# **PROJECTIVE NORMALIY OF ARTIN-SCHREIER CURVES**

## EDOARDO BALLICO - ALBERTO RAVAGNANI

In this paper we study the projective normality of the Artin-Schreier curves,  $Y_f$ , defined over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic *p* by the equations

$$y^q + y = f(x),$$

q being a power of p and  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  being a polynomial in x of degree m, with (m, p) = 1. Many  $Y_f$  curves are singular and so, to be precise, here we study the projective normality of appropriate projective models of their normalizations.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{P}^2$  denote the projective plane over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic p, and let  $q := p^k$  be a power of p (k > 0). Denote by  $Y_f \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$  the curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}$  by the equation  $y^q + y = f(x)$ , where  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  is a polynomial of degree m > 0. Assume (m, p) = 1. The function field  $\mathbb{F}(x, y)$  is deeply studied in [6], Proposition 6.4.1. In particular, the function x is known to have only one pole  $P_{\infty}$ . Denote by  $\pi : C_f \to Y_f$  the normalization of  $Y_f$  (which is known to be a bijection) and set  $Q_{\infty} := \pi^{-1}(P_{\infty})$ . For each  $s \ge 0$  the (pull-backs of the) monomials  $x^i y^j$  such that

$$i \ge 0, \ 0 \le j \le q-1, \ qi+mj \le s$$

Entrato in redazione: 12 settembre 2012

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 14H50, 14G17, 14Q05.

*Keywords:* Artin-Schreier curve, Projective normality, Positive characteristic. Partly supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INDAM (Italy)

form a basis of the vector space  $L(sQ_{\infty})$  (see [6], Proposition 6.4.1 again). The genus, g, of the curve  $Y_f$  (which is by definition the genus of the normalization  $C_f$ ) is known to be g = (m-1)(q-1)/2. In this paper we study the projective normality of certain embeddings, say  $X_f$ , of  $C_f$  curves into suitable projective spaces. Let us briefly discuss the outline of the paper.

- Section 2 contains some preliminary results on the projective normality of curves.
- In Section 3 we take an arbitrary integer m ≥ 2 which divides q − 1 and consider the curve C<sub>f</sub> embedded by L(qQ<sub>∞</sub>) into the projective space P<sup>r</sup>, r := (q − 1)/m + 1. We show that this curve is in any case projectively normal and we compute the dimension of the space of quadric hypersurfaces containing it.
- In Section 4 we pick out an arbitrary integer  $m \ge 2$  which divides tq + 1(*t* being any positive integer) and consider the curve  $C_f$  embedded by  $L((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$ . We show that if  $(tq-1)/m \le q-1$  and  $f(x) = x^m$  then the cited curve is in any case projectively normal.

Notice that the curve  $C_f$  and the line bundles  $\mathcal{L}(qQ_{\infty})$ ,  $\mathcal{L}((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$  are defined over any field  $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{F}_p$  containing the coefficients of the polynomial f(x). Hence when  $f(x) = x^m$  any field of characteristic p may be used.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall a basic definition and prove a general lemma. The result provides in fact sufficient conditions for the projective normality of a  $C_f$  curve as defined in the Introduction.

**Definition 2.1.** A smooth curve  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  defined over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is said to be **projectively normal** if for any integer  $d \ge 2$  the restriction map

$$\rho_{d,X}: S^d(H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1))) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$$

is surjective,  $S^d$  denoting the symmetric *d*-power of the tensor product.

**Lemma 2.2.** Consider a  $C_f$  curve as in Section 1 (q, m and f being as in the definitions). Set  $C := C_f$ . Fix integers a, b, e such that  $a \ge 0, b \ge 0, a + b > 0$  and  $e \ge aq + bm + (m-1)(q-1) - 1$ . The multiplication map

$$\mu: L((aq+bm)Q_{\infty}) \otimes L(eQ_{\infty}) \to L((e+aq+bm)Q_{\infty})$$

is surjective.

*Proof.* As we will explain below, this is just a particular case of the base-point free pencil trick ([1], p. 126). Since the Weierstrass semigroup of non-gaps of  $Q_{\infty}$  contains *m* and *q*, the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_C((aq+bm)Q_{\infty})$  is spanned by its global sections. Since (aq+bm) > 0, we have  $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C((aq+bm)Q_{\infty})) \ge 2$ . Hence there exists a two-dimensional linear subspace  $V \subseteq H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C((aq+bm)Q_{\infty}))$  (defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ ) spanning  $\mathcal{O}_C((aq+bm)Q_{\infty})$ . Taking a basis, say  $\{w_1, w_2\}$ , of *V*, we get an exact sequence of line bundles on *C* (over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ ):

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C((e - aq - bm)Q_{\infty}) \to \mathcal{O}_C(eQ_{\infty})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{O}_C((e + aq + bm)Q_{\infty}) \to 0$$

in which  $\phi$  is induced by the multiplication by the column vector  $(w_1, w_2)$ . By assumption e - aq - bm > 2g - 2. Hence  $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C((e - aq - bm)Q_{\infty})) = 0$ . It follows that the map

$$\psi: H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(eQ_\infty))^{\oplus 2} \to H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C((e+aq+bm)Q_\infty))$$

induced in cohomology by the map  $\phi$  of the previous exact sequence is surjective. Since  $V \subseteq H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C((aq+bm)Q_{\infty})), \mu$  is surjective.

In the following sections the previous result will be applied to appropriate embeddings of  $C_f$  curves.

### **3.** The case m|q-1

Assume that  $m \ge 2$  is an integer which divides q - 1 and set c := (q - 1)/m. If c = 1 then  $Y_f$  is a smooth plane curve and it is of course projectively normal. Hence we can focus on the case  $c \ge 2$ . Notice that the point  $P_{\infty} \in Y_f(\mathbb{F})$  defined in the Introduction is the only singular point of  $Y_f$ , for any choice of f(x) as in the definitions. We have also an identity of vector spaces  $H^0(C_f, \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{Y_f}(1))) = L(qQ_{\infty})$  and by the results stated in the Introduction it can be easily seen that a basis of them is  $\{1, x, y, \dots, y^c\}$  ( $c \le q - 1$  here). Since the linear system spanned by  $\{1, x, y\}$  is base-point free (it is also the linear system inducing the composition of  $\pi$  with the inclusion of  $Y_f$  in the plane) then also the complete linear system  $L(qQ_{\infty})$  is base-point free. Hence it defines a morphism  $\varphi : C_f \to \mathbb{P}^r$ , r := c + 1.

**Remark 3.1.** Since  $\pi$  is injective and has invertible differential at any point of  $C_f \setminus \{Q_\infty\}$ , then also  $\varphi$  is injective with non-zero differential at any point of  $C_f \setminus \{Q_\infty\}$ . Moreover, the differential of  $\varphi$  is non-zero even in  $Q_\infty$ . Indeed, since  $L(qQ_\infty)$  has no base-points, in order to prove that  $\varphi$  has non-zero differential at  $Q_\infty$  it is enough to prove that

$$h^{0}(C_{f}, (q-2)Q_{\infty}) = h^{0}(C_{f}, qQ_{\infty}) - 2$$

(see [4], Chapter IV, proof of Proposition 3.1). To do this, we may notice that a basis of  $L(qQ_{\infty})$  is given by a basis of  $L((q-2)Q_{\infty})$  and the monomials x and  $y^c$  (see the Introduction again). The result follows.

By the previous remark,  $\varphi$  is in fact an embedding of  $C_f$  into  $\mathbb{P}^r$ . Set  $X_f := \varphi(C_f)$  and, for any integer  $s \ge 1$ , denote by

$$\mu_s: L(qQ_{\infty}) \otimes L(sqQ_{\infty}) \to L(q(s+1)Q_{\infty})$$

the multiplication map.

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $\mu_s$  is surjective for any  $s \ge 1$ , then  $X_f$  is projectively normal.

*Proof.* Fix an integer  $t \ge 2$  and assume that  $\mu_s$  is surjective for any integer  $s \in \{1, ..., t-1\}$ . We need to prove the surjectivity of the linear map  $\rho_t$ :  $S^t(L(qQ_{\infty})) \to L(tqQ_{\infty})$ . Notice that, in arbitrary characteristic,  $S^t(L(qQ_{\infty}))$ is defined as a suitable quotient of  $L(qQ_{\infty})^{\otimes t}$  (see [2], §A2.3), i.e.,  $\tau_t = \rho_t \circ \eta_t$ , where  $\tau_t : L(qQ_{\infty})^{\otimes t} \to L(tqQ_{\infty})$  is the tensor power map and  $\eta_t : L(qQ_{\infty})^{\otimes t} \to S^t(L(qQ_{\infty}))$  is a surjection. Hence  $\rho_t$  is surjective if and only if  $\tau_t$  is surjective. Since  $\tau_2 = \mu_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  is surjective. So assume t > 2 and that  $\tau_{t-1}$  is surjective. Since  $\tau_{t-1}$  and  $\mu_{t-2}$  are surjective,  $\tau_t$  is surjective.

**Proposition 3.3.** *If*  $s \ge m$  *then*  $\mu_s$  *is surjective.* 

*Proof.* If  $s \ge m$  then  $sq \ge q + (m-1)(q-1) - 1$ . Apply Lemma 2.2 by setting e := sq, a := 1 and b := 0.

**Theorem 3.4.** The curve  $X_f$  is projectively normal.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2 it is enough to prove that  $\mu_s$  is surjective for all  $s \ge 1$ . The case  $s \ge m$  is covered by Proposition 3.3. So let us assume  $1 \le s < m$ . Let i, j be integers such that  $i \ge 0, 0 \le j \le q - 1$  and  $qi + mj \le (s+1)q$ .

- If  $qi + mj \le sq$  then  $x^i y^j$  is in the image of  $\mu_s$  because  $1 \in L(qQ_{\infty})$ .
- If  $sq < qi + mj \le (s+1)q$  and i > 0 then  $x^{i-1}y^j \in L(sqQ_{\infty})$ . Since  $x \in L(qQ_{\infty})$ , the monomial  $x^iy^j$  is in the image of  $\mu_s$ .
- If i = 0 and sq < mj < (s+1)q then j > sq/m = s(c+1/m) > c and mj ≤ (s+1)q 1. By the latter inequality we get m(j-c) ≤ (s+1)q 1 mc. Observe that (s+1)q 1 mc = sq and so m(j-c) ≤ sq. This proves that y<sup>j-c</sup> ∈ L(sqQ<sub>∞</sub>). Finally, µ<sub>s</sub>(y<sup>c</sup> ⊗ y<sup>j-c</sup>) = y<sup>j</sup>.
- If i = 0 and mj = (s+1)q then j = (s+1)q/m = (s+1)(c+1/m) = (s+1)c + (s+1)/m. Since 0 ≤ j ≤ q 1 is a nonnegative integer, we must have (s+1)/m ∈ N. Since 1 ≤ s < m we get s = m 1. It follows mj = mq and j = q, a contradiction.</li>

This proves the theorem.

**Corollary 3.5.** Assume  $m \ge 3$ . The curve  $X_f \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$  is contained into  $\binom{c+3}{2} - 3c - 3$  linearly independent quadric hypersurfaces.

*Proof.* In the notations of Definition 2.1 set  $X := X_f$  and d := 2. Define r := c + 1. By Theorem 3.4, the restriction map

$$\rho_{2,X_f}: S^2(H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1))) \to H^0(X_f, \mathcal{O}_{X_f}(2))$$

is surjective. Hence, in particular, the restriction map

$$\rho: H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(2)) \to H^0(X_f, \mathcal{O}_{X_f}(2))$$

is surjective. Since  $m \ge 3$  (by assumption) we can easily check that a basis of the vector space  $L(2qQ_{\infty})$  consists of the following monomials:

$$\{1, y, \ldots, y^{2c}, x, xy, \ldots, xy^c, x^2\}.$$

Hence  $h^0(X_f, \mathcal{O}_{X_f}(2)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} L(2(q-1)Q_{\infty}) = 3c+3$ . The kernel of  $\rho$  is exactly the space of the quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^r$  vanishing on  $X_f$ . By the surjectivity of  $\rho$  we easily deduce its dimension:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{r}, \mathcal{I}_{X_{f}}(d)) = \binom{r+2}{2} - (3c+3) = \binom{c+3}{2} - 3c - 3.$$

The result follows.

### 4. The case m|tq+1

Pick out any integer  $t \ge 1$  and assume that  $m \ge 2$  is an integer dividing tq + 1. Set c := (tq+1)/m. As in Remark 3.1, it can be checked that  $L((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$  defines an embedding, say  $\varphi$ , of  $C_f$  into  $\mathbb{P}^r$ ,  $r := \dim L((tq+1)Q_{\infty}) - 1$ . Define  $X_f := \varphi(C_f)$ . For any integer  $s \ge 1$  denote by

$$\mu_s: L((tq+1)Q_{\infty}) \otimes L(s(tq+1)Q_{\infty}) \to L((s+1)(tq+1)Q_{\infty})$$

the multiplication map. As in Section 3, the projective normality of  $X_f$  is controlled by the  $\mu_s$  maps.

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $\mu_s$  is surjective for all  $s \ge 1$  then  $X_f$  is projectively normal.

*Proof.* Take the proof of Lemma 3.2.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If*  $s \ge m$ , *then*  $\mu_s$  *is surjective.* 

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 2.2 by setting a := 0, b := c and e := s(tq+1).

In the following part of the section we focus on the case  $f(x) = x^m$ . In particular, we are going to show that  $X_f$  curves obtained with this choice of f are projectively normal for any choice of  $t \ge 1$ , provided that  $c \le q - 1$ .

**Remark 4.3.** The assumption  $c \le q - 1$  is not so restrictive from a geometric point of view. In fact, for any fixed q, the genus of  $X_f$  is g = (q-1)(m-1)/2. Even if c is small, here we study many curves of interesting genus.

**Lemma 4.4.** Set  $f(x) := x^m$  and assume  $c \le q - 1$ . Pick out an integer  $b \ge 0$  such that  $b \le (s+1)c$ . Then  $y^b$  is in the image of  $\mu_s$ .

*Proof.* Since  $c \leq q-1$  we get  $y^c \in L((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$ . In particular, if  $b \leq c$  then we are done. Assume b > c. Let us prove the lemma by induction on s. If s = 1, then  $b \leq 2c$  and  $b-c \leq c \leq q-1$ . Hence  $y^{b-c} \in L((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$  and so  $y^b = \mu_1(y^c \otimes y^{b-c})$  is of course in the image of  $\mu_1$ . If s > 1, then write  $b = hc + \rho$ with  $h \leq s$  and  $0 \leq \rho \leq c$ . Since  $b-\rho = hc \leq sc$  we have that  $y^{b-\rho}$  is in the image of  $\mu_{s-1}$ . In particular, it is in  $L(s(tq+1)Q_{\infty})$ . Since  $y^{\rho} \in L((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$ , we get  $y^b = \mu_s(y^{\rho} \otimes y^{b-\rho})$ . It follows that  $y^b$  is in the image of  $\mu_s$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Set  $f(x) = x^m$  and assume  $c \le q - 1$ . Then  $X_f$  is projectively normal.

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1, it is enough to show that  $\mu_s$  is surjective for any  $s \ge 1$ . By Proposition 4.2, we need only to prove that  $\mu_s$  is surjective for any  $1 \le s < m$ . Let *i*, *j* be integers such that  $i \ge 0$ ,  $0 \le j \le q - 1$  and  $qi + mj \le (s+1)(tq+1)$ . We will examine separately the case  $2 \le s < m$  and the case s = 1.

To begin with, assume  $2 \le s < m$ .

- If  $j \ge c$ , then  $x^i y^{j-c} \in L(s(tq+1)Q_{\infty})$ . Since  $y^c \in L((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$ , we have  $x^i y^j = \mu_s(y^c \otimes x^i y^{j-c})$ .
- If  $0 \le j < c$  and  $qi + mj \le s(tq + 1)$ , then  $x^i y^j$  is in the image of  $\mu_s$ , because  $1 \in L((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$ .
- Assume  $0 \le j < c$  and  $s(tq+1) < qi + mj \le (s+1)(tq+1)$ . We have  $i \ge t$ . Indeed, assume by contradiction that i < t. Then

$$\begin{aligned} qi + mj &< tq + mj \\ &< tq + mc \\ &= tq + tq + 1 \\ &\leq stq + 1 \\ &< s(tq + 1), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction (here we used  $s \ge 2$ ).

- (A) If qi + mj < (s+1)(tq+1) then  $x^{i-t}y^j \in L(s(tq+1)Q_{\infty})$  and  $x^iy^j = \mu_s(x^t \otimes x^{i-t}y^j)$ .
- (B) Assume qi + mj = (s+1)(tq+1). Since (m, p) = 1 we have i = am for an integer a > 0 and j = (s+1)c aq. Observe that  $x^i y^j = x^{am}y^{(s+1)c-aq} = (y^q + y)^a y^{(s+1)c-aq}$ , which is a sum of monomials of the form  $y^b$  with  $b \le (s+1)c$ . Apply Lemma 4.4 and the fact that  $\mu_s$  is linear to get that  $x^i y^j$  is in its image.

Now assume s = 1.

- Assume  $j \ge c$ . Since  $qi + mj \le 2(tq+1)$  we get  $qi + m(j-c) \le 2(tq+1) (tq+1) = tq+1$ . Hence  $x^i y^{j-c} \in L((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$ . Finally,  $\mu_1(y^c \otimes x^i y^{j-c}) = x^i y^j$ .
- Assume j < c and  $i \ge t$ . Since  $qi + mj \le 2(tq+1)$  we get  $q(i-t) + mj \le 2(tq+1) tq = tq+2$ .
  - (C) If  $q(i-t) + mj \le tq + 1$  then  $x^i y^j = \mu_1(x^t \otimes x^{i-t} y^j)$ .
  - (D) Assume q(i-t) + mj = tq + 2, i.e. qi + mj = 2tq + 2. Repeat the proof of case (B) with s := 1.
- Assume j < c and i < t. Then  $x^i, y^j \in L((tq+1)Q_{\infty})$  and we easily get  $x^i y^j = \mu_1(x^i \otimes y^j)$ .

This concludes the proof.

**Remark 4.6.** If t = 1 then the assumption  $c \le q - 1$  is trivially satisfied (we assumed  $m \ne q + 1$ ). In this case the curve  $y^q + y = x^m$  is covered by the Hermitian curve.

### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Referee for suggestions and remarks which improved the presentation of the present work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello M. Cornalba P. Griffiths J. Harris, *Geometry of Algebraic Curves, I*, Springer, 1985.
- [2] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, 1995.
- [3] A. Garcia P. Viana, Weierstrass points on certain non-classical curves, Arch. Math. 46 (1986), 315–322.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer, 1977.
- [5] J. W. P. Hirschfeld G. Korchmáros F. Torres, *Algebraic Curves over a Finite Field*, Princeton University Press, 2008.
- [6] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*, Second Edition. Springer, 2009.

EDOARDO BALLICO Department of Mathematics University of Trento e-mail: edoardo.ballico@unitn.it

## ALBERTO RAVAGNANI

Institut de Mathématiques Université de Neuchâtel e-mail: alberto.ravagnani@unine.ch