge 0\}, k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ . For a given Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ , the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space  $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ and its subspace  $h_{\mathcal{M}}$  are defined as follows:

$$t_{\mathcal{M}} = \Big\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0 \Big\},$$
$$h_{\mathcal{M}} = \Big\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0 \Big\},$$

where  $I_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a convex modular defined by

$$I_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), \ x = (x_k) \in t_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

We consider  $t_{\mathcal{M}}$  equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$||x|| = \inf\left\{k > 0 : I_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \le 1\right\}$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$||x||^{0} = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 + I_{\mathcal{M}}(kx) \right) : k > 0 \right\}.$$

A Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition if there exist constants a, K > 0 and a sequence  $c = (c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_+^1$  (the positive cone of  $\ell^1$ ) such that the inequality

$$M_k(2u) \le KM_k(u) + c_k$$

holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u \in R_+$ , whenever  $M_k(u) \le a$ . Let *X* be a linear metric space. A function  $p : X \to \mathbb{R}$  is called paranorm, if

- 1.  $p(x) \ge 0$ , for all  $x \in X$ ,
- 2. p(-x) = p(x), for all  $x \in X$ ,
- 3.  $p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- 4. if  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence of scalars with  $\lambda_n \to \lambda$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $(x_n)$  is a sequence of vectors with  $p(x_n x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then  $p(\lambda_n x_n \lambda x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

A paranorm *p* for which p(x) = 0 implies x = 0 is called total paranorm and the pair (X, p) is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [21], Theorem 10.4.2, P-183). For more detail about sequence spaces (see [2], [15], [16]) and references therein.

A sequence space *E* is said to be solid or normal if  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$  whenever  $(x_k) \in E$  and for all sequences of scalars $(\alpha_k)$  with  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  (see [14]).

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If  $0 \le p_k \le \sup p_k = G$ ,  $K = \max(1, 2^{G-1})$  then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \le K\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\}$$
(2)

for all k and  $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also  $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^G)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function and X be a locally convex Hausdorff topological linear space whose topology is determined by a set Q of seminorms q. Let  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and  $u = (u_k)$  be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. By w(X) we denotes the space of all X-valued sequences. In this paper we define the following sequence spaces:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_1 = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)} - l}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \end{bmatrix}$$

uniformly in *m*, for some  $\rho > 0$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{0} = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{k}} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{\infty} = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \sup_{r,m} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{k}} \\ < \infty, \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take  $\mathcal{M}(x) = x$ , we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{1} = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)} - l}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_{k}} = 0,$$
  
uniformly in *m*, for some  $\rho > 0 \right\},$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{0} = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_{k}} = 0,$$
  
uniformly in *m*, for some  $\rho > 0 \right\}$ 

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{\infty} = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \sup_{r,m} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_{k}} < \infty,$$
 for some  $\rho > 0 \right\}.$ 

If we take  $p = (p_k) = 1$  for all k, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, q \end{bmatrix}_{1} = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)} - l}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \\ = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, q \end{bmatrix}_{0} = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] = 0, \\ \text{uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, q \end{bmatrix}_{\infty} = \left\{ x \in w(X) : \sup_{r, m} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] < \infty, d n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$
for some  $\rho > 0 \right\}.$ 

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and study some lacunary sequence spaces defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function. We examine some topological properties and inclusion relations between the spaces  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_{Z}$  in the second section. Third section devoted to the study of lacunary sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions. We also examine some topological properties and inclusion relation between the spaces  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{Z}$ . Throughout the paper *Z* will denote any one of the notation 0, 1 or  $\infty$ .

#### 2. Lacunary sequence spaces defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function

In this section of the paper we study very interesting properties like linearity, paranorm and some attractive inclusion relations between the spaces  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_{Z}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** For any Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  and for a bounded sequence of positive real numbers  $p = (p_k)$ , the spaces  $\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_Z$  are linear over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_k)$ ,  $y = (y_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q]_0$  and let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then there exist positive numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M_k\left(q\left(\frac{u_k\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_1}\right)\right)\right]^{p_k}=0$$

and

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M_k\left(q\left(\frac{u_k\Delta^n y_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_2}\right)\right)\right]^{p_k}=0.$$

Define  $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  is non-decreasing and con-

vex, q is a seminorm and so by using inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{\alpha u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)} + \beta u_k \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{\alpha u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_3} \right) + q \left( \frac{\beta u_k \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \to 0 \quad \text{as } r \to \infty \quad \text{uniformly in } m. \end{split}$$

This proves that  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_{0}$  is a linear space. Similarly, we can prove that  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_{1}$  and  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_{\infty}$  are linear spaces.

**Theorem 2.2.** For any Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  and  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, the spaces  $\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_Z$  are paranormed spaces, paranormed defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k \ge 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \le 1, \ \rho > 0,$$
  
uniformly in  $m \right\},$ 

where  $H = \max(1, \sup_k p_k)$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove the result for the case  $[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q]_{\infty}$ . Clearly g(x) = g(-x) and  $g(\theta) = 0$  where  $\theta$  is the zero sequence of *X*. Let  $x = (x_k)$ ,  $y = (y_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q]_{\infty}$ . Then there exist positive numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\sup_{k\geq 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \text{ uniformly in } m$$

and

$$\sup_{k\geq 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \text{ uniformly in } m.$$

Let  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$  and by using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k\geq 1} & \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m) + u_k \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &= \sup_{k\geq 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m) + u_k \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_{k\geq 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &+ \left( \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_{k\geq 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq 1, \text{ uniformly in } m. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} g(x+y) &= \inf\left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k \ge 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m) + u_k \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \le 1, \\ \rho > 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\} \\ &\leq \inf\left\{ (\rho_1)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k \ge 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \le 1, \\ \rho_1 > 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\} \\ &+ \inf\left\{ (\rho_2)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k \ge 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \le 1, \end{split}$$

$$\rho_2 > 0$$
, uniformly in  $m$ 

$$=g(x)+g(y).$$

Finally, we prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Let  $\lambda$  be any complex number. By definition, we have

$$g(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k \ge 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{\lambda u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \le 1,$$
  

$$\rho > 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}$$
  

$$= \inf \left\{ \left( |\lambda|t \right)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k \ge 1} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m)}{t} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \le 1, \ t > 0,$$
  
uniformly in  $m \right\},$ 

where  $t = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{M}' = (\mathcal{M}'_k)$  and  $\mathcal{M}'' = (\mathcal{M}'_k)$  be two Musielak-Orlicz functions. Then we have  $\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n \theta, \mathcal{M}', u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_Z \cap \begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}'', u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_Z \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}'', u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_Z$ .

Proof. The proof is easy so we omit it.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function,  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  are two seminorms on X. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q_1 \end{bmatrix}_Z \cap \begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q_2 \end{bmatrix}_Z \neq \varnothing.$$

*Proof.* The zero elements belongs to  $\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q_1 \end{bmatrix}_Z$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q_2 \end{bmatrix}_Z$ , thus the intersection is non empty.

**Theorem 2.5.** For any Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ , let  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  be two seminorms on X. Then the following results holds: (i) If  $q_1$  is stronger than  $q_2$ , then

$$\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\theta,\mathcal{M}',u,p,q_{1}\right]_{Z}\subset\left[V_{\sigma},\theta,\mathcal{M}',u,p,q_{2}\right]_{Z},$$

(ii)

$$egin{split} \left[V_{m{\sigma}},\Delta^n,m{ heta},\mathcal{M},u,p,q_1
ight]_Z \cap \left[V_{m{\sigma}},\Delta^n,m{ heta},\mathcal{M},u,p,q_2
ight]_Z \ &\subset \left[V_{m{\sigma}},m{ heta},\mathcal{M},u,p,q_1+q_2
ight]_Z. \end{split}$$

*Proof.* The proof is easy so we omit it.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{0} \subset \begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{1} \subset \begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{\infty}.$$
Proof. The inclusion  $\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{0} \subset \begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{1}$  is obvious. Let  $x = (x_{k}) \in \begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{1}$ . Then we have
$$\frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \begin{bmatrix} M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \end{bmatrix}^{p_{k}}$$

$$\leq \frac{K}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \begin{bmatrix} M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)-l}}{\rho} \right) \right) \end{bmatrix}^{p_{k}} + \frac{K}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \begin{bmatrix} M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)-l}}{\rho} \right) \right) \end{bmatrix}^{p_{k}}$$

$$\leq \frac{K}{h_{r}} \sum_{i \in I} \begin{bmatrix} M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{u_{k} \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)-l}}{\rho} \right) \right) \end{bmatrix}^{p_{k}} + K \max \left\{ 1, \begin{bmatrix} M_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{l}{\rho} \right) \right) \end{bmatrix}^{G} \right\}.$$

41

Thus  $x = (x_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q]_{\infty}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function and  $\theta = (i_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. Then the following result holds: (i) If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ , then  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_Z \subset \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_Z$ , (ii) If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}', u, p, q_1\right]_Z \subset \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \mathcal{M}', u, p, q_2\right]_Z$ , (iii) If  $1 < \liminf_r q_r \le \limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_Z = \begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q \end{bmatrix}_Z$$

Proof. The proof is easy so we omit it.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $0 < p_k \le t_k$  and  $\left(\frac{t_k}{p_k}\right)$  be bounded. Then

$$\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\boldsymbol{\theta},\mathcal{M},u,t,q\right]_{Z}\subset\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\boldsymbol{\theta},\mathcal{M},u,p,q\right]_{Z}$$

*Proof.* We shall prove it for the case Z = 1. Let  $x = (x_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, t, q]_1$ . We write

$$S_k = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)} - l}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

and  $(\mu_k) = \left(\frac{p_k}{t_k}\right)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $0 < \mu_k \le 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $0 < \mu < \mu_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the sequence  $u_k$  and  $v_k$  as follows:

For  $S_k \ge 1$ , let  $u_k = S_k$  and  $v_k = 0$  and for  $S_k < 1$ , let  $u_k = 0$  and  $v_k = S_k$ . Then clearly for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $S_k = u_k + v_k$ ,  $S_k^{\mu_k} = u_k^{\mu_k} + v_k^{\mu_k}$ . Now it follows that  $u_k^{\mu_k} \le u_k \le S_k$  and  $v_k^{\mu_k} \le v_k^{\mu}$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} S_k^{\mu_k} = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} (u_k^{\mu_k} + v_k^{\mu_k}) \le \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} S_k + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k^{\mu}.$$

Now for each k,

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k^{\mu} = \sum_{k \in I_r} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} v_k\right)^{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{h_r}\right)^{1-\mu}$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{k \in I_r} \left[\left(\frac{1}{h_r} v_k\right)^{\mu}\right]^{\frac{1}{\mu}}\right)^{\mu} \left(\sum_{k \in I_r} \left[\left(\frac{1}{h_r}\right)^{1-\mu}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}\right)^{1-\mu}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k\right)^{\mu}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}S_k^{\mu_k}\leq \frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}S_k+\left(\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}v_k\right)^{\mu_r}$$

Hence  $x = (x_k) \in \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_1$ . Similarly we can prove other cases.

**Theorem 2.9.** The sequence space  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_{\infty}$  is solid.

*Proof.* Let 
$$x = (x_k) \in \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_{\infty}$$
, that is  
$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty.$$

Let  $(\alpha_k)$  be a sequence of scalars such that  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{\alpha_k u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M_k \left( q \left( \frac{u_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty.$$

This shows that  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q]_{\infty}$  for all sequences of scalars  $(\alpha_k)$  with  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , whenever  $(x_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q]_{\infty}$ . Hence the space  $[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q]_{\infty}$  is a solid sequence space. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.10.** The sequence space  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, \mathcal{M}, u, p, q\right]_{\infty}$  is monotone.

*Proof.* It is easy to prove so we omit the details.

# **3.** Lacunary sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions A modulus function is a function $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that

- 1. f(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0,
- 2.  $f(x+y) \le f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x \ge 0, y \ge 0$ ,
- 3. f is increasing
- 4. f is continuous from right at 0.

It follows that f must be continuous everywhere on  $[0,\infty)$ . The modulus function may be bounded or unbounded. For example, if we take  $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$ , then f(x) is bounded. If  $f(x) = x^p$ , 0 , then the modulus <math>f(x) is unbounded. Subsequentially, modulus function has been discussed in ([1], [9], [10], [17], [18]) and references therein.

Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus function, X be a locally convex Hausdorff topological linear space whose topology is determined by a set Q of seminorms q. Let  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and  $u = (u_k)$  be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. By w(X) be denote the space of all X-valued sequences. In this section we define the following sequence spaces:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{1} = \left\{ x = (x_{k}) \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)} - l}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{k}} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{0} = \left\{ x = (x_{k}) \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{k}} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{\infty} = \left\{ x = (x_{k}) \in w(X) : \sup_{r,m} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{k}} \\ < \infty, \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

If we take F(x) = x, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{1} = \left\{ x = (x_{k}) \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)} - l}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_{k}} = 0$$
  
uniformly in *m*, for some  $\rho > 0 \right\},$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{0} = \left\{ x = (x_{k}) \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_{k}} = 0,$$
  
uniformly in *m*, for some  $\rho > 0 \right\}$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, p, q \end{bmatrix}_{\infty} = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w(X) : \sup_{r,m} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ q \left( \frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \\ \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

If we take  $p = (p_k) = 1$  for all k, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, q \end{bmatrix}_{1} = \left\{ x = (x_{k}) \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)} - l}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \\ = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, q \end{bmatrix}_{0} = \left\{ x = (x_{k}) \in w(X) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[ f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] = 0,$$
  
uniformly in *m*, for some  $\rho > 0 \right\}$ 

and

$$\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, q\right]_{\infty} = \left\{x = (x_{k}) \in w(X) : \sup_{r,m} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[f_{k}\left(q\left(\frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho}\right)\right)\right] < \infty,$$
 for some  $\rho > 0$ .

The main purpose of this section is to study some topological properties and some inclusion relations between of the spaces  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{Z}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. Then the spaces  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q\right]_Z$ ,  $Z = 0, 1, \infty$  are linear over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove the result for the case  $[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q]_0$ . Let  $x = (x_k)$ ,  $y = (y_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q]_0$  and let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then there exist positive numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m$$

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[f_k\left(q\left(\frac{\Delta^n y_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_2}\right)\right)\right]^{p_k}=0, \text{ uniformly in } m.$$

Define  $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$ . Since  $F = (f_k)$  is non-decreasing, q is a seminorm and so by using inequality (2), we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\alpha \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)} + \beta \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\alpha \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_3} \right) + q \left( \frac{\beta \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_3} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^n y_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \to 0 \\ \text{as } r \to \infty \text{ uniformly in } m$$

This proves that  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{0}$  is a linear space. Similarly, we can prove that  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{1}$  and  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{\infty}$  are linear spaces.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. Then the spaces  $\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q \end{bmatrix}_Z$  are paranormed spaces, paranormed defined by

$$g^*(x) = \inf\left\{\rho^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left[\sup_{k\geq 1} f_k\left(q\left(\frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho}\right)\right)^{p_k}\right]^{\frac{1}{H}} \le 1, \rho > 0 \text{ uniformly in } m\right\},\$$

where  $H = \max(1, \sup_k p_k)$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove the theorem for the case  $[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q]_{\infty}$ . Clearly,  $g^*(x) = g(-x)$  and  $g^*(\theta) = 0$  where  $\theta$  is the zero sequence of X. Let  $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q]_{\infty}$ . Then there exist positive numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\sup_{k\geq 1} f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_1} \right) \right)^{p_k} \leq 1, \text{ uniformly in } m$$

and

$$\sup_{k\geq 1} f_k\left(q\left(\frac{\Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_2}\right)\right)^{p_k} \leq 1, \text{ uniformly in } m.$$

Let  $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$  and by using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k\geq 1} f_k \Big( q\Big(\frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m) + \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho}\Big) \Big)^{p_k} &= \sup_{k\geq 1} f_k \Big( q\Big(\frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m) + \Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}\Big) \Big) \Big]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \Big(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}\Big) \sup_{k\geq 1} f_k \Big[ q\Big(\frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_1}\Big) \Big]^{p_k} + \Big(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}\Big) \sup_{k\geq 1} f_k \Big[ q\Big(\frac{\Delta^n y_{\sigma^k}(m)}{\rho_2}\Big) \Big]^{p_k} \\ &\leq 1, \text{uniformly in } m. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$g^{*}(x+y) = \inf \left\{ (\rho_{1}+\rho_{2})^{\frac{p_{n}}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k\geq 1} f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}}(m) + \Delta^{n} y_{\sigma^{k}}(m)}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_{k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \\ \leq 1, \rho > 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\} \\ \leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_{1})^{\frac{p_{n}}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k\geq 1} f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}}(m)}{\rho_{1}} \right) \right)^{p_{k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, \rho_{1} > 0, \\ \text{ uniformly in } m \right\} \\ + \inf \left\{ (\rho_{2})^{\frac{p_{n}}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k\geq 1} f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} y_{\sigma^{k}}(m)}{\rho_{2}} \right) \right)^{p_{k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, \rho_{2} > 0, \\ \text{ uniformly in } m \right\} \\ = g^{*}(x) + g^{*}(y).$$

Finally, we prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Let  $\lambda$  be any complex number. By definition, we have

$$g^{*}(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_{n}}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k \ge 1} f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\lambda \Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}}(m)}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_{k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \le 1, \rho > 0,$$
  
uniformly in  $m \right\}$ 
$$= \inf \left\{ \left( |\lambda| t \right)^{\frac{p_{n}}{H}} : \left( \sup_{k \ge 1} f_{k} \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}}(m)}{t} \right) \right)^{p_{k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \le 1, t > 0,$$
  
uniformly in  $m \right\},$ 

where  $t = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $F' = (f'_k)$  and  $F'' = (f''_k)$  be two sequences of modulus functions. Then we have

$$\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\theta,F',p,q\right]_{Z}\cap\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\theta,F'',u,p,q\right]_{Z}\subseteq\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\theta,F'+F'',u,p,q\right]_{Z}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is easy so we omit it.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $F = (F_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. Then for any two seminorms  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  on X, we have  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q_1\right]_Z \cap \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q_2\right]_Z \neq \phi$ .

*Proof.* Since the zero element belongs to  $[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q_1]_Z$  and  $[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q_2]_Z$  and thus the intersection is non empty.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $F = (F_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions. Then

$$\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\theta,F,p,q\right]_{0}\subset\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\theta,F,p,q\right]_{1}\subset\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\theta,F,p,q\right]_{\infty}.$$

*Proof.* The inclusion  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{0} \subset \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{1}$  is obvious. Let  $x = (x_{k}) \in \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{1}$ . Then we have  $\frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[f_{k}\left(q\left(\frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho}\right)\right)\right]^{p_{k}}$ 

$$\leq K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)-l}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + K \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{l}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq \frac{K}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)-l}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} + K \max \left\{ 1, \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{l}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^G \right\}.$$

Thus  $x = (x_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q]_{\infty}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of modulus functions and let  $\theta = (i_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. Then the following result holds: (i) If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ . Then  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, F, p, q\right]_Z \subset \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q\right]_Z$ , (ii) if  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ . Then  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q\right]_Z \subset \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, F, p, q\right]_Z$ , (iii) if  $1 < \liminf_r q_r \le \limsup_r q_r < \infty$ . Then

$$\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},F,p,q\right]_{Z}=\left[V_{\sigma},\Delta^{n},\theta,F,p,q\right]_{Z}$$

*Proof.* It is easy to prove so we omit it.

 $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $0 < p_k \leq t_k$  and  $\left(\frac{t_k}{p_k}\right)$  be bounded. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, t, q \end{bmatrix}_Z \subset \begin{bmatrix} V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q \end{bmatrix}_Z$$

*Proof.* We will prove it for the case Z = 1. Let  $x = (x_k) \in \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, t, q\right]_1$ . We write

$$S_k = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

and  $(\mu_k) = \left(\frac{p_k}{t_k}\right)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $0 < \mu_k \le 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $0 < \mu < \mu_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the sequence  $u_k$  and  $v_k$  as follows:

For  $S_k \ge 1$ , let  $u_k = S_k$  and  $v_k = 0$  and for  $S_k < 1$ , let  $u_k = 0$  and  $v_k = S_k$ . Then clearly for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $S_k = u_k + v_k$ ,  $S_k^{\mu_k} = u_k^{\mu_k} + v_k^{\mu_k}$ . Now it follows that  $u_k^{\mu_k} \le u_k \le S_k$  and  $v_k^{\mu_k} \le v_k^{\mu}$ . Therefore

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} S_k^{\mu_k} = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} (u_k^{\mu_k} + v_k^{\mu_k}) \le \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} S_k + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k^{\mu}.$$

Now for each k,

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k^{\mu} = \sum_{k \in I_r} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} v_k\right)^{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{h_r}\right)^{1-\mu}$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{k \in I_r} \left[\left(\frac{1}{h_r} v_k\right)^{\mu}\right]^{\frac{1}{\mu}}\right)^{\mu} \left(\sum_{k \in I_r} \left[\left(\frac{1}{h_r}\right)^{1-\mu}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}}\right)^{1-\mu}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} v_k\right)^{\mu}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}S_k^{\mu_k} \leq \frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}S_k + \left(\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}v_k\right)^{\mu}.$$

Hence  $x = (x_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q]_1$ . Similarly we can prove for other cases.

**Theorem 3.8.** The sequence space  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{\infty}$  is solid. *Proof.* Let  $x = (x_{k}) \in \left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{\infty}$ . Then  $\frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{k \in I_{r}} \left[f_{k}\left(q\left(\frac{\Delta^{n} x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{\rho}\right)\right)\right]^{p_{k}} < \infty.$  Let  $(\alpha_k)$  be a sequence of scalars such that  $|\alpha_k| \le 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\alpha_k \Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ f_k \left( q \left( \frac{\Delta^n x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty.$$

This shows that  $(\alpha_k x_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q]_{\infty}$  for all sequences of scalars  $(\alpha_k)$  with  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , whenever  $(x_k) \in [V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q]_{\infty}$ . Hence the space  $[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^n, \theta, F, p, q]_{\infty}$  is a solid sequence space. This completes the proof of the theorem.

 $\square$ 

**Corollary 3.9.** The sequence space  $\left[V_{\sigma}, \Delta^{n}, \theta, F, p, q\right]_{\infty}$  is monotone.

*Proof.* It is easy to prove so we omit the details.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Altınok Y. Altın M. Isık, *The sequence Space*  $Bv_{\sigma}(M, P, Q, S)$  on seminormed spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (2008), 49–58.
- [2] Y. Altın B. C. Tripathy M. Isık M. Et, *Strongly*  $(V_{\sigma}, \theta, q)$ -summable sequences *defined by Orlicz function*, Fasciculi Math. 36 (2005), 15–26.
- [3] M. Et R. Çolak, On generalized difference sequence spaces, Soochow J. Math. 21 (1995), 377–386.
- [4] A. R. Freedman J. J. Sember M. Raphael, *Some Cesaro-type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 37 (1978), 508–520.
- [5] P. K. Kamthan M. Gupta, Sequence spaces and series, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 65, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1981.
- [6] H. Kızmaz, On certain sequences spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (1981), 169–176.
- [7] G.G. Lorentz, A contribution to the theory of divergent series, Acta Math. 80 (1948), 167–190.
- [8] J. Lindenstrauss L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, Israel J. Math. 10 (1971), 379–390.
- [9] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of functional Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.
- [10] E. Malkowsky E. Savaş, Some  $\lambda$ -sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Archivum Math. 36 (2000), 219–228.
- [11] L. Maligranda, Orlicz spaces and interpolation, Seminars in Mathematics 5, Polish Academy of Science, 1989.

- [12] M. Mursaleen, Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces, Houston J. Math. 9 (1983), 505–509.
- [13] M. Mursaleen, *Invariant means and some matrix transformation*, Tamkang J. Math. 10 (1979), 183–188.
- [14] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1034, Springer, 1983.
- [15] K. Raj A. K. Sharma S. K. Sharma, A sequence space defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 67 (2011), 475–484.
- [16] K. Raj S. K. Sharma A. K. Sharma, Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions in n-normed spaces, Int. J. of Math. Sci. Eng. Appls. 5 (2011), 385–403.
- [17] K. Raj S. K. Sharma, Difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions, Proyectiones 30 (2) (2011), 189-199.
- [18] K. Raj S. K. Sharma, Some difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus functions, Int. J. Math. Archive 2 (2011), 236–240.
- [19] E. Savaş, *Strongly*  $\sigma$ *-convergent sequences*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 81 (1989), 295–300.
- [20] P. Schaefer, *Infinite matrices and invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 104–110.
- [21] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 1984.

KULDIP RAJ School of Mathematics Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra-182320, J & K, India. e-mail: kuldipraj68@gmail.com

SUNIL K. SHARMA School of Mathematics Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra-182320, J & K, India. e-mail: sunilksharma42@yahoo.co.in