

ON THE q -DERIVATIVES OF A NEW SEQUENCE OF OPERATORS

ASHA RAM GAIROLA - GIRISH DOBHAL

In this paper we obtain moment estimates for a new sequence of q -operators very recently introduced by Aral and Gupta [1]. We obtain degree of approximation by the q -derivatives of these operators. We show that for a fixed q , these operators do not possess simultaneous approximation properties.

1. Introduction

The q -Bernstein polynomials

$$B_{n,q}(f,x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q}\right) p_{n,k}(q;x), \quad f \in C[0,1],$$

where $p_{n,k}(q;x) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k \prod_{r=0}^{n-k-1} (1 - q^r x)$ proposed by Phillips [19] have been extensively studied by several authors (cf.[12], [19]-[25]). Since the summation type operators are not suitable to approximate Lebesgue integrable functions, two modifications of the Bernstein polynomials were given by Durrmeyer [6], and Kantorovich[15]. In a similar way the q -Bernstein polynomials have been modified by Derriennic [4] which is q -analogue of the Durrmeyer operators [6]. Subsequently, q -analogue of some well known positive linear operators e.g.

Entrato in redazione: 19 maggio 2012

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 41A25, 41A30.

Keywords: q -integer, Modulus of continuity.

Meyer-König and Zeller, Baskakov and Szász operators, based on q -integers have been introduced and studied by several authors ([2], [5], [9]-[11], [16]). Very recently, Aral and Gupta [1] introduced a Durrmeyer type generalization of q -Baskakov type operators as follows

$$\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x) = [n-1]_q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q; x) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q; t) f(t) d_q t,$$

$$\text{where } p_{n,k}(q; x) = \begin{bmatrix} n+k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{k^2/2} x^k}{(1+x)_q^{n+k}}.$$

In [1] it has been observed that these operators satisfy the conditions of the Bohmann-Korovkin theorem for a fixed q in $(0, 1)$. Therefore, they converge to any arbitrary real function defined on $[0, \infty)$. We shall show that the q -derivatives of the operators do not converge to the corresponding q -derivatives of the function for a fixed q . Let $C_B[0, \infty)$ be the space of all real valued continuous and bounded functions on $[0, \infty)$. The space $C_B[0, \infty)$ is endowed with the uniform norm $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [0, \infty)\}$. By

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x+h) - f(x)|$$

we denote the usual modulus of continuity of $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$. We use the notation $C_\lambda^r[0, \infty)$ for the class of the functions f for which $D_q^i f$, $i = 1(1)r$ are continuously differentiable and $D_q^i f(t) = O(t^\lambda)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$. In what follows, we shall use the notations $\varphi^2(x) = x(1+x)$ and throughout this paper M is a constant different at each occurrence. Moreover, we simply write $[n]$ in stead of $[n]_q$ unless otherwise stated.

2. Moments

Remark 2.1. Applying the product rule for q -differentiation we obtain the relation

$$q^k \varphi^2(x) D_q[p_{n,k}(q; x)] = ([k] - q^k [n]x) p_{n,k}(q; qx), \quad (1)$$

where D_q denotes the q -derivative operator.

Lemma 2.2. *For the functions $T_{n,m}(x) = \mathcal{M}_n((t-x)^m, q, x)$ we have $T_{n,0}(x) = 1$, $T_{n,1}(x) = \frac{[2]x+q}{q^2[n-2]}$, $n > 2$ and for $n > m + 2$, there holds the recurrence relation*

$$\begin{aligned} q^{m+2}[n-m-2]T_{n,m+1}(qx) \\ = q\varphi^2(x)(D_q T_{n,m}(x) + [m]T_{n,m-1}(qx)) \\ + [m+1](1+2x)T_{n,m}(qx) + [m]\varphi^2(x)T_{n,m-1}(qx). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Proof. The expressions for $T_{n,0}(x)$ and $T_{n,1}(x)$ are immediate from Lemma 2[1]. Now, using product formula for q -differentiation together with (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_q(t-x)^m p_{n,k}(q;x) \\ = -[m](t-x)^{m-1} p_{n,k}(q;qx) + (t-x)^m D_q p_{n,k}(x) \\ = -[m](t-x)^{m-1} p_{n,k}(q;qx) + (t-qx)^m \frac{([k]-q^k[n]x)}{q^k \varphi^2(x)} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi^2(x) (D_q T_{n,m}(x) + [m] T_{n,m-1}(qx)) \\ &= [n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([k]-q^k[n]t/q)}{q^k} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} q^k p_{n,k}(q;t) (t-qx)^m d_q t \\ &+ [n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^k[n]t/q - q^k[n]x)}{q^k} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} q^k p_{n,k}(q;t) (t-qx)^m d_q t \\ &= E_1 + E_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

We make use of the linear transformation $t \rightarrow qu$ which is valid in q -calculus. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= [n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} \frac{([k]-q^k[n]u)}{q^k} p_{n,k}(q;qu) q^{m+1} (u-x)^m d_q u \\ &= [n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} \varphi^2(u) (D_q p_{n,k}(q;u)) q^{m+1} (u-x)^m d_q u \\ &= [n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} \left[(u-x)^{m+2} + (1+2x)(u-x)^{m+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varphi^2(u)(u-x)^m \right] (D_q p_{n,k}(q;u)) q^{m+1} d_q u \\ &= F_1 + F_2 + F_3, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts and then the transformation $u \rightarrow t/u$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= -[m+2][n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} q^{m+1} p_{n,k}(q;qu) (u-x)^{m+1} d_q u \\ &= [n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q;qx) q^{m+1} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(p_{n,k}(q;u)(qu-x)^{m+1} \Big|_0^{\infty/A} - \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q;qu) (D_q(u-x)^{m+2}) d_q u \right) \\
& = -[m+2]q^{m+1}[n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q;qu) (u-x)^{m+1} d_q u \\
& = -[m+2]q^{-1}[n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q;t) (t-qx)^{m+1} d_q t \\
& = -[m+2]q^{-1}T_{n,m+1}(qx), \quad n > m+1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain $F_2 = -[m+1]q^{-1}(1+2x)T_{n,m}(qx)$ and $F_3 = -\varphi^2(x)q[m]q^{-1}T_{n,m-1}(qx)$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 & = [n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q;qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} [n](t/q-x)p_{n,k}(q;t)(t-qx)^m d_q t \\
& = [n]q^{-1}T_{n,m+1}(qx).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates $E_1 - E_2$, the proof of the lemma completes. \square

Corollary 2.3. *For the functions $T_{n,m}(x)$ we have*

- (i) $T_{n,m}(x)$ are polynomials in x of degree exactly m ;
- (ii) there holds $T_{n,m}(x) = O([n]^{-m})$, $\forall x \in [0, \infty)$.

Proof. We write $T_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_m^i x^i$ and substitute in (2). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{m+2}[n-m-2] \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} a_m^i x^i \\
& = q(x+x^2) \left(D_q \sum_{i=0}^m a_m^i x^i + [m] \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{m-1}^i (qx)^i \right) \\
& + [m+1](1+2x) \sum_{i=0}^m a_m^i (qx)^i + [m](x+x^2) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{m-1}^i (qx)^i \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^m \left(q(x+x^2)[i]x^{i-1} + [m+1](1+2x)(qx)^i \right) a_m^i \\
& + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} [m](x+x^2)(1+q)(qx)^i a_{m-1}^i.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easily observed that the largest coefficient in $T_{n,m}(x)$ with respect to $[n]$ is the highest power coefficient a_m^m . Therefore, equating the coefficient of the

highest power terms, we get

$$q^{m+2}[n-m-2]a_{m+1}^{m+1} = q(1+2q^{m-1}[m+1])a_m^m + q^{m-1}(1+q)[m]a_{m-1}^{m-1}.$$

Now, the proof is easily completed by an induction on m and in view of $a_0^0 = 1$, $a_1^1 = \frac{2}{q^2[n-2]}$. \square

Following is a q -analogue of the Lorentz type lemma :

Lemma 2.4. *For the functions $p_{n,k}(q;x)$ there holds*

$$x^r(1+q^{n+k}x)^{(r)}D_q^r(p_{n,k}(q;x)) = \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \alpha_j(x)[n]^i \left([k] - q^k[n]x \right)^j p_{n,k}(q;x), \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha_i(x)$ are polynomials in x independent of $[n]$.

Proof. Using $q^k \varphi^2(x) p_{n,k}(q;x) = x(1+q^{n+k}x) p_{n,k}(q;qx)$ in (1), we get

$$x(1+q^{n+k}x)D_q p_{n,k}(q;x) = \left([k] - q^k[n]x \right) p_{n,k}(q;x).$$

The result holds for $r = 1$, where $\alpha_0(x) = 0$ and $\alpha_1(x) = 1$. Suppose (3) is true for a certain r . Then, the product formula for q -differentiation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (qx)^r(1+q^{n+k+1}x)^{(r)}D_q^{r+1}p_{n,k}(q;x) + D_q^r p_{n,k}(q;x)D_q \left(x^r(1+q^{n+k}x)^{(r)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} [n]^i \left[\alpha_j(qx) \left([k] - q^{k+1}[n]x \right)^j D_q p_{n,k}(q;x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + p_{n,k}(q;x)D_q \left(\alpha_j(x) \left([k] - q^k[n]x \right)^j \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We write $\left([k] - q^{k+1}[n]x \right)^j = \sum_{s=0}^j \binom{j}{s} \left([k] - q^k[n]x \right)^s \left(q^k[n]x(1-q) \right)^{j-s}$ and use in the first term of the r.h.s. of (4). By rearrangement of the terms the proof follows. \square

Lemma 2.5. *For the functions $Q_{i,l}(x)$ defined by*

$$Q_{i,l}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left([k] - q^{k+l}[n]x \right)^i p_{n,k}(q;x),$$

there holds the order $Q_{2i,l}(x) = O([n]^{2i})$, where l is fixed positive integer.

Proof. We apply an induction on i . For $i = 1$ we get three terms E_1 , E_2 and E_3 corresponding to the three terms $[k]^2$, $-2[k]q^{k+l}[n]x$ and $q^{2k+2l}[n]^2x^2$ respectively in $([k] - q^{k+l}[n]x)^2$. Thus, using $[k] = 1 + q[k-1]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k+1)/2}x^{k+1}[n+k]!}{[k]![n-1]!(1+x)^{(n+k+1)}} + q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(k+1)(k+2)/2}x^{k+2}}{[k]![n-1]!(1+x)^{(n+k+2)}} [n+k+1]! \\ &= F_1 + F_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, in view of the identity $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{z^k}{(1+z)^{n+k+1}} = 1$ and $0 < q < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |F_1| &= \left| \frac{[n]x}{1+x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(qx)^k}{(1+qx)^{(n+k+1)}} (1+q^{n+k+1}x) \right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{[n]x}{1+x} (1+x) = [n]x. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= \frac{q^2x^2}{(1+x)(1+qx)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2}(q^2x)^k[n+k+1]!}{[k]![n-1]!(1+q^2x)^{(n+k)}} \\ &= \frac{q^2x^2[n+1][n]}{(1+x)(1+qx)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2}(q^2x)^k[n+k+1]!}{[k]![n+1]!(1+q^2x)^{(n+k+2)}} (1+q^{n+k+2}x)(1+q^{n+k+3}x) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|F_2| \leqslant \frac{q^2x^2[n+1][n]}{(1+x)(1+qx)} (1+x)^2 = \frac{q^2x^2[n+1][n]}{(1+qx)} (1+x).$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &= -2[n]q^lx \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k+1}q^{k(k+1)/2}x^k[n+k]!}{[k]![n-1]!(1+x)^{(n+k+1)}} \\ &= \frac{-2[n]^2q^{l+3/2}x}{(1+x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(k-1)/2} \frac{[n+k]!}{[k]![n]!} \frac{(qx)^k}{(1+qx)^{(n+k+1)}} (1+q^{n+k+1}x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain $|E_2| \leqslant 2[n]^2q^{l+3/2}x$. In a similar way we find

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= (q^l[n]x)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{2k} p_{n,k}(q;x) \\ &= (q^l[n]x)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n+k-1]!}{[k]![n-1]!} \frac{(q^2x)^k}{(1+q^2x)^{(n+k)}} (1+q^{n+k}x)(1+q^{n+k+1}x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|E_3| \leq \frac{(q^l[n]x)^2(1+x)}{(1+qx)}$. Combining these estimates, it follows $Q_{2,l}(x) = O([n]^2)$. Hence the lemma is true for $i = 1$. Suppose it holds for a certain i . Then, by q -differentiation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_q Q_{2i,l}(x) &= -[2i][n]q^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k ([k] - q^{k+l}[n]x)^{2i-1} p_{n,k}(q; qx) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([k] - q^{k+l}[n]x)^{2i}}{q^k \varphi^2(x)} p_{n,k}(q; qx) ([k] - q^k[n]x) \end{aligned}$$

Rearrangement of the terms gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([k] - q^{k+l}[n]x)^{2i+1}}{q^k} p_{n,k}(q; qx) \\ &= \varphi^2(x) D_q Q_{2i,l}(x) \\ &\quad + \varphi^2(x) [2i][n]q^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k ([k] - q^{k+l}[n]x)^{2i-1} p_{n,k}(q; qx) \\ &\quad - [n]x (q^l - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ([k] - q^{k+l}[n]x)^{2i} p_{n,k}(q; qx). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Therefore from the definition of $Q_{2i+1,l}(x)$ and (5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_{2i+1,l}(x)| &\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{([k] - q^{k+l}[n]x)^{2i+1}}{q^k} p_{n,k}(q; qx) \right| \\ &\leq M[n]^{2i} + M'[n]^{2i+1} = M[n]^{2i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.6. *For $f \in C'_\lambda[0, \infty)$, there holds the relation*

$$\begin{aligned} D_q^r (\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) &= [n-1] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{[n+i-1]}{[n-i]} q^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; x) \times \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) D_q^r(f(t)) d_q t. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on r . For $r = 1$ we use

$$D_q(p_{n,k}(q; x)) = [n]q^k \left(q^{-1/2} p_{n+1,k-1}(q; x) - p_{n+1,k}(q; x) \right).$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
D_q(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) &= [n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [n] q^{k-1/2} \left(p_{n+1,k-1}(q; x) - q^{1/2} p_{n+1,k}(q; x) \right) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q; t) f(t) d_q t \\
&= [n-1][n] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+1,k}(q; t) \int_0^{\infty/A} q^{k+1/2} \left(p_{n,k+1}(q; x) - q^{-1/2} p_{n,k}(q; t) \right) f(t) d_q t \\
&= -[n-1][n] q^{-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+1,k}(q; x) \int_0^{\infty/A} \frac{D_q(p_{n-1,k+1}(q; t))}{[n-1]} f(t) d_q t.
\end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}
D_q(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) &= -[n] q^{-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+1,k}(q; x) \times \\
&\quad \times \left(p_{n-1,k+1}(q; t) f(t) \Big|_0^{\infty/A} - \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-1,k+1}(q; qt) D_q(f(t)) d_q t \right) \\
&= [n] q^{-1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+1,k}(q; x) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-1,k+1}(q; qt) D_q(f(t)) d_q t.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result is true for $r = 1$. Suppose the result holds for a certain r . Then, by q -differentiation of (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
D_q^{r+1}(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) &= [n-1] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{[n+i-1]}{[n-i]} q^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [n+r] q^k \times \\
&\quad \times \left(q^{-1/2} p_{n+r+1,k-1}(q; x) - p_{n+r+1,k}(q; x) \right) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) D_q^r(f(t)) d_q t \\
&= -[n-1] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{[n+i-1]}{[n-i]} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k+1/2} p_{n+r+1,k}(q; x) [n+r] \times \\
&\quad \times \int_0^{\infty/A} \left(q^{-1/2} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; t) - p_{n-r,k+r+1}(q; t) \right) D_q^r(f(t)) d_q t
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -[n-1] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{[n+i-1]}{[n-i]} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k+1/2} p_{n+r+1,k}(q;x) [n+r] \times \\
&\quad \times \int_0^{\infty/A} \frac{D_q(p_{n-r-1,k+r+1}(q;t))}{[n-r-1]q^{k+r+1}} D_q^r(f(t)) d_q t.
\end{aligned}$$

Again integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}
D_q^{r+1}(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) &= [n-1] \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{[n+i-1]}{[n-i]} q^{-\frac{(r+1)^2}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r+1,k}(q;x) \times \\
&\quad \times \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r-1,k+r+1}(q; q^{r+1}t) D_q^{r+1}(f(t)) d_q t.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

For $f \in C_\lambda^r[0, \infty)$ we define the operators $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n,q,r}(f, x)$ as follows

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n,q,r}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q;x) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) f(t) d_q t.$$

Let us write $V_{n,m,r}(x)$ for the functions $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n,q,r}(t^m, x)$.

Lemma 2.7. *For the functions $V_{n,m,r}(x)$, we have*

$$V_{n,0,r}(x) = \frac{1}{q^{3r/2}[n-r-1]}, V_{n,1,r}(x) = \frac{[n+r]xq^{-1} + q^{r-1} + [r]}{q^{2r-1}([n-r]q - [2])} V_{n,0,r}(x)$$

and there holds the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{2r-1}([n-r]q - [m+2]) V_{n,m+1,r}(qx) \\
&= \varphi^2(x) D_q V_{n,m,r}(x) + ([n+r]x + [m+1]q^{r-1} + [r]) V_{n,m,r}(qx). \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^2(x)D_q V_{n,m,r}(x) + ([n+r]x + [r])V_{n,m,r}(qx) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{-k}[k] \right) p_{n+r,k}(q; qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) t^m d_q t + [r]V_{n,m,r}(qx) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} q^r \left(\left(q^{-k-r}[k+r] - [n-r]tq^r \right) + [n-r]tq^{2r} \right) \times \\
&\quad \times p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) t^m d_q t \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} \left(q^{-k-r}[k+r] - [n-r]u \right) p_{n-r,k+r}(q; u) \left(\frac{u}{q^r} \right)^m d_q u \\
&= \frac{1}{q^{mr}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; qx) \int_0^{\infty/A} \varphi^2(u/q) D_q (p_{n-r,k+r}(q; u/q)) u^m d_q u \\
&= A_1 + A_2, \text{ say,}
\end{aligned}$$

where A_1 and A_2 are the two terms of r.h.s. corresponding to $\varphi^2(u/q) = (u/q) + (u/q)^2$. Using $u \rightarrow qy$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{q^m}{q^{mr}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; qx) \left(p_{n-r,k+r}(q; y) y^{m+1} \Big|_0^{\infty/A} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - [m+1] \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; qy) y^m d_q y \right) \\
&= \frac{-[m+1]}{q^{mr+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; qx) q^{mr+r} \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) t^m d_q t \\
&= -[m+1] q^{r-1} V_{n,m,r}(qx).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $A_2 = -[m+2]q^{2r-1}V_{n,m+1,r}(qx)$. Therefore, combining these expressions the relation is established. \square

Corollary 2.8. *By simple calculations and the recurrence relation (7) we obtain*

$$V_{n,2,r}(x) = \left[\frac{(xq^{-1} + x^2q^{-2})([n+r]q^{-1})}{q^{4r-2}([n-r]q - [2])([n-r]q - [3])} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{([n+r]xq^{-1} + [r] + [2]q^{r-1})([n+r]xq^{-1} + [r] + q^{r-1})}{q^{4r-2}([n-r]q - [2])([n-r]q - [3])} \right] V_{n,0,r}(x).$$

Using $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n,q,r}((t-x)^2, x) = V_{n,2,r}(x) - 2xV_{n,1,r}(x) + x^2V_{n,0,r}(x)$, and then writing $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n,q,r}((t-x)^2, x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1x + \alpha_2x^2$ we get

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{([2]q^{r-1} + [r])(q^{r-1} + [r])}{q^{4r-2}([n-r]q - [2])([n-r]q - [3])} V_{n,0,r}(x),$$

$$\alpha_1 = \left[\frac{[n+r]q^{-1}(q^{-1} + 2[r] + ([2] + 1)q^{r-1})}{q^{4r-2}([n-r]q - [2])([n-r]q - [3])} - \frac{2(q^{r-1} + [r])}{q^{2r-1}([n-r]q - [2])} \right] V_{n,0,r}(x)$$

and $\alpha_2 =$

$$= \left[\frac{q^{-2}[n+r](q^{-1} + [n+r])}{q^{4r-2}([n-r]q - [2])([n-r]q - [3])} - \frac{2[n+r]q^{-1}}{q^{2r-1}([n-r]q - [2])} + 1 \right] V_{n,0,r}(x).$$

In α_1 and α_2 , we split $[n+r]$ as $1 + [2] + q^{n-r+1}[2r-1] + ([n-r]q - [2])$ and $1 + [3] + q^{n-r+1}[2r-1] + ([n-r]q - [3])$. Hence, we obtain

$$\alpha_0 \leq \frac{M_1(r)}{q^{11r/2}[n-r-1]([n-r]q - [2])([n-r]q - [3])},$$

$$\alpha_1 \leq \frac{M_2(r)}{q^{11r/2}[n-r-1]([n-r]q - [2])}$$

and

$$\alpha_2 \leq \frac{M_3(r)}{q^{11r/2}[n-r-1]} \left((q^{4r-2} - 2q^{2r} + 1) + \frac{1}{([n-r]q - [2])} \right).$$

Consequently, we get the estimate

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n,q,r}((t-x)^2, x) \\ \leq \frac{M_4(r)}{q^{11r/2}[n-r-1]} \left((q^{4r-2} - 2q^{2r} + 1) + \frac{1}{([n-r]q - [2])} \right) x^2,$$

where $M_i(r)$ are the constants independent of q and n .

3. Simultaneous Approximation Using q -Moments

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in C_{\lambda}^r[0, \infty)$ and q_n be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ such that $q_n \uparrow 1$. Then, there exists a \hat{q}_n such that the sequence $D_{q_n}^r(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x))$ converges to $D_{q_n}^r(f(x))$ point wise for $q_n \in (\hat{q}_n, 1)$.

Proof. There exist $\hat{q}_n \in (0, 1)$ (see [7]) such that for all $q_n \in (\hat{q}_n, 1)$ we can write

$$f(t) = \sum_{l=0}^r \frac{(D_{q_n}^l f)(x)}{[k]_{q_n}!} (t-x)^{(l)} + \frac{(D_{q_n}^{r+1} f)(\xi)}{[r+1]_{q_n}!} (t-x)^{(r+1)}.$$

Since, $\mathcal{M}_n((t-x)^m, q, x)$ are polynomials of degree exactly m (see Lemma 2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{q_n}^r(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) - D_{q_n}^r(f(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{[r+1]_{q_n}!} \mathcal{M}_n^{(r)} \left((D_{q_n}^{r+1} f)(\xi) (t-x)^{(r+1)}, q, x \right) \\ &= [n-1]_{q_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \alpha_j(x) [n]_{q_n}^i \left([k]_{q_n} - q_n^k [n]_{q_n} x \right)^j p_{n,k}(q_n; x) \times \\ & \quad \times \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q_n; t) \frac{(D_{q_n}^{r+1} f)(\xi)}{[r+1]_{q_n}!} (t-x)^{(r+1)} d_q t. \end{aligned}$$

Let, $T_{i,j}$ be a typical term of the sum over i, j . Using Hölder's inequality firstly for integration and then for summation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{i,j}| &\leq M \|D_{q_n}^{r+1} f\| [n-1]_{q_n} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} [n]_{q_n}^i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| [k]_{q_n} - q_n^k [n]_{q_n} x \right|^j p_{n,k}(q_n; x) \times \\ & \quad \times \left| \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q_n; t) (t-x)^{(r+1)} d_q t \right| \\ &\leq M \|D_{q_n}^{r+1} f\| \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} [n]_{q_n}^i [n-1]_{q_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| [k]_{q_n} - q_n^k [n]_{q_n} x \right|^j p_{n,k}(q_n; x) \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=0}^r \left(\int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q_n; t) |t - q_n^j x|^{r+1} d_q t \right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq M \|D_{q_n}^{r+1} f\| \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} [n]_{q_n}^i \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ([k]_{q_n} - q_n^k [n]_{q_n} x)^{2j} p_{n,k}(q_n; x) \right)^{1/2} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left([n-1]_{q_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^r p_{n,k}(q_n; x) \left(\int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q_n; t) |t - q_n^j x|^{r+1} d_q t \right)^{2/r+1} \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq M \|D_{q_n}^{r+1} f\| \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} [n]_{q_n}^i \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ([k]_{q_n} - q_n^{k+s} [n]_{q_n} x)^{2j} p_{n,k}(q_n; x) \right)^{1/2} \times \\
 &\quad \times \left(\prod_{j=0}^r \left([n-1]_{q_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(q_n; x) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n,k}(q_n; t) (t - q_n^j x)^{2r+2} d_q t \right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we get

$$|\mathcal{M}_n((t-x)^{2r+2}, q, x)| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}}\right)^{r+1}.$$

Therefore, using equality $(t - q_n^j x)^{2r+2} = \sum_{l=0}^{2r+2} \binom{2r+2}{l} (t-x)^l (x(1-q_n^j))^{2r+2-l}$ and Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$|\mathcal{M}_n((t - q_n^j x)^{2r+2}, q_n, x)| \leq \sum_{l=0}^{2r+2} \binom{2r+2}{l} (x(1-q_n^j))^{2r+2-l} \left(\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}^{l/2}} \right).$$

Let $(1 - q_n^j) = O\left(\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}^\rho}\right)$, $\rho \geq 0$ for all j . This implies $(1 - q_n^r) = O\left(\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}^\rho}\right)$. Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_{i,j}| &\leq M \|D_{q_n}^{r+1} f\| \times \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} [n]_{q_n}^{i+j} \left(\prod_{j=0}^r \left(\sum_{l=0}^{2r+2} \binom{2r+2}{l} (x(1-q_n^j))^{2r+2-l} \left(\frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}^{l/2}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq M \|D_{q_n}^{r+1} f\| \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} [n]_{q_n}^{i+j} \left(\prod_{j=0}^r \left(\frac{[n]_{q_n}^{(2r+2)(\rho-1/2)}}{[n]_{q_n}^{(2r+2)\rho}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq M \|D_{q_n}^{r+1} f\| \frac{1}{[n]_{q_n}^{1/2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, this inequality is independent of ρ it follows that $D_{q_n}^r(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q_n, x))$ converges point wise to $D_{q_n}^r(f(x))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $q_n \in (q_n, 1)$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *For $f \in C_\lambda^r[0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{q^{r(r+3)/2}[n-r-1]}{[n-1]} \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\frac{[n-j]}{[n+j-1]} \right) D_q^r(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) - D_q^r(f(x)) \right| \\ & \leq M(r)[n-r-1]^{1/2} \times \\ & \quad \times \left(\omega D_q^r f, \sqrt{\frac{x^2}{q^{5r/4}} \left((q^{4r-2} - 2q^{2r} + 1) + \frac{1}{([n-r]q - [2])} \right)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where M is independent of f, q and n .

Proof. In view of

$$q^{3r/2}[n-r-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; x) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) d_q t = 1,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{q^{r(r+3)/2}[n-r-1]}{[n-1]} \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\frac{[n-j]}{[n+j-1]} \right) D_q^r(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) - D_q^r(f(x)) \right| \\ & \leq q^{r(r+1)/2}[n-r-1] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; x) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) |D_q^r f(t) - D_q^r f(x)| d_q t \\ & \leq q^{3r/2}[n-r-1] \omega(D_q^r f, \delta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; x) \times \\ & \quad \times \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) \left(1 + \frac{|t-x|}{\delta} \right) d_q t = K_1 + K_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

We have $K_1 = \omega(D_q^r f, \delta)$. Using Schwarz's inequality and Corollary 2.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 & \leq \omega(D_q^r f, \delta) \frac{q^{3r/2}[n-r-1]}{\delta} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(q; x) \int_0^{\infty/A} p_{n-r,k+r}(q; q^r t) (t-x)^2 d_q t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq M_5(r) \omega(D_q^r f, \delta) \frac{\sqrt{[n-r-1]}}{q^{5r/4} \delta} \sqrt{\left((q^{4r-2} - 2q^{2r} + 1) + \frac{1}{([n-r]q - [2])} \right) x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, choosing $\delta^2 = \frac{x^2}{q^{5r/2}} \left((q^{4r-2} - 2q^{2r} + 1) + \frac{1}{([n-r]q - [2])} \right)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{q^{3r/2}[n-r-1]}{[n-1]} \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\frac{[n-j]}{[n+j-1]} \right) D_q^r(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) - D_q^r(f(x)) \right| \\ & \leq M(r)[n-r-1]^{1/2} \times \\ & \quad \times \left(\omega D_q^r f, \sqrt{\frac{x^2}{q^{5r/4}} \left((q^{4r-2} - 2q^{2r} + 1) + \frac{1}{([n-r]q - [2])} \right)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.3. In Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 we observe that if we take q in place of q_n i.e. for a fixed q the sequence $D_q^r(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x))$ does not converge to $D_q^r(f(x))$ point wise as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In Theorem 3.2 if we take the sequence (q_n) in $(0, 1)$ such that $q_n \uparrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with the rate $1 - q_n = O(1/[n]^\rho)$, $\rho > 0$, then it follows that $\frac{(1+q^{4r-2}-2q^{2r})}{q^{5r/4}} = O(1/[n]^\rho)$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{q^{3r/2}[n-r-1]}{[n-1]} \prod_{j=1}^r \left(\frac{[n-j]}{[n+j-1]} \right) D_q^r(\mathcal{M}_n(f, q, x)) - D_q^r(f(x)) \right| \\ & \leq M(r)[n-r-1]^{1/2} \omega \left(D_q^r f, \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{[n]^{\rho-1}} + \frac{1}{[n]} \right) x^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that for a function $f \in C_\lambda^r[0, \infty)$ such that $D_q^r f$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty)$, r.h.s. tends to zero if $\rho > 2$.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their appreciation to the anonymous referees for the critical review of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aral - V. Gupta, *On the Durrmeyer type modification of the q-Baskakov type operators*, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), 1171–1180.
- [2] A. Aral - V. Gupta, *The q-derivative and applications to q-Szász Mirakyan operators*, CALCOLO 43 (2006), 151–170.

- [3] R. A. DeVore - G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York 1993.
- [4] M. M. Derriennic, *Modified Bernstein polynomials and Jacobi polynomials in q -calculus*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Serie II (Suppl.76) (2005), 269–290.
- [5] O. Doğru - O. Duman, *Statistical approximation of Meyer-König and Zeller operators based on the q -integers*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 68 (2006), 199–214.
- [6] J. L. Durrmeyer, *Une formule d'inversion de la transformée de Laplace: Application à la théorie des Moments*, Thèse de 3e Cycle, Faculté des Sciences de l'université de Paris, 1967.
- [7] T. Ernst, *A new notation for q -calculus and a new q -Taylor formula*, Uppsala University Report, Depart. Math. (1999), 1–28.
- [8] V. Gupta - T. Kim, *On the rate of approximation by q -modified beta operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 377 (2011), 471–480.
- [9] V. Gupta - W. Heping, *The rate of convergence of q -Durrmeyer operators for $0 < q < 1$* , Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 31 (2008), 1946–1955.
- [10] V. Gupta, *Some approximation properties of q -Durrmeyer operators*, Appl. Math. Comput. 197 (1) (2008), 172–178.
- [11] V. Gupta - Z. Finta, *On certain q -Durrmeyer type operators*, Appl. Math. Comput. 209 (2) (2009), 415–420.
- [12] A. Il'inskiĭ - S. Ostrovska, *Convergence of generalized Bernstein polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory 116 (1) (2002), 100–112.
- [13] F. H. Jackson, *On a q -definite integrals*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1910), 193–203.
- [14] V. G. Kac - P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [15] L. V. Kantorovich, *Sur certain developments suivant les polynomes de la forms de S. Bernstein*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. 1-2 (1930), 563–568, 595–600.
- [16] H. Karsli - V. Gupta, *Some approximation properties of q -Chlodowsky operators*, Appl. Math. Comput. 195 (2008), 220–229.
- [17] T. H. Koornwinder, *q -Special Functions, a tutorial*, in: M. Gerstenhaber, J. Stash-eff (eds) Deformation and Quantum groups with Applications of Mathematical Physics, Contemp. Math. 134, Amer. Math. Soc. 1992.
- [18] N. Mahmudov, *The moments for q -Bernstein operators in the case $0 < q < 1$* , Numer. Algor. (2010), 439–450.
- [19] G. M. Phillips, *Bernstein polynomials based on the q -integers*, Ann. Numer. Math. 4 (1910), 511–518.
- [20] G. M. Phillips, *Interpolation and Approximation by Polynomials*, CMS Books in Mathematics vol. 14, Springer, Berlin 2003.
- [21] S. Ostrovska, *q -Bernstein polynomials and their iterates*, J. Approx. Theory 123 (2) (2003), 232–255.
- [22] H. Wang, *Voronovskaya-type formulas and saturation of convergence for q -Bern-*

- stein polynomials for $0 < q < 1$, J. Approx. Theory 145 (2007), 182–195.*
- [23] H. Wang, *Properties of convergence for q -Bernstein polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 340 (2) (2008), 1096–1108.
- [24] H. Wang - F. Meng, *The rate of convergence of q -Bernstein polynomials for $0 < q < 1$* , J. Approx. Theory 136 (2) (2005), 151–158.
- [25] H. Wang - X. Wu, *Saturation of convergence of q -Bernstein polynomials in the case $q > 1$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl 337 (1) (2008), 744–750.

ASHA RAM GAIROLA

*Department of Mathematics
University of Petroleum & Energy Studies
e-mail: ashagairola@gmail.com*

GIRISH DOBHAL

*Department of Mathematics
University of Petroleum & Energy Studies
e-mail: girish.dobhal@gmail.com*